## FERRIS STATE UNIVERSITY

# General Education Thresholds\*

Standardizing the criteria of success

### Dr. Clifton Franklund General Education Coordinator

### Fall 2017

### Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Standardized scoring	2
VALUE rubrics for individuals	2
Need thresholds for courses	2
Methods	2
Create proportional distributions	2
Generating simulations	2
Analysis	2
Results and Discussion	2
Summary statistics	2
Histograms	2
Color scheme	2

### Abstract

"Assessment is not a spreadsheet; it's a conversation." — Irmeli Halinen

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Curabitur pellentesque tortor ac turpis congue venenatis. Curabitur congue justo est, non feugiat massa euismod sit amet. Fusce tincidunt, sapien in malesuada tempus, sem mi interdum turpis, in finibus sem nisl sed ex. Mauris in tristique nisl. Nunc pellentesque metus et velit aliquam, eu rhoncus diam condimentum. Quisque nec libero at odio tempor aliquam. Fusce at lacus porttitor, lobortis nisl nec, commodo tellus. Phasellus interdum sapien varius ipsum consectetur tincidunt. Aenean posuere turpis at efficitur lobortis. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Curabitur pellentesque tortor ac turpis congue venenatis. Curabitur congue justo est, non feugiat massa euismod sit amet. Fusce tincidunt, sapien in malesuada tempus, sem mi interdum turpis, in finibus sem nisl sed ex. Mauris in tristique nisl. Nunc pellentesque metus et velit aliquam, eu rhoncus diam condimentum. Quisque nec libero at odio tempor aliquam. Fusce at lacus porttitor, lobortis nisl nec, commodo tellus. Phasellus interdum sapien varius ipsum consectetur tincidunt. Aenean posuere turpis at efficitur lobortis.

<sup>\*</sup>Report number 201701, DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/35GSR

# ✓ Ferris State University

Table 1: Proportions for simulated data for classes of different abilities

Rubric	Level	Deficient Sim	Beginning Sim	Progressing Sim	Proficient Sim	Advanced Sim
0	Deficient	0.50	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	Beginning	0.40	0.55	0.26	0.01	0.00
2	Progressing	0.09	0.30	0.49	0.39	0.10
3	Proficient	0.01	0.50	0.24	0.46	0.27
4	Advanced	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.63

Table 2: Color pallet for score interpretation

Level	Score Range	Color (HEX)
Deficient	[0.00 - 1.00)	#29335c
Beginning	[1.00 - 1.80)	#e4572e
Progressing	[1.80 - 2.60)	#f3a712
Proficient	[2.60 - 3.40)	#a8c686
Advanced	[3.40 - 4.00]	$\#669 \mathrm{bbc}$

## Introduction

Standardized scoring

VALUE rubrics for individuals

Need thresholds for courses

## Methods

Create proportional distributions

Generating simulations

Analysis

Results and Discussion

Summary statistics

Histograms

Color scheme

References

# ✓ Ferris State University




Figure 1: Score distributions from the simulated data

# ✓ Ferris State University



Figure 2: Color scheme