

### Supplementary Information 3

Table SI.3.1. Membership information for six trophic role groups derived from REGE analysis.

Food web name	Group ID	Group Member ID
Bar	Group 1	38, 38.1, 38.2, 37, 39, 40, 41
	Group 2	3, 9, 9.1, 7, 1, 1.1, 1.2
	Group 3	32, 20, 11, 8, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 18, 18.1, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 10, 8.9, 16, 6, 13, 8.1, 2, 2.1, 2.2, 30, 30.1, 28, 28.1, 30.2, 22, 33, 27, 35
	Group 4	29, 29.1, 34, 34.1, 31, 36
	Group 5	23, 21, 21.1, 21.2, 23.1, 21.3, 23.2, 23.3, 21.4, 17, 5, 15, 19, 25, 23.4, 23.5, 23.6, 24, 26
	Group 6	4, 4.1, 4.2, 14, 14.1, 14.2, 12, 12.1
Pws	Group 1	6, 6.1, 23, 5, 5.1, 14, 14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6, 14.7, 14.8, 14.9, 11, 8, 9, 12, 4, 10
	Group 2	29, 29.1, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4
	Group 3	18, 1, 2, 13, 13.1
	Group 4	44, 43, 44.1, 45, 45.1, 45.2, 45.3, 45.4, 46, 47, 48
	Group 5	33, 36, 33.1, 36.1, 33.2, 36.2, 20, 16, 20.1, 20.2, 7, 17, 15, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 3, 3.1, 3.2, 33.3, 36.3, 33.4, 36.4, 24, 24.1, 39, 39.1, 21, 33.5, 36.5, 39.2, 33.6, 36.6, 37
	Group 6	34, 35, 30, 27, 22, 25, 26, 31, 28, 28.1, 28.2, 41, 42, 34.1, 35.1, 34.2, 35.2, 34.3, 35.3, 34.4, 35.4, 41.1, 42.1, 41.2, 42.2, 41.3, 42.3, 41.4, 42.4, 41.5, 42.5, 38, 40, 38.1, 32, 19
Chs	Group 1	25, 25.1, 25.2, 25.3, 25.4, 25.5, 41
	Group 2	43, 42, 44, 45
	Group 3	18, 20, 22, 11, 12, 13, 13.1, 13.2, 30, 34, 35, 32, 38, 39, 40
	Group 4	36, 24, 24.1, 23, 24.2, 24.3, 24.4, 23.1, 24.5, 24.6, 24.7, 24.8, 4, 23.2, 23.3, 16, 17, 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 21, 10, 21.1, 23.4, 15, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4, 14, 27, 27.1, 31
	Group 5	28, 28.1, 28.2, 5, 3, 26, 37
	Group 6	29, 29.1, 29.2, 9, 19, 19.1, 33
Car	Group 1	14
	Group 2	70, 71
	Group 3	74, 83, 75, 54, 69, 82, 81, 55, 58, 44, 51, 65, 64, 63, 59, 61, 62, 57, 45, 66, 60, 79, 77, 53, 80, 76, 78, 67, 68, 42

	Group 4	38, 39, 41, 52, 48, 50, 49, 40, 23, 72, 24, 47, 43, 73, 56, 46
	Group 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
	Group 6	11, 19, 15, 18, 20, 22, 21, 16, 29, 30, 32, 31, 17, 25, 26, 27, 28, 35, 33, 34, 37, 36, 13, 10, 12, 9
Gad	Group 1	70, 72, 76, 80, 83, 69, 79, 64, 89, 67, 77, 78
	Group 2	34, 37, 36, 35, 38, 39, 42, 46, 40, 45, 44, 43, 41
	Group 3	85, 74, 75, 68, 47, 52, 57, 58, 62, 61, 48, 63, 60, 55, 54, 53, 56, 59, 71, 66, 81, 82, 84, 86, 88, 65, 87, 1, 13, 33, 73
	Group 4	16, 15, 21, 31, 29, 26, 25, 28, 30, 24
	Group 5	95, 11, 96, 17, 93, 90
	Group 6	14, 12, 94, 51, 3, 2, 49, 50, 22, 91, 19, 5, 4, 23, 20, 6, 7, 10, 27, 8, 9, 32, 18, 92

Table SI.3.2. Membership information for six trophic role groups derived from TSM analysis.

Food web name	Group ID	Group Member ID
Bar	Group 1	32, 17, 19, 25, 26, 29, 29.1, 30, 30.1, 28, 28.1, 30.2, 31
	Group 2	20, 4, 4.1, 4.2, 11, 18, 18.1, 14, 14.1, 14.2, 5, 10, 15, 16, 13, 12, 12.1, 34, 34.1, 33, 38, 38.1, 38.2, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41
	Group 3	3, 9, 9.1, 7, 1, 1.1, 1.2
	Group 4	23, 23.1, 23.2, 23.3, 23.4, 23.5, 23.6, 24
	Group 5	8, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.6, 8.7, 8.8, 8.9, 6, 8.1, 2, 2.1, 2.2
	Group 6	21, 21.1, 21.2, 21.3, 21.4, 22, 27
Pws	Group 1	44, 43, 44.1, 45, 6, 6.1, 23, 18, 5, 5.1, 1, 2, 29, 29.1, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 13, 13.1, 30, 20, 16, 27, 11, 20.1, 8, 20.2, 7, 17, 22, 25, 9, 12, 4, 15, 15.1, 10, 26, 31, 15.2, 15.3, 3, 3.1, 3.2, 28, 28.1, 28.2, 41, 24, 24.1, 41.1, 41.2, 41.3, 39, 39.1, 21, 41.4, 41.5, 38, 40, 38.1, 39.2, 32, 45.1, 45.2, 45.3, 45.4, 19, 37, 46, 47, 48
	Group 2	34, 35, 34.1, 35.1, 34.2, 35.2, 34.3, 35.3, 34.4, 35.4
	Group 3	33, 33.1, 33.2, 33.3, 33.4, 33.5, 33.6
	Group 4	36, 36.1, 36.2, 36.3, 36.4, 36.5, 36.6
	Group 5	14, 14.1, 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6, 14.7, 14.8, 14.9
	Group 6	42, 42.1, 42.2, 42.3, 42.4, 42.5
Chs	Group 1	43, 36, 28, 28.1, 28.2, 4, 5, 16, 17, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 18, 8, 10, 20, 22, 11, 12, 13, 13.1, 13.2, 14, 27, 26, 27.1, 30, 31, 34, 35, 32, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45
	Group 2	29, 29.1, 29.2, 9, 19, 19.1, 33
	Group 3	24, 24.1, 24.2, 24.3, 24.4, 24.5, 24.6, 24.7, 24.8
	Group 4	25, 25.1, 25.2, 25.3, 25.4, 25.5
	Group 5	23, 23.1, 23.2, 23.3, 23.4
	Group 6	21, 21.1, 15, 15.1, 15.2, 15.3, 15.4
Car	Group 1	38, 39, 70, 71, 14, 41, 52, 48, 50, 49, 42, 40, 23, 72, 24, 47, 43, 73, 19, 56, 46, 32, 27, 28, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
	Group 2	11, 15, 18, 20, 16, 29, 30, 31, 17, 25, 26, 13, 10, 12, 9
	Group 3	74, 83, 75, 69, 81, 65, 64, 63, 59, 61, 66, 60, 77, 78, 67, 68
	Group 4	54, 82, 51, 79, 53, 80, 76
	Group 5	55, 58, 44, 62, 57, 45
	Group 6	22, 21, 35, 33, 34, 37, 36

---

Gad	Group 1	85, 74, 75, 68, 47, 52, 57, 58, 62, 61, 63, 60, 55, 54, 53, 56, 59, 71, 66, 81, 82, 84, 86, 88, 65, 87, 73
	Group 2	70, 72, 76, 80, 83, 69, 79, 64, 89, 67, 77, 78
	Group 3	16, 14, 21, 31, 29, 26, 25, 28, 30, 24
	Group 4	15, 95, 11, 12, 96, 94, 51, 2, 49, 50, 17, 22, 91, 19, 23, 20, 34, 93, 27, 32, 90, 48, 18, 92, 1, 13, 33
	Group 5	3, 5, 4, 6, 7, 10, 8, 9
	Group 6	37, 36, 35, 38, 39, 42, 46, 40, 45, 44, 43, 41

---

Table SI.3.3. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage using various numbers of trophic role groups for Barents Sea food web.

Number of clusters	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
3	<b>1</b>	<b>65(3)</b>	<b>5.90</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.25</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.007</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.31</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.001</b>
4	1	32(2)	5.86	6.26	0.26	0.060
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	3	33(1)	5.84	6.26	0.24	0.045
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.001</b>
5	1	32(2)	5.86	6.28	0.24	0.045
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.32</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>27(0)</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	6(1)	4.80	6.27	0.78	0.035
	<b>5</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.24</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.001</b>
6	1	32(2)	5.86	6.26	0.25	0.061
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.005</b>
	3	8(0)	5.54	6.25	0.65	0.140
	<b>4</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	5	6(1)	4.80	6.26	0.78	0.045
	<b>6</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.31</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.001</b>
7	<b>1</b>	<b>10(2)</b>	<b>4.04</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>22(0)</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	4	8(0)	5.54	6.30	0.67	0.139
	<b>5</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	6(1)	4.80	6.27	0.82	0.044
	<b>7</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.23</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.001</b>
8	<b>1</b>	<b>10(2)</b>	<b>4.04</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>22(0)</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	4	6(0)	5.53	6.27	0.83	0.179
	<b>5</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.31</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>0.013</b>
	7	6(1)	4.80	6.28	0.81	0.044
	<b>8</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.001</b>
9	<b>1</b>	<b>10(2)</b>	<b>4.04</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>0.002</b>

	<b>2</b>	<b>22(0)</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	4	6(0)	5.53	6.31	0.82	0.158
	<b>5</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>0.014</b>
	7	6(1)	4.80	6.21	0.81	0.049
	<b>8</b>	<b>3(0)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	9	4(4)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	<b>1</b>	<b>10(2)</b>	<b>4.04</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>22(0)</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>6.26</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.001</b>
10	<b>3</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	4	6(0)	5.53	6.27	0.83	0.171
	<b>5</b>	<b>17(0)</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	2(0)	3.00	6.30	1.83	0.052
	<b>7</b>	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>0.020</b>
	8	6(1)	4.80	6.26	0.81	0.054
	<b>9</b>	<b>3(0)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>1.43</b>	<b>0.011</b>
	10	4(4)	0.00	NA	NA	NA

---

Table SI.3.4. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage using various numbers of trophic role groups for Prince William Sound food web.

Number of clusters	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
3	1	11(3)	3.46	6.57	0.54	0.001
	2	70(2)	6.16	6.56	0.13	0.003
	3	31(0)	5.69	6.56	0.28	0.003
4	1	11(3)	3.46	6.56	0.53	0.001
	2	36(1)	6.38	6.56	0.25	0.245
	3	34(1)	5.62	6.56	0.26	0.001
	4	31(0)	5.69	6.57	0.28	0.002
5	1	11(3)	3.46	6.59	0.55	0.001
	2	36(1)	6.38	6.56	0.24	0.225
	3	34(1)	5.62	6.55	0.26	0.002
	4	21(0)	5.98	6.56	0.36	0.067
	5	10(0)	4.27	6.58	0.56	0.001
6	1	11(3)	3.46	6.57	0.54	0.001
	2	36(1)	6.38	6.55	0.24	0.234
	3	34(1)	5.62	6.56	0.26	0.002
	4	21(0)	5.98	6.57	0.37	0.067
	5	5(0)	3.60	6.61	0.90	0.002
	6	5(0)	2.60	6.55	0.88	0.001
7	1	11(3)	3.46	6.58	0.51	0.001
	2	24(1)	6.06	6.59	0.33	0.052
	3	34(1)	5.62	6.55	0.24	0.001
	4	21(0)	5.98	6.54	0.35	0.062
	5	5(0)	3.60	6.57	0.91	0.003
	6	5(0)	2.60	6.59	0.85	0.001
	7	12(0)	5.33	6.54	0.53	0.012
8	1	11(3)	3.46	6.56	0.54	0.001
	2	24(1)	6.06	6.57	0.34	0.061
	3	24(1)	5.73	6.57	0.34	0.010
	4	10(0)	4.73	6.57	0.57	0.002
	5	21(0)	5.98	6.56	0.35	0.062
	6	5(0)	3.60	6.59	0.88	0.001
	7	5(0)	2.60	6.51	0.93	0.001
	8	12(0)	5.33	6.55	0.50	0.008
9	1	11(3)	3.46	6.59	0.55	0.001

<b>2</b>	<b>21(1)</b>	<b>5.77</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.021</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>24(1)</b>	<b>5.73</b>	<b>6.58</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.008</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.73</b>	<b>6.54</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.003</b>
5	21(0)	5.98	6.55	0.37	0.068
<b>6</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>6.58</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>6.54</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>3(0)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.58</b>	<b>1.28</b>	<b>0.002</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>12(0)</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>6.56</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.010</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.47</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>0.012</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>9(3)</b>	<b>3.67</b>	<b>6.56</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>21(1)</b>	<b>5.77</b>	<b>6.56</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.018</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>24(1)</b>	<b>5.73</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.009</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.73</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.001</b>
6	21(0)	5.98	6.56	0.36	0.052
<b>7</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>6.52</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.91</b>	<b>0.001</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>3(0)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.60</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>0.003</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>12(0)</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.010</b>

---



Table SI.3.5. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage using various numbers of trophic role groups for Chesapeake Bay food web.

Number of clusters	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
3	1	26(7)	5.22	5.09	0.26	0.309
	<b>2</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	14(0)	5.68	5.07	0.39	0.054
4	1	19(6)	5.05	5.10	0.33	0.443
	<b>2</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	14(0)	5.68	5.09	0.40	0.066
	4	7(1)	4.00	5.07	0.63	0.058
5	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	<b>2</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	14(0)	5.68	5.09	0.41	0.075
	4	7(1)	4.00	5.09	0.64	0.047
	5	15(3)	4.89	5.09	0.37	0.295
6	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	<b>2</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	7(0)	4.67	5.08	0.62	0.250
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	5	7(1)	4.00	5.12	0.62	0.042
	6	15(3)	4.89	5.07	0.39	0.320
7	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	2	8(0)	4.32	5.08	0.59	0.092
	3	7(0)	4.67	5.08	0.62	0.254
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.007</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>27(0)</b>	<b>3.99</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	7(1)	4.00	5.11	0.63	0.042
	7	15(3)	4.89	5.08	0.39	0.314
8	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	2	8(0)	4.32	5.10	0.56	0.090
	3	7(0)	4.67	5.13	0.62	0.236
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.07</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.006</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>27(0)</b>	<b>3.99</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	7(1)	4.00	5.11	0.62	0.038
	7	12(1)	4.84	5.06	0.42	0.319
	8	3(2)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
9	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA

10	2	8(0)	4.32	5.09	0.57	0.093
	3	7(0)	4.67	5.15	0.63	0.217
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.014</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>17(0)</b>	<b>3.93</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	7(1)	4.00	5.09	0.60	0.040
	<b>7</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>3.84</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.008</b>
	8	12(1)	4.84	5.06	0.44	0.309
	9	3(2)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	1	4(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	2	8(0)	4.32	5.07	0.57	0.096
	3	7(0)	4.67	5.07	0.60	0.243
	<b>4</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>0.011</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>17(0)</b>	<b>3.93</b>	<b>5.08</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	7(1)	4.00	5.10	0.63	0.047
	<b>7</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>3.84</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.005</b>
	<b>8</b>	<b>8(0)</b>	<b>3.29</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	9	3(2)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	<b>10</b>	<b>4(1)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>5.12</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.001</b>

---

Table SI.3.6. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage using various numbers of trophic role groups for Carpinteria Salt Marsh food web.

Number of clusters	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
3	1	16(0)	6.09	6.72	0.40	0.064
	2	<b>34(8)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.74</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>33(0)</b>	<b>5.41</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.001</b>
4	1	16(0)	6.09	6.73	0.40	0.058
	2	<b>26(0)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.72</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>33(0)</b>	<b>5.41</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	8(8)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
5	1	16(0)	6.09	6.74	0.39	0.046
	2	<b>26(0)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>32(0)</b>	<b>5.45</b>	<b>6.74</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	5	8(8)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
6	1	16(0)	6.09	6.73	0.39	0.051
	2	<b>26(0)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.69</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>0.010</b>
	5	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	6	8(8)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
7	1	16(0)	6.09	6.75	0.39	0.055
	2	<b>24(0)</b>	<b>5.66</b>	<b>6.71</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.68</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>0.016</b>
	5	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	6	2(0)	5.00	6.76	1.93	0.173
	7	8(8)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
8	1	9(0)	5.50	6.74	0.62	0.029
	2	<b>24(0)</b>	<b>5.66</b>	<b>6.73</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	3	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>6.72</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>6.59</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>0.014</b>
	5	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	6	7(0)	5.43	6.77	0.72	0.047
	7	2(0)	5.00	6.75	1.91	0.189
	8	8(8)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
9	1	9(0)	5.50	6.75	0.58	0.029

10	2	24(0)	5.66	6.72	0.30	0.001
	3	30(0)	5.39	6.73	0.25	0.001
	4	2(0)	2.00	6.76	2.00	0.017
	5	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	6	7(0)	5.43	6.69	0.71	0.053
	7	2(0)	5.00	6.71	1.91	0.177
	8	3(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	9	5(5)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	1	5(0)	5.40	6.68	0.95	0.099
	2	24(0)	5.66	6.74	0.30	0.001
	3	30(0)	5.39	6.73	0.25	0.001
	4	4(0)	4.83	6.72	1.13	0.059
	5	2(0)	2.00	6.78	1.97	0.012
	6	1(0)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	7	7(0)	5.43	6.72	0.71	0.050
	8	2(0)	5.00	6.71	1.92	0.187
	9	3(3)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	10	5(5)	0.00	NA	NA	NA

---

Table SI.3.7. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage using various numbers of trophic role groups for Grande de Gredos food web.

Number of clusters	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
3	1	44(5)	6.25	7.39	0.21	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.38	0.60	0.001
	3	40(2)	5.39	7.39	0.23	0.001
4	1	44(5)	6.25	7.39	0.22	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.40	0.57	0.001
	3	10(0)	4.24	7.40	0.64	0.001
	4	30(2)	5.57	7.38	0.30	0.001
5	1	44(5)	6.25	7.38	0.21	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.36	0.56	0.001
	3	10(0)	4.24	7.40	0.65	0.002
	4	24(2)	5.48	7.41	0.35	0.001
	5	6(0)	5.13	7.41	1.00	0.016
6	1	31(5)	6.30	7.39	0.29	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.39	0.57	0.001
	3	10(0)	4.24	7.38	0.67	0.001
	4	24(2)	5.48	7.40	0.35	0.001
	5	6(0)	5.13	7.41	0.99	0.019
	6	13(0)	4.77	7.39	0.55	0.001
7	1	31(5)	6.30	7.40	0.30	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.38	0.61	0.001
	3	10(0)	4.24	7.36	0.66	0.001
	4	12(2)	5.56	7.40	0.57	0.002
	5	6(0)	5.13	7.38	0.97	0.015
	6	12(0)	5.24	7.41	0.57	0.002
	7	13(0)	4.77	7.39	0.55	0.001
8	1	31(5)	6.30	7.38	0.27	0.001
	2	12(3)	4.61	7.39	0.55	0.001
	3	10(0)	4.24	7.42	0.65	0.001
	4	12(2)	5.56	7.42	0.57	0.001
	5	6(0)	5.13	7.41	0.99	0.018
	6	3(0)	3.33	7.35	1.93	0.036
	7	9(0)	5.06	7.39	0.70	0.002
	8	13(0)	4.77	7.38	0.53	0.001
9	1	28(3)	6.40	7.39	0.31	0.004

	<b>2</b>	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>7.41</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>7.44</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>12(2)</b>	<b>5.56</b>	<b>7.37</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	5	6(0)	5.13	7.35	1.03	0.028
	6	3(0)	3.33	7.37	1.88	0.026
	<b>7</b>	<b>9(0)</b>	<b>5.06</b>	<b>7.40</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>8</b>	<b>13(0)</b>	<b>4.77</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>0.52</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	9	3(2)	0.00	NA	NA	NA
	<b>1</b>	<b>28(3)</b>	<b>6.40</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>7.38</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.001</b>
10	<b>3</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>7.39</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>12(2)</b>	<b>5.56</b>	<b>7.37</b>	<b>0.60</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	5	4(0)	5.00	7.32	1.45	0.066
	6	2(0)	7.00	7.48	2.45	0.433
	7	3(0)	3.33	7.44	1.93	0.026
	<b>8</b>	<b>9(0)</b>	<b>5.06</b>	<b>7.44</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>9</b>	<b>13(0)</b>	<b>4.77</b>	<b>7.42</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	10	3(2)	0.00	NA	NA	NA

---

Table SI.3.8. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (REGE-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=1$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	<b>1</b>	<b>7(4)</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>6.55</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.49</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	32(2)	5.86	6.21	0.25	0.087
	4	6(1)	4.80	6.48	0.78	0.028
	<b>5</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>6.04</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	8(0)	5.54	6.25	0.62	0.134
Pws	1	21(0)	5.98	6.59	0.33	0.031
	<b>2</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>6.54</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>6.46</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>11(3)</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>6.50</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>34(1)</b>	<b>5.62</b>	<b>6.46</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	36(1)	6.38	6.60	0.24	0.174
Chs	1	7(1)	4	4.99	0.65	0.071
	2	4(3)	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	15(3)	4.89	5.16	0.36	0.228
	<b>4</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.98</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	5	7(0)	4.67	5.11	0.55	0.205
	<b>6</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.022</b>
Car	1	1(0)	0	NA	NA	NA
	<b>2</b>	<b>2(0)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.55</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>0.022</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>6.59</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	16(0)	6.09	6.68	0.36	0.053
	5	8(8)	0	NA	NA	NA
	<b>6</b>	<b>26(0)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.75</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.001</b>
Gad	<b>1</b>	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>7.13</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>13(0)</b>	<b>4.77</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>31(5)</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.36</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>7.13</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>6(0)</b>	<b>5.13</b>	<b>7.16</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.016</b>
	<b>6</b>	<b>24(2)</b>	<b>5.48</b>	<b>7.19</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.001</b>

Table SI.3.9. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (REGE-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=2$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	7(4)	2.67	6.28	1.31	0.028
	<b>2</b>	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.22</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	3	32(2)	5.86	6.09	0.22	0.147
	4	6(1)	4.80	6.20	0.77	0.064
	<b>5</b>	<b>19(0)</b>	<b>4.58</b>	<b>5.53</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.006</b>
	6	8(0)	5.54	6.09	0.56	0.161
Pws	1	21(0)	5.98	6.49	0.31	0.048
	<b>2</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>5.99</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5.93</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>11(3)</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>6.12</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>34(1)</b>	<b>5.62</b>	<b>6.17</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.010</b>
	6	36(1)	6.38	6.62	0.23	0.156
Chs	1	7(1)	4	4.74	0.59	0.110
	2	4(3)	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	15(3)	4.89	5.18	0.32	0.184
	<b>4</b>	<b>35(0)</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.78</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	5	7(0)	4.67	5.04	0.47	0.204
	6	7(0)	6.48	5.88	0.50	0.101
Car	1	1(0)	0	NA	NA	NA
	2	2(0)	2	5.52	2.33	0.105
	<b>3</b>	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>6.24</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	16(0)	6.09	6.50	0.34	0.130
	5	8(8)	0	NA	NA	NA
	<b>6</b>	<b>26(0)</b>	<b>5.55</b>	<b>6.44</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.001</b>
Gad	<b>1</b>	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>6.43</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.006</b>
	<b>2</b>	<b>13(0)</b>	<b>4.77</b>	<b>6.55</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	<b>3</b>	<b>31(5)</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.01</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.014</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>6.10</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>0.020</b>
	<b>5</b>	<b>6(0)</b>	<b>5.13</b>	6.60	0.90	0.055
	<b>6</b>	<b>24(2)</b>	<b>5.48</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.013</b>



Table SI.3.10. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (REGE-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=3$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	7(4)	2.67	4.91	1.65	0.156
	2	7(0)	4.10	5.04	0.71	0.104
	3	32(2)	5.86	5.95	0.16	0.302
	4	6(1)	4.80	5.46	0.70	0.235
	5	19(0)	4.58	4.98	0.31	0.086
	6	8(0)	5.54	5.78	0.40	0.275
Pws	1	21(0)	5.98	6.21	0.23	0.160
	2	5(0)	2.6	4.29	1.17	0.096
	3	5(0)	3.6	4.80	0.84	0.062
	<b>4</b>	<b>11(3)</b>	<b>3.46</b>	<b>5.01</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.016</b>
	5	34(1)	5.62	5.86	0.18	0.085
	6	36(1)	6.38	6.50	0.17	0.241
Chs	1	7(1)	4	4.37	0.50	0.252
	2	4(3)	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	15(3)	4.89	5.08	0.25	0.215
	4	35(0)	4.26	4.50	0.14	0.039
	5	7(0)	4.67	4.85	0.34	0.307
	6	7(0)	6.48	6.25	0.32	0.244
Car	1	1(0)	0	NA	NA	NA
	2	2(0)	2	3.62	2.26	0.305
	<b>3</b>	<b>30(0)</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>5.74</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.025</b>
	4	16(0)	6.09	6.27	0.27	0.252
	5	8(8)	0	NA	NA	NA
	6	26(0)	5.55	5.92	0.23	0.038
Gad	1	12(3)	4.61	5.46	0.61	0.078
	2	13(0)	4.77	5.54	0.52	0.047
	3	31(5)	6.3	6.60	0.27	0.152
	4	10(0)	4.24	4.95	0.69	0.146
	5	6(0)	5.13	5.79	0.72	0.176
	6	24(2)	5.48	5.91	0.36	0.124

Table SI.3.11. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (REGE-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=4$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	7(4)	2.67	4.18	1.47	0.231
	2	7(0)	4.10	4.32	0.42	0.369
	3	32(2)	5.86	5.88	0.09	0.429
	4	6(1)	4.80	5.00	0.45	0.413
	5	19(0)	4.58	4.67	0.16	0.352
	6	8(0)	5.54	5.60	0.19	0.427
Pws	1	21(0)	5.98	6.04	0.13	0.356
	2	5(0)	2.6	3.03	0.77	0.352
	3	5(0)	3.6	3.94	0.52	0.300
	4	11(3)	3.46	4.22	0.62	0.137
	5	34(1)	5.62	5.69	0.11	0.268
	6	36(1)	6.38	6.42	0.10	0.354
Chs	1	7(1)	4	4.13	0.29	0.390
	2	4(3)	0	NA	NA	NA
	3	15(3)	4.89	4.96	0.16	0.343
	4	35(0)	4.26	4.33	0.09	0.187
	5	7(0)	4.67	4.73	0.20	0.422
	6	7(0)	6.48	6.41	0.16	0.391
Car	1	1(0)	0	NA	NA	NA
	2	2(0)	2	2.37	1.22	0.450
	3	30(0)	5.39	5.48	0.12	0.232
	4	16(0)	6.09	6.14	0.16	0.407
	5	8(8)	0	NA	NA	NA
	6	26(0)	5.55	5.64	0.14	0.296
Gad	1	12(3)	4.61	4.94	0.43	0.263
	2	13(0)	4.77	4.96	0.29	0.291
	3	31(5)	6.3	6.40	0.16	0.357
	4	10(0)	4.24	4.44	0.40	0.344
	5	6(0)	5.13	5.31	0.40	0.381
	6	24(2)	5.48	5.59	0.20	0.353

Table SI.3.12. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (TSM-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=1$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	13(0)	5.64	6.34	0.43	0.053
	2	29(6)	6.61	6.31	0.30	0.147
	3	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.49</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>8(0)</b>	<b>4.32</b>	<b>6.04</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	5	<b>15(0)</b>	<b>5.09</b>	<b>6.10</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.018</b>
	6	7(1)	5.60	6.17	0.71	0.203
Pws	1	72(5)	6.61	6.57	0.13	0.397
	2	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>6.47</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>6.27</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	5	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.09</b>	<b>6.65</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	6(0)	5.87	6.68	0.75	0.135
Chs	1	41(7)	4.91	5.08	0.18	0.176
	2	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>6.48</b>	<b>5.36</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>0.023</b>
	3	9(0)	4.11	5.05	0.50	0.033
	4	6(0)	4.00	4.96	0.63	0.066
	5	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>4.89</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	7(0)	3.90	5.02	0.57	0.033
Car	1	32(8)	6.57	6.70	0.26	0.292
	2	<b>15(0)</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>6.71</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>16(0)</b>	<b>5.29</b>	<b>6.60</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	4	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.76</b>	<b>6.59</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.004</b>
	5	<b>6(0)</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.95</b>	<b>6.77</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.009</b>
Gad	1	<b>27(2)</b>	<b>6.40</b>	<b>7.36</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	2	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>7.11</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>7.08</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	4	<b>27(5)</b>	<b>5.82</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	5	<b>8(0)</b>	<b>4.71</b>	<b>7.09</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	6	<b>12(0)</b>	<b>3.92</b>	<b>7.21</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.001</b>

Table SI.3.13. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (TSM-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=2$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	13(0)	5.64	6.15	0.34	0.069
	2	29(6)	6.61	6.44	0.27	0.276
	3	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	4	8(0)	4.32	5.53	0.67	0.034
	5	15(0)	5.09	5.78	0.41	0.043
	6	7(1)	5.60	5.94	0.71	0.302
Pws	1	72(5)	6.61	6.58	0.12	0.423
	2	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>5.79</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	3	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>5.52</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>0.010</b>
	4	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>5.57</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.010</b>
	5	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.09</b>	<b>6.28</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.001</b>
	6	6(0)	5.87	6.68	0.73	0.139
Chs	1	41(7)	4.91	5.02	0.16	0.238
	2	7(0)	6.48	5.89	0.50	0.105
	3	9(0)	4.11	4.91	0.48	0.049
	4	6(0)	4.00	4.77	0.64	0.124
	5	<b>5(0)</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>4.38</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.003</b>
	6	7(0)	3.90	4.84	0.54	0.040
Car	1	32(8)	6.57	6.63	0.25	0.407
	2	<b>15(0)</b>	<b>5.10</b>	<b>6.30</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.002</b>
	3	<b>16(0)</b>	<b>5.29</b>	<b>6.21</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.010</b>
	4	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.76</b>	<b>6.16</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.023</b>
	5	<b>6(0)</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>5.95</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>0.009</b>
	6	<b>7(0)</b>	<b>4.95</b>	<b>6.44</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.017</b>
Gad	1	27(2)	6.40	7.04	0.33	0.032
	2	<b>12(3)</b>	<b>4.61</b>	<b>6.36</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.008</b>
	3	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>6.04</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.025</b>
	4	<b>27(5)</b>	<b>5.82</b>	<b>6.82</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.008</b>
	5	<b>8(0)</b>	<b>4.71</b>	6.27	1.01	0.070
	6	<b>12(0)</b>	<b>3.92</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.001</b>

Table SI.3.14. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (TSM-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=3$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	13(0)	5.64	5.84	0.25	0.224
	2	29(6)	6.61	6.56	0.18	0.395
	3	7(0)	4.10	5.02	0.71	0.108
	4	8(0)	4.32	4.81	0.51	0.175
	5	15(0)	5.09	5.35	0.30	0.203
	6	7(1)	5.60	5.73	0.49	0.384
Pws	1	72(5)	6.61	6.59	0.09	0.447
	<b>2</b>	<b>10(0)</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>4.52</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.024</b>
	3	7(0)	3.81	4.65	0.69	0.117
	4	7(0)	3.81	4.58	0.67	0.136
	5	10(0)	4.09	5.09	0.62	0.057
	6	6(0)	5.87	6.24	0.55	0.280
Chs	1	41(7)	4.91	4.95	0.13	0.376
	2	7(0)	6.48	6.27	0.32	0.258
	3	9(0)	4.11	4.55	0.40	0.125
	4	6(0)	4.00	4.35	0.47	0.255
	5	5(0)	2.40	3.54	0.72	0.039
	6	7(0)	3.90	4.38	0.43	0.141
Car	1	32(8)	6.57	6.59	0.19	0.438
	2	15(0)	5.10	5.62	0.36	0.055
	3	16(0)	5.29	5.67	0.32	0.106
	4	7(0)	4.76	5.40	0.64	0.153
	5	6(0)	4.00	4.87	0.80	0.116
	6	7(0)	4.95	5.57	0.66	0.177
Gad	1	27(2)	6.40	6.64	0.27	0.194
	2	12(3)	4.61	5.44	0.62	0.088
	3	10(0)	4.24	4.92	0.70	0.160
	4	27(5)	5.82	6.27	0.30	0.058
	5	8(0)	4.71	5.23	0.77	0.291
	6	12(0)	3.92	4.90	0.61	0.036

Table SI.3.15. Testing our null hypothesis of random species assemblage with phylogenetic constraint (TSM-based). Significant results are in bold font. Random groups were generated with  $w=4$  (see main text).

Food webs	Cluster $k$	Number of nodes*	$m_k$	Mean $m_{k\_random}$	S.E. for $m_{k\_random}$	p-value
Bar	1	13(0)	5.64	5.69	0.15	0.399
	2	29(6)	6.61	6.58	0.12	0.416
	3	7(0)	4.10	4.34	0.44	0.352
	4	8(0)	4.32	4.46	0.30	0.379
	5	15(0)	5.09	5.15	0.17	0.418
	6	7(1)	5.60	5.65	0.31	0.463
Pws	1	72(5)	6.61	6.59	0.06	0.427
	2	10(0)	3.38	3.72	0.42	0.222
	3	7(0)	3.81	4.04	0.41	0.351
	4	7(0)	3.81	4.05	0.42	0.341
	5	10(0)	4.09	4.32	0.38	0.329
	6	6(0)	5.87	5.97	0.33	0.446
Chs	1	41(7)	4.91	4.92	0.08	0.447
	2	7(0)	6.48	6.41	0.17	0.408
	3	9(0)	4.11	4.26	0.25	0.322
	4	6(0)	4.00	4.08	0.28	0.437
	5	5(0)	2.40	2.83	0.50	0.219
	6	7(0)	3.90	4.04	0.27	0.374
Car	1	32(8)	6.57	6.57	0.12	0.439
	2	15(0)	5.10	5.23	0.22	0.322
	3	16(0)	5.29	5.38	0.18	0.344
	4	7(0)	4.76	4.94	0.36	0.364
	5	6(0)	4.00	4.24	0.45	0.342
	6	7(0)	4.95	5.12	0.40	0.392
Gad	1	27(2)	6.40	6.46	0.15	0.423
	2	12(3)	4.61	4.94	0.42	0.260
	3	10(0)	4.24	4.44	0.40	0.343
	4	27(5)	5.82	5.98	0.20	0.208
	5	8(0)	4.71	4.83	0.39	0.441
	6	12(0)	3.92	4.18	0.38	0.287