

Assignment Problems and the Hungarian Method

Endre Boros
26:711:653: Discrete Optimization

Spring, 2019

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ You work as a manager for a company, and currently have three of your salesmen meeting buyers at different cities: Austin, Boston and Chicago. You want them to meet new buyers tomorrow in Denver, Edmonton, and Fargo.
- ▶ These are the airfares between these cities:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ Where should you send each of your salespeople in order to minimize airfare?

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ You work as a manager for a company, and currently have three of your salesmen meeting buyers at different cities: Austin, Boston and Chicago. You want them to meet new buyers tomorrow in Denver, Edmonton, and Fargo.
- ▶ These are the airfares between these cities:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ Where should you send each of your salespeople in order to minimize airfare?

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ You work as a manager for a company, and currently have three of your salesmen meeting buyers at different cities: Austin, Boston and Chicago. You want them to meet new buyers tomorrow in Denver, Edmonton, and Fargo.
- ▶ These are the airfares between these cities:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ **Where should you send each of your salespeople in order to minimize airfare?**

Salesmen on the GO

- Here is one possible assignment:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- The cost of this assignment is

$$\$250 + \$600 + \$250 = \$1,100$$

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ Here is one possible assignment:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ The cost of this assignment is

$$\text{\$250} + \text{\$600} + \text{\$250} = \text{\$1,100}$$

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ Here is another possible assignment:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ The cost of this assignment is

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \$250 & + & \$600 & + & \$250 & = & \$1,100 \\ \$200 & + & \$400 & + & \$350 & = & \$950 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Brute force: $n!$ assignments!

$$n! \gg 2^n \gg n^2$$

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ Here is another possible assignment:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ The cost of this assignment is

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \$250 & + & \$600 & + & \$250 & = & \$1,100 \\ \$200 & + & \$400 & + & \$350 & = & \$950 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Brute force: $n!$ assignments!

$$n! \gg 2^n \gg n^2$$

Salesmen on the GO

- ▶ Here is another possible assignment:

From\To	Denver	Edmonton	Fargo
Austin	250	400	350
Boston	400	600	350
Chicago	200	400	250

- ▶ The cost of this assignment is

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \$250 & + & \$600 & + & \$250 & = & \$1,100 \\ \$200 & + & \$400 & + & \$350 & = & \$950 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Brute force: $n!$ assignments!

$$n! \gg 2^n \gg n^2$$

An Observation

- ▶ If a number a is added or subtracted from all entries in a row (or in a column) of the cost matrix, then all assignments change value by $\pm a$.
- ▶ Consequently, these two cost matrices have the same optimal assignments.

An Observation

- ▶ If a number a is added or subtracted from all entries in a row (or in a column) of the cost matrix, then all assignments change value by $\pm a$.
- ▶ **Consequently, these two cost matrices have the same optimal assignments.**

The Hungarian Method

- ▶ H. Kuhn (1955) created this algorithm using results from Hungarian mathematicians D. König (1916) and J. Egerváry (1931).
- ▶ This method reduces the assignment problem to a series of maximum matching (or equivalently, minimum vertex cover) problems in bipartite graphs – recall labeling algorithm.
- ▶ Munkres (1957) realized that this can be implemented in strongly polynomial $O(n^4)$ time.

The Hungarian Method

- ▶ H. Kuhn (1955) created this algorithm using results from Hungarian mathematicians D. König (1916) and J. Egerváry (1931).
- ▶ This method reduces the assignment problem to a series of maximum matching (or equivalently, minimum vertex cover) problems in bipartite graphs – recall labeling algorithm.
- ▶ Munkres (1957) realized that this can be implemented in strongly polynomial $O(n^4)$ time.

The Hungarian Method

- ▶ H. Kuhn (1955) created this algorithm using results from Hungarian mathematicians D. König (1916) and J. Egerváry (1931).
- ▶ This method reduces the assignment problem to a series of maximum matching (or equivalently, minimum vertex cover) problems in bipartite graphs – recall labeling algorithm.
- ▶ Munkres (1957) realized that this can be implemented in strongly polynomial $O(n^4)$ time.

The Hungarian Method

1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.

- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.

2 **Find MinVertexCover = MaxMatching:** Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*

3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.

4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN** to **STEP 2**.

The Hungarian Method

1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.

- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.

2 Find $\text{MinVertexCover} = \text{MaxMatching}$: Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*

3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.

4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN** to **STEP 2**.

The Hungarian Method

1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.

- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.

2 Find **MinVertexCover = MaxMatching**: Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*

3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.

4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN** to **STEP 2**.

The Hungarian Method

1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.

- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.

2 **Find MinVertexCover = MaxMatching:** Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*

3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.

4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN** to **STEP 2**.

The Hungarian Method

1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.

- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
- ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.

2 **Find MinVertexCover = MaxMatching:** Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*

3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. **There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.**

4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN to STEP 2.**

The Hungarian Method

- 1 **Input and Initialization:** An $n \times n$ nonnegative cost matrix.
 - ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each row from all entries of its row.
 - ▶ Subtract the smallest entry in each column from all entries of its column.
- 2 **Find MinVertexCover = MaxMatching:** Cover the zero entries of the cost matrix by the minimum number μ of lines (rows and/or columns). *In other words, solve a maximum matching/minimum vertex cover problem in the bipartite graph defined by the zeros of the cost matrix.*
- 3 **Test of Optimality:** If $\mu = n$, then **STOP**. **There is an optimal assignment using the zero entries of the current matrix - the maximum cardinality/perfect matching found in the previous step.**
- 4 **Adjust:** Let c be the smallest entry not covered by any of the chosen lines. ($c > 0$!) Subtract c from each entry of each uncovered row, and add c to each entry of each covered column. **RETURN to STEP 2.**

Example 2

A 4×4 cost matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & 75 & 75 & 80 \\ 35 & 85 & 55 & 65 \\ 125 & 95 & 90 & 105 \\ 45 & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2: Step 1

- Decrease by row minima

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & \mathbf{75} & 75 & 80 \\ \mathbf{35} & 85 & 55 & 65 \\ 125 & 95 & \mathbf{90} & 105 \\ \mathbf{45} & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 30 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Decrease by column minima

$$\begin{bmatrix} 15 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{5} \\ \mathbf{0} & 50 & 20 & 30 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 70 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2: Step 1

- Decrease by row minima

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & \textcolor{red}{75} & 75 & 80 \\ \textcolor{red}{35} & 85 & 55 & 65 \\ 125 & 95 & \textcolor{red}{90} & 105 \\ \textcolor{red}{45} & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 30 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 70 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Decrease by column minima

$$\begin{bmatrix} 15 & \textcolor{blue}{0} & \textcolor{blue}{0} & \textcolor{blue}{5} \\ \textcolor{blue}{0} & 50 & 20 & 30 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 70 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \rightarrow & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ & 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ & 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{array} \right]$$

- $\mu = 3 < n = 4$. GOTO STEP 3.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \rightarrow & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ & 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ & 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{array} \right]$$

- $\mu = 3 < n = 4$. GOTO STEP 3.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 5$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 45 & 20 & 20 \\ 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 60 & 50 & 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 5$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 45 & 20 & 20 \\ 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 60 & 50 & 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 50 & 20 & 25 \\ 35 & 5 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 65 & 50 & 65 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 5$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{cccc} 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 45 & 20 & 20 \\ 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 60 & 50 & 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} & \downarrow & & & \\ \rightarrow & 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ & 0 & 45 & 20 & 20 \\ \rightarrow & 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ & 0 & 60 & 50 & 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- $\mu = 3 < n = 4$. GOTO STEP 3.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} & \downarrow & & & \\ \rightarrow & 20 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ & 0 & 45 & 20 & 20 \\ \rightarrow & 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ & 0 & 60 & 50 & 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- $\mu = 3 < n = 4$. GOTO STEP 3.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 20 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 45 \quad 20 \quad 20 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 60 \quad 50 \quad 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 20$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 40 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 25 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 40 \quad 30 \quad 40 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 20 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 45 \quad 20 \quad 20 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 60 \quad 50 \quad 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 20$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 40 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 25 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 40 \quad 30 \quad 40 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 3

- Find minimum uncovered entry

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 20 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 45 \quad 20 \quad 20 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 60 \quad 50 \quad 60 \end{array} \right]$$

- $c = 20$. Subtract c from uncovered rows and add it to covered columns:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \rightarrow 40 \quad 0 \quad 5 \quad 0 \\ \quad 0 \quad 25 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \rightarrow 35 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 5 \\ \quad 0 \quad 40 \quad 30 \quad 40 \end{array} \right]$$

- GOTO STEP 2.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rightarrow & 40 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 25 & 0 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\mu = 4 = n = 4$. STOP. We have an optimal matching using the zero entries:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 40 & 0 & 5 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 25 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 35 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 5 \\ \mathbf{0} & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & 75 & 75 & \mathbf{80} \\ 35 & 85 & \mathbf{55} & 65 \\ 125 & \mathbf{95} & 90 & 105 \\ \mathbf{45} & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Optimal assignment value = $80 + 55 + 95 + 45 = 275$.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rightarrow & 40 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 25 & 0 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\mu = 4 = n = 4$. STOP. We have an optimal matching using the zero entries:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 40 & 0 & 5 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 25 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 35 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 5 \\ \mathbf{0} & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & 75 & 75 & \mathbf{80} \\ 35 & 85 & \mathbf{55} & 65 \\ 125 & \mathbf{95} & 90 & 105 \\ \mathbf{45} & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Optimal assignment value = $80 + 55 + 95 + 45 = 275$.

Example 2: Step 2

- Find minimum line-cover:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rightarrow & 40 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 25 & 0 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 35 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ \rightarrow & 0 & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\mu = 4 = n = 4$. STOP. We have an optimal matching using the zero entries:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 40 & 0 & 5 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 25 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 35 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 5 \\ \mathbf{0} & 40 & 30 & 40 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & 75 & 75 & \mathbf{80} \\ 35 & 85 & \mathbf{55} & 65 \\ 125 & \mathbf{95} & 90 & 105 \\ \mathbf{45} & 110 & 95 & 115 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Optimal assignment value = $80 + 55 + 95 + 45 = 275$.