

# Asymmetric quantum convolutional codes

Giuliano G. La Guardia<sup>1</sup>

Received: 24 July 2015 / Accepted: 13 November 2015 / Published online: 20 November 2015  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

**Abstract** In this paper, we construct the first families of asymmetric quantum convolutional codes (AQCCs). These new AQCCs are constructed by means of the CSS-type construction applied to suitable families of classical convolutional codes, which are also constructed here. The new codes have non-catastrophic generator matrices, and they have great asymmetry. Since our constructions are performed algebraically, i.e. we develop general algebraic methods and properties to perform the constructions, it is possible to derive several families of such codes and not only codes with specific parameters. Additionally, several different types of such codes are obtained.

**Keywords** Convolutional codes · Quantum convolutional codes · Asymmetric quantum codes

## 1 Introduction

Several works available in literature deal with constructions of quantum error-correcting codes (QECC, for short) and asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes (AQECC) [3, 4, 11, 13–17, 21, 26]. In contrast with this subject of research, one has the theory of quantum convolutional codes [1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 18, 19, 22, 23]. Ollivier and Tillich [22, 23] were the first to develop the stabilizer structure for these codes. Almeida and Palazzo Jr. constructed an  $[(4, 1, 3)]$  (memory  $\mu = 3$ ) quantum convolutional code [6]. Grassl and Rötteler [8, 9] generated quantum convolutional codes as well as they provide algorithms to obtain non-catastrophic encoders. Forney et al. constructed rate  $(n - 2)/n$  quantum convolutional codes.

---

✉ Giuliano G. La Guardia  
gguardia@uepg.br

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, State University of Ponta Grossa (UEPG), Ponta Grossa, PR 84030-900, Brazil

An asymmetric quantum convolutional code (AQCC) is a quantum code defined over quantum channels where qudit-flip errors and phase-shift errors may have different probabilities. As it is well known, Steane [28] was the first who introduced the notion of asymmetric quantum errors. The parameters of an AQCC will be denoted by  $[(n, k, \mu; \gamma, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $n$  is the frame size,  $k$  is the number of logical qudits per frame,  $\mu$  is the memory,  $[d_z]_f$  ( $[d_x]_f$ ) is the free distance corresponding to phase-shift (qudit-flip) errors and  $\gamma$  is the degree of the code. The combined amplitude damping and dephasing channel (see [26] and the references therein) is a quantum channel whose probability of occurrence of phase-shift errors is greater than the probability of occurrence of qudit-flip errors.

In this paper, we propose constructions of the first families of asymmetric quantum convolutional codes. The constructions presented here are performed algebraically (as mentioned above).

The first families of AQCCs presented in this paper, i.e. Construction I, are obtained from the construction method proposed in Sect. 3.1. This construction method is general, i.e. it holds for every choice of sets of  $m < n$  linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  (see the proof of Theorem 3.1). The AQCCs derived from Construction I have parameters

- $[(n, \text{rk } H_0, \mu^*; \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, (d_z)_f/(d_x)_f)]_q$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \mu(\text{rk } H_\mu + \text{rk } H'_\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i) [\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}], \\ \gamma_2 &= \mu(\text{rk } H'_\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i) [\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}], \quad (d_x)_f \geq (d_1)_f \geq d^\perp \end{aligned}$$

and  $(d_z)_f \geq (d_2)_f^\perp$ , where  $(d_1)_f$ ,  $(d^\perp)$ ,  $(d_2)_f^\perp$  and the matrices  $H_0, H'_0, H_1, H'_1, \dots, \dots, H_\mu, H'_\mu$  are defined in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

In Construction II (see Sect. 3.2), we present families of AQCCs derived from classical maximum-distance-separable BCH codes:

- $[(n, 2i - 4, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $q = 2^t$ ,  $t \geq 4$ ,  $n = q + 1$ ,  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i - 1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 3$ , for all  $3 \leq i \leq \frac{q}{2} - 1$ ;
- $[(n, 2i - 2t - 2, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq n - 2i - 1/[d_x]_f \geq 2t + 3)]_q$ ,  
where  $q = 2^l$ ,  $l \geq 4$ ,  $n = q + 1$ ,  $t$  integer with  $1 \leq t \leq i - 2$ ,  $3 \leq i \leq \frac{q}{2}$ ;
- $[(n, 2i - 2t, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i - 1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t + 3$ ,  $q = 2^l$ ,  $l \geq 4$ ,  $n = q + 1$ ,  $t$  integer with  $1 \leq t \leq i - 1$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq \frac{q}{2}$ ;
- $[(n, 2i - 2t - 2, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $q = p^l$ ,  $p$  is an odd prime,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $n = q + 1$ ,  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 2$ , where  $3 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ ;

- $[(n, 2i - 2t, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $q = p^l$ ,  $p$  is an odd prime,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $n = q + 1$ ,  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 1$ , with  $2 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ ;

In Construction III (see Sect. 3.3), we construct families of AQCCs derived from classical Reed–Solomon and generalized Reed–Solomon codes. The AQCCs shown in Construction II are distinct of the AQCCs shown in Construction III:

- $[(q - 1, i - t - 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $q \geq 8$  is a prime power  $(d_z)_f \geq q - i - 1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 2$ , where  $3 \leq i \leq q - 3$ ;
- $[(q - 1, i - t, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $(d_z)_f \geq q - i - 1$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 1$ , where  $2 \leq i \leq q - 3$ ;
- $[(n, n - t - k - 2, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $(d_z)_f \geq t + 2$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq k + 1$ , where  $q \geq 5$  is a prime power,  $k \geq 1$  and  $n$  are integers such that  $5 \leq n \leq q$  and  $k \leq n - 4$  and  $t$  is an integer with  $1 \leq t \leq n - k - 2$ ;
- $[(n, n - t - k - 1, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ ,  
where  $q \geq 5$  is a prime power,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 5$  are integers such that  $n \leq q$ ,  $k \leq n - 4$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n - k - 1$ ,  $(d_z)_f \geq t + 2$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq k + 1$ .

The ideas utilized in Constructions II and III are very similar to that shown in Construction I, although in the latter constructions it is possible to compute precisely the parameters of the AQCCs due to the structure of the classical BCH codes and (generalized) Reed–Solomon codes involved in the construction process.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Sect. 2, we recall the concepts of convolutional and quantum convolutional codes. In Sect. 3, we present the contributions of this work, i.e., the first families of asymmetric quantum convolutional codes are constructed. In Sect. 4, we discuss the results presented in this paper and, in Sect. 5, the final remarks are drawn.

## 2 Background

**Notation.** Throughout this paper,  $p$  denotes a prime number,  $q$  is a prime power and  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field with  $q$  elements. The code length is denoted by  $n$ , and we always assume that  $\gcd(q, n) = 1$ . As usual, the multiplicative order of  $q$  modulo  $n$  is denoted by  $l = \text{ord}_n(q)$ , and  $\alpha$  is considered a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in the extension field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^l}$ . The parameters of a linear block code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , of length  $n$ , dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $d$ , are denoted by  $[n, k, d]_q$ . Sometimes, we abuse the notation by writing  $C = [n, k, d]_q$ . If  $C$  is a linear code, then  $C^\perp$  denotes its Euclidean dual.

### 2.1 Review of convolutional codes

Convolutional codes are extensively investigated in the literature [5, 10, 12, 20, 24, 25, 27]. Recall that a polynomial encoder matrix  $G(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{k \times n}$  is called *basic* if  $G(D)$  has a polynomial right inverse. A basic generator matrix is called *reduced*

(or minimal, see [10,27]) if the overall constraint length  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$ , where  $\gamma_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\deg g_{ij}\}$ , has the smallest value among all basic generator matrices. In this case, we say that  $\gamma$  is the *degree* of the resulting code.

A rate  $k/n$  convolutional code  $C$  with parameters  $(n, k, \gamma; \mu, d_f)_q$  is a submodule of  $\mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$  generated by a reduced basic matrix  $G(D) = (g_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{k \times n}$ , i.e.,  $C = \{\mathbf{u}(D)G(D) \mid \mathbf{u}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^k\}$ , where  $n$  is the length,  $k$  is the dimension,  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$  is the degree,  $\mu = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\gamma_i\}$  is the memory and  $d_f = \text{wt}(C) = \min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}(D)) \mid \mathbf{v}(D) \in C, \mathbf{v}(D) \neq 0\}$  is the free distance of the code. In the above definition, the *weight* of an element  $\mathbf{v}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$  is defined as  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}(D)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{wt}(v_i(D))$ , where  $\text{wt}(v_i(D))$  is the number of nonzero coefficients of  $v_i(D)$ . In the field of Laurent series  $\mathbb{F}_q((D))$ , whose elements are given by  $\mathbf{u}(D) = \sum_i u_i D^i$ , where  $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $u_i = 0$  for  $i \leq r$ , for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define the weight of  $\mathbf{u}(D)$  as  $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}(D)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{wt}(u_i)$ . A generator matrix  $G(D)$  is called *catastrophic* if there exists a  $\mathbf{u}(D)^k \in \mathbb{F}_q((D))^k$  of infinite Hamming weight such that  $\mathbf{u}(D)^k G(D)$  has finite Hamming weight. The AQCCs constructed in this paper have non-catastrophic generator matrices since the corresponding classical convolutional codes constructed here have basic (and reduced) generator matrices. The Euclidean inner product of two  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u}(D) = \sum_i \mathbf{u}_i D^i$  and  $\mathbf{v}(D) = \sum_j \mathbf{v}_j D^j$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$  is defined as  $\langle \mathbf{u}(D) \mid \mathbf{v}(D) \rangle = \sum_i \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$ . If  $C$  is a convolutional code, then the code  $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{u}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^n \mid \langle \mathbf{u}(D) \mid \mathbf{v}(D) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v}(D) \in C\}$  denotes its Euclidean dual.

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  an  $[n, k, d]_q$  block code with parity check matrix  $H$ . We split  $H$  into

$\mu + 1$  disjoint submatrices  $H_i$  such that  $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_\mu \end{bmatrix}$ , where each  $H_i$  has  $n$  columns,

obtaining the polynomial matrix  $G(D) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{H}_1 D + \tilde{H}_2 D^2 + \cdots + \tilde{H}_\mu D^\mu$ . The matrices  $\tilde{H}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ , are derived from the respective matrices  $H_i$  by adding zero-rows at the bottom such that  $\tilde{H}_i$  has  $\kappa$  rows in total, where  $\kappa$  is the maximal number of rows among the matrices  $H_i$ . The matrix  $G(D)$  generates a convolutional code  $V$ .

**Theorem 2.1** [1, Theorem3] *Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be a linear code with parameters  $[n, k, d]_q$ . Assume that  $H \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$  is a parity check matrix for  $C$  partitioned into submatrices  $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_\mu$  as above such that  $\kappa = \text{rk } H_0$  and  $\text{rk } H_i \leq \kappa$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ .*

(a) *The matrix  $G(D)$  is a reduced basic generator matrix;*

(b) *If  $d_f$  and  $d_f^\perp$  denote the free distances of  $V$  and  $V^\perp$ , respectively,  $d_i$  denote the minimum distance of the code  $C_i = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{v} \tilde{H}_i^t = 0\}$ , and  $d^\perp$  is the minimum distance of  $C^\perp$ , then one has  $\min\{d_0 + d_\mu, d\} \leq d_f^\perp \leq d$  and  $d_f \geq d^\perp$ .*

## 2.2 Review of quantum convolutional codes

In this subsection, we recall the concept of quantum convolutional code (QCC). For more details, the reader can consult [1, 2, 7].

A quantum convolutional code is defined by means of its stabilizer which is a subgroup of the infinite version of the Pauli group, consisting of tensor products of generalized Pauli matrices acting on a semi-infinite stream of qudits. The stabilizer can be defined by a stabilizer matrix of the form  $S(D) = (X(D) \mid Z(D)) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{(n-k) \times 2n}$  satisfying  $X(D)Z(1/D)^t - Z(D)X(1/D)^t = 0$  (symplectic orthogonality). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a QCC defined by a full-rank stabilizer matrix  $S(D)$  given above. The constraint length is defined as  $\gamma_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\max\{\deg X_{ij}(D), \deg Z_{ij}(D)\}\}$ , and the overall constraint length as  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \gamma_i$ . If  $\gamma$  has the smallest value among all basic generator matrices, then  $\gamma$  is the *degree* of the code. The memory  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is defined as  $\mu = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-k, 1 \leq j \leq n} \{\max\{\deg X_{ij}(D), \deg Z_{ij}(D)\}\}$ .

Here we define the free distance of a quantum convolutional code [2]. Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}^{q^n} = \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$  be the Hilbert space and  $|x\rangle$  be the vectors of an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and take the unitary operators  $X(a)$  and  $Z(b)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^q$  defined by  $X(a)|x\rangle = |x+a\rangle$  and  $Z(b)|x\rangle = w^{\text{tr}(bx)}|x\rangle$ , respectively, where  $w = \exp(2\pi i/p)$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity,  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\text{tr}$  is the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $\mathbb{E} = \{X(a), Z(b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  be the *error basis*. The set  $P_\infty$  (according to [2]) is the set of all infinite tensor products of matrices  $N \in \langle M \mid M \in \mathbb{E} \rangle$ , in which all but finitely many tensor components are equal to  $I$ , where  $I$  is the  $q \times q$  identity matrix. Then one defines the *weight*  $\text{wt}$  of  $A \in P_\infty$  as its (finite) number of non-identity tensor components. In this context, one says that a quantum convolutional code has free distance  $d_f$  if and only if it can detect all errors of weight less than  $d_f$ , but cannot detect some error of weight  $d_f$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a rate  $k/n$  code with parameters  $[(n, k, \mu; \gamma, d_f)]_q$ , where  $n$  is the frame size,  $k$  is the number of logical qudits per frame,  $\mu$  is the memory,  $\gamma$  is the degree and  $d_f$  is the free distance of the code.

On the other hand, a quantum convolutional code can also be described in terms of a semi-infinite stabilizer matrix  $S$  with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$  in the following way.

If  $S(D) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mu} G_i D^i$ , where each matrix  $G_i$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, \mu$ , is a matrix of size  $(n-k) \times n$ , then the semi-infinite matrix is defined as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} G_0 & G_1 & \dots & G_\mu & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & G_0 & G_1 & \dots & G_\mu & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & G_0 & G_1 & \dots & G_\mu & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us recall the well-known CSS-like construction:

**Theorem 2.2** [4, 13, 28] (CSS-like Construction) *Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two classical convolutional codes with parameters  $(n, k_1)_q$  and  $(n, n - k_2)_q$ , respectively, such that*

$C_2^\perp \subset C_1$ . The stabilizer matrix is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} H_2(D) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & H_1(D) \end{array} \right) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{(n-k_1+k_2) \times 2n},$$

where  $H_1(D)$  and  $H_2(D)$  denote parity check matrices of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , respectively. Then there exists an  $[(n, K = k_1 - k_2, (d_z)_f / (d_x)_f)]_q$  convolutional stabilizer code, where  $(d_x)_f = \min\{\text{wt}(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp), \text{wt}(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp)\}$  and  $(d_z)_f = \max\{\text{wt}(C_1 \setminus C_2^\perp), \text{wt}(C_2 \setminus C_1^\perp)\}$ .

**Remark 2.3** To avoid overly burdensome notation, we assume throughout this paper that if  $(d_x)_f > (d_z)_f$ , then the values are changed.

### 3 Asymmetric quantum convolutional codes

In this section, we present the contributions of this paper. As it was said previously, we construct the first families of AQCCs by means of algebraic methods. More specifically, we construct reduced basic generator matrices for two classical convolutional codes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , where  $V_2 \subset V_1$ , in order to apply the CSS-type construction. This section is divided in three subsections, which contain three distinct code constructions.

#### 3.1 Construction I

In this section, we present the first construction method of this paper. Theorem 3.1 establishes the existence of AQCCs:

**Theorem 3.1** (General Construction) *Let  $q$  be a prime power and  $n$  be a positive integer. Then there exist asymmetric quantum convolutional codes with parameters*

$$[(n, \text{rk } H_0, \mu^*; \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, (d_z)_f / (d_x)_f)]_q,$$

where  $\gamma_1 = \mu(\text{rk } H_\mu + \text{rk } H'_\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i)[\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}]$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \mu(\text{rk } H'_\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i)[\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}]$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq (d_1)_f \geq d^\perp$  and  $(d_z)_f \geq (d_2)_f^\perp$ , where  $(d_1)_f, d^\perp, (d_2)_f^\perp$  and the matrices  $H_0, H'_0, H_1, H'_1, \dots, H_\mu, H'_\mu$  are constructed below.

**Proof** Consider a set of  $m < n$  linearly independent (LI) vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H'_0 \\ H_1 \\ H'_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_\mu \\ H'_\mu \end{bmatrix}$$

be the matrix whose rows are the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . The matrices  $H_0, H'_0, H_1, H'_1, \dots, H_\mu, H'_\mu$  are mutually disjoint. The matrices  $H_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, \mu$  are chosen in such a way that  $\text{rk } H_i = \text{rk } H_j$ , for all  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, \mu$  (the choice of the vectors in each  $H_i$  is arbitrary). In order to compute the degree of the convolutional code constructed in the sequence, we assume that the matrices  $H'_i$  satisfy  $\text{rk } H'_0 \geq \text{rk } H'_1 \geq \dots \geq \text{rk } H'_\mu$ . The matrices  $\tilde{H}'_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \mu$  are obtained from the respective matrices  $H'_i$  by adding zero-rows at the bottom such that  $\tilde{H}_i$  has  $\text{rk } H'_0$  rows in total.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a parity check matrix of a linear block code  $C = [n, k, d]_q$ , where  $k = n - m$ . Consider the linear block code  $C^* = [n, k^*, d^*]_q$  with parity check matrix

$$H^* = \begin{bmatrix} H'_0 \\ H'_1 \\ \vdots \\ H'_\mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next, we construct a matrix  $G_1(D)$  as follows:

$$G_1(D) = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ - \\ H'_0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ - \\ \tilde{H}'_1 \end{bmatrix} D + \begin{bmatrix} H_2 \\ - \\ \tilde{H}'_2 \end{bmatrix} D^2 + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} H_\mu \\ - \\ \tilde{H}'_\mu \end{bmatrix} D^\mu.$$

Further, let us consider the submatrices  $G_0(D)$  and  $G_2(D)$  of  $G_1(D)$ , given, respectively, by

$$G_0(D) = H_0 + H_1 D + H_2 D^2 + \dots + H_\mu D^\mu$$

and

$$G_2(D) = H'_0 + \tilde{H}'_1 D + \tilde{H}'_2 D^2 + \dots + \tilde{H}'_\mu D^\mu.$$

We know that  $G_1(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{\kappa \times n}$ , i.e.,  $G_1(D)$  has full rank  $\kappa = \text{rk } H_0 + \text{rk } H'_0$ ;  $G_2(D)$  has full rank  $k'_0 = \text{rk } H'_0$ . From construction, it follows that  $G_1(D)$  and  $G_2(D)$  are reduced basic generator matrices of convolutional codes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively. Both convolutional codes have memory  $\mu$ . Applying a similar idea as in the proof

of [1, Theorem 3], the free distance  $(d_1)_f$  of the convolutional code  $V_1$  and the free distance  $(d_1)_f^\perp$  of its Euclidean dual  $V_1^\perp$  satisfy  $\min\{D_0 + D_\mu, d\} \leq (d_1)_f^\perp \leq d$  and  $(d_1)_f \geq d^\perp$ , where  $D_0$  is the minimum distance of the code with parity check

matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ - \\ H'_0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D_\mu$  is the minimum distance of the code with parity check matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} H_\mu \\ - \\ \tilde{H}'_\mu \end{bmatrix}$ . Similarly, the free distance  $(d_2)_f$  of  $V_2$  and the free distance  $(d_2)_f^\perp$  of  $V_2^\perp$

satisfy  $\min\{d'_0 + d'_\mu, d^*\} \leq (d_2)_f^\perp \leq d^*$  and  $(d_2)_f \geq (d^\perp)^*$ , where  $d'_0$  is the minimum distance of the code  $C'_0$  with parity check matrix  $H'_0$  and  $d'_\mu$  is the minimum distance of the code with parity check matrix  $\tilde{H}'_\mu$ . The degree  $\gamma_2$  of  $V_2$  equals  $\gamma_2 = \mu(\text{rk } H'_\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i)[\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}]$ ; the code  $V_2^\perp$  also has degree  $\gamma_2$ . On the other

hand, the degree  $\gamma_1$  of  $V_1$  is equal to  $\gamma_1 = \mu(\text{rk } H_\mu + \text{rk } H'_\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} (\mu - i)[\text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i)} - \text{rk } H'_{(\mu-i+1)}]$ ;  $V_1^\perp$  also has degree  $\gamma_1$ .

We know that  $V_2 \subset V_1$ . The corresponding CSS-type code derived from  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has frame size  $n$ ,  $k = \text{rk } H_0$  logical qudits per frame, degree  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq (d_1)_f \geq d^\perp$  and  $(d_z)_f \geq (d_2)_f^\perp$ , where  $\min\{d'_0 + d'_\mu, d^*\} \leq (d_2)_f^\perp \leq d^*$ . Thus one can get an  $[(n, \text{rk } H_0, \mu^*; \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, (d_z)_f / (d_x)_f)_q$  AQCC. If  $H_1(D)$  is a generator matrix of the code  $V_1^\perp$ , then a stabilizer matrix of our AQCC is given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} G_2(D) & 0 \\ 0 & H_1(D) \end{array} \right).$$

Other variant of this construction can be obtained by considering a CSS-type code derived from the pair of classical convolutional codes  $V_1^\perp \subset V_2^\perp$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.2 Construction II

Let  $q$  be a prime power and  $n$  a positive integer such that  $\gcd(q, n) = 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in some extension field. Recall that a cyclic code  $C$  of length  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem (BCH) code with designed distance  $\delta$  if, for some integer  $b \geq 0$ , we have  $g(x) = \text{l.c.m.}\{M^{(b)}(x), M^{(b+1)}(x), \dots, M^{(b+\delta-2)}(x)\}$ , i.e.,  $g(x)$  is the monic polynomial of smallest degree over  $F_q$  having  $\alpha^b, \alpha^{b+1}, \dots, \alpha^{b+\delta-2}$  as zeros. Therefore,  $c \in C$  if and only if  $c(\alpha^b) = c(\alpha^{b+1}) = \dots = c(\alpha^{b+\delta-2}) = 0$ . Thus the code has a string of  $\delta - 1$  consecutive powers of  $\alpha$  as zeros. It is well known that the minimum distance of a BCH code is greater than or equal to its designed distance  $\delta$ . A parity check matrix for  $C$  is given by



$$H_{\delta,b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^b & \alpha^{2b} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)b} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(b+1)} & \alpha^{2(b+1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(b+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{(b+\delta-2)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(b+\delta-2)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where each entry is replaced by the corresponding column of  $l$  elements from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $l = \text{ord}_n(q)$ , and then removing any linearly dependent rows. The rows of the resulting matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are the parity checks satisfied by  $C$ .

Let us recall a useful results shown in [18]:

**Theorem 3.2** [18, Theorem4.2] *Assume that  $q = 2^t$ , where  $t \geq 3$  is an integer,  $n = q + 1$  and consider that  $a = \frac{q}{2}$ . Then there exist classical MDS convolutional codes with parameters  $(n, n - 2i, 2; 1, 2i + 3)_q$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$ .*

Theorem 3.3 establishes conditions in which it is possible to construct AQCCs derived from BCH codes.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $q = 2^t$ , where  $t \geq 4$  and consider that  $n = q + 1$  and  $a = \frac{q}{2}$ . Then there exists an AQCC with parameters  $[(n, 2i - 4, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i - 1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 3$ , for all  $3 \leq i \leq a - 1$ .*

*Proof* Consider the parity check  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -matrix of the BCH code  $C$  given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^a & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)a} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-1)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+1)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i)} & \alpha^{2(a-i)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i)} \end{bmatrix},$$

whose entries are expanded with respect to some  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , after removing the linearly dependent rows. This BCH code was constructed in the proof of [18, Theorem4.2] (more precisely, it is the code  $C_2$  constructed there);  $C$  is a MDS code with parameters  $[n, n - 2i - 2, 2i + 3]_q$ . Its (Euclidean) dual code  $C^\perp$  is also a MDS code with parameters  $[n, 2i + 2, n - 2i - 1]_q$ .

Next, we construct a classical convolutional code  $V_1$  generated by the reduced basic matrices

$$G_1(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+2)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+2)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+2)} \\ \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} \\ 1 & \alpha^a & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)a} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-1)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+3)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+3)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+3)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+1)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+1)} \\ \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} & \overline{-} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i)} & \alpha^{2(a-i)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D$$

and

$$G_2(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+2)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+2)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+1)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+1)} \end{bmatrix} D$$

The code  $V_1$ , generated by  $G_1(D)$ , is a unit memory code of dimension  $k_1 = 2(i-1)$  and degree  $\gamma_1 = 4$ ;  $V_1$  is an  $(n, 2[i-1], 4; 1, [d_1]_f \geq n-2i-1)_q$  code. Its Euclidean dual code  $V_1^\perp$  has parameters  $(n, n-2[i-1], 4; \mu_1^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp \geq 2i+2)_q$ . The code  $V_2$ , generated by  $G_2(D)$ , is an  $(n, 2, 2; 1, [d_2]_f)_q$  code, so  $V_2^\perp$  has parameters  $(n, n-2, 2; \mu_2^\perp, [d_2]_f^\perp \geq 3)_q$ . From construction, it follows that  $V_2 \subset V_1$ , so  $V_1^\perp \subset V_2^\perp$ . Consider the stabilizer matrix given by

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} H_1(D) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & G_2(D) \end{array} \right),$$

where  $H_1(D)$  is a parity check matrix of the code  $V_1^\perp$ . The corresponding CSS-type code has  $K = 2i-4$ ,  $\gamma = 6$ ,  $(d_z)_f \geq n-2i-1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 3$ . Thus there exists an  $[(n, 2i-4, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)_q]$  AQCC.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** It is interesting to note that the idea of construction of the matrix  $G_2(D)$  shown in the proof of Theorem 3.3 is distinct from that given in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $q = 2^l$ , where  $l \geq 4$  and consider that  $n = q+1$  and  $a = \frac{q}{2}$ . Then there exist AQCCs with parameters

- (a)  $[(n, 2i-2t-2, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)_q]$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq n-2i-1$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t+3$ ,  $i$  and  $t$  are positive integers such that  $1 \leq t \leq i-2$  and  $3 \leq i \leq a-1$ ;
- (b)  $[(n, 2i-2t, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)_q]$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq n-2i-1$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t+3$ ,  $i$  and  $t$  are positive integers such that  $1 \leq t \leq i-1$  and  $2 \leq i \leq a-1$ .

*Proof* We only show Item (a), since Item (b) is similar. The notation and the matrix  $\mathcal{H}$  is the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. We split  $\mathcal{H}$  into disjoint submatrices in order to construct a reduced basic generator matrix  $G_1(D)$  of the code  $V_1$ , given by

$$G_1(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{[a-(t+1)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(t+1)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(t+1)]} \\ 1 & \alpha^a & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)a} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-1)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{[a-(t-1)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(t-1)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(t-1)]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{[a-(t+2)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(t+2)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(t+2)]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{[a-(i-2)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(i-2)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(i-2)]} \\ 1 & \alpha^{[a-(i-1)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(i-1)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(i-1)]} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-i)} & \alpha^{2(a-i)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-t)} & \alpha^{2(a-t)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-t)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D,$$

Let  $V_2$  be the convolutional code generated by the reduced basic matrix  $G_2(D)$

$$G_2(D) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^a & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)a} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-1)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{[a-(t-1)]} & \alpha^{2[a-(t-1)]} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)[a-(t-1)]} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{(a-t)} & \alpha^{2(a-t)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-t)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D$$

It is easy to see that the code  $V_1$  has parameters  $(n, 2i-2, 4; 1, [d_1]_f \geq n-2i-1)_q$  and  $V_1^\perp$  has parameters  $(n, n-2i+2, 4; \mu_1^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp)_q$ . The code  $V_2$ , generated by  $G_2(D)$ , is an  $(n, 2t, 2; 1, [d_2]_f)_q$  code, so  $V_2^\perp$  has parameters  $(n, n-2t, 2; \mu_2^\perp, [d_2]_f^\perp \geq 2t+3)_q$ . Since  $V_2 \subset V_1$ , it follows that  $V_1^\perp \subset V_2^\perp$ . Thus there exists an  $[(n, 2i-2t-2, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$  AQCC, where  $(d_z)_f \geq n-2i-1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t+3$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.1** Applying Theorem 3.5, one can get AQCCs with parameters

$[(17, 6, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{16}$ ,  $[(17, 8, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{16}$ ,  
 $[(17, 8, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{16}$ ,  $[(17, 10, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{16}$ ,  
 $[(33, 24, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{32}$ ,  $[(33, 26, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{32}$ ,  
 $[(33, 22, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{32}$ ,  $[(33, 24, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{32}$ ,  
 $[(33, 20, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f \geq 8/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{32}$ ,  $[(33, 22, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f \geq 8/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{32}$   
 and so on.

**Theorem 3.6** Assume that  $q = p^l$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $l \geq 2$ . Consider that  $n = q + 1$  and  $a = \frac{n}{2}$ . Then there exist AQCCs with parameters

- (a)  $[(n, 2i - 2t - 2, \mu^*; 6, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 2$ , where  $3 \leq i \leq a - 1$ ;
- (b)  $[(n, 2i - 2t, \mu^*; 4, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq n - 2i$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq 2t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 1$ , where  $2 \leq i \leq a - 1$ .

*Proof* Analogous to that of Theorem 3.5. □

**Remark 3.7** One more time, we call the attention that the idea of construction of the matrix  $G_2(D)$  is different for each of Theorems 3.1, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6. This remark also holds for the results shown in Sect. 3.3.

### 3.3 Construction III

In this subsection, we are interested in constructing AQCCs derived from Reed–Solomon (RS) and generalized Reed–Solomon (GRS) codes. We first deal with RS codes. Recall that a RS code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a BCH code, of length  $n = q - 1$ , with parameters  $[n, n - d + 1, d]_q$ , where  $2 \leq d \leq n$ . A parity check matrix of a RS code is given by

$$H_{\delta, b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^b & \alpha^{2b} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)b} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(b+1)} & \alpha^{2(b+1)} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(b+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{(b+d-2)} & \dots & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(b+d-2)} \end{bmatrix},$$

whose entries are in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

In Theorem 3.8 presented in the following, we construct AQCCs derived from RS codes:

**Theorem 3.8** Assume that  $q \geq 8$  is a prime power. Then there exist AQCCs with parameters

- (a)  $[(q - 1, i - t - 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq q - i - 1$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 2$ , where  $3 \leq i \leq q - 3$ ;
- (b)  $[(q - 1, i - t, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq q - i - 1$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq t + 2$ , for all  $1 \leq t \leq i - 1$ , where  $2 \leq i \leq q - 3$ .

*Proof* We only show Item (a), since Item (b) is similar. The construction is the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, although the codes have distinct parameters. More specifically, starting from a parity check matrix  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^a & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)a} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i+1)} & \alpha^{2(a-i+1)} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i+1)} \\ 1 & \alpha^{(a-i)} & \alpha^{2(a-i)} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)(a-i)} \end{bmatrix},$$

of an  $[q-1, q-i-2, i+2]_q$  RS code, we construct generator matrices  $G_1(D)$  and  $G_2(D)$  for codes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively, as per Theorem 3.5. In this context, it is easy to see that  $V_1$  is an  $(q-1, i-1, 2; 1, [d_1]_f \geq q-i-1)_q$  code,  $V_1^\perp$  is an  $(q-1, q-i, 2; \mu_1^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp)_q$  code,  $V_2$  is an  $(q-1, t, 1; 1, [d_2]_f)_q$  and  $V_2^\perp$  is an  $(q-1, q-t-1, 1; \mu_2^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp \geq t+2)_q$ . Then the corresponding CSS-type code has parameters  $[(q-1, i-t-1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$ , where  $(d_z)_f \geq q-i-1$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq t+2$ .  $\square$

*Example 3.2* By means of Theorem 3.8, one can construct AQCCs with parameters  $[(10, 4, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 4/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_{11}$ ,  $[(10, 5, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 3/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_{11}$ ,  $[(10, 2, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_{11}$ ,  $[(10, 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{11}$ , and so on.

Let us recall the definition of GRS codes. Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $1 \leq n \leq q$ , and choose an  $n$ -tuple  $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$  of distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  is an  $n$ -tuple of nonzero (not necessary distinct) elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , consider the set of polynomials of degree less than  $k$ , in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_k$ . Then we define the GRS codes as  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v}) = \{(v_0 f(\zeta_0), v_1 f(\zeta_1), \dots, v_{n-1} f(\zeta_{n-1})) | f \in \mathcal{P}_k\}$ . It is well known that  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  is a MDS code with parameters  $[n, k, n-k+1]_q$ . The (Euclidean) dual  $\text{GRS}_k^\perp(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  of  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  is also a GRS code and  $\text{GRS}_k^\perp(\zeta, \mathbf{w}) = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  for some  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$  of nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A generator matrix of  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  is given by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{n-1} \\ v_0 \zeta_0 & v_1 \zeta_1 & \cdots & v_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \\ v_0 \zeta_0^2 & v_1 \zeta_1^2 & \cdots & v_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_0 \zeta_0^{k-1} & v_1 \zeta_1^{k-1} & \cdots & v_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{k-1} \end{bmatrix};$$

and a parity check matrix of  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  is

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0 & w_1 \zeta_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0^2 & w_1 \zeta_1^2 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{n-k-1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{n-k-1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the next result, we construct new AQCCs derived from GRS codes.

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $q \geq 5$  be a prime power. Assume that  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 5$  are integers such that  $n \leq q$  and  $k \leq n - 4$ . Choose an  $n$ -tuple  $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$  of distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  of nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then there exists an  $[(n, n - t - k - 2, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$  AQCC, where  $(d_z)_f \geq t + 2$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq k + 1$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n - k - 2$ .*

*Proof* Let

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0 & w_1 \zeta_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0^2 & w_1 \zeta_1^2 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{n-k-1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{n-k-1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{n-k-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

be a parity check matrix of an  $\text{GRS}_k(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$  code. We split  $H$  to form polynomial matrices  $G_1(D)$  and  $G_2(D)$  of codes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively, as follows:

$$G_1(D) = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \zeta_0^{n-k-3} & w_1 \zeta_1^{n-k-3} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{n-k-3} \\ w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0 & w_1 \zeta_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{t-1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{t-1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{t-1} \\ - & - & - & - \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{t+1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{t+1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{t+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{n-k-2} & w_1 \zeta_1^{n-k-2} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{n-k-2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \zeta_0^{n-k-1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{n-k-1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{n-k-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0^t & w_1 \zeta_1^t & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D$$

and

$$G_2(D) = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0 & w_1 \zeta_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1} \\ w_0 \zeta_0^2 & w_1 \zeta_1^2 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_0 \zeta_0^{t-1} & w_1 \zeta_1^{t-1} & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \zeta_0^t & w_1 \zeta_1^t & \cdots & w_{n-1} \zeta_{n-1}^t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} D,$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$  is a vector such that  $\text{GRS}_k^\perp(\zeta, \mathbf{w}) = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\zeta, \mathbf{v})$ . The code  $V_1$  has parameters  $(n, n-k-2, 2; 1, [d_1]_f \geq k+1)_q$  and  $V_1^\perp$  has parameters  $(n, k+2, 2; \mu_1^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp)_q$ . Similarly,  $V_2$  is an  $(n, t, 1; 1, [d_2]_f)_q$  code and  $V_2^\perp$  is an  $(n, n-t, 1; \mu_2^\perp, [d_1]_f^\perp \geq t+2)_q$  code. Then there exists an  $[(n, n-t-k-2, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$  code, where  $(d_z)_f \geq t+2$  and  $(d_x)_f \geq k+1$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3** From Theorem 3.9, we can construct AQCCs with parameters  $[(5, 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 3/[d_x]_f \geq 2)]_5$ ,  $[(7, 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 4/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_7$ ,  $[(8, 1, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_8$ ,  $[(17, 7, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 7/[d_x]_f \geq 4)]_{17}$ ,  $[(17, 7, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 6/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{17}$ ,  $[(17, 6, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 7/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{17}$ ,  $[(17, 4, \mu^*; 3, [d_z]_f \geq 9/[d_x]_f \geq 5)]_{17}$  and so on.

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $q \geq 5$  be a prime power. Assume that  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 5$  are integers such that  $n \leq q$  and  $k \leq n-4$ . Choose an  $n$ -tuple  $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})$  of distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1})$  of nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then an  $[(n, n-t-k-1, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f/[d_x]_f)]_q$  AQCC, where  $(d_z)_f \geq t+2$ ,  $(d_x)_f \geq k+1$  and  $1 \leq t \leq n-k-1$  can be constructed.

*Proof* Similar to that of Theorem 3.9.  $\square$

**Example 3.4** From Theorem 3.10, we obtain AQCCs with parameters  $[(5, 1, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f \geq 4/[d_x]_f \geq 2)]_5$ ,  $[(7, 2, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f \geq 4/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_7$ ,  $[(7, 2, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 2)]_7$ ,  $[(7, 1, \mu^*; 2, [d_z]_f \geq 5/[d_x]_f \geq 3)]_7$ .

## 4 Discussion

Our main result is Theorem 3.1, which establishes a general technique of construction for AQCC's. Section 3.2 is concerned with constructions of AQCC's derived from classical MDS convolutional BCH codes and, in Sect. 3.3, we address the construction of AQCC's derived from classical Reed–Solomon and generalized Reed–Solomon convolutional codes. It is interesting to note that the choice of matrices  $G_1(D)$  and

$G_2(D)$  was based on the fact that the corresponding (classical) convolutional codes must be non-catastrophic, with great dimension and minimum distances.

As was mentioned previously, this is the first work available in literature dealing with constructions of asymmetric quantum convolutional codes. Moreover, by applying algebraic techniques, we have derived several families of such codes, and not only few codes with specific parameters. However, much research remains to be done in the area of AQCCs. In fact, there is no bound for the respective free distances nor relationships among the parameters of AQCCs. Other impossibility is to compare the parameters of the new AQCCs with the ones displayed in literature, i.e. our codes have parameters quite distinct of the QCCs available in literature. This area of research needs much investigation, since it was introduced recently (see [22]) in literature. Additionally, even in the case of constructions of good QCC's, only few works are displayed in literature [2, 7, 18, 19]. Moreover, the unique bound known in literature even for QCCs is the generalized quantum Singleton bound (GQSB), introduced by Klappenecker et al. (see [2]).

For future works, it will be interesting to establish analogous results to (asymmetric) quantum generalized Singleton bound (see [2]), the (asymmetric) sphere packing bound among other.

## 5 Summary

We have constructed the first families of asymmetric quantum convolutional codes available in the literature. These new AQCCs are derived from suitable families of classical convolutional codes with good parameters, which have been also constructed in this paper. Our codes have great asymmetry. Additionally, great variety of distinct types of codes have also been presented. However, much work remains to be done in order to find bounds for AQCCs as well as for the development of such area of research.

**Acknowledgments** This research has been partially supported by the Brazilian Agencies CAPES and CNPq. This article is dedicated to my beloved younger brother Paolo Gadioli La Guardia (La Guardia, P.G.), researcher in medicine, who died in 2014. His soul lives forever with eternal felicities.

## References

1. Aly, S.A., Grassl, M., Klappenecker, A., Rötteler, M., Sarvepalli, P.K.: Quantum convolutional BCH codes. [arXiv:quant-ph/0703113](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0703113)
2. Aly, S.A., Klappenecker, A., Sarvepalli, P.K.: Quantum convolutional codes derived from Reed–Solomon and Reed–Muller codes. [arXiv:quant-ph/0701037](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0701037)
3. Ashikhmin, A., Knill, E.: Non-binary quantum stabilizer codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **47**(7), 3065–3072 (2001)
4. Calderbank, A.R., Rains, E.M., Shor, P.W., Sloane, N.J.A.: Quantum error correction via codes over  $GF(4)$ . *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **44**(4), 1369–1387 (1998)
5. Forney Jr, G.D.: Convolutional codes I: algebraic structure. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **16**(6), 720–738 (1970)
6. de Almeida, A.C.A., Palazzo Jr., R.: A concatenated  $[[4, 1, 3]]$  quantum convolutional code. In: *Proceedings of IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, pp. 28–33 (2004)



7. Forney Jr, G.D., Grassl, M., Guha, S.: Convolutional and tail-biting quantum error-correcting codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **53**(3), 865–880 (2007)
8. Grassl, M., Rötteler, M.: Non-catastrophic encoders and encoder inverses for quantum convolutional codes. In: *Proceedings of International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pp. 1109–1113 (2006)
9. Grassl, M., Rötteler, M.: Constructions of quantum convolutional codes. [arXiv:quant-ph/0703182](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0703182)
10. Huffman, W.C., Pless, V.: *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*. University Press, Cambridge (2003)
11. Ioffe, L., Mezard, M.: Asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes. *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 032345(1–4) (2007)
12. Johannesson, R., Zigangirov, K.S.: *Fundamentals of Convolutional Coding*. Digital and Mobile Communication. Wiley, New York (1999)
13. Ketkar, A., Klappenecker, A., Kumar, S., Sarvepalli, P.K.: Nonbinary stabilizer codes over finite fields. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **52**(11), 4892–4914 (2006)
14. La Guardia, G.G.: Constructions of new families of nonbinary quantum codes. *Phys. Rev. A* **80**(4), 042331(1–11) (2009)
15. La Guardia, G.G.: New quantum MDS codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **57**(8), 5551–5554 (2011)
16. La Guardia, G.G.: Asymmetric quantum Reed–Solomon and generalized Reed–Solomon codes. *Quantum Inform. Process.* **11**(2), 591–604 (2012)
17. La Guardia, G.G.: Asymmetric quantum codes: new codes from old. *Quantum Inform. Process.* **12**, 2771–2790 (2013)
18. La Guardia, G.G.: On classical and quantum MDS-convolutional BCH codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **60**(1), 304–312 (2014)
19. La Guardia, G.G.: On negacyclic MDS-convolutional codes. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **448**, 85–96 (2014)
20. Lee, L.N.: Short unit-memory byte-oriented binary convolutional codes having maximum free distance. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **22**, 349–352 (1976)
21. Nielsen, M.A., Chuang, I.L.: *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000)
22. Ollivier, H., Tillich, J.-P.: Description of a quantum convolutional code. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**(17), 1779021–4 (2003)
23. Ollivier, H., Tillich, J.-P.: Quantum convolutional codes: fundamentals. [arXiv:quant-ph/0401134](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0401134)
24. Piret, Ph: *Convolutional Codes: An Algebraic Approach*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1988)
25. Rosenthal, J., Smarandache, R.: Maximum distance separable convolutional codes. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* **10**, 15–32 (1998)
26. Sarvepalli, P.K., Klappenecker, A., Rötteler, M.: Asymmetric quantum codes: constructions, bounds and performance. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, pp. 1645–1672 (2009)
27. Smarandache, R., Luerssen, H.G., Rosenthal, J.: Constructions of MDS-convolutional codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **47**(5), 2045–2049 (2001)
28. Steane, A.M.: Simple quantum error correcting-codes. *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 4741–4751 (1996)