

## MacWilliams Identity

The MacWilliams identity relates the weight enumerator  $A(z)$  of a linear code to the weight enumerator  $B(z)$  of its dual code. We restrict our attention to binary linear codes, although everything generalizes easily to non-binary linear codes.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $(n, k)$  binary linear block code over  $GF(2)$  with weight enumerator  $A(z)$  and let  $B(z)$  be the weight enumerator of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ . Then,*

$$B(z) = 2^{-k}(1+z)^n A\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right),$$

or equivalently

$$A(z) = 2^{-(n-k)}(1+z)^n B\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right).$$

The proof of this result relies on a property of the *Hadamard transform*. For a function  $f$  defined on  $GF(2)^n$ , the Hadamard transform of  $f$  is

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{u}) \triangleq \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in GF(2)^n} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{v}),$$

where  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  is the scalar product of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $GF(2)^n$  and let  $f$  be a function defined on  $GF(2)^n$ . Then,*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where  $|\mathcal{C}|$  denotes the number of elements in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* We expand  $\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u})$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in GF(2)^n} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{v}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in GF(2)^n} f(\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} + \sum_{\mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} = |\mathcal{C}| \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{v})$$

If  $\mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  spans  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can show that  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$  takes values zero and one the same number of times. Define the set  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}) \triangleq \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0\}$ , which forms a subgroup of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now, let  $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{C}$  be such that  $\mathbf{u}^* \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1$ . The set  $\mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})$  is a coset of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and by Lagrange's theorem  $|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})| = |\mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})|$ . For any  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1$ , hence  $\mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}) \subset \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1\}$ . Conversely, if  $\mathbf{w} \in \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1\}$ , we can write

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}^* + \underbrace{\mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{w}}_{\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})} \in \mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v}).$$

Therefore,  $\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1\} \subset \mathbf{u}^* + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{v})$  and

$$|\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1\}| = |\{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0\}|.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{C}^\perp} f(\mathbf{v}) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} = 0.$$

□

Going back to the proof of the main theorem, notice that we can write  $A(z)$  as

$$A(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} z^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})}.$$

Define  $f(\mathbf{u}) \triangleq z^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})}$ , whose Hadamard transform is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\mathbf{u}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \text{GF}(2)} (-1)^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}} z^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \text{GF}(2)} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i v_i} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{v_i} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \text{GF}(2)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{u_i v_i} z^{v_i} \\ &= \sum_{v_0 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{v_1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{v_{n-1} \in \{0,1\}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{u_i v_i} z^{v_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{v_i \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{u_i v_i} z^{v_i} \end{aligned}$$

If  $u_i = 0$  then  $\sum_{v_i \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{u_i v_i} z^{v_i} = 1 + z$ , else  $\sum_{v_i \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{u_i v_i} z^{v_i} = 1 - z$ . Therefore,

$$\hat{f}(u) = (1 + z)^{n - \text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} (1 - z)^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} = \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} (1 + z)^n$$

Applying the previous lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp} z^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} (1 + z^n) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}} \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{u})} \\ &= 2^{-k} (1 + z^n) A \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right) \end{aligned}$$