

Migrating from REST to GraphQL

Learn best practices and considerations for migrating from GitHub's REST API to GitHub's GraphQL API.

In this article

[Differences in API logic](#)

[Example: Getting the data you need and nothing more](#)

[Example: Nesting](#)

[Example: Strong typing](#)

Differences in API logic

Migrating from REST to GraphQL represents a significant shift in API logic. The differences between REST as a style and GraphQL as a specification make it difficult—and often undesirable—to replace REST API calls with GraphQL API queries on a one-to-one basis. We've included specific examples of migration below.

To migrate your code from the [REST API](#) to the GraphQL API:

- Review the [GraphQL spec](#)
- Review GitHub's [GraphQL schema](#)
- Consider how any existing code you have currently interacts with the GitHub REST API
- Use [Global Node IDs](#) to reference objects between API versions

Significant advantages of GraphQL include:

- [Getting the data you need and nothing more](#)
- [Nested fields](#)
- [Strong typing](#)

Here are examples of each.

Example: Getting the data you need and nothing more

A single REST API call retrieves a list of your organization's members:

```
curl -v https://api.github.com/orgs/:org/members
```

The REST payload contains excessive data if your goal is to retrieve only member names and links to avatars. However, a GraphQL query returns only what you specify:

```
query {  
  organization(login:"github") {  
    membersWithRole(first: 100) {  
      edges {  
        node {  
          name  
          avatarUrl  
        }  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
}  
}  
}
```

Consider another example: retrieving a list of pull requests and checking if each one is mergeable. A call to the REST API retrieves a list of pull requests and their [summary representations](#):

```
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/pulls
```

Determining if a pull request is mergeable requires retrieving each pull request individually for its [detailed representation](#) (a large payload) and checking whether its `mergeable` attribute is true or false:

```
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/pulls/:number
```

With GraphQL, you could retrieve only the `number` and `mergeable` attributes for each pull request:

```
query {  
  repository(owner:"octocat", name:"Hello-World") {  
    pullRequests(last: 10) {  
      edges {  
        node {  
          number  
          mergeable  
        }  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```

Example: Nesting

Querying with nested fields lets you replace multiple REST calls with fewer GraphQL queries. For example, retrieving a pull request along with its commits, non-review comments, and reviews using the **REST API** requires four separate calls:

```
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/pulls/:number  
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/pulls/:number/commits  
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/issues/:number/comments  
curl -v https://api.github.com/repos/:owner/:repo/pulls/:number/reviews
```

Using the **GraphQL API**, you can retrieve the data with a single query using nested fields:

```
{  
  repository(owner: "octocat", name: "Hello-World") {  
    pullRequest(number: 1) {  
      commits(first: 10) {  
        edges {  
          node {  
            commit {  
              oid  
              message  
            }  
          }  
        }  
      }  
    }  
    comments(first: 10) {  
      edges {  
        node {
```

```

        body
        author {
          login
        }
      }
    }
  }
  reviews(first: 10) {
    edges {
      node {
        state
      }
    }
  }
}
}
}

```

You can also extend the power of this query by [substituting a variable](#) for the pull request number.

Example: Strong typing

GraphQL schemas are strongly typed, making data handling safer.

Consider an example of adding a comment to an issue or pull request using a GraphQL [mutation](#), and mistakenly specifying an integer rather than a string for the value of `clientMutationId`:

```

mutation {
  addComment(input:{clientMutationId: 1234, subjectId: "MDA6SXNzdWUyMjcyMDA2MTT=", body: "Looks good to me!"}) {
    clientMutationId
    commentEdge {
      node {
        body
        repository {
          id
          name
          nameWithOwner
        }
        issue {
          number
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

Executing this query returns errors specifying the expected types for the operation:

```

{
  "data": null,
  "errors": [
    {
      "message": "Argument 'input' on Field 'addComment' has an invalid value. Expected type 'AddCommentInput!'.",
      "locations": [
        {
          "line": 3,
          "column": 3
        }
      ]
    },
    {
      "message": "Argument 'clientMutationId' on InputObject 'AddCommentInput' has an invalid value. Expected type 'String!'.",
    }
  ]
}

```

```
    "locations": [  
      {  
        "line": 3,  
        "column": 20  
      }  
    ]  
  }  
]  
}
```

Wrapping `1234` in quotes transforms the value from an integer into a string, the expected type:

```
mutation {  
  addComment(input:{clientMutationId: "1234", subjectId: "MDA6SXNzdWUyMjcyMDA2MTT=", body: "Looks good to me!"}) {  
    clientMutationId  
    commentEdge {  
      node {  
        body  
        repository {  
          id  
          name  
          nameWithOwner  
        }  
        issue {  
          number  
        }  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```