Board Meeting Minutes

Date: June 1, 2018 Time: 9AM pacific time

Location: Video conferencing, multiple locations

Attendees

 David Kipping, Arnaud de Grandmaison, Tanya Lattner, Chandler Carruth, Chris Lattner, Anton Korobeynikov, John Regehr, Hal Finkel

Minutes

- (No minutes to report from the last meeting)
- 2018 budget doc
 - o It'll be sent out, board should read it and sign off
- Grace Hopper Conference booth staff numbers
 - Board decided to allocate an extra \$1200-\$1800 to buy tickets for two or three
 Qualcomm employees who will staff the LLVM booth
- Board elections coming up in early August
 - We'd like to develop some guidelines to make it clearer what people are signing up for
 - o Board members should sign up for specific jobs they'll do for the foundation
 - Applications for board membership will be due mid-July
- 2018 Dev Meeting timeline proposed dates
 - June 8, 2018 Early Registration Opens
 - June 11, 2018 Call for Papers
 - July 30, 2018 Talk Submission Deadline
 - o August 5, 2018 Student Travel Grant Deadline
 - o August 10, 2018 Poster Submission Deadline
 - o August 13, 2018 Talk Notification
 - o August 17, 2018 Poster Notification
 - August 20, 2018 Travel Grant Notification
 - September 17, 2018 Late Registration Begins
 - Increase by \$100 to \$400
 - Timing can be tricky for students who need to apply for visas
 - o Reception location: either Forager or the Tech Museum
 - Might change name (but not format) of "hacker labs" to "round table discussions" or similar since the previous name has sometimes caused confusion
 - Side note: Someone should write up a blog post talking about how the foundation supports students attending dev meetings
- 2018 EuroLLVM feedback
 - o 298 participants, up from 262 the previous year
 - 91 responses to survey
 - Generally positive

- >50% of responses report networking as a reason to report, up from earlier
- People would like more technical talks and also tutorials
- Relicense check in
 - o Got comments from Apple
 - We're close to being done with the corporate agreement, will vote on it soon over email
- Can we host code for review, where the code is explicitly not intended to be an LLVM contribution?
 - This came up on the cfe list
 - This is potentially risky
 - We might put something asking people not to do this in the developer policy