Board Meeting Minutes

Date: November 2, 2018
Time: 9AM pacific time
Location: Video conferencing, multiple locations

Attendees
- Arnaud de Grandmaison, Tanya Lattner, Chandler Carruth, Chris Lattner, Hal Finkel, Anton Korobeynikov, Tom Stellard, Mike Edwards
- John Regehr could not attend.

Minutes
- Unanimously voted to approve the minutes of the October 5th board meeting
- LLVM Developer meeting update:
  - AV was frustrating in some ways. Microphone and feedback unfortunate. Huge improvement from previous event though.
  - Discussion of whether we should require speakers to use a "show laptop", conclusion: no.
- Random discussions:
  - Ideas for adding things to the badges, "ask me about" field.
  - Pronoun sticker discussion: no major negative feedback, some confusion. It would be better for the stickers to not be all caps, and perhaps have a tent sign or something next to the stickers explaining pronoun normalization etc.
  - Discussion about talk length: 20 minutes felt like a bit short, should we go with variable length talks? 25 minute long talks? Back to 30 minutes? Tentative conclusion: not doing variable length talks. Will look at 25 minutes, but not clear whether that will work.
  - Many people would love a "track" for people new to LLVM covering fundamental areas in the compiler, talking about how existing infrastructure works - not just focused on new and interesting things people are working on.
- Relicensing
  - Everything has been sent out, discussed it at the dev meeting, received no negative feedback from anyone.
  - Well over 150 individuals have signed. 6 companies have signed the corporate relicensing agreement, and they are getting added to the web site.
  - Continuing to encourage individuals and companies to sign, no major concerns from anyone, it is just a matter of working through procedural issues, and getting the right people at corporations involved.
  - This is a critical month to get the corporations actively involved, particularly given the deadlines looming in January. Pings will be escalated.
  - We need/but do not yet have a scalable way to reach out to hundreds of companies.
  - Question: how much of this is in private spreadsheets vs public? It seems fine to have a full list of "companies that need to sign" that is public, and it would be

great to have a name next to it (someone from the community) who is working on getting them to sign. It wouldn't be good to have official company contacts listed publicly.
  - ○ **Action item Chandler:** Next month, if the scalable infra isn't in place, we will need to find another path.
  - ○ **Action item Chris:** Work with Chandler to share some of the ideas on crowd sourcing this.
- WICT Session
  - ○ Well received, lots of new people involved.
  - ○ Very successful, the imposter syndrome talk was particularly good.
- Sponsor issue: one company has disappeared after committing to sponsor LLVM, appearing on signage, and getting other recognition. It is unclear how to handle this situation with fairness to other sponsors. Looking to get others at the company involved, and drop as a sponsor worst case.
- How should Fastly's bandwidth and hosting infrastructure be recognized? No concerns about recognizing them as sponsors, unifying them into the existing sponsorship levels seems great, they are donating ~$50K worth to infra and highlighting that is important. Also need to figure out how to get them a receipt for their taxes.