

1. Distributing the quantifiers

Let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ denote some propositions involving x . For each statement below, either prove that the statement is correct or provide a counterexample if it is false.

- (a) $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is equivalent to $(\forall x, P(x)) \vee (\forall x, Q(x))$.
- (b) $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is equivalent to $(\forall x, P(x)) \wedge (\forall x, Q(x))$.
- (c) $\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is equivalent to $(\exists x, P(x)) \vee (\exists x, Q(x))$.
- (d) $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is equivalent to $(\exists x, P(x)) \wedge (\exists x, Q(x))$.

Answer:

- (a) False. One direction of implication is true, namely (prove this as an exercise)

$$(\forall x, P(x)) \vee (\forall x, Q(x)) \text{ implies } \forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)).$$

However, the converse is false, so the two statements are not equivalent. As a counterexample, take the universe to be \mathbb{R} , take $P(x)$ to be the statement “ $x \geq 0$,” and $Q(x)$ to be the statement “ $x < 0$.” Then $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is true, but $(\forall x, P(x)) \vee (\forall x, Q(x))$ is false.

- (b) True. Recall that to prove $A \Leftrightarrow B$, we have to prove both $A \Rightarrow B$ and $B \Rightarrow A$.

Suppose the first statement $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true. This means for all x , $P(x) \wedge Q(x)$ is true, so $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are both true. Thus, the statement $(\forall x, P(x))$ is true, and similarly the statement $(\forall x, Q(x))$ is true, so the conjunction $(\forall x, P(x)) \wedge (\forall x, Q(x))$ is true.

Conversely, suppose the second statement $(\forall x, P(x)) \wedge (\forall x, Q(x))$ is true. This means for all x , both $P(x)$ is true and $Q(x)$ is true, which implies $P(x) \wedge Q(x)$ is true. Thus, the first statement $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true. This completes the proof of the equivalence.

- (c) True. We can prove the equivalence directly as in part (b). Alternatively, we can use our result in part (b) as follows. We know from part (b) that for any propositions $\tilde{P}(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}(x)$, the following is true:

$$\forall x (\tilde{P}(x) \wedge \tilde{Q}(x)) \text{ is equivalent to } (\forall x, \tilde{P}(x)) \wedge (\forall x, \tilde{Q}(x)).$$

Recall that $A \Leftrightarrow B$ is equivalent to $\neg A \Leftrightarrow \neg B$, so we can write the equivalence above as

$$\neg(\forall x (\tilde{P}(x) \wedge \tilde{Q}(x))) \text{ is equivalent to } \neg((\forall x, \tilde{P}(x)) \wedge (\forall x, \tilde{Q}(x))).$$

After simplifying the negations, we arrive at

$$\exists x (\neg \tilde{P}(x) \vee \neg \tilde{Q}(x)) \text{ is equivalent to } (\exists x, \neg \tilde{P}(x)) \vee (\exists x, \neg \tilde{Q}(x)).$$

Finally, let us choose $\tilde{P}(x)$ to be $\neg P(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}(x)$ to be $\neg Q(x)$, so we obtain

$$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \text{ is equivalent to } (\exists x, P(x)) \vee (\exists x, Q(x)),$$

which is what we want to prove.

(d) False. One direction of implication is true, namely (prove this as an exercise)

$$\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \text{ implies } (\exists x, P(x)) \wedge (\exists x, Q(x)).$$

However, the converse is false. Take the same counterexample as in part (a). Then $(\exists x, P(x)) \wedge (\exists x, Q(x))$ is true but $\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is false.

2. Pigeonhole Principle

Prove that if you put $n + 1$ apples into n boxes in any way you like, then at least one box must contain at least 2 apples.

Answer: Suppose the contrary that all boxes contain at most 1 apple. Then there are at most n apples in the n boxes, contradicting our assumption that there are $n + 1$ apples in total.

3. Proof by contraposition

Let x be a positive real number. Prove that if x is irrational (i.e., not a rational number), then \sqrt{x} is also irrational.

Answer: We prove the contrapositive. Assume \sqrt{x} is rational, so we can write $\sqrt{x} = m/n$ for some integers m, n . Then $x = m^2/n^2$, which is also a rational number.

4. Proof by cases

A *perfect square* is an integer n of the form $n = m^2$ for some integer m . Prove that every odd perfect square is of the form $8k + 1$ for some integer k .

Answer: Let $n = m^2$ for some integer m . Since n is odd, m is odd, so it is of the form $m = 4\ell + 1$ or $m = 4\ell + 3$ for some integer ℓ . We consider both cases:

1. If $m = 4\ell + 1$ for some integer ℓ , then $m^2 = 16\ell^2 + 8\ell + 1 = 8(2\ell^2 + \ell) + 1$.
2. If $m = 4\ell + 3$ for some integer ℓ , then $m^2 = 16\ell^2 + 24\ell + 9 = 8(2\ell^2 + 3\ell + 1) + 1$.

In all cases, we have $m^2 = 8k + 1$ for some integer k .

5. Numbers of Friends

Prove that if there are $n \geq 2$ people at a party, then at least 2 of them have the same number of friends at the party.

Answer: Suppose the contrary that everyone has a different number of friends at the party. Since the number of friends that each person can have ranges from 0 to $n - 1$, we conclude that for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$, there is exactly one person who has exactly i friends at the party. In particular, there is one person who has $n - 1$ friends (i.e., friends with everyone), and there is one person who has 0 friends (i.e., friends with no one), which is a contradiction.