Table 1: Experimental results on IHDP and NEWS datasets. Datasets is provided by LTEE. These two datasets assume there is no latent confounder.

	IHDP		NEWS	
method	$\epsilon_{PEHE}$	$\epsilon_{ATE}$	$\epsilon_{PEHE}$	$\epsilon_{ATE}$
$\hat{\tau}_{exp}$ (using exp $Y$ )	$5.3909 \pm 2.5709$	$0.9114 \pm 0.8962$	$112.0628 \pm 61.0340$	$3.9009 \pm 2.2637$
T-learner (using obs $Y$ )	$2.3069 \pm 0.9249$	$0.1706 \pm 0.1472$	$117.6697 \pm 58.6992$	$3.6562 \pm 3.7098$
LTEE	$2.9627 \pm 0.8568$	$1.1522 \pm 0.5722$	$124.8787 \pm 61.9725$	$2.9201 \pm 1.6038$
Persistent Confounding	/	$385.39342 \pm 629.05114$	/	$30.4258 \pm 17.6026$
Surrogate Index	/	$1.4251 \pm 1.0936$	/	$7.1181 \pm 8.7027$
Latent Unconfoundedness	/	$1.5179 \pm 0.8486$	/	$6.3088 \pm 7.9951$
CAECB (using first $S$ )	$4.1054 \pm 1.9939$	$0.5491 \pm 0.6072$	$117.9102 \pm 59.8675$	$2.5937 \pm 2.5383$
CAECB (using middle $S$ )	$3.7786 \pm 0.8519$	$0.5071 \pm 0.2518$	$116.3279 \pm 58.8894$	$2.8698 \pm 2.7798$
CAECB (using last $S$ )	$4.5367 \pm 1.3392$	$0.5958 \pm 0.4729$	$114.8197 \pm 57.8797$	$3.1969 \pm 3.2455$
$\overline{\text{CAECB (using random } S)}$	$4.0441 \pm 1.0971$	$0.7435 \pm 0.2626$	$116.5648 \pm 59.3505$	$2.7700 \pm 2.6345$
$\hat{ au}_{ours}$	$2.3069 \pm 0.9249$	$0.1706 \pm 0.1471$	$125.5672 \pm 64.7859$	$5.1395 \pm 3.2836$