

# View Reviews

## Paper ID

17

## Paper Title

A Two-stage Framework for Compound Figure Separation

## Track Name

The 1st International Workshop on Interactive Multimedia Retrieval

## Reviewer #1

---

### Questions

#### 1. How confident are you in your evaluation of this paper?

Confident

#### 2. Importance / Relevance

Irrelevant / out of scope

#### 3. Novelty / Originality

Minor originality

#### 4. Technical Correctness

Probably correct

#### 5. Experimental Validation

Limited but convincing

#### 6. Clarity of presentation

Clear enough

#### 7. Reference to prior work

Reference adequate

#### 8. Overall evaluation of the paper

Strong Reject

#### 9. Justification (required if score of 1 or 2 has been selected for questions 3-7)

The paper deals with separating compound figures in scientific literature, which is out of scope of the workshop. The paper does not address any aspect relevant to multimedia retrieval, nor interactivity, which are the core topics of the IMuR workshop.

#### 10. Additional comment to author

The paper seems be a good fit for an image or document processing conference.

## Reviewer #2

---

### Questions

#### 1. How confident are you in your evaluation of this paper?

Confident

#### 2. Importance / Relevance

Of limited interest

#### 3. Novelty / Originality

Moderately original

#### 4. Technical Correctness

Probably correct

#### 5. Experimental Validation

Sufficient validation / theoretical paper

**6. Clarity of presentation**

Very clear

**7. Reference to prior work**

Reference adequate

**8. Overall evaluation of the paper**

Weak Accept

**9. Justification (required if score of 1 or 2 has been selected for questions 3-7)**

The paper presents a method for compound figure separation based on the YOLO network architecture. While the authors argue in the motivation that the method is useful for retrieval application dealing with scientific publications, they at no point in the paper address any interactive retrieval aspects, not even discussing the inference time of their method.

**10. Additional comment to author**

Section 3.2 is very technical and would probably benefit of some visual aids. Maybe Figure 2 which holds a rather limited amount of information can be used for this purpose.

**Reviewer #3**

---

Not submitted.