# SECOND HOMEWORK FOR "MARTINGALE THEORY AND STOCHASTIC CALCULUS"

WEIYU LI PB16001713

### 1. Problem

Given  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_*, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$  is the standard Brownian motion on it. Consider the following equation

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} dX_t = f(X_t)dt + \int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)X_{t+r}drdt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t, & t \ge 0, \\ X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, & \{X_t, t \in [-T_0, 0]\} \in L^2([-T_0, 0], \mathbb{R}), \end{cases}$$

where  $T_0 > 0$  is given, f is a polynomial  $f(y) = a_n y^n + \ldots + a_0$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma$  is global Lipschitz and  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a given function. Analyze the "existence" and "uniqueness" of the "solution" of the above SDE.

## 2. Preliminary definitions

The first question is: "how to define *the solution of an equation* and its *uniqueness*?" We consider the definitions in the *global* and *local* sense, which is analogous to those in the textbook.

**Definition 1** (Solutions). Given  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_*, \mathbb{P})$  satisfying the usual conditions and B the standard Brownian motion on it, we say the  $\mathcal{F}_*$ -adapted  $X = \{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  is the **global solution of** (1), if

- (i) X has continuous paths,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,
- (ii) the initial condition  $X_0 = x_0$ ,  $\{X_t, t \in [-T_0, 0]\}$  is satisfied, and
- (iii) for any  $T \geq 0$ ,

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^T f(X_t)dt + \int_0^T \int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)X_{t+r}drdt + \int_0^T \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t$$
, P-a.s..

We say the pair of  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ -adapted  $X=\{X_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$  and the stopping time  $\tau$  is the **local** solution of (1), if

- (i') X has continuous paths,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.,
- (ii') the initial condition  $X_0 = x_0$ ,  $\{X_t, t \in [-T_0, 0]\}$  is satisfied, and
- (iii') for any  $T \geq 0$ ,

$$X_{T\wedge\tau}=x_0+\int_0^{T\wedge\tau}f(X_t)dt+\int_0^{T\wedge\tau}\int_{-T_0}^0g(r)X_{t+r}drdt+\int_0^{T\wedge\tau}\sigma(t,X_t)dB_t,\quad \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s..$$

Further,  $(X, \tau)$  is the **maximal local solution**, if there exists stopping times  $\tau^n \uparrow \tau$ , such that  $(X, \tau^n)$  are local solutions and  $\tau$  is the explosion time.

Date: 2019/12/30.

liweiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

**Definition 2** (Uniqueness). Given  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_*, \mathbb{P}, B)$  as in Definition 1, we say the global/local/maximal local solution of (1) is **unique**, if any two solutions X, Y satisfy  $\mathbb{P}(X = Y) = 1$ .

#### 3. Main Results

With the above definitions, we give our result in a formal way.

**Result 1.** Suppose that  $g \in L^2([-T_0, 0])$ . If f is a linear function  $f(y) = a_0 + a_1 y$ , then there exists a unique global solution of (1). Otherwise, in the more general case, if f is with higher degree, there exists a unique maximal local solution of (1).

We first state an important global result, and its proof helps proving other results.

**Theorem 1.** If f is a global Lipschitz function, not essentially a polynomial, then there exists a unique global solution of (1).

*Proof.* **Step 1. Uniqueness.** Suppose that *X* and *Y* are two global solutions. Then

$$\begin{cases} d(X_t - Y_t) = (f(X_t) - f(Y_t))dt + \int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)(X_{t+r} - Y_{t+r})drdt + (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))dB_t, & t \ge 0, \\ X_t - Y_t = 0, & t \in [-T_0, 0], \end{cases}$$

From Ito's formula,

$$(2) \qquad \mathbb{E}(X_T - Y_T)^2 = \mathbb{E}\int_0^T 2(X_t - Y_t)d(X_t - Y_t) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T (\sigma(t, X_t) - \sigma(t, Y_t))^2 dt.$$

Denote the stopping time  $\tau_M = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |X_t| \lor |Y_t| > M\}$  and the Lipschitz constants for  $\sigma$ , f as  $L_\sigma$ ,  $L_f$ , then we have the upper-bound that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge \tau_{M}} - Y_{T \wedge \tau_{M}})^{2} \leq & 2L_{f} \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} \mathbb{E}(X_{t} - Y_{t})^{2} dt \\ & + 2\mathbb{E} \left| \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} (X_{t} - Y_{t}) \int_{-T_{0}}^{0} g(r) (X_{t+r} - Y_{t+r}) dr dt \right| \\ & + \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} L_{\sigma}^{2} \mathbb{E}(X_{t} - Y_{t})^{2} dt. \end{split}$$

Note that the middle term can be further bounded as

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} (X_{t} - Y_{t}) \int_{-T_{0}}^{0} g(r) (X_{t+r} - Y_{t+r}) dr dt \right| \\ \leq & \left| \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} (X_{t} - Y_{t}) \|g\|_{L^{2}([-T_{0},0])} \|X - Y\|_{L^{2}\left([(t-T_{0}) \vee 0,t]\right)} dt \right| \\ \leq & \|g\|_{L^{2}([-T_{0},0])} \|X - Y\|_{L^{2}([0,T \wedge \tau_{M}])} \int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{M}} |X_{t} - Y_{t}| dt \\ \leq & \|g\|_{L^{2}([-T_{0},0])} T \|X - Y\|_{L^{2}([0,T \wedge \tau_{M}])}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Consequently for  $Z_t = \mathbb{E}(X_{t \wedge \tau_M} - Y_{t \wedge \tau_M})^2 \geq 0$ , we have that for any  $\bar{T}$ ,

$$Z_T \leq c_{M,\bar{T}} \int_0^T Z_t dt, \quad \forall T \in [0,\bar{T}].$$

From the Gronwall's inequality and that  $Z_0 = 0 \le Z_T$ , it holds that

$$Z_T = 0, \forall T \in [0, \bar{T}].$$

Since  $\bar{T}$  is arbitrarily chosen, and  $T \wedge \tau_M \uparrow T$  as  $M \to \infty$ , we conclude from Fatou's lemma that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_T - Y_T)^2 = 0, \forall T$$

which completes the proof of the uniqueness of global solution.

**Step 2. Existence.** We construct a solution via Picard iteration. In specific, let  $X_T^0 = x_0, T \in [0, \bar{T}]$ , and

(3) 
$$X_T^n = x_0 + \int_0^T f(X_t^{n-1})dt + \int_0^T \int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)X_{t+r}^{n-1}drdt + \int_0^T \sigma(t,X_t^{n-1})dB_t, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{T \in [0,\bar{T}]} (X_T^n - X_T^{n-1})^2 \le c_{\|g\|_2} \bar{T} \mathbb{E} \max_{T \in [0,\bar{T}]} (X_T^{n-1} - X_T^{n-2})^2$$

implies that if we choose  $\bar{T}$  small enough (depending only on the constant  $c_{\|g\|_2}$ ), then (3) converges to the unique fixed-point characterized by (1). Induction of doing such an iterative process on  $[0, \bar{T}]$ ,  $[\bar{T}, 2\bar{T}]$  and so on gives a solution of (1).

**Corollary 1.** *If* f *is a linear function, then there exists a unique global solution of* (1).

Now we turn to the general situation that f is polynomial, which is local Lipschitz. Note that what differs linear functions from higher-order polynomials is that the latter ones increase too quickly (not in the same order) when the variable goes to infinity. Therefore, stopping times prevent the end increasing in a catastrophic way.

**Theorem 2.** If f is local Lipschitz, then there exists a unique maximal local solution of (1).

*Proof.* **Step 1. Local solution.** Let  $f_N(x)$  be a truncated (or smoothed) function of f, such that

$$f_N(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & |x| \le N \\ 0, & |x| \ge N+1 \end{cases}$$
, and  $f_N$  is global Lipschitz with constant  $L_N$ .

Then **Theorem 1** tells us that there exists a unique global solution  $X^N$  of the equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^N = f_N(X_t^N)dt + \int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)X_{t+r}^N dr dt + \sigma(t, X_t^N)dB_t, & t \ge 0, \\ X_0^N = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, & \{X_t^N, t \in [-T_0, 0]\} \in L^2([-T_0, 0], \mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$

Further letting  $\tau_N = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |X_t^N| \ge N\}$  gives the local solution  $(X^N, \tau_N)$  of (1).

**Step 2. Maximal local solution.** From the uniqueness in **Step 1** and that  $f_N(x) = f_{N+1}(x), \forall |x| \leq N$ , we have

$$X_t^N = X_t^{N+1}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_N \land \tau_{N+1}] = [0, \tau_N].$$

As  $\tau_N$  increasing, the limit  $\tau = \lim_N \tau_N$  is well-defined in  $[0, \infty]$ . In specific when  $\tau < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \tau} \max_{s \in [0,t]} |X_s| \ge \lim_N |X_{\tau_N}| = \infty, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.,$$

which implies that  $\tau$  is an explosion time and  $(X, \tau)$  is the unique maximal local solution. (Uniqueness is from truncating the solution at N and comparing it with  $X^N$ .)

# 4. Some remarks

The  $L_2$  assumption on g is not necessary. In fact, we can give a weaker assumption that  $g \in L_1$ .

**Result 2.** *If*  $g \in L^1([-T_0, 0])$ , all the statements in **Result 1** hold.

The proof follows almost the same as above. When tackling the term including g, instead of using Holder's inequality that  $\int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)(\cdot)dr \leq \|g\|_{L^2([-T_0,0])} \|\cdot\|_{L^2([-T_0,0])}$ , we consider  $\int_{-T_0}^0 g(r)(\cdot)dr \leq \|g\|_{L^1([-T_0,0])} \max_{[-T_0,0]} \cdot$ , where BDG inequality or Doob's inequality can be applied to upper-bound the "·" term.