

## EXERCISE 6

WEIYU LI

**1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  i.i.d.  $\sim F$ ,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  i.i.d.  $\sim G$  be two independent groups of samples.**

(1) Solve the U statistic  $U_n$  with kernel  $h = I(x_1 < y_1, x_2 < y_2)$ .

*Solve.* Since  $h$  is not symmetric, symmetrize it as  $\tilde{h} = \frac{1}{2} (I(x_1 < y_1, x_2 < y_2) + I(x_2 < y_1, x_1 < y_2))$  instead. Then the U statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &= \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i_1 < i_2, j_1 < j_2} \frac{1}{2} (I(x_{i_1} < y_{j_1}, x_{i_2} < y_{j_2}) + I(x_{i_2} < y_{j_1}, x_{i_1} < y_{j_2})) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(m-1)n(n-1)} \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2, j_1 \neq j_2} I(x_{i_1} < y_{j_1}, x_{i_2} < y_{j_2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

(2) Solve the limit distribution of  $U_n$  when  $m + n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{m}{n+m} \rightarrow p \in (0, 1)$ .

*Solve.* We use the notations in the slides.  $U_n$  estimates  $\theta = E\tilde{h} = P(X < Y)^2$ , where  $X \sim F, Y \sim G$  are independent such that  $P(X < Y) = \int (1 - G)dF = \int FdG$ . And due to the independence and symmetry, the “partial covariances” are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1,0} &= \text{cov}(\tilde{h}(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2), \tilde{h}(X_1, X_2', Y_1', Y_2')) \\ &= (P(X < Y, X < Y') - \theta)\theta \\ &= (\int (1 - G)^2 dF - \theta)\theta, \\ \zeta_{0,1} &= \text{cov}(\tilde{h}(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2), \tilde{h}(X_1', X_2', Y_1, Y_2')) \\ &= (P(X < Y, X' < Y) - \theta)\theta \\ &= (\int F^2 dG - \theta)\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From the Theorem in P17 in Lec6.pdf, we derive that

$$\sqrt{n+m}(U_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 4(\frac{\zeta_{1,0}}{p} + \frac{\zeta_{0,1}}{1-p})).$$

□

(3) Solve the asymptotic distribution of  $U_n$  under  $H_0 : F = G$ .

*Solve.* Under  $H_0$ ,  $P(X < Y) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\zeta_{1,0} = \zeta_{0,1} = (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4})\frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{48}$ . Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n+m}(U_n - \frac{1}{4}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{1}{12p(1-p)}).$$

□

---

Date: 2019/10/21.

liweiyu@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

**2. Suppose the distribution of  $X$  is symmetric with respect to the origin,  $\sigma^2 = EX^2 > 0$ ,  $EX^4 < \infty$ . Consider the kernel  $h(x, y) = xy + (x^2 - \sigma^2)(y^2 - \sigma^2)$ .**

(1) Prove its  $U$  statistic  $U_n$  has a degeneracy of order 1.

*Proof.* From the symmetry of the distribution of  $X$ , we have  $EX = E(X^2 - \sigma^2) = 0$ . Then we can derive  $\zeta_1 = \text{Var}(h_1(x)) = 0$  since  $h_1(x) = Eh(x, Y) = 0$ .

Next, we show that  $\zeta_2 > 0$ , which completes the proof. Notice that the symmetric distribution also leads to  $EX^3 = 0$ . The definition gives that  $h_2(x, y) = xy + (x^2 - \sigma^2)(y^2 - \sigma^2)$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2 &= \text{Var}(h_2) = E(X^2Y^2 + 2XY(X^2 - \sigma^2)(Y^2 - \sigma^2) + (X^2 - \sigma^2)^2(Y^2 - \sigma^2)^2) \\ &= \sigma^4 + (EX^4 - \sigma^4)^2 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

From the definition, we conclude that  $U_n$  has a degeneracy of order 1.  $\square$

(2) Solve  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and orthogonal  $\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x)$ , such that  $h(x, y) = \lambda_1\phi_1(x)\phi_1(y) + \lambda_2\phi_2(x)\phi_2(y)$ .

*Solve.* First, we observe that  $\phi_1(x) = c_1x$ ,  $\phi_2(x) = c_2(x^2 - \sigma^2)$  are orthogonal from the symmetry of  $X$ . To calculate the constants  $c_1, c_2$ , the norm-1 property of the basis gives that

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= E\phi_1(X)\phi_1(X) = c_1^2EX^2 = c_1^2\sigma^2, \\ 1 &= E\phi_2(X)\phi_2(X) = c_2^2E(X^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = c_2^2(EX^4 - \sigma^4).\end{aligned}$$

The solutions are  $c_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma}$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{EX^4 - \sigma^4}}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\lambda_1 = \sigma^2, \lambda_2 = EX^4 - \sigma^4, \text{ and } \phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma}x, \phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{EX^4 - \sigma^4}}(x^2 - \sigma^2).$$

$\square$

(3) Solve the asymptotic distribution of  $nU_n$ .

*Solve.* Notice that  $\theta = Eh(X, Y) = 0$ , we can directly derive from the Theorem in Page 29 of Lec6.pdf that

$$nU_n \rightarrow \lambda_1(Z_1^2 - 1) + \lambda_2(Z_2^2 - 1) = \sigma^2(Z_1^2 - 1) + (EX^4 - \sigma^4)(Z_2^2 - 1),$$

where  $Z_1, Z_2$  i.i.d  $\sim N(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**3. Prove the Hoeffding decomposition in the Example in Page 13 of Lec6.pdf. That is, the Hoeffding decomposition of  $U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h(X_i, X_j)$  is**

$$U_n = U + \frac{2}{n} \sum_i h_1(X_i) + \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} h_2(X_i, X_j),$$

**where**  $U = EU_n = Eh(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $h_1(x) = Eh(x, X_2) - U$ ,  $h_2(x, y) = h(x, y) - h_1(x) - h_1(y) - U$ .

*Proof.* From Page 7 in Lec6.pdf, the Hajek projections of the first two orders include all the information from  $U_n$ , to say,

$$U_n = P_\emptyset U_n + \sum_i P_{[i]} U_n + \sum_{i < j} P_{[i,j]} U_n,$$

where

$$P_\emptyset U_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} E U_n = U,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[i]} U_n &= E(U_n | X_i) - U \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \left[ \sum_{j \neq i} E[h(X_i, X_j) | X_i] + \sum_{j, k \neq i, j < k} U \right] - U \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \left[ E[h(X_i, X_j) | X_i] - U \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} h_1(X_i), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{[i,j]} U_n &= E(U_n | X_i, X_j) - E(U_n | X_i) - E(U_n | X_j) + E U_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \left[ h(X_i, X_j) - (n-2) E h(x, X_2) |_{x=X_i} - (n-2) E h(X_1, x) |_{x=X_j} + \left( \binom{n}{2} - 1 - 2(n-2) \right) U \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} h_1(X_i) - U - \frac{2}{n} h_1(X_j) - U + U \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \left[ h(X_i, X_j) - h_1(X_i) - h_1(X_j) - U \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**4. Prove the decomposition of  $T$  in Page 12 of Lec6.pdf. That is, if  $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is permutation-symmetric and  $X_i$  are i.i.d., then**

$$T = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} g_r(X_i : i \in A)$$

**for**  $g_r(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{B \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{r-|B|} E T(x_i \in B, X_i \notin B)$ .

*Proof.* From the Theorem in Page 8 of Lec6.pdf, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} P_A T \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} \sum_{B \subset A} (-1)^{r-|B|} E T(x_i \in B, X_i \notin B). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ , there exists a permutation  $\sigma$ , such that  $\sigma(i) = a_i, i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . Then  $E T(x_i \in B, X_i \notin B) = E T(x_{\sigma(i)} \in B, X_{\sigma(i)} \notin B) = E T(x_i \in \sigma^{-1} B, X_i \notin \sigma^{-1} B)$ ,

where  $\sigma^{-1}B \subset \sigma^{-1}A = \{1, \dots, r\}$  and summing over  $B \subset A$  is equivalent to summing  $\tilde{B} = \sigma^{-1}B$  over  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} \sum_{\tilde{B} \subset \{1, \dots, r\}} (-1)^{r-|\tilde{B}|} ET(x_i \in \tilde{B}, X_i \notin \tilde{B}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{|A|=r} g_r(x_1, \dots, x_r). \end{aligned}$$

□