

Ans. to Week 10.

(1)

(a) is easy.

(b). By the assumption, we need to consider the asymptotic behavior of

$$K_{a,b}(x) = \int \phi(\xi) \frac{e^{i|\xi|^a}}{|\xi|^b} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

•  $\phi(\xi)$  vanishes around zero.  $\Rightarrow$   
the amplitude function

$\phi(\xi) \cdot |\xi|^b$  is indeed smooth.

• let's next consider the phase function  
fix  $x$ , small, around the origin

$$f_x(\xi) = |\xi|^a + x \cdot \xi$$

the critical point is the point  $\xi_0$  satisfying

$$\nabla_{\xi} f_x(\xi) = a |\xi|^{a-1} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + x = 0.$$

from this, we can solve

$$\xi_0 = -x \cdot |x|^{-\frac{1}{1-a}} = -x |x|^{-\frac{2-a}{1-a}}$$

$$\text{or. } x = -\frac{a \xi_0}{|\xi_0|^{2-a}}$$



the Hessian of  $f$  at  $\xi = \xi_0$  is

$$\nabla_{\xi}^2 f_x(\xi_0) = \frac{a \text{Id}}{|\xi|^{2-a}} - a(2-a) \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2-a}} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \otimes \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}$$

$$= \frac{a}{|\xi|^{2-a}} \left( \text{Id} - (2-a) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \otimes \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right)$$

Claim:  $a \in (0,1) \Rightarrow$

the matrix

$$\left( \text{Id} - (2-a) \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \otimes \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right)$$

is non-degenerate (at least for  $\xi_0$ )

and the determinant  $\Delta$  has its absolute value strictly bigger than zero, say bigger than some constant  $c_0 > 0$ .

• I deduce this claim via an "geometric" consideration, by rotating the coord. (NOT change the Hessian) so that  $\frac{\xi_1}{|\xi_1|} = 0$  and reduce the problem to lower dimensional case.

Interested student: Can you prove this by techniques from linear algebra.

thus, we can apply the "square root" law from the theory of oscillatory Integrals.



• the contribution to the size of  $K_{ab}(x)$  from  $\phi(z)/|z|^b$  is

$$\frac{1}{|z_0|^b} \approx |x|^{\frac{b}{1-a}}$$

• the contribution from the non-degeneracy of the phase function at the critical point  $z_0$  is

$$\left( \frac{1}{|z_0|^{2-a}} \right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$= \left( |x|^{\frac{1}{1-a} \cdot (2-a)} \right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|^n \cdot |x|^{\frac{\frac{na}{2}}{1-a}}}$$

Combining these two points gives the ~~total~~ asymptotic behaviour,

(c) this can be checked as in (b). But with some gradient...



(2) this follows from a contradiction argument, since the boundedness of the Fourier transform of the kernel is naturally true.

(3). By substituting the kernel asy. (4)(b). to verify the condition in the theorem.