

Chapter 1

m.s. for measure space
mrb. for measurable

1.1 L^p spaces

Definition 1.1

For a fixed m.s. (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) , if f is a measurable function on X and $0 < p < \infty$, we define

$$\|f\|_p = \left[\int |f|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}$$

and

$$L^p(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \text{ mrb and } \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$



Lemma 1.1

(Yooung's inequality) If $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then

$$a^\lambda b^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b$$

with equality iff $a = b$.



Proof

If $b = 0$, the inequality goes. Then assume $b > 0$, and it suffices to show that

$$\frac{a^\lambda}{b} \leq \lambda \frac{a}{b} + (1-\lambda)$$

and consider the function $f(x) = x^\lambda - \lambda x - (1-\lambda)$, we have $f'(x) = \lambda x^{1-\lambda} - \lambda$ which is less than zero if $x > 1$ and greater than zero if $x < 1$, so we know $f(x) \leq f(1) = 0$ and the inequality holds.

Theorem 1.1

(Holder Inequality) Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. If f and g are measurable functions on X , then

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

In particular, if $f \in L^p, g \in L^q$, then $fg \in L^1$ and in this case equality holds iff $\alpha|f|^p = \beta|g|^q$ a.e. for some constants α, β .



Proof

Consider we should show that

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu \int |g|^q d\mu$$

and if $\|f\|_p = 0$ or $\|g\|_q = 0$, then the LHS equals to 0. Now we consider let replace f, g with $f/\|f\|_p, g/\|g\|_q$ and it is suffices to show

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq 1$$

and notice we have

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq \int \frac{1}{p} |f|^p + \frac{1}{q} |g|^q d\mu = 1$$

and the equality holds iff $|fg| = p^{-1}|f|^p + q^{-1}|g|^q$ a.e. which means $|f|^p = |g|^q$ a.e. for the replaced f, g .

Theorem 1.2

(Minkowski's Inequality) If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f, g \in L^p$, then

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$



Proof

Consider

$$\int |f + g|^p d\mu \leq \int |f + g|^{p-1} (|f| + |g|) \leq \|f + g\|_q (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) = \|f + g\|_p^{(p-1)/p}$$

and the inequality holds.

Theorem 1.3

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, L^p is a Banach space.

**Proof**

It suffices to show that L^p is complete, which can be induced from any absolutely convergence series $S = \sum f_i$ converges. Let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ and it is easy to check that S_n is Cauchy in L^p , then let $G = \sum |f_i|$ and we have $\|G\|_p = \lim \|G_n\|_p < \infty$ by the MCT where $G_n = \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|$ and hence $G \in L^p$ which means S converges a.e. and consider

$$\lim \|S - S_n\|_p = \lim \|S - S_n\|_p = 0$$

by the DCT.

Proposition 1.1

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the set of simple functions $f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{E_j}$, where $\mu(E_j) < \infty$ for all j is dense in L^p .

**Proof**

For $f \in L^p$, we may find $|f_j| \uparrow |f|$ and f_j converges to f pointwise, then we assume $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} \chi_{E_{ji}}$ and then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}^p \mu(E_{ji}) = \int |f_j|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$$

and hence f_j is just in the required set, and by the DCT we know $\|f - f_j\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 1.2

$$\|f\|_\infty = \int \{a \geq 0 : \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > a\}) = 0\}$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ and then it is called the essential supremum of $|f|$. And define

$$L^\infty = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \text{ mrb and } \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}$$

**Theorem 1.4**

- If f and g are measurable functions on X , then $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$, if $f \in L^1$ and $g \in L^\infty$, $\|fg\|_1 = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$ iff $|g(x)| = \|g\|_\infty$ a.e. on the set where $f(x) \neq 0$.
- $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a norm on L^∞ .
- $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ iff $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly a.e.
- L^∞ is a Banach space.
- The simple functions are dense in L^∞ .



Proof a. Let $E = \{|g| \leq \|g\|_\infty\}$ and then E is conull, so

$$\int |fg| d\mu = \int_E |fg| d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \int_E |f| d\mu = \int |f| d\mu \|g\|_\infty$$

where the equality can be reached when $g(x) = \|g\|_\infty$ a.e. on E .

b. It suffices to show the triangle inequality where notice $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty$, $|g| \leq \|g\|_\infty$ a.e. and hence $|f + g| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$ a.e.

c. Let $E_n = \{|f_n - f| \leq \|f_n - f\|_\infty\}$ and then let $E = \bigcap E_n$ conull and hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ on E uniformly.

d. It suffices to show that an absolutely convergent series $\sum f_i$ converges in L^∞ where we may know $f_i \leq \|f_i\|_\infty$ a.e. on X for any integer i and hence we will know $\sum |f_i| \leq \sum \|f_i\|_\infty$ a.e. and hence $\sum f_i$ converges a.e. and we have $|\sum f_i - \sum_1^n f_i| \leq \sum_{n+1}^\infty \|f_i\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

e. Let $f_j \rightarrow f$ be the simple functions converges to f uniformly where f is bounded and hence $f_j \rightarrow f$ uniformly a.e. and hence $\|f_j - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 1.2

If $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$, then $L^q \subset L^p + L^r$; that is, each $f \in L^q$ is the sum of a function in L^p and a function in L^r .

Proof

Considering $|f| > 1$ and $|f| \leq 1$ separately will be fine.

Proposition 1.3

If $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$, then $L^p \cap L^r \subset L^q$ and $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_r^{1-\lambda}$ where $q^{-1} = \lambda p^{-1} + (1-\lambda)r^{-1}$.

Proof

Here we know

$$\int |f|^q d\mu = \int |f|^{\lambda q} |f|^{(1-\lambda)q} d\mu \leq \| |f|^{\lambda q} \|_{p/\lambda q} \| |f|^{(1-\lambda)q} \|_{r/(1-\lambda)q} = \|f\|_p^{\lambda q} \|f\|_r^{(1-\lambda)q}$$

by the Holder's inequality and the inequality holds.

Proposition 1.4

If A is any set and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$, then $l^p(A) \subset l^q(A)$ and $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$.

Proof If $q = \infty$, then $\|f\|_\infty = \sup |f(\alpha)| \leq \|f\|_p$. If $q < \infty$, then consider

$$\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_\infty^{1-\lambda} \leq \|f\|_p$$

Proposition 1.5

If $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$, then $L^p(\mu) \supset L^q(\mu)$ and $\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_q \mu(X)^{(p^{-1}-q^{-1})}$.

Proof

Consider if $q = \infty$, then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|_\infty^p d\mu = \|f\|_\infty^p \mu(X)$$

and if $q < \infty$, then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu = \int (|f|^q)^{p/q} (1)^{(q-p)/q} d\mu \leq \|f\|_q^{p/q} \|1\|_{q/(q-p)}^{(q-p)/q} = \|f\|_q^p \mu(X)^{(1-p/q)}$$

by the Holder's inequality.

Proposition 1.6

Suppose that p and q are conjugate exponents and $1 \leq q < \infty$. If $g \in L^q$, then

$$\|g\|_q = \|\phi_g\| = \sup\{|\int f g|, \|f\|_p = 1\}$$

If μ is semifinite, this result holds also for $q = \infty$, where define

$$\phi_g(f) = \int f g$$

Proof

It suffices to show that $\|\phi_g\| \geq \|g\|_q$. Let

$$f = \frac{|g|^{q-1} \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}}$$

and we have

$$\|f\|_p = \frac{\int |g|^{(q-1)p}}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}} = 1$$

$$\text{and } |\phi_g(f)| = \int fg = \frac{\int |g|^q}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}} = \|g\|_q.$$

If $q = \infty$, we know there exists $B \subset \{|g| > \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon\}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mu(B) < \infty$, then let

$$f = \mu(B)^{-1} \chi_B \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}$$

and we have $\|f\|_1 = 1$ and

$$|\phi_g(f)| = \mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |g| \geq \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon$$

and hence $\|\phi_g\| = \|g\|_\infty$.

Theorem 1.5

Let p and q be conjugate exponents. Suppose that g is a measurable function on X such that $fg \in L^1$ for all f in Σ which is the space of all simple functions with a finite measure support, and the quantity

$$M_q(g) = \sup\left\{\left|\int fg\right|, f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \|f\|_p = 1\right\}$$

is finite. Also, suppose either that $S_g = \{x, g(x) \neq 0\}$ is σ -finite or that μ is semifinite. Then $g \in L^q$ and $M_q(g) = \|g\|_q$.



Proof

Notice for any f bounded with a finite measure support and $\|f\|_p = 1$, we know $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_E$ where E is a finite support of f and consider f_n is simple function converge to f with $|f_n| \leq |f|$ and then we know

$$\left|\int fg\right| = \lim \left|\int f_n g\right| \leq M_q(g)$$

by the DCT.

Suppose $q < \infty$ and S_g is σ -finite, then we may find E_n increasing to S_g with $\mu(E_n) < \infty$, we may find $\phi_n \rightarrow g$ and let $g_n = \phi_n \chi_{E_n}$. Then $g_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise and let

$$f_n = \frac{g_n^{q-1} \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}}{\|g_n\|_q^{q-1}}$$

then we have

$$\|f_n\|_p = \frac{\int |g_n|^q}{\|g_n\|_q^q} = 1$$

and

$$\left|\int f_n g\right| = \int \frac{|g_n|^{q-1} |g|}{\|g_n\|_q^{q-1}} \geq \|g_n\|_q$$

which means $M_q(g) \geq \|g_n\|_q$ for any integer n and hence $M_q(g) \geq \|g\|_q$ by the MCT, which means $g \in L^q$.

If μ is semifinite, then let $E = \{|g| > \epsilon\}$ and then we know there is $A \subset E$ with $\mu(A) < \infty$ if $\mu(E) > 0$, and we have

$$M_q(g) \geq \left|\int \mu(A)^{-p-1} \chi_A \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)} g\right| \geq \epsilon \mu(A)^{1-p-1}$$

where $\mu(A)$ can be arbitrarily large if $\mu(E) = \infty$ and which is a contradiction. Therefore, μ is semifinite will imply that S_g is σ -finite.

If $q = \infty$, then let $A = \{|g| \geq M_\infty(g) + \epsilon\}$, if $\mu(A)$ is positive, then we let $f = \mu(A)^{-1} \chi_A \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}$ and we know

$$\left|\int fg\right| \geq M_\infty(g) + \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction and hence $\|g\|_\infty \leq M_\infty(g)$.

Theorem 1.6

Let p and q be conjugate exponents. If $1 < p < \infty$, for each $\phi \in (L^p)^*$ there exists $g \in L^q$ such that $\phi(f) = \int fg$ for all $f \in L^p$ and hence L^q is isometrically isomorphic to $(L^p)^*$. The same conclusion holds for $p = 1$ if μ is σ -finite.

Proof

Firstly assume μ is finite, the all simple functions are in L^p , and then consider for disjoint sets E_j and $E = \bigcup_j E_j$, we have

$$\|\chi_E - \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{E_i}\|_p = \mu(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty E_i) \rightarrow 0$$

then let $\nu(E) = \phi(\chi_E)$ and

$$\nu(E) = \phi(E) = \lim \phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{E_i}) = \lim \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(E_j)$$

and hence ν is a complex measure. Also if $\mu(E) = 0$, then $\nu(E) = \phi(\chi_E) = 0$, so there is an g measurable such that $\phi(\chi_E) = \nu(E) = \int_E g$ and notice

$$|\int fg| \leq \|\phi\| \|f\|_p$$

for any simple function in L^p and hence $g \in L^q$ by theorem 1.5 and then we know $fg \in L^1$ for any $f \in L^p$ and hence $\phi(f) = \int fg$ for any $f \in L^p$.

If μ is σ -finite, let E_n increasing X , $\mu(E_n) > 0$ and then we know there is $g_n \in L^q(E_n)$ on E_n such that $\phi(f) = \int fg_n$ for any $f \in L^p(E_n)$ and $g_n = g_m$ on E_n a.e., then we define $g = g_n$ on E_n and we know $\|g\|_q = \lim \|g_n\|_q \leq \|\phi\|$ by the MCT, now we know

$$\int fg = \lim \int f \chi_{E_n} g = \lim \int fg_n = \lim \phi(f \chi_{E_n}) = \phi(f)$$

For general μ , for a σ -finite subset E , there is $g_E \in L^q(E)$ and $\phi(f) = \int fg_E$ for any $f \in L^p(E)$ and $\|g_E\|_q \leq \|\phi\|$, so we may find E_n such that $\|g_{E_n}\|_q \rightarrow \sup \|g_E\|_q$ and let $F = \bigcup E_n$ which is σ -finite, then we know $\|g_F\|_q \geq \|g_{E_n}\|_q$ for any integer n and hence $\|g_F\|_q = M$. Then for any A σ -finite, we will know

$$\int |g_F|^q + \int |g_{A-F}|^q = \int |g_{A \cup F}|^q \leq M = \int |g_F|^q$$

and hence $g_{A-F} = 0$ a.e. and hence $g_{A \cup F} = g_F$ a.e. for all A σ -finite subset. If $g \in L^p$, we know S_f is σ -finite and hence $\phi(f) = \int fg_{S_f \cup F} = \int fg_F$ for any $f \in L^p$.

Corollary 1.1

If $1 < p < \infty$, L^p is reflexive.

Theorem 1.7

(Chebyshev's Inequality) If $f \in L^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$), then for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\mu(\{x : |f| > \alpha\}) \leq \left[\frac{\|f\|_p}{\alpha} \right]^p$$

Theorem 1.8

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces, and let K be an $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ -measurable function on $X \times Y$. Suppose that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\int |K(x, y)| d\mu(x) \leq C$ for a.e. $y \in Y$ and $\int |K(x, y)| d\nu(y) \leq C$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^p(\nu)$, then the integral

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y)$$

converges absolutely for a.e. $x \in X$, the function Tf thus defines is in $L^p(\mu)$ and $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$.

Proof Consider

$$\int |K(x, y)f(y)|d\nu(y) \leq \|K(x, \cdot)^{q-1}\|_q \|K(x, y)^{p-1}|f(y)|\|_p \leq C^{q-1} \left[\int |K(x, y)|^p |f(y)|^p d\nu(y) \right]^{p^{-1}}$$

for a.e. $x \in X$, then we know

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf(x)|^p d\mu(x) &= \int \left| \int K(x, y)f(y)d\nu(y) \right|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int C^{p/q} \int |K(x, y)|^p |f(y)|^p d\nu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &= C^{p/q} \int \int |K(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) |f(y)|^p d\nu(y) \\ &\leq C^{p/q+1} \|f\|_p^p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence $Tf \in L^p(\mu)$ and $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$.

Theorem 1.9

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces, and let f be an $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ -measurable function on $X \times Y$.

a. If $f \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\left[\int \left(\int f(x, y)d\nu(y) \right)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} \leq \int \left[\int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$$

b. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f(\cdot, y) \in L^p(\mu)$ for a.e. y , and the function $y \mapsto \|f(\cdot, y)\|_p$ is in $L^1(\nu)$, then $f(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\nu)$ for a.e. x , the function $x \mapsto \int f(x, y)d\nu(y)$ is in $L^p(\mu)$ and

$$\left\| \int f(\cdot, y)d\nu(y) \right\|_p \leq \int \|f(\cdot, y)\|_p d\nu(y)$$

Proof

a. Let $g \in L^q(\mu)$ and we have

$$\int \int f(x, y)d\nu(y) |g(x)| d\mu(x) \leq \|g\|_q \int \left[\int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$$

and hence $\left\| \int f(x, y)d\nu(y) \right\|_p \leq \int \left[\int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$ by theorem 1.5.

b. This conclusion is obvious and by (a) if $p < \infty$ and it goes when $q = \infty$.

Theorem 1.10

Let K be a Lebesgue measurable function on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ such that $K(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^{-1} K(x, y)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $\int_0^\infty |K(x, 1)| x^{-1/p} dx \leq C < \infty$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$, and let q be the conjugate exponent to p . For $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$, let

$$Tf(y) = \int_0^\infty K(x, y)f(x)dx, \quad Sg(x) = \int_0^\infty K(x, y)g(y)dy$$

Then Tf and Sg are defined a.e. and $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ and $\|Sg\|_q \leq C\|g\|_q$.

Proof Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int |Tf(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} &= \left(\int \left| \int K(x, y)f(x)dx \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\int \left(\int |K(x, y)f(x)|dx \right)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int \left(\int |K(z, 1)f(yz)|dz \right)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \int \|f(\cdot z)\|_p |K(z, 1)|dz \\ &\leq C\|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

by the Minkowski's inequality for integral and $\|f(yz)\|_p = z^{-1/p}\|f\|_p$ and the other conclusion is the same since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |K(1, y)|y^{-1/q}dy &= \int_0^\infty |K(y^{-1}, 1)|y^{1-1/q}dy \\ &= -\int_0^\infty |K(u, 1)|u^{1/q+1}(-u^{-2})du = \int_0^\infty |K(u, 1)|u^{-1/p}du \leq C\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.2

Let

$$Tf(y) = y^{-1} \int_0^y f(x)dx, \quad Sg(x) = \int_x^\infty y^{-1}g(y)dy$$

Then for $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\|Tf\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1}\|f\|_p, \quad \|Sg\|_q \leq q\|g\|_q$$



Proof

Let $K(x, y) = y^{-1}\chi_{(x < y)}$ and we know

$$\int |K(x, y)|x^{-1/p}dx = y^{-1}qx^{1/q}|_0^y = q = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

Definition 1.3

If f is a measurable function on (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) , its distribution function $\lambda_f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) = \mu(|f| > \alpha)$$



Proposition 1.7

- λ_f is decreasing and right continuous.
- If $|f| \leq |g|$, then $\lambda_f \leq \lambda_g$.
- If $|f_n|$ increases to $|f|$, then λ_{f_n} increases to λ_f .
- If $f = g + h$, then $\lambda_f(\alpha) \leq \lambda_g(\frac{1}{2}\alpha) + \lambda_h(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$.



Proof

- Trivial.
- $\lambda_g(\alpha) = \mu(|g| > \alpha) \geq \mu(|f| > \alpha) = \lambda_f(\alpha)$.
- $\{|f| > \alpha\} = \bigcup \{|f_n| > \alpha\}$.
- $\{|f + g| > \alpha\} \subset \{|f| > \frac{1}{2}\alpha\} \cup \{|g| > \frac{1}{2}\alpha\}$.

Proposition 1.8

If $\lambda_f(\alpha) < \infty$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and ϕ is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\int_X \phi \circ |f| d\mu = - \int_0^\infty d\lambda_f(\alpha)$$

where $d\lambda_f = d\nu$, which is the negative Borel measure defined by λ_f .



Proposition 1.9

Consider for a h -interval $(a, b]$, we have

$$\int_X \chi_{(a, b]}(|f|)d\mu = \mu(b \leq |f| < a) = -\nu((a, b]) = - \int_0^\infty \chi_{(a, b]}d\lambda_f$$

and hence the equality holds for all Borel set E . The rest can be obtained by the MCT.



Proposition 1.10

If $0 < p < \infty$, then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha$$

Proof

If $\lambda_f(\alpha) = \infty$ for some α , then we know the both sides are infinity. Then we assume $\lambda_f < \infty$ and if f is simple, then λ_f should be bounded and vanish when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ and the integration by parts will show it immediately.

For general case, let $\{g_n\}$ be simple functions increase to $|f|^p$ and the MCT will guarantee the equality.

Definition 1.4

If f is a measurable function on X and $0 < p < \infty$, we define

$$[f]_p = \left(\sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^p \lambda_f(\alpha) \right)^{1/p}$$

and the weak L^p space is all f such that $[f]_p < \infty$.

We have

$$L^p \subset \text{weak } L^p, \quad [f]_p \leq \|f\|_p$$

Proposition 1.11

If f is a measurable function and $A > 0$, let $E(A) = \{x, |f| > A\}$ and set

$$h_A = f\chi_{X-E(A)} + A(\text{sgn}(f))\chi_{E(A)} \quad g_A = f - h_A = (\text{sgn}(f))(|f| - A)\chi_{E(A)}$$

then

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) = \lambda_f(\alpha + A), \quad \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \lambda_f(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha < A \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \geq A \end{cases}$$

Proof

Here we have

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) = \mu(\{|g_A| > \alpha\}) \leq \mu(\{|f| > \alpha + A\})$$

and by the way

$$\lambda_f(\alpha + A) = \mu(\{|f| - A > \alpha\}) \leq \mu(\{|g_A| > \alpha\})$$

Then we know

$$\lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) = \mu(\{|f|\chi_{X-E(A)}| > \alpha\}) + \mu(\{A|\chi_{E(A)}| > \alpha\}) = \chi_{\alpha < A}(\lambda_f(\alpha) - \lambda_f(A) + \lambda_f(A)) = \chi_{\alpha < A}\lambda_f(\alpha)$$

Lemma 1.2

Let ϕ be a bounded continuous function on the strip $0 \leq \text{Re } z \leq 1$ that is holomorphic on the interior of the strip.

If $|\phi(z)| \leq M_0$ for $\text{Re } z = 0$ and $|\phi(z)| \leq M_1$ for $\text{Re } z = 1$, then $|\phi(z)| \leq M_0^{1-t} M_1^t$ for $\text{Re } z = t, 0 < t < 1$.

Proof

Let $\phi_n(z) = \phi(z)M_0^{z-1}M_1^{-z}e^{n^{-1}z(z-1)}$ and we know $|\phi_n(0)|, |\phi_n(1)| \leq 1$ when $\text{Re } z = 0, 1$ and notice $|\phi_n| \rightarrow 0$ when $|\text{Im } z| \rightarrow \infty$ since let $z = x + iy$ and

$$|\phi_n(z)| = |\phi(z)|M_0^{x-1}|M_1^{-x}|e^{n^{-1}(x(x-1)-y^2)} \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow \infty$$

and then we know $\phi_n(z) \leq 1$ on the strip by the maximal modulus principle, then we have

$$|\phi(z)|M_0^{t-1}M_1^{-t} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n(z)| \leq 1$$

Theorem 1.11

(The Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) are measure spaces and $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$. If $q_0 = q_1 = \infty$, suppose also that ν is semifinite. For $0 < t < 1$, define

$$p_t^{-1} = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad q_t^{-1} = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

If T is a linear map from $L^{p_0}(\mu) + L^{p_1}(\mu)$ into $L^{q_0}(\nu) + L^{q_1}(\nu)$ such that $\|Tf\|_{q_0} \leq M_0\|f\|_{p_0}$ for $f \in L^{p_0}(\mu)$ and $\|Tf\|_{q_1} \leq M_1\|f\|_{p_1}$ for $f \in L^{p_1}(\mu)$, then $\|Tf\|_{q_t} \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t\|f\|_{p_t}$ for $f \in L^{p_t}(\mu)$, $0 < t < 1$. ♥

Proof

We know

$$\|Tf\|_{q_t} = \sup\left\{\left|\int (Tf)g\right|, g \in \Sigma_X, \|g\|_{\tilde{q}_t} = 1\right\}$$

where \tilde{q}_t is the conjugate of q_t and then we only need to show that

$$\left|\int (Tf)g\right| \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t$$

for any $g \in \Sigma_X$ and $\|f\|_{p_t} = 1$. We assume $f = \sum a_j \chi_{E_j}$ and $g = \sum b_k \chi_{F_k}$. Define

$$\alpha(z) = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad \beta(z) = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

and let

$$f_z = \sum |a_j|^{\alpha(z)/\alpha(t)} e^{i\theta_j} \chi_{E_j}$$

$$g_z = \sum |b_k|^{(1-\beta(z))/(1-\beta(t))} e^{i\varphi_k} \chi_{F_k}$$

where $\theta_j = \text{Arg}(a_j)$, $\varphi_k = \text{Arg}(b_k)$ and

$$\phi(z) = \int (Tf_z)g_z$$

here we assume $\alpha(t) \neq 0, \beta(t) \neq 1$ and hence $(p_0, p_1) \neq (\infty, \infty), (q_0, q_1) \neq (1, 1)$. Then we know

$$\phi(z) = \sum |a_j|^{\alpha(z)/\alpha(t)} |b_k|^{(1-\beta(z))/(1-\beta(t))} e^{i(\varphi_k + \theta_j)} \int (T\chi_{E_j})\chi_{F_k}$$

which is an entire function and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(ir)| &\leq \|Tf_{ir}\|_{q_0} \|g_{ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_0} \leq M_0 \|f_{ir}\|_{p_0} \|g_{ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_0} \\ &= M_0 \int |f|^{p_0 \text{Re} \alpha(ir)/\alpha(t)} |1/p_0| \int |g|^{\tilde{q}_0 \text{Re}(1-\beta(ir))/(1-\beta(t))} |1/\tilde{q}_0| \\ &= M_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(1+ir)| &\leq \|Tf_{1+ir}\|_{q_1} \|g_{1+ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_1} \leq M_1 \|f_{1+ir}\|_{p_1} \|g_{1+ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_1} \\ &= M_1 \int |f|^{p_1 \text{Re} \alpha(1+ir)/\alpha(t)} |1/p_1| \int |g|^{\tilde{q}_1 \text{Re}(1-\beta(1+ir))/(1-\beta(t))} |1/\tilde{q}_1| \\ &= M_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we will know $|\int (Tf)g| = |\phi(t)| \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t$ by the lemma 1.2. When $p_0 = p_1 = \infty$, the inequality is trivial and when $q_0 = q_1 = 1$, let $g_z = g$ and the proof is fine.

Now we only need to prove that $Tf = \lim T f_n$ for any $f \in L^{p_t}$ where $f_n \in \Sigma_X$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise with $|f_n| \leq |f|$. Consider $g = f \chi_{|f| < 1}$ and $h = f \chi_{|f| > 1}$, then we know $g \in L^{p_0}$ and $h \in L^{p_1}$, then we know $\|Tg_n - Tg\|_{q_0} \leq M_0 \|g_n - g\|_{p_0} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|Th_n - Th\|_{q_1} \leq M_1 \|h_n - h\|_{p_1} \rightarrow 0$ by the DCT and hence there exists subsequence n_k such that $Tg_{n_k} \rightarrow Tg, Th_{n_k} \rightarrow Th$ pointwise and hence $Tf_{n_k} \rightarrow Tf$ pointwise, and

$$\|Tf\|_{q_t} \leq \liminf \|Tf_{n_k}\|_{q_t} \leq \liminf M_0^{1-t}M_1^t \|f_{n_k}\|_{p_t} = M_0^{1-t}M_1^t \|f\|_{p_t}$$

and the problem goes.

Definition 1.5

For $T : X \rightarrow Y$ where X, Y are normed vector spaces and T is called sublinear if

$$|T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg| \quad |T(cf)| \leq c|Tf|$$

for any $f, g \in X, c > 0$.

Then we call a sublinear map T is strong type (p, q) if $L^p(\mu) \subset X$ and T maps $L^p(\mu)$ into $L^q(\nu)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|Tf\|_q \leq C\|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ for any $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$.

T is weak type (p, q) if $L^p(\mu) \subset X$ and T maps $L^p(\mu)$ into weak $L^q(\nu)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that $[Tf]_q \leq C\|f\|_p$ for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

**Theorem 1.12**

(The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose that (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{N}, ν) are measure spaces and $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$ such that $p_0 \leq q_0, p_1 \leq q_1$ and $q_0 \neq q_1$ and

$$p^{-1} = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad q^{-1} = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

where $0 < t < 1$. If T is a sublinear map from $L^{p_0}(\mu) + L^{p_1}(\mu)$ to the space of measurable functions on Y that is weak types (p_0, q_0) and (p_1, q_1) , then T is strong type (p, q) . More precisely, if $[Tf]_{q_j} \leq C_j\|f\|_{p_j}$ for $j = 0, 1$, then $\|Tf\|_q \leq B_p\|f\|_p$ where B_p depends only on p_j, q_j, C_j in addition to p ; and for $j = 0, 1$, $B_p|p - p_j|$ remains bounded as $p \rightarrow p_j$ if $p_j < \infty$.

**Proof**

Assume $p_0 = p_1, q_0 < q_1$, then we know $q < \infty$ and

$$C_0\|f\|_{p_0} \geq [Tf]_{q_0}, \quad C_1\|f\|_{p_0} \geq [Tf]_{q_1}$$

and we know if $q_1 < \infty$ then for any f with $\|f\|_{p_0} = \|f\|_{p_1} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &= q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq q \left[\int_0^1 \alpha^{q-1} \left(\frac{C_0\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} d\alpha + \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \left(\frac{C_1\|f\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1} d\alpha \right] \\ &= qC_0^{q_0} \int_0^1 \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha + qC_1^{q_1} \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} + \frac{q}{q_1-q} C_1^{q_1} = B_p^q \end{aligned}$$

If $q_1 = \infty$, then assume $\|f\|_{p_0} = 1$, we have

$$\int |Tf|^q = q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq q \int_0^{C_1\|f\|_{p_0}} \alpha^{q-1} \left(\frac{C_0\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} d\alpha = \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0}$$

and hence

$$\|Tf\|_q = \| \|f\|_{p_0} T(f/\|f\|_{p_0}) \|_q \leq B_p \|f\|_{p_0}$$

where

$$B_p = \left(\left(\frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} \right)^{1/q} \chi_{q_1=\infty} + \left(\frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} + \frac{q}{q_1-q} C_1^{q_1} \right)^{1/q} \chi_{q_1<\infty} \right)$$

when $p_0 = p_1, q_0 < q_1$ and we know B_p is a constant respect to p and obviously we have $B_p|p - p_j|$ is bounded when $p \rightarrow p_j$. Then we assume $p_0 < p_1$, then we have for any $f \in L^p(\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g_A|^{p_0} &= p_0 \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq p_0 \int_A^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \\ \int |h_A|^{p_1} &= p_1 \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq p_1 \int_0^A \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Let $A = A(\alpha)$ and

$$\int |Tf|^q = q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq 2^q q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} (\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) + \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha)) d\alpha$$

and notice

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0}, \quad \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) \leq \left(\frac{C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1}$$

where we may see $g_A \in L^{p_0}$, $h_A \in L^{p_1}$ by consider $f' = f/A$, then $g'_1 = g_A/A$, $h'_1 = h_A/A$ and we have

$$\int |h'_1|^{p_1} \leq \int |f'|^{p_1}, \quad \int |g'_1|^{p_0} \leq \int (|g'_1| + 1)^{p_0} \leq \int |f'|^{p_0}$$

and hence $h'_1 \in L^{p_1}$, $g'_1 \in L^{p_0}$, which means the inequalities above holds for f and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \left[\left(\frac{C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} + \left(\frac{C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1} \right] d\alpha \\ &= 2^q q \left[C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_1^{q_1} p_1^{q_1/p_1} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left(\int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{A(\alpha) \leq \beta} [\alpha^{p_0(q-q_0-1)/q_0} \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta)]^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_{A(\alpha) \leq \beta} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{A(\alpha) > \beta} [\alpha^{p_1(q-q_1-1)/q_1} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta)]^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \\ &= \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_{A(\alpha) > \beta} \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \end{aligned}$$

then we may consider if $q_0 < q_1$ then let $A(\alpha) = \alpha^r$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_0^{\beta^{1/r}} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{q-q_0} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_0(q-q_0)/r q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$r = \frac{p_0 q - q_0}{q_0 p - p_0} = \frac{q_0^{-1} - q^{-1}}{q^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{p_0^{-1} - p^{-1}} = \frac{q_0^{-1} - q_1^{-1}}{p_0^{-1} - p_1^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{q^{-1}} = \frac{q_1^{-1} - q^{-1}}{p_1^{-1} - p^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{q^{-1}} = \frac{p_1 q - q_1}{q_1 p - p_1}$$

and we know if $\|f\|_p = 1$ then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{q-q_0} \left(\frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_0/p_0} = |q-q_0|^{-1} p^{-q_0/p_0}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left(\int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_{\beta^{1/r}}^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_1-q} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_1(q-q_1)/r q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left(\int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{q_1-q} \left(\frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_1/p_1} = |q-q_1|^{-1} p^{-q_1/p_1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int |Tf|^q \leq 2^q q \left[C_0^{q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q-q_0|^{-1} + C_1^{q_1} (p_1/p)^{q_1/p_1} |q-q_1|^{-1} \right]$$

when $q_0 < q_1$ and if $q_0 > q_1$, let $A(\alpha) = \alpha^r$ and notice $r < 0$ so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left(\int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_{\beta^{1/r}}^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_0 - q} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_0(q-q_0)/r q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and the rest calculation are similar, we can still get

$$\int |Tf|^q \leq 2^q q \left[C_0^{q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} + C_1^{q_1} (p_1/p)^{q_1/p_1} |q - q_1|^{-1} \right] = B_t$$

and to show $B_p |p - p_j|$ is bounded when $p \rightarrow p_j, j = 0, 1$, it suffices to show that $|(p - p_j)/(q - q_j)|$ is bounded when $p \rightarrow p_j$ and which is easy to check by r .

For the rest conditions, we assume $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$ at first, we know

$$\|Th_A\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|h_A\|_\infty$$

and let $A(\alpha) = \alpha/C_1$ then $\lambda_{Th_A}(\alpha) = 0$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_0^{C_1 \beta} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

when $\|f\|_p = 1$, and hence

$$B_p = 2 \left[C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \right]^{1/q}$$

at this considition, which is bounded when $p \rightarrow p_j, j = 0, 1$.

Then assume $q_0 < q_1 = \infty$, we have

$$\|Th_A\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1} \leq C_1 \left(p_1 \int_0^A \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/p_1} \leq C_1 p_1^{1/p_1} A^{(p_1-p)/p_1} (\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1}$$

and let $A(\alpha) = [\alpha/[C_1(p_1\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1}]]^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p}}$ and we get $\|Th_{A(\alpha)}\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left(\int_0^{d\beta^{(p_1-p)/p_1}} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} d^{q-q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \left[\int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1+p_0(q-q_0)(p_1-p)/p_1 q_0} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} \left(C_1(p_1\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1} \right)^{q-q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \left(\frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

For $q_1 < q_0 = \infty$, we have

$$\|Tg_A\|_\infty \leq C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0} \leq C_0 \left(p_0 \int_A^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/p_0} \leq C_0 p_0^{1/p_0} A^{(p_0-p)/p_0} (\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_0}$$

and let $A(\alpha) = [\alpha/[C_0(p_0\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_0}]]^{\frac{p_0}{p_0-p}}$ and we get $\|T_{g_{A(\alpha)}}\|_\infty \leq \alpha$ and then the rest are the same.

Fourier analysis

Definition 1.6

For this chapter we work on \mathbb{R}^n . $C^k(U)$ is the space of all functions on U with continuous partial derivatives of order $\leq k$ and $C^\infty(U) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty C^i(U)$. For any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $C_c^\infty(E)$ is the space of all C^∞ functions on \mathbb{R}^n with compact support contained in E . If we miss U, E , it means $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $E = \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i, \quad |x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$$

A multi-index is an ordered n -tuple of nonnegative integers α with

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j!, \quad \partial^\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n}$$

and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$x^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_j}$$



Definition 1.7

(Schwarz space) \mathcal{S} is consisted of functions f in C^∞ such that for any nonnegative integer N and multi-index α , define

$$\|f\|_{(N, \alpha)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x)|$$

then

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \in C^\infty : \|f\|_{(N, \alpha)} < \infty \text{ for all } N, \alpha\}$$



Proposition 1.12

If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\partial^\alpha f \in L^p$ for all α and all $p \in [1, \infty]$.



Proof We know

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq C_N (1 + |x|)^{-N}$$

for all N and $(1 + |x|)^{-N} \in L^p$ for all $N > n/p$.

Proposition 1.13

\mathcal{S} is a Frechet space, i.e. a complete Hausdorff topological vector space whose topology is defined by a countable family of seminorms, with the topology defined by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{N, \alpha}$.



Proof

We only need to show the completeness of \mathcal{S} , which means for $\{f_j\}_1^\infty$ Cauchy in \mathcal{S} , i.e. $\|f_m - f_n\|_{(N, \alpha)} \rightarrow 0, n, m \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\|f_n - g\|_{N, \alpha} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Notice

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha f_n - \partial^\alpha f_m| = \|f_n - f_m\|_{(0, \alpha)} \rightarrow 0, n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence $\partial^\alpha f_n$ converges uniformly to some g^α for any multi-index α . Now we consider

$$\partial^\alpha f_k(x + te_j) - \partial^\alpha f_k(x) = \int_0^t \partial^{\alpha+e_j} f_k(x + se_j) ds$$

then by DCT we know

$$g^\alpha(x + te_j) - g^\alpha(x) = \int_0^t g^{\alpha+e_j}(x + se_j) ds$$

and henc $\partial^{e_j} g^\alpha = g^{\alpha+e_j}$ which means $g^\alpha = \partial^\alpha g^0$ by the induction. Then notice

$$\|f_k - g\|_{(N,\alpha)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_k(x) - \partial^\alpha g(x)|$$

and we know for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_k(x) - \partial^\alpha f_j(x)| < \epsilon/2$$

then we know

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_k(x) - \partial^\alpha g(x)| &\leq (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_k(x) - \partial^\alpha f_{k+m}(x)| + (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_{k+m}(x) - \partial^\alpha g(x)| \\ &< \epsilon/2 + (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_{k+m}(x) - \partial^\alpha g(x)| \end{aligned}$$

for any integer m and hence

$$(1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha f_k(x) - \partial^\alpha g(x)| \leq \epsilon$$

for any $k \geq N$, which means $\|f_k - g\|_{(N,\alpha)} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $g \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 1.14

(The product rule) For $|\alpha| = N, f, g \in C^N$, we have

$$\partial^\alpha (fg) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g)$$

Proof We use the induction to N , if we have the formula for any $|\alpha| = N - 1$, we will know

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^{\alpha+e_j} (fg) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} \partial^{e_j} [(\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g)] = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!} [(\partial^{\beta+e_j} f)(\partial^\gamma g) + (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^{\gamma+e_j} g)] \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha+e_j} \left(\frac{\alpha!}{(\beta-e_j)!\gamma!} + \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\gamma-e_j)!} \right) (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \\ &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha+e_j} \frac{(\alpha+e_j)!}{\beta!\gamma!} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^\gamma g) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.3

We may know

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^\alpha (x^\beta f) &= x^\beta \partial^\alpha f + \sum c_{\gamma\delta} x^\delta \partial^\gamma f \\ x^\beta \partial^\alpha f &= \partial^\alpha (x^\beta f) + \sum c'_{\gamma\delta} \partial^\gamma (x^\delta f) \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $c_{\gamma\delta}, c'_{\gamma\delta} = 0$ unless $|\gamma| < |\alpha|$ and $|\delta| < |\beta|$.

Proof We know

$$\partial^\alpha (x^\beta f) = \sum_{\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\gamma!\delta!} (\partial^\delta x^\beta)(\partial^\gamma f)$$

and the first conclusion goes, and hence the second equality goes by elimination.

Proposition 1.15

If $f \in C^\infty$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}$ iff $x^\beta \partial^\alpha f$ is bounded for all multi-indices α, β iff $\partial^\alpha (x^\beta f)$ is bounded for all multi-indices α, β .

Proof

For the first equivalence, notice

$$|x^\beta \partial^\alpha f| \leq (1 + |x|)^{|\beta|} |\partial^\alpha f|$$

is bounded. And notice $\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^N$ is strictly positive when $|x| = 1$ for any integer N , then we know it has a minimum when

$|x| = 1$ and denote it as δ , we know $\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^N \geq \delta_N |x|^N$, then we know

$$(1 + |x|)^N \leq 2^N (1 + |x|^N) \leq 2^N (1 + \delta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^N) \leq 2^N + 2^N \delta^{-1} \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} |x^\beta|$$

and hence $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

The second equivalence can be deduced by the corollary 1.3.

Definition 1.8

If f is a function on \mathbb{R}^n and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we call

$$\tau_y f(x) = f(x - y)$$

and we know $\|\tau_y f\|_p = \|f\|_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\|\tau_y f\|_u = \|f\|_u$. A function f is called uniformly continuous if $\|\tau_y f - f\|_u \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow 0$.



Lemma 1.3

If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then f is uniformly continuous.



Proposition 1.16

If $1 \leq p < \infty$, translation is continuous in the L^p norm, i.e. if $f \in L^p$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_{y+z} f - \tau_z f\|_p = 0$$



Proof

Notice C_c is dense in L^p is fine.

Definition 1.9

Let f and g be measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . The convolution of f and g is the function $f * g$ defined by

$$f * g(x) = \int f(x - y)g(y)dy$$

We may prove that $f * g$ is measurable.



Proposition 1.17

Assuming that all integrals in question exist, we have

- $f * g = g * f$
- $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$
- For $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tau_z(f * g) = (\tau_z f) * g = f * (\tau_z g)$
- If A is the closure of $\{x + y, x \in \text{supp}(f), y \in \text{supp}(g)\}$, then $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset A$.



Proof

- Trivial.
- We know

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g) * h(x) &= \int \int f(z)g(x - y - z)dz h(y)dy \\ &= \int f(z)(g * h)(x - z)dz = f * (g * h)(x) \end{aligned}$$

-

$$\tau_z(f * g) = f * g(x + z) = \int f(x + z - y)g(y)dy = \int \tau_z f(x - y)g(y)dy = \tau_z f * g(x)$$

and hence $\tau_z(f * g) = \tau_z(g * f) = \tau_z g * f = f * \tau_z g$.

- Trivial.

Theorem 1.13

(Young's inequality) If $f \in L^1$ and $g \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then $f * g(x)$ exists for almost every x , $f * g \in L^p$ and $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$.



Proof Notice

$$\|f * g\|_p = \left(\int \left| \int f(y)g(x-y)dy \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \int \left(\int |f(y)g(x-y)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dy = \int |f(y)| \|g\|_p dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$$

by the Minkowski's inequality for integrals.

Proposition 1.18

If p and q are conjugate exponents, $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$, then $f * g(x)$ exists for every x , $f * g$ is bounded and uniformly continuous and $\|f * g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$. If $1 < p < \infty$, then $f * g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. f vanished at infinity, i.e. $\{|f| \geq \epsilon\}$ is compact for any $\epsilon > 0$.



Proof We know

$$|f * g(x)| = \left| \int f(y)g(x-y)dy \right| \leq |f(\cdot)g(x-\cdot)|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g(x-\cdot)\|_q = \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

by the Holder's inequality and hence $\|f * g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$. Then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\tau_y f * g - f * g\|_\infty = \|(\tau_y f - f) * g\|_\infty \leq \|\tau_y f - f\|_p \|g\|_q \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow 0$$

if $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $p = \infty$, exchange f, g .

Consider $f_n, g_n \in C_c$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^p , $g_n \rightarrow g$ in L^q , then we know

$$\|f_n * g_n - f * g\|_\infty \leq \|f_n * g_n - f_n * g\|_\infty + \|f_n * g - f * g\|_\infty \leq \|f_n\|_p \|g_n - g\|_q + \|f_n - f\|_p \|g\|_q \rightarrow 0$$

and notice $f_n * g_n \in C_c$ and hence $f * g \in C_0$.

Proposition 1.19

Suppose $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ and $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = r^{-1} + 1$, then

a. (Young's inequality, general form) If $f \in L^p$ and $g \in L^q$, then $f * g \in L^r$ and $\|f * g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$.

b. Suppose also that $p > 1, q > 1$ and $r < \infty$. If $f \in L^p$ and $g \in \text{weak } L^q$, then $f * g \in L^r$ and $\|f * g\|_r \leq C_{pq} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$ where C_{pq} is independent of f, g .

c. Suppose that $p = 1$ and $r = q > 1$. If $f \in L^1$ and $g \in \text{weak } L^q$ then $f * g \in \text{weak } L^q$ and $[f * g]_q \leq C_q \|f\|_1$ where C_q is independent of f and g .



Proof

a. Notice we have the inequality holds when $p = 1, r = q$ and $r = \infty$, then for fixed q and g , then we may use the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem.

We will skip the proof for b.c.

Proposition 1.20

If $f \in L^1, g \in C^k$ and $\partial^\alpha g$ is bounded for $|\alpha| \leq k$, then $f * g \in C^k$ and $\partial^\alpha (f * g) = f * (\partial^\alpha g)$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$.



Proof

If $\alpha^\alpha (f * g) = f * (\partial^\alpha g)$, then

$$\partial^{\alpha+e_j} (f * g) = \partial^{e_j} f * (\partial^\alpha g) = \partial^{e_j} \int f(y) \partial^\alpha g(x-y) dy = \int f(y) \partial^{e_j} \partial^\alpha g(x-y) dy$$

if $\partial^{\alpha+e_j} g$ is bounded and hence the conclusion holds by the induction.

Proposition 1.21

If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f * g \in \mathcal{S}$.



Proof We know $f * g \in C^\infty$ by proposition 1.20. and then notice

$$1 + |x| \leq (1 + |x - y|)(1 + |y|)$$

so we have


$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |x|)^N |\partial^\alpha (f * g)(x)| &\leq \int (1 + |x - y|)^N |\partial^\alpha f(x - y)| (1 + |y|)^N |g(y)| dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_{(N, \alpha)} \|g\|_{(N+n+1, 0)} \int (1 + |y|)^{-n-1} dy < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.14

Suppose $\phi \in L^1$ and $\int \phi(x) dx = a$, define $\phi_t(x) = t^{-n} \phi(t^{-1}x)$ then

a. If $f \in L^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, then $f * \phi_t \rightarrow af, t \rightarrow 0$ in L^p .

b. If f is bounded and uniformly continuous then $f * \phi_t \rightarrow af$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$.

c. If $f \in L^\infty$ and f is continuous on an open set U , then $f * \phi_t \rightarrow af$ uniformly on compact subsets of U as $t \rightarrow 0$. 

Proof

a. Notice that

$$f * \phi_t - af = \int f(x - y) \phi_t(y) dy - \int f(x) \phi(y) dy = \int (f - \tau_{tz} f)(x) \phi(z) dz$$

and then by the Minkowski's inequality for integrals, we know

$$\|f * \phi_t - af\|_p \leq \int \|f - \tau_{tz} f\|_p |\phi(z)| dz$$

and by DCT we know

$$\|f * \phi_t - af\|_p \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow 0$$

b. Notice

$$\|f * \phi_t - af\|_u \leq \int \|f - \tau_{tz} f\|_u |\phi(z)| dz \leq \int_E \|f - \tau_{tz} f\|_u |\phi(z)| dz + \int_{E^c} 2\|f\|_u |\phi(z)| dz$$

for any measurable set and choose E as a property compact set is fine.

c. Still refer the equality and then we know for a compact subset E of U , and $\epsilon > 0$, we may choose a compact set K such that


$$\int_{K^c} 2\|f\|_\infty |\phi(z)| dz < \epsilon/2$$

then choose d small enough such that $dK + E$ is in a compact subset E' of U and notice f is bounded and uniformly continuous on E' , so we know

$$\|(f * \phi_t - af)|_E\|_u = \|f|_E * \phi_t - af|_E\|_u$$

and the rest is similar.

Theorem 1.15

Suppose $|\phi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ for some $C, \epsilon > 0$ and $\int |\phi(x)| dx = a$. If $f \in L^p$, then $f * \phi_t(x) \rightarrow af(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ for every x in the Lebesgue set of f , in particular, for almost every x and for every x at which f is continuous. 

Proof

Firstly, let us recall that if $f \in L^p$, then $L \in L^1_{loc}$, since

$$\int_K |f| \leq \int_{K \cap \{|f| \geq 1\}} |f|^p + m(K) < \|f\|_p^p + m(K) < \infty$$

for any compact set K .

We are going to show

$$\int |f(x - y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow 0$$

if $r^{-n} \int_{|y| < r} |f(x - y) - f(x)| dy \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow 0$.

We know for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such

$$\int_{|y|<r} |f(x-y) - f(x)| dy < \delta r^n$$

for any $r < \eta$. We have

$$\int_{|y|\geq\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy \leq \|f\|_p \|\chi_{|y|\geq\eta} \phi_t(y)\|_p' + \|f(x)\| \|\chi_{|y|\geq\eta} \phi_t(y)\|_1$$

Now we consider $\|\chi_{|y|\geq\eta} \phi_t(y)\|_q$, if $q = \infty$, we know

$$\|\chi_{|y|\geq\eta} \phi_t(y)\|_\infty \leq \sup_{|y|\geq\eta} C t^{-n} (1 + |y/t|)^{-n-\epsilon} \leq C t^{-n} (1 + |\eta/t|)^{-n-\epsilon} \leq C |\eta|^{-n-\epsilon} t^\epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

if $t \rightarrow \infty$. For $q < \infty$, we know

$$\|\chi_{|y|\geq\eta} \phi_t(y)\|_q^q = \int_{|y|\geq\eta} t^{-nq} |\phi(t^{-1}y)|^q dy \leq C t^{\epsilon q} \int_{r\geq\eta/t} r^{n-1-(n+\epsilon)q} dr \leq C_1 t^{\epsilon q}$$

for some constant C_1 by the proposition 2.51. on Folland.

Now we consider

$$\int_{|y|<\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy$$

for fixed t , we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y|<\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy &= \sum_{i=1}^K \int_{2^{-i}\eta \leq |y| < 2^{1-i}\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-K}\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \int_{2^{-i}\eta \leq |y| < 2^{1-i}\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| (C t^{-n} |2^{-i}\eta/t|^{-n-\epsilon}) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| \leq 2^{-K}\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| (C t^{-n}) dy \\ &\leq C \delta t^\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^K [2^{i(n+\epsilon)}/\eta^{n+\epsilon}] (2^{1-i}\eta)^n + C \delta t^{-n} (2^{-K}\eta)^n \\ &\leq 2^n C \delta (t/\eta)^\epsilon \frac{2^{K\epsilon} - 1}{2^\epsilon - 1} + C \delta (2^{-K}\eta/t)^n \end{aligned}$$

so let $2^{K-1} < \eta/t \leq 2^K$, then we know

$$\int_{|y|<\eta} |f(x-y) - f(x)| |\phi_t(y)| dy \leq C \delta + 2^n C \delta (t/\eta)^\epsilon \frac{(2(\eta/t))^\epsilon - 1}{2^\epsilon - 1} \leq 2^n C \delta (1 + \frac{2^\epsilon}{2^\epsilon - 1})$$

for any $\delta > 0$, and the conclusion holds.

Definition 1.10

If $a = 1$, then call $\{\phi_t\}_{t>0}$ an approximate identity.



Proposition 1.22

C_c^∞ (and hence also \mathcal{S}) is dense in $L^p(1 \leq p < \infty)$ and in C_0 .



Proof

If there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty$, we will know that $g * \phi_t \rightarrow g$ in L^p and $g * \phi_t \in C_c^\infty$ if $g \in C_c, g \in L^1 \cap L^p$. Notice for any $f \in L^p, \epsilon > 0$, we can find $g \in C_c, g \in L^1 \cap L^p$ such that $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$, and hence we know C_c^∞ is dense in L^p . Also if $f \in C_0$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, we may find $g \in C_c$ such that $\|f - g\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$, since g is bounded and uniformly continuous, we know $\|g * \phi_t - g\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ if $t \rightarrow 0$. So now we only need to check that C_c^∞ is nonempty, which can be given by

$$\phi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}} \chi_{1-|x|^2>0}$$

since $e^{-1/t} \chi_{(0,\infty)}(t)$ is smooth and $1 - |x|^2$ is obviously smooth.

Theorem 1.16

(The C^∞ Urysohn lemma) If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact and U is an open set containing K , there exists $f \in C_c^\infty$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $f = 1$ on K and $\text{supp}(f) \subset U$.

**Proof**

Consider $\delta = d(K, U)$ and we may find $\phi \in C_c^\infty$ such that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset D(0, \delta/3)$. Let $V = \bigcup_{x \in K} D(x, \delta/3)$, then $\chi_V * \phi$ is the function we would like.

Theorem 1.17

If ϕ is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n (resp. \mathbb{T}^n), such that $\phi(x+y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ and $|\phi| = 1$, there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. \mathbb{T}^n) such that $\phi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x}$.

**Proof**

We first prove the conclusion for \mathbb{R} , let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_0^a \phi(t) dt \neq 0$ and let $A = a^{-1}$, then we know

$$\phi(x) = A \int_0^a \phi(x)\phi(t) dt = A \int_x^{x+a} \phi(t) dt$$

and hence $\phi(x)$ is continuous, and then $\phi(x) \in C^1$ with

$$\phi'(x) = A[\phi(a) - 1]\phi(x) = B\phi(x)$$

Therefore,

$$[e^{-Bx}\phi(x)]' = -B\phi(x) + \phi'(x) = 0$$

and hence $\phi(x) = ce^{Bx}$ for some constant C . Notice $\phi(0) = 1$, so we know $\phi(x) = e^{Bx}$ and hence B is a pure imaginary number, so we may let $B = 2\pi i \xi$ for some constant ξ .

If ϕ is defined on T , we may expand it into a period function on \mathbb{R} with the same property and hence $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For ϕ defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we may consider $\phi^j(t) = \phi(te_j)$ and then we know $\phi^j(t) = e^{2\pi i \xi_j t}$ for some constant ξ_j , then for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we know $\phi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i e_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \phi^i(x_i) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x}$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the conclusion is similar for \mathbb{T}^n .

Before entering the next theorem, we recall a lemma we did not prove it formally before.

Lemma 1.4

If $\{u_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , if the finite linear combination of $\{u_\alpha\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , then it is an orthonormal basis.



Proof Assume $\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle = 0$ for any α , then if $x \neq 0$, then we may find $x_n \in \text{span}\{u_\alpha\}$ converges to x in \mathcal{H} and hence

$$\|x\|^2 = \lim \langle x_n, x \rangle = 0$$

and the conclusion holds.

Theorem 1.18

Let $E_K(x) = e^{2\pi i K \cdot x}$, then $\{E_K, K \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

**Proof**

It is easy to verify that $\{E_K\}_{K \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ is an orthonormal basis since

$$\langle E_{K_1}, E_{K_2} \rangle = \int E_{K_1 - K_2} = \delta_{K_1 - K_2} = \delta_{K_1, K_2}$$

Now we consider $A = \text{span}\{E_K\}_{K \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, then we know A is separating points and hence we know A is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ by the Stone-Weierstrass' Theorem and hence it is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The rest is by the lemma 1.4.

Definition 1.11

If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define its Fourier transform \hat{f} a function on \mathbb{Z}^n by

$$\hat{f}(\kappa) = \langle f, E_\kappa \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} dx$$

and we call the series

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(\kappa) E_\kappa$$

the Fourier series of f .

**Theorem 1.19**

(The Hausdorff-Young Inequality) Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and q is the conjugate exponent to p . If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $\hat{f} \in l^q(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$.

**Proof**

Use the Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem directly.

Definition 1.12

For $f \in L^1$, define the Fourier Transform of f by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$$

with $\|\hat{f}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1$ and continuous by the DCT and we know

$$\mathcal{F} : L^1 \rightarrow BC(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

**Theorem 1.20**

Suppose $f, g \in L^1$.

a. $(\tau_y f)(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot y} \hat{f}(\xi)$ and $\tau_\eta(\hat{f}) = \hat{h}$ where $h = e^{2\pi i \eta \cdot x} f(x)$.

b. If T is an invertible linear transformation of \mathbb{R}^n and $S = (T^*)^{-1}$ is its inverse transpose, then $(f \hat{\circ} T) = |\det T|^{-1} \hat{f} \circ S$. In particular, if T is a rotation, then $(f \hat{\circ} T) = \hat{f} \circ T$ and if $Tx = t^{-1}x$ ($t > 0$), then $(f \hat{\circ} T)(\xi) = t^n \hat{f}(t\xi)$, so that $(\hat{f}_t)(\xi) = \hat{f}(t\xi)$.

c. $(f * g) = \hat{f} \hat{g}$.

d. If $x^\alpha f \in L^1$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$, then $\hat{f} \in C^k$ and $\partial^\alpha \hat{f} = [(-2\pi i x)^\alpha f]$.

e. If $f \in C^k$, $\partial^\alpha f \in L^1$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$, and $\partial^\alpha f \in C_0$ for $|\alpha| \leq k-1$, then $(\partial^\alpha \hat{f})(\xi) = (2\pi i \xi)^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi)$.

f. (The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma) $\mathcal{F}(L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

**Proof**

a. We know

$$(\tau_y f)(\xi) = \int f(x-y) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx = e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot y} \hat{f}(\xi)$$

and

$$\tau_\eta \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi + \eta) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i (\xi + \eta) \cdot x} dx = \int h(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx = \hat{h}(\xi)$$

b. We know

$$(f \hat{\circ} T)(\xi) = \int f(Tx) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx = \int f(Tx) e^{-2\pi i \xi^* T^{-1} T x} dx = |\det T|^{-1} \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i (S\xi) \cdot x} dx = |\det T|^{-1} \hat{f} \circ S$$

and the rest is easy to check.

c. We know

$$(f * g)(\xi) = \int \int f(x-y) g(y) dy e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx = \int \left(\int f(x-y) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot (x-y)} dx \right) g(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot y} dy = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$$

by the Fubini's theorem.

d. We assume $\partial^\alpha = [(-2\pi i x)^\alpha f]$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^{\alpha+e_j} \hat{f}(\xi) &= \partial^{e_j} [(-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(\xi)] \\ &= \partial^{e_j} \int (-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int (-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x) (e^{-2\pi i (\xi + t e_j) \cdot x} - e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x}) dx}{t}\end{aligned}$$

since

$$|(-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x) (e^{-2\pi i (\xi + t e_j) \cdot x} - e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x})| \leq |(-2\pi i x)^\alpha f(x)| |2\pi i x^{e_j}| = C |x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x)| \in L^1$$

so we know

$$\partial^{\alpha+e_j} \hat{f}(\xi) = \int (-2\pi i x)^{\alpha+e_j} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$$

if $|\alpha + e_j| \leq k$ and by the induction, we are done.

e. We know if the equality is true for α , then

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^{\alpha+e_j} f)(\xi) - (2\pi i \xi)^{\alpha+e_j} \hat{f}(\xi) &= \int \partial^{\alpha+e_j} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx - (2\pi i \xi)^{\alpha+e_j} \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx \\ &= \int \partial^{e_j} \partial^\alpha f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx - (2\pi i \xi)^{e_j} \int \partial^\alpha f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx \\ &= \int \partial^{e_j} [\partial^\alpha f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x}] dx \\ &= \int \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial^{e_j} [\partial^\alpha f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x}] dx_j dx' = 0\end{aligned}$$

by the Fubini's theorem if $\partial^\alpha f \in C_0$. And the conclusion holds by the induction.

f. If $f \in C^1 \cap C_c$, then we know $\partial^\alpha f \in L^1$ for any $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and then we know

$$2\pi i |\xi|^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) = (\partial^{\hat{\alpha}} f)(\xi)$$

is bounded and continuous, and hence

$$|\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n [|\xi|^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi)]^2}$$

is bounded and continuous, and hence $\hat{f} \in C_0$. Now notice $C^1 \cap C_c$ is dense in L^1 and hence $\hat{f}_n \rightarrow \hat{f}$ uniformly if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^1 and hence $\mathcal{F}(C^1 \cap C_c)$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}(L^1)$ under the uniform norm, notice C_0 is closed under the uniform norm and the conclusion holds.

Corollary 1.4

\mathcal{F} maps the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} continuously to itself.



Proof

Notice we have $x^\alpha \partial^\beta f \in L^1 \cap C_0$ and $f \in C^\infty$ then $\hat{f} \in C^\infty$ and

$$(x^\alpha \partial^\beta f)(\xi) = (-2\pi i)^{-|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha (\partial^\beta f) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} (2\pi i)^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} \partial^\alpha (\xi^\beta \hat{f})$$

which means $\partial^\alpha (\xi^\beta \hat{f})$ is bounded for any α, β and hence $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$.

By the way, notice $\int (1 + |x|)^{-n-1} dx < \infty$ and we have

$$\|(x^\alpha \partial^\beta f)\|_u \leq \|(x^\alpha \partial^\beta f)\|_1 \leq C \|(1 + |x|)^{n+1} x^\alpha \partial^\beta f\|_u$$

so we know

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{(N,\beta)} = \|(1 + |\xi|)^N \partial^\beta \hat{f}\|_u$$

is less than a linear combination of $\partial^\beta (\xi^\gamma \hat{f})$ with $|\gamma| \leq N$ and hence

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{(N,\beta)} \leq \sum_{\gamma \leq |\beta|} C_\gamma \|f\|_{(N+n+1,\gamma)} < \infty$$

and hence \mathcal{F} is continuous on \mathcal{S} .

Proposition 1.23

If $f(x) = e^{-\pi a|x|^2}$ where $a > 0$, then $\hat{f}(\xi) = a^{-n/2}e^{-\pi|\xi|^2/a}$.

Proof

If $n = 1$, then we know

$$f' = -2\pi a x f$$

and hence

$$(\hat{f})' = (-2\pi i x f) = \frac{i}{a} \hat{f}' = -\frac{2\pi}{a}(\cdot) \hat{f}$$

since $x f = c f'$ is in L^1 and $f \in C^\infty$, $f' \in L^1$ and $f' \in C_0$, so we know

$$(e^{\pi \xi^2/a} \hat{f}(\xi))' = 0$$

and since $\hat{f}(0) = a^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\hat{f} = a^{-1/2} e^{-\pi \xi^2/a}$$

For general n , use the Fubini's theorem:

$$\int e^{-\pi a|x|^2} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} = \prod \int e^{-\pi a x_j^2} e^{-2\pi i \xi_j x_j} = a^{-n/2} \prod e^{-\pi \xi_j^2/a} = a^{-n/2} e^{-\pi|\xi|^2/a}$$

Definition 1.13

If $f \in L^1$, we define

$$f^\vee = \hat{f}(-x) = \int f(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi$$

Lemma 1.5

If $f, g \in L^1$ then $\int \hat{f} g = \int f \hat{g}$.

Proof

We know

$$\int \hat{f} g = \int \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} g(\xi) dx d\xi = \int f \hat{g}$$

by the Fubini's theorem.

Theorem 1.21

(The Fourier Inversion Theorem) If $f \in L^1$ and $\hat{f} \in L^1$, then f agrees almost everywhere with a continuous function f_0 and $(\hat{f})^\vee = (f^\vee) = f_0$.

Proof

Let $\phi_{x,t}(\xi) = e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x - \pi t^2 |\xi|^2}$ and then we know

$$(\hat{\phi}_{x,t})(y) = \int e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x - \pi t^2 |\xi|^2 - 2\pi i \xi \cdot y} d\xi = \int e^{-\pi t^2 |\xi|^2} e^{-2\pi i (y-x) \cdot \xi} d\xi = t^{-n} e^{-\pi |x-y|^2/t^2} = g_t(x-y)$$

where $g = e^{-\pi |x|^2}$ by proposition 1.23.

Then we know

$$f * g_t(x) = \int f(y) g_t(x-y) = \int f(\phi_{x,t})(y) = \int \hat{f} \phi_{x,t}$$

so we know $\int \hat{f} \phi_{x,y} \rightarrow f$, $t \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 , however

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int \hat{f} \phi_{x,t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x - \pi t^2 |\xi|^2} d\xi = \int \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x - \pi t^2 |\xi|^2} d\xi = (\hat{f})^\vee$$

by the DCT and hence $f = (\hat{f})^\vee$ a.e. where we know $(\hat{f})^\vee$ is a continuous function. Then notice

$$(\hat{f}^\vee)(x) = \int f^\vee(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = \int \hat{f}(-\xi) e^{2\pi i (-\xi) \cdot x} d\xi = (\hat{f})^\vee(x)$$

and the problem goes.

Corollary 1.5

If $f \in L^1$ and $\hat{f} = 0$, then $f = 0$ a.e.



Corollary 1.6

\mathcal{F} is an isomorphism of \mathcal{S} onto itself.



Theorem 1.22

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{f \in L^1, \hat{f} \in L^1\}$, then we can extend \mathcal{F} from \mathcal{X} to $L^1 + L^2$.



Proof

Notice $\hat{f} \in L^1$ implies that $f \in L^\infty$ and hence $f \in L^2$, so we know $\mathcal{X} \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Then since $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and dense in both L^1 and L^2 , so we may extend \hat{f} by L^∞ on L^1 and by L^2 on L^2 , however the Fourier transform on L^1 has been defined.

For L^2 case, we may consider $f, g \in \mathcal{X}$ and $h = \bar{g}$ which implies \mathcal{F} keeps the L^2 inner product on \mathcal{X} since

$$\int f \bar{g} = \int f \hat{h} = \int \hat{f} h = \int \hat{f} \bar{g}$$

so, if $f_n, g_n \in \mathcal{X}$ converges to f, g , then we know

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, g_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n, \hat{g}_n \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle$$

which means \mathcal{F} is even a unitary isomorphism on L^2 .

Now we only need to check that the expansion from \mathcal{X} agree on $L^1 \cap L^2$. For $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$, we may consider $g(x) = e^{-\pi|x|^2}$ and we know $f \cdot g_t \in L^1$ and $(f * g_t) = \hat{f} \hat{g}_t = e^{-\pi t^2 |\xi|^2} \hat{f}$, and hence $(f * g_t) \in \mathcal{X}$, then we know $(f * g_t) \rightarrow f$ in both L^1 and L^2 , so $f * g_t \rightarrow \hat{f}$ in both L^∞ and L^2 and hence the extension agrees, so we know $\|\hat{f}\|_2 = \lim \|f * g_t\|_2 = \lim \|f * g_t\|_1 = \|f\|_2 < \infty$.

Theorem 1.23

Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and q is the conjugate exponent to p . If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\hat{f} \in L^q$ and $\|\hat{f}\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$.



Theorem 1.24

If $f \in L^1$, the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tau_k f$ converges pointwise a.e. and in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ to a function Pf such that $\|Pf\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$.

Moreover, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $(\hat{P}f)(\kappa)$ equals $\hat{f}(\kappa)$.



Proof

Let $Q = [-1/2, 1/2]^n$ and we know

$$\int_Q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |f(x - k)| dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{Q+k} |f(x)| dx = \int |f|$$

by the MCT and hence $\sum \tau_k f$ converges a.e. and in $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ to a function $Pf \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\|Pf\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$. And

$$(\hat{P}f)(\kappa) = \int_Q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(x - k) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{Q+k} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \kappa \cdot (x+k)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \kappa \cdot x} dx = \hat{f}(\kappa)$$

by the DCT.

Theorem 1.25

(The Poisson Summation Formula) Suppose $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $|f| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ and $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ for some $C, \epsilon > 0$, then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(x + k) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x}$$

where both series converge absolutely and uniformly on \mathbb{T}^n .

Proof

The absolute and uniformly convergence of the series follows that $\sum (1 + |k|)^{-n-\epsilon} < \infty$, so $Pf = \sum_k \tau_k f$ is in $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and hence in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then by the theorem 1.24, we know $\sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x}$ converges to Pf in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and since the right series is also continuous and hence they are the same pointwise.

Theorem 1.26

Suppose that f is periodic and absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} and that $f' \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for some $p > 1$, then $\hat{f} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof

For $p > 1$, we know $C_p = \sum_1^\infty k^{-p} < \infty$ and since $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{T})$, then we assume $p \leq 2$, then we know

$$(\widehat{f'})(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f'(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} dx = f(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} \Big|_0^1 - \int f(x) (-2\pi i k) e^{-2\pi i k x} = 2\pi i k \hat{f}(k)$$

by the Integration by parts and then

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} |\hat{f}(k)| \leq \left[\sum_{k \neq 0} (2\pi |k|)^{-p} \right]^{1/p} \left[\sum_{k \neq 0} (2\pi |k| |\hat{f}(k)|^q)^{1/q} \right] \leq C \|(\widehat{f'})\|_q \leq C \|f'\|_p$$

by the Hausdorff-Young inequality and hence $\|\hat{f}\|_1 < \infty$.

Lemma 1.6

If $f, g \in L^2$, then $(\hat{f}\hat{g})^\vee = f * g$.

Proof We know

$$\|\hat{f}\hat{g}\|_1 \leq \|\hat{f}\|_2 \|\hat{g}\|_2 = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 < \infty$$

and hence $(\hat{f}\hat{g})^\vee$ exists and

$$f * g(x) = \int f(y) g(x - y) dy = \int \hat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g(x - \cdot)}(\xi) d\xi = (\hat{f}\hat{g})^\vee(x)$$

Theorem 1.27

Suppose that $\Phi \in L^1 \cap C_0$, $\Phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi = \Phi^\vee \in L^1$. For $f \in L^1 + L^2$, for $t > 0$ set

$$f^t(x) = \int \hat{f}(\xi) \Phi(t\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi$$

- If $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $f^t \in L^p$ and $\|f^t - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow 0$.
- If f is bounded and uniformly continuous, then so is f^t and $f^t \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$.
- Suppose that $|\phi(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ for some $C, \epsilon > 0$. Then $f^t(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for every x in the Lebesgue set of f .

Proof

Let $f = f_1 + f_2$, $f_1 \in L^1$, $f_2 \in L^2$ and we know $\Phi \in L^1 \cap L^2$, so

$$\int \hat{f}_1(\xi) \Phi(t\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = \int \hat{f}_1(\xi) (\widehat{\phi_t})(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi$$

since

$$\int \phi_t(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = t^{-n} \int \phi(t^{-1}\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = \hat{\phi}(tx) = \Phi(tx)$$

a.e. so

$$\int \hat{f}_1(\xi) \Phi(t\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = f_1 * \phi_t$$

since $\hat{f}_1 \phi \in L^1$ and $f * \phi_t \in L^1$. Then

$$\int \hat{f}_2(\xi) \Phi(t\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi = f_2 * \phi_t(\xi)$$

by the lemma 1.6. and we know $f^t = f * \phi_t$. Then by the theorem 1.14. we have (a),(b) and (c) is according to theorem 1.15.

Theorem 1.28

Suppoe that $\Phi \in C$ satisfies $|\Phi(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-n-\epsilon}$, $|\Phi^\vee(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n-\epsilon}$ and $\Phi(0) = 1$. Given $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ for $t > 0$, set

$$f^t(x) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(\kappa) \Phi(t\kappa) e^{2\pi i \kappa \cdot x}$$

- a. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\|f^t - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and if $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $f^t \rightarrow f$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow 0$.
 b. $f^t(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for every x in the Lebesgue set of f .

