

# Chapter 1

m.s. for measure space  
mrb. for measurable

## 1.1 $L^p$ spaces

### Definition 1.1

For a fixed m.s.  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , if  $f$  is a measurable function on  $X$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , we define

$$\|f\|_p = \left[ \int |f|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}$$

and

$$L^p(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \text{ mrb and } \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$



### Lemma 1.1

(Yooung's inequality) If  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then

$$a^\lambda b^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b$$

with equality iff  $a = b$ .



### Proof

If  $b = 0$ , the inequality goes. Then assume  $b > 0$ , and it suffices to show that

$$\frac{a^\lambda}{b} \leq \lambda \frac{a}{b} + (1 - \lambda)$$

and consider the function  $f(x) = x^\lambda - \lambda x - (1 - \lambda)$ , we have  $f'(x) = \lambda x^{1-\lambda} - \lambda$  which is less than zero if  $x > 1$  and greater than zero if  $x < 1$ , so we know  $f(x) \leq f(1) = 0$  and the inequality holds.

### Theorem 1.1

(Holder Inequality) Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions on  $X$ , then

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

In particular, if  $f \in L^p, g \in L^q$ , then  $fg \in L^1$  and in this case equality holds iff  $\alpha|f|^p = \beta|g|^q$  a.e. for some constants  $\alpha, \beta$ .



### Proof

Consider we should show that

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu \int |g|^q d\mu$$

and if  $\|f\|_p = 0$  or  $\|g\|_q = 0$ , then the LHS equals to 0. Now we consider let replace  $f, g$  with  $f/\|f\|_p, g/\|g\|_q$  and it is suffices to show

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq 1$$

and notice we have

$$\int |fg| d\mu \leq \int \frac{1}{p} |f|^p + \frac{1}{q} |g|^q d\mu = 1$$

and the equality holds iff  $|fg| = p^{-1}|f|^p + q^{-1}|g|^q$  a.e. which means  $|f|^p = |g|^q$  a.e. for the replaced  $f, g$ .

### Theorem 1.2

(Minkowski's Inequality) If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f, g \in L^p$ , then

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$



**Proof**

Consider

$$\int |f + g|^p d\mu \leq \int |f + g|^{p-1} (|f| + |g|) \leq \|f + g\|_q (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) = \|f + g\|_p^{(p-1)/p}$$

and the inequality holds.

**Theorem 1.3**

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^p$  is a Banach space.

**Proof**

It suffices to show that  $L^p$  is complete, which can be induced from any absolutely convergence series  $S = \sum f_i$  converges. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$  and it is easy to check that  $S_n$  is Cauchy in  $L^p$ , then let  $G = \sum |f_i|$  and we have  $\|G\|_p = \lim \|G_n\|_p < \infty$  by the MCT where  $G_n = \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|$  and hence  $G \in L^p$  which means  $S$  converges a.e. and consider

$$\lim \|S - S_n\|_p = \lim \|S - S_n\|_p = 0$$

by the DCT.

**Proposition 1.1**

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the set of simple functions  $f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_{E_j}$ , where  $\mu(E_j) < \infty$  for all  $j$  is dense in  $L^p$ .

**Proof**

For  $f \in L^p$ , we may find  $|f_j| \uparrow |f|$  and  $f_j$  converges to  $f$  pointwise, then we assume  $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ji} \chi_{E_{ji}}$  and then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji}^p \mu(E_{ji}) = \int |f_j|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$$

and hence  $f_j$  is just in the required set, and by the DCT we know  $\|f - f_j\|_p \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 1.2**

$$\|f\|_\infty = \int \{a \geq 0 : \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > a\}) = 0\}$$

with the convention that  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$  and then it is called the essential supremum of  $|f|$ . And define

$$L^\infty = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \text{ mrb and } \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}$$

**Theorem 1.4**

- If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions on  $X$ , then  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$ , if  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L^\infty$ ,  $\|fg\|_1 = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$  iff  $|g(x)| = \|g\|_\infty$  a.e. on the set where  $f(x) \neq 0$ .
- $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a norm on  $L^\infty$ .
- $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  iff  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly a.e.
- $L^\infty$  is a Banach space.
- The simple functions are dense in  $L^\infty$ .



**Proof** a. Let  $E = \{|g| \leq \|g\|_\infty\}$  and then  $E$  is conull, so

$$\int |fg| d\mu = \int_E |fg| d\mu \leq \|g\|_\infty \int_E |f| d\mu = \int |f| d\mu \|g\|_\infty$$

where the equality can be reached when  $g(x) = \|g\|_\infty$  a.e. on  $E$ .

b. It suffices to show the triangle inequality where notice  $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ ,  $|g| \leq \|g\|_\infty$  a.e. and hence  $|f + g| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$  a.e.

c. Let  $E_n = \{|f_n - f| \leq \|f_n - f\|_\infty\}$  and then let  $E = \bigcap E_n$  conull and hence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  on  $E$  uniformly.

d. It suffices to show that an absolutely convergent series  $\sum f_i$  converges in  $L^\infty$  where we may know  $f_i \leq \|f_i\|_\infty$  a.e. on  $X$  for any integer  $i$  and hence we will know  $\sum |f_i| \leq \sum \|f_i\|_\infty$  a.e. and hence  $\sum f_i$  converges a.e. and we have  $|\sum f_i - \sum_1^n f_i| \leq \sum_{n+1}^\infty \|f_i\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  a.e.

e. Let  $f_j \rightarrow f$  be the simple functions converges to  $f$  uniformly where  $f$  is bounded and hence  $f_j \rightarrow f$  uniformly a.e. and hence  $\|f_j - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ .

### Proposition 1.2

If  $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$ , then  $L^q \subset L^p + L^r$ ; that is, each  $f \in L^q$  is the sum of a function in  $L^p$  and a function in  $L^r$ .

### Proof

Considering  $|f| > 1$  and  $|f| \leq 1$  separately will be fine.

### Proposition 1.3

If  $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$ , then  $L^p \cap L^r \subset L^q$  and  $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_r^{1-\lambda}$  where  $q^{-1} = \lambda p^{-1} + (1-\lambda)r^{-1}$ .

### Proof

Here we know

$$\int |f|^q d\mu = \int |f|^{\lambda q} |f|^{(1-\lambda)q} d\mu \leq \| |f|^{\lambda q} \|_{p/\lambda q} \| |f|^{(1-\lambda)q} \|_{r/(1-\lambda)q} = \|f\|_p^{\lambda q} \|f\|_r^{(1-\lambda)q}$$

by the Holder's inequality and the inequality holds.

### Proposition 1.4

If  $A$  is any set and  $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ , then  $l^p(A) \subset l^q(A)$  and  $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$ .

**Proof** If  $q = \infty$ , then  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup |f(\alpha)| \leq \|f\|_p$ . If  $q < \infty$ , then consider

$$\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_\infty^{1-\lambda} \leq \|f\|_p$$

### Proposition 1.5

If  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ , then  $L^p(\mu) \supset L^q(\mu)$  and  $\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_q \mu(X)^{(p^{-1}-q^{-1})}$ .

### Proof

Consider if  $q = \infty$ , then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|_\infty^p d\mu = \|f\|_\infty^p \mu(X)$$

and if  $q < \infty$ , then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu = \int (|f|^q)^{p/q} (1)^{(q-p)/q} d\mu \leq \|f\|_q^{p/q} \|1\|_{q/(q-p)}^{(q-p)/q} = \|f\|_q^p \mu(X)^{(1-p/q)}$$

by the Holder's inequality.

### Proposition 1.6

Suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are conjugate exponents and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . If  $g \in L^q$ , then

$$\|g\|_q = \|\phi_g\| = \sup\left\{\left|\int fg\right|, \|f\|_p = 1\right\}$$

If  $\mu$  is semifinite, this result holds also for  $q = \infty$ , where define

$$\phi_g(f) = \int fg$$

### Proof

It suffices to show that  $\|\phi_g\| \geq \|g\|_q$ . Let

$$f = \frac{|g|^{q-1} \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}}$$

and we have

$$\|f\|_p = \frac{\int |g|^{(q-1)p}}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}} = 1$$

$$\text{and } |\phi_g(f)| = \int fg = \frac{\int |g|^q}{\|g\|_q^{q-1}} = \|g\|_q.$$

If  $q = \infty$ , we know there exists  $B \subset \{|g| > \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon\}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mu(B) < \infty$ , then let

$$f = \mu(B)^{-1} \chi_B \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}$$

and we have  $\|f\|_1 = 1$  and

$$|\phi_g(f)| = \mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |g| \geq \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon$$

and hence  $\|\phi_g\| = \|g\|_\infty$ .

### Theorem 1.5

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be conjugate exponents. Suppose that  $g$  is a measurable function on  $X$  such that  $fg \in L^1$  for all  $f$  in  $\Sigma$  which is the space of all simple functions with a finite measure support, and the quantity

$$M_q(g) = \sup\left\{\left|\int fg\right|, f \in \Sigma \text{ and } \|f\|_p = 1\right\}$$

is finite. Also, suppose either that  $S_g = \{x, g(x) \neq 0\}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite or that  $\mu$  is semifinite. Then  $g \in L^q$  and  $M_q(g) = \|g\|_q$ .



### Proof

Notice for any  $f$  bounded with a finite measure support and  $\|f\|_p = 1$ , we know  $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty \chi_E$  where  $E$  is a finite support of  $f$  and consider  $f_n$  is simple function converge to  $f$  with  $|f_n| \leq |f|$  and then we know

$$\left|\int fg\right| = \lim \left|\int f_n g\right| \leq M_q(g)$$

by the DCT.

Suppose  $q < \infty$  and  $S_g$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then we may find  $E_n$  increasing to  $S_g$  with  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ , we may find  $\phi_n \rightarrow g$  and let  $g_n = \phi_n \chi_{E_n}$ . Then  $g_n \rightarrow g$  pointwise and let

$$f_n = \frac{g_n^{q-1} \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}}{\|g_n\|_q^{q-1}}$$

then we have

$$\|f_n\|_p = \frac{\int |g_n|^q}{\|g_n\|_q^q} = 1$$

and

$$\left|\int f_n g\right| = \int \frac{|g_n|^{q-1} |g|}{\|g_n\|_q^{q-1}} \geq \|g_n\|_q$$

which means  $M_q(g) \geq \|g_n\|_q$  for any integer  $n$  and hence  $M_q(g) \geq \|g\|_q$  by the MCT, which means  $g \in L^q$ .

If  $\mu$  is semifinite, then let  $E = \{|g| > \epsilon\}$  and then we know there is  $A \subset E$  with  $\mu(A) < \infty$  if  $\mu(E) > 0$ , and we have

$$M_q(g) \geq \left|\int \mu(A)^{-p-1} \chi_A \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)} g\right| \geq \epsilon \mu(A)^{1-p-1}$$

where  $\mu(A)$  can be arbitrarily large if  $\mu(E) = \infty$  and which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mu$  is semifinite will imply that  $S_g$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

If  $q = \infty$ , then let  $A = \{|g| \geq M_\infty(g) + \epsilon\}$ , if  $\mu(A)$  is positive, then we let  $f = \mu(A)^{-1} \chi_A \overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}$  and we know

$$\left|\int fg\right| \geq M_\infty(g) + \epsilon$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $\|g\|_\infty \leq M_\infty(g)$ .

### Theorem 1.6

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be conjugate exponents. If  $1 < p < \infty$ , for each  $\phi \in (L^p)^*$  there exists  $g \in L^q$  such that  $\phi(f) = \int fg$  for all  $f \in L^p$  and hence  $L^q$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(L^p)^*$ . The same conclusion holds for  $p = 1$  if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.



### Proof

Firstly assume  $\mu$  is finite, the all simple functions are in  $L^p$ , and then consider for disjoint sets  $E_j$  and  $E = \bigcup_j E_j$ , we have

$$\|\chi_E - \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{E_i}\|_p = \mu(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^\infty E_i) \rightarrow 0$$

then let  $\nu(E) = \phi(\chi_E)$  and

$$\nu(E) = \phi(E) = \lim \phi(\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{E_i}) = \lim \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(E_j)$$

and hence  $\nu$  is a complex measure. Also if  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $\nu(E) = \phi(\chi_E) = 0$ , so there is an  $g$  measurable such that  $\phi(\chi_E) = \nu(E) = \int_E g$  and notice

$$|\int fg| \leq \|\phi\| \|f\|_p$$

for any simple function in  $L^p$  and hence  $g \in L^q$  by theorem 1.5 and then we know  $fg \in L^1$  for any  $f \in L^p$  and hence  $\phi(f) = \int fg$  for any  $f \in L^p$ .

If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, let  $E_n$  increasing  $X$ ,  $\mu(E_n) > 0$  and then we know there is  $g_n \in L^q(E_n)$  on  $E_n$  such that  $\phi(f) = \int fg_n$  for any  $f \in L^p(E_n)$  and  $g_n = g_m$  on  $E_n$  a.e., then we define  $g = g_n$  on  $E_n$  and we know  $\|g\|_q = \lim \|g_n\|_q \leq \|\phi\|$  by the MCT, now we know

$$\int fg = \lim \int f \chi_{E_n} g = \lim \int fg_n = \lim \phi(f \chi_{E_n}) = \phi(f)$$

For general  $\mu$ , for a  $\sigma$ -finite subset  $E$ , there is  $g_E \in L^q(E)$  and  $\phi(f) = \int fg_E$  for any  $f \in L^p(E)$  and  $\|g_E\|_q \leq \|\phi\|$ , so we may find  $E_n$  such that  $\|g_{E_n}\|_q \rightarrow \sup \|g_E\|_q$  and let  $F = \bigcup E_n$  which is  $\sigma$ -finite, then we know  $\|g_F\|_q \geq \|g_{E_n}\|_q$  for any integer  $n$  and hence  $\|g_F\|_q = M$ . Then for any  $A$   $\sigma$ -finite, we will know

$$\int |g_F|^q + \int |g_{A-F}|^q = \int |g_{A \cup F}|^q \leq M = \int |g_F|^q$$

and hence  $g_{A-F} = 0$  a.e. and hence  $g_{A \cup F} = g_F$  a.e. for all  $A$   $\sigma$ -finite subset. If  $g \in L^p$ , we know  $S_f$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and hence  $\phi(f) = \int fg_{S_f \cup F} = \int fg_F$  for any  $f \in L^p$ .

### Corollary 1.1

If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $L^p$  is reflexive.



### Theorem 1.7

(Chebyshev's Inequality) If  $f \in L^p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ), then for any  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\mu(\{x : |f| > \alpha\}) \leq \left[ \frac{\|f\|_p}{\alpha} \right]^p$$



### Theorem 1.8

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, and let  $K$  be an  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ -measurable function on  $X \times Y$ . Suppose that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\int |K(x, y)| d\mu(x) \leq C$  for a.e.  $y \in Y$  and  $\int |K(x, y)| d\nu(y) \leq C$  for a.e.  $x \in X$  and that  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in L^p(\nu)$ , then the integral

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y)$$

converges absolutely for a.e.  $x \in X$ , the function  $Tf$  thus defines is in  $L^p(\mu)$  and  $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ .

**Proof** Consider

$$\int |K(x, y)f(y)|d\nu(y) \leq \|K(x, \cdot)^{q-1}\|_q \|K(x, y)^{p-1}|f(y)|\|_p \leq C^{q-1} \left[ \int |K(x, y)||f(y)|^p d\nu(y) \right]^{p^{-1}}$$

for a.e.  $x \in X$ , then we know

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf(x)|^p d\mu(x) &= \int \left| \int K(x, y)f(y)d\nu(y) \right|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int C^{p/q} \int |K(x, y)||f(y)|^p d\nu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &= C^{p/q} \int \int |K(x, y)|d\mu(x) |f(y)|^p d\nu(y) \\ &\leq C^{p/q+1} \|f\|_p^p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $Tf \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ .

### Theorem 1.9

Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, and let  $f$  be an  $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})$ -measurable function on  $X \times Y$ .

a. If  $f \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$$\left[ \int \left( \int f(x, y)d\nu(y) \right)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} \leq \int \left[ \int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$$

b. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f(\cdot, y) \in L^p(\mu)$  for a.e.  $y$ , and the function  $y \mapsto \|f(\cdot, y)\|_p$  is in  $L^1(\nu)$ , then  $f(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\nu)$  for a.e.  $x$ , the function  $x \mapsto \int f(x, y)d\nu(y)$  is in  $L^p(\mu)$  and

$$\left\| \int f(\cdot, y)d\nu(y) \right\|_p \leq \int \|f(\cdot, y)\|_p d\nu(y)$$

**Proof**

a. Let  $g \in L^q(\mu)$  and we have

$$\int \int f(x, y)d\nu(y) |g(x)|d\mu(x) \leq \|g\|_q \int \left[ \int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$$

and hence  $\left\| \int f(x, y)d\nu(y) \right\|_p \leq \int \left[ \int f(x, y)^p d\mu(x) \right]^{1/p} d\nu(y)$  by theorem 1.5.

b. This conclusion is obvious and by (a) if  $p < \infty$  and it goes when  $q = \infty$ .

### Theorem 1.10

Let  $K$  be a Lebesgue measurable function on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$  such that  $K(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \lambda^{-1}K(x, y)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\int_0^\infty |K(x, 1)|x^{-1/p}dx \leq C < \infty$  for some  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , and let  $q$  be the conjugate exponent to  $p$ . For  $f \in L^p$  and  $g \in L^q$ , let

$$Tf(y) = \int_0^\infty K(x, y)f(x)dx, \quad Sg(x) = \int_0^\infty K(x, y)g(y)dy$$

Then  $Tf$  and  $Sg$  are defined a.e. and  $\|Tf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$  and  $\|Sg\|_q \leq C\|g\|_q$ .

**Proof** Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int |Tf(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} &= \left( \int \left| \int K(x, y)f(x)dx \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int \left( \int |K(x, y)f(x)|dx \right)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \int \left( \int |K(z, 1)f(yz)|dz \right)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \int \|f(\cdot z)\|_p |K(z, 1)|dz \\ &\leq C\|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

by the Minkowski's inequality for integral and  $\|f(yz)\|_p = z^{-1/p}\|f\|_p$  and the other conclusion is the same since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |K(1, y)|y^{-1/q}dy &= \int_0^\infty |K(y^{-1}, 1)|y^{1-1/q}dy \\ &= -\int_0^\infty |K(u, 1)|u^{1/q+1}(-u^{-2})du = \int_0^\infty |K(u, 1)|u^{-1/p}du \leq C\end{aligned}$$

### Corollary 1.2

Let

$$Tf(y) = y^{-1} \int_0^y f(x)dx, \quad Sg(x) = \int_x^\infty y^{-1}g(y)dy$$

Then for  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1}\|f\|_p, \quad \|Sg\|_q \leq q\|g\|_q$$



### Proof

Let  $K(x, y) = y^{-1}\chi_{(x < y)}$  and we know

$$\int |K(x, y)|x^{-1/p}dx = y^{-1}qx^{1/q}|_0^y = q = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

### Definition 1.3

If  $f$  is a measurable function on  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , its distribution function  $\lambda_f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) = \mu(|f| > \alpha)$$



### Proposition 1.7

- a.  $\lambda_f$  is decreasing and right continuous.
- b. If  $|f| \leq |g|$ , then  $\lambda_f \leq \lambda_g$ .
- c. If  $|f_n|$  increases to  $|f|$ , then  $\lambda_{f_n}$  increases to  $\lambda_f$ .
- d. If  $f = g + h$ , then  $\lambda_f(\alpha) \leq \lambda_g(\frac{1}{2}\alpha) + \lambda_h(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)$ .



### Proof

- a. Trivial.
- b.  $\lambda_g(\alpha) = \mu(|g| > \alpha) \geq \mu(|f| > \alpha) = \lambda_f(\alpha)$ .
- c.  $\{|f| > \alpha\} = \bigcup \{|f_n| > \alpha\}$ .
- d.  $\{|f + g| > \alpha\} \subset \{|f| > \frac{1}{2}\alpha\} \cup \{|g| > \frac{1}{2}\alpha\}$ .

### Proposition 1.8

If  $\lambda_f(\alpha) < \infty$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\phi$  is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ , then

$$\int_X \phi \circ |f| d\mu = - \int_0^\infty d\lambda_f(\alpha)$$

where  $d\lambda_f = d\nu$ , which is the negative Borel measure defined by  $\lambda_f$ .



### Proposition 1.9

Consider for a  $h$ -interval  $(a, b]$ , we have

$$\int_X \chi_{(a, b]}(|f|)d\mu = \mu(b \leq |f| < a) = -\nu((a, b]) = - \int_0^\infty \chi_{(a, b]}d\lambda_f$$

and hence the equality holds for all Borel set  $E$ . The rest can be obtained by the MCT.



**Proposition 1.10**

If  $0 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\int |f|^p d\mu = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha$$

**Proof**

If  $\lambda_f(\alpha) = \infty$  for some  $\alpha$ , then we know the both sides are infinity. Then we assume  $\lambda_f < \infty$  and if  $f$  is simple, then  $\lambda_f$  should be bounded and vanish when  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  and the integration by parts will show it immediately.

For general case, let  $\{g_n\}$  be simple functions increase to  $|f|^p$  and the MCT will guarantee the equality.

**Definition 1.4**

If  $f$  is a measurable function on  $X$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , we define

$$[f]_p = \left( \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^p \lambda_f(\alpha) \right)^{1/p}$$

and the weak  $L^p$  space is all  $f$  such that  $[f]_p < \infty$ .

We have

$$L^p \subset \text{weak } L^p, \quad [f]_p \leq \|f\|_p$$

**Proposition 1.11**

If  $f$  is a measurable function and  $A > 0$ , let  $E(A) = \{x, |f| > A\}$  and set

$$h_A = f\chi_{X-E(A)} + A(\text{sgn}(f))\chi_{E(A)} \quad g_A = f - h_A = (\text{sgn}(f))(|f| - A)\chi_{E(A)}$$

then

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) = \lambda_f(\alpha + A), \quad \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \lambda_f(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha < A \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \geq A \end{cases}$$

**Proof**

Here we have

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) = \mu(\{|g_A| > \alpha\}) \leq \mu(\{|f| > \alpha + A\})$$

and by the way

$$\lambda_f(\alpha + A) = \mu(\{|f| - A > \alpha\}) \leq \mu(\{|g_A| > \alpha\})$$

Then we know

$$\lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) = \mu(\{|f|\chi_{X-E(A)}| > \alpha\}) + \mu(\{A|\chi_{E(A)}| > \alpha\}) = \chi_{\alpha < A}(\lambda_f(\alpha) - \lambda_f(A) + \lambda_f(A)) = \chi_{\alpha < A}\lambda_f(\alpha)$$

**Lemma 1.2**

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded continuous function on the strip  $0 \leq \text{Re } z \leq 1$  that is holomorphic on the interior of the strip.

If  $|\phi(z)| \leq M_0$  for  $\text{Re } z = 0$  and  $|\phi(z)| \leq M_1$  for  $\text{Re } z = 1$ , then  $|\phi(z)| \leq M_0^{1-t} M_1^t$  for  $\text{Re } z = t, 0 < t < 1$ .

**Proof**

Let  $\phi_n(z) = \phi(z)M_0^{z-1}M_1^{-z}e^{n^{-1}z(z-1)}$  and we know  $|\phi_n(0)|, |\phi_n(1)| \leq 1$  when  $\text{Re } z = 0, 1$  and notice  $|\phi_n| \rightarrow 0$  when  $|\text{Im } z| \rightarrow \infty$  since let  $z = x + iy$  and

$$|\phi_n(z)| = |\phi(z)|M_0^{x-1}|M_1^{-x}|e^{n^{-1}(x(x-1)-y^2)} \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow \infty$$

and then we know  $\phi_n(z) \leq 1$  on the strip by the maximal modulus principle, then we have

$$|\phi(z)|M_0^{t-1}M_1^{-t} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n(z)| \leq 1$$



**Theorem 1.11**

(The Riesz-Thorin Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  are measure spaces and  $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$ . If  $q_0 = q_1 = \infty$ , suppose also that  $\nu$  is semifinite. For  $0 < t < 1$ , define

$$p_t^{-1} = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad q_t^{-1} = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

If  $T$  is a linear map from  $L^{p_0}(\mu) + L^{p_1}(\mu)$  into  $L^{q_0}(\nu) + L^{q_1}(\nu)$  such that  $\|Tf\|_{q_0} \leq M_0\|f\|_{p_0}$  for  $f \in L^{p_0}(\mu)$  and  $\|Tf\|_{q_1} \leq M_1\|f\|_{p_1}$  for  $f \in L^{p_1}(\mu)$ , then  $\|Tf\|_{q_t} \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t\|f\|_{p_t}$  for  $f \in L^{p_t}(\mu)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . ♥

**Proof**

We know

$$\|Tf\|_{q_t} = \sup\left\{\left|\int (Tf)g\right|, g \in \Sigma_X, \|g\|_{\tilde{q}_t} = 1\right\}$$

where  $\tilde{q}_t$  is the conjugate of  $q_t$  and then we only need to show that

$$\left|\int (Tf)g\right| \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t$$

for any  $g \in \Sigma_X$  and  $\|f\|_{p_t} = 1$ . We assume  $f = \sum a_j \chi_{E_j}$  and  $g = \sum b_k \chi_{F_k}$ . Define

$$\alpha(z) = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad \beta(z) = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

and let

$$f_z = \sum |a_j|^{\alpha(z)/\alpha(t)} e^{i\theta_j} \chi_{E_j}$$

$$g_z = \sum |b_k|^{(1-\beta(z))/(1-\beta(t))} e^{i\varphi_k} \chi_{F_k}$$

where  $\theta_j = \text{Arg}(a_j)$ ,  $\varphi_k = \text{Arg}(b_k)$  and

$$\phi(z) = \int (Tf_z)g_z$$

here we assume  $\alpha(t) \neq 0, \beta(t) \neq 1$  and hence  $(p_0, p_1) \neq (\infty, \infty), (q_0, q_1) \neq (1, 1)$ . Then we know

$$\phi(z) = \sum |a_j|^{\alpha(z)/\alpha(t)} |b_k|^{(1-\beta(z))/(1-\beta(t))} e^{i(\varphi_k + \theta_j)} \int (T\chi_{E_j})\chi_{F_k}$$

which is an entire function and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(ir)| &\leq \|Tf_{ir}\|_{q_0} \|g_{ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_0} \leq M_0 \|f_{ir}\|_{p_0} \|g_{ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_0} \\ &= M_0 \int |f|^{p_0 \text{Re} \alpha(ir)/\alpha(t)} |1/p_0| \int |g|^{\tilde{q}_0 \text{Re}(1-\beta(ir))/(1-\beta(t))} |1/\tilde{q}_0| \\ &= M_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(1+ir)| &\leq \|Tf_{1+ir}\|_{q_1} \|g_{1+ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_1} \leq M_1 \|f_{1+ir}\|_{p_1} \|g_{1+ir}\|_{\tilde{q}_1} \\ &= M_1 \int |f|^{p_1 \text{Re} \alpha(1+ir)/\alpha(t)} |1/p_1| \int |g|^{\tilde{q}_1 \text{Re}(1-\beta(1+ir))/(1-\beta(t))} |1/\tilde{q}_1| \\ &= M_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we will know  $|\int (Tf)g| = |\phi(t)| \leq M_0^{1-t}M_1^t$  by the lemma 1.2. When  $p_0 = p_1 = \infty$ , the inequality is trivial and when  $q_0 = q_1 = 1$ , let  $g_z = g$  and the proof is fine.

Now we only need to prove that  $Tf = \lim T f_n$  for any  $f \in L^{p_t}$  where  $f_n \in \Sigma_X$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise with  $|f_n| \leq |f|$ . Consider  $g = f \chi_{|f| < 1}$  and  $h = f \chi_{|f| > 1}$ , then we know  $g \in L^{p_0}$  and  $h \in L^{p_1}$ , then we know  $\|Tg_n - Tg\|_{q_0} \leq M_0 \|g_n - g\|_{p_0} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|Th_n - Th\|_{q_1} \leq M_1 \|h_n - h\|_{p_1} \rightarrow 0$  by the DCT and hence there exists subsequence  $n_k$  such that  $Tg_{n_k} \rightarrow Tg, Th_{n_k} \rightarrow Th$  pointwise and hence  $Tf_{n_k} \rightarrow Tf$  pointwise, and

$$\|Tf\|_{q_t} \leq \liminf \|Tf_{n_k}\|_{q_t} \leq \liminf M_0^{1-t}M_1^t \|f_{n_k}\|_{p_t} = M_0^{1-t}M_1^t \|f\|_{p_t}$$

and the problem goes.

**Definition 1.5**

For  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  where  $X, Y$  are normed vector spaces and  $T$  is called sublinear if

$$|T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg| \quad |T(cf)| \leq c|Tf|$$

for any  $f, g \in X, c > 0$ .

Then we call a sublinear map  $T$  is strong type  $(p, q)$  if  $L^p(\mu) \subset X$  and  $T$  maps  $L^p(\mu)$  into  $L^q(\nu)$ , then there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|Tf\|_q \leq C\|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  for any  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ .

$T$  is weak type  $(p, q)$  if  $L^p(\mu) \subset X$  and  $T$  maps  $L^p(\mu)$  into weak  $L^q(\nu)$  and there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $[Tf]_q \leq C\|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.12**

(The Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem)

Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  are measure spaces and  $p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \in [1, \infty]$  such that  $p_0 \leq q_0, p_1 \leq q_1$  and  $q_0 \neq q_1$  and

$$p^{-1} = (1-t)p_0^{-1} + tp_1^{-1}, \quad q^{-1} = (1-t)q_0^{-1} + tq_1^{-1}$$

where  $0 < t < 1$ . If  $T$  is a sublinear map from  $L^{p_0}(\mu) + L^{p_1}(\mu)$  to the space of measurable functions on  $Y$  that is weak types  $(p_0, q_0)$  and  $(p_1, q_1)$ , then  $T$  is strong type  $(p, q)$ . More precisely, if  $[Tf]_{q_j} \leq C_j\|f\|_{p_j}$  for  $j = 0, 1$ , then  $\|Tf\|_q \leq B_p\|f\|_p$  where  $B_p$  depends only on  $p_j, q_j, C_j$  in addition to  $p$ ; and for  $j = 0, 1$ ,  $B_p|p - p_j|$  remains bounded as  $p \rightarrow p_j$  if  $p_j < \infty$ .

**Proof**

Assume  $p_0 = p_1, q_0 < q_1$ , then we know  $q < \infty$  and

$$C_0\|f\|_{p_0} \geq [Tf]_{q_0}, \quad C_1\|f\|_{p_0} \geq [Tf]_{q_1}$$

and we know if  $q_1 < \infty$  then for any  $f$  with  $\|f\|_{p_0} = \|f\|_{p_1} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &= q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq q \left[ \int_0^1 \alpha^{q-1} \left( \frac{C_0\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} d\alpha + \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \left( \frac{C_1\|f\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1} d\alpha \right] \\ &= qC_0^{q_0} \int_0^1 \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha + qC_1^{q_1} \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \\ &= \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} + \frac{q}{q_1-q} C_1^{q_1} = B_p^q \end{aligned}$$

If  $q_1 = \infty$ , then assume  $\|f\|_{p_0} = 1$ , we have

$$\int |Tf|^q = q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq q \int_0^{C_1\|f\|_{p_0}} \alpha^{q-1} \left( \frac{C_0\|f\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} d\alpha = \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0}$$

and hence

$$\|Tf\|_q = \| \|f\|_{p_0} T(f/\|f\|_{p_0}) \|_q \leq B_p \|f\|_{p_0}$$

where

$$B_p = \left( \left( \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} \right)^{1/q} \chi_{q_1=\infty} + \left( \frac{q}{q-q_0} C_0^{q_0} + \frac{q}{q_1-q} C_1^{q_1} \right)^{1/q} \chi_{q_1<\infty} \right)$$

when  $p_0 = p_1, q_0 < q_1$  and we know  $B_p$  is a constant respect to  $p$  and obviously we have  $B_p|p - p_j|$  is bounded when  $p \rightarrow p_j$ . Then we assume  $p_0 < p_1$ , then we have for any  $f \in L^p(\mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g_A|^{p_0} &= p_0 \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq p_0 \int_A^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \\ \int |h_A|^{p_1} &= p_1 \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq p_1 \int_0^A \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = A(\alpha)$  and

$$\int |Tf|^q = q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_{Tf}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq 2^q q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} (\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) + \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha)) d\alpha$$

and notice

$$\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) \leq \left( \frac{C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0}, \quad \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha) \leq \left( \frac{C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1}$$

where we may see  $g_A \in L^{p_0}$ ,  $h_A \in L^{p_1}$  by consider  $f' = f/A$ , then  $g'_1 = g_A/A$ ,  $h'_1 = h_A/A$  and we have

$$\int |h'_1|^{p_1} \leq \int |f'|^{p_1}, \quad \int |g'_1|^{p_0} \leq \int (|g'_1| + 1)^{p_0} \leq \int |f'|^{p_0}$$

and hence  $h'_1 \in L^{p_1}$ ,  $g'_1 \in L^{p_0}$ , which means the inequalities above holds for  $f$  and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \left[ \left( \frac{C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_0} + \left( \frac{C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1}}{\alpha} \right)^{q_1} \right] d\alpha \\ &= 2^q q \left[ C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_1^{q_1} p_1^{q_1/p_1} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left( \int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha \right] \end{aligned}$$

where we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{A(\alpha) \leq \beta} [\alpha^{p_0(q-q_0-1)/q_0} \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta)]^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_{A(\alpha) \leq \beta} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{A(\alpha) > \beta} [\alpha^{p_1(q-q_1-1)/q_1} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta)]^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \\ &= \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_{A(\alpha) > \beta} \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \end{aligned}$$

then we may consider if  $q_0 < q_1$  then let  $A(\alpha) = \alpha^r$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_0^{\beta^{1/r}} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{q-q_0} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_0(q-q_0)/r q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$r = \frac{p_0 q - q_0}{q_0 p - p_0} = \frac{q_0^{-1} - q^{-1}}{q^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{p_0^{-1} - p^{-1}} = \frac{q_0^{-1} - q_1^{-1}}{p_0^{-1} - p_1^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{q^{-1}} = \frac{q_1^{-1} - q^{-1}}{p_1^{-1} - p^{-1}} \frac{p^{-1}}{q^{-1}} = \frac{p_1 q - q_1}{q_1 p - p_1}$$

and we know if  $\|f\|_p = 1$  then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{q-q_0} \left( \frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_0/p_0} = |q-q_0|^{-1} p^{-q_0/p_0}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left( \int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_{\beta^{1/r}}^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_1/q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_1-q} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_1(q-q_1)/r q_1} d\beta \right]^{q_1/p_1} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_1-1} \left( \int_0^{A(\alpha)} \beta^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_1/p_1} d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{q_1-q} \left( \frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_1/p_1} = |q-q_1|^{-1} p^{-q_1/p_1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int |Tf|^q \leq 2^q q \left[ C_0^{q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q-q_0|^{-1} + C_1^{q_1} (p_1/p)^{q_1/p_1} |q-q_1|^{-1} \right]$$

when  $q_0 < q_1$  and if  $q_0 > q_1$ , let  $A(\alpha) = \alpha^r$  and notice  $r < 0$  so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} \left( \int_{A(\alpha)}^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right)^{q_0/p_0} d\alpha &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_{\beta^{1/r}}^\infty \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_0 - q} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \beta^{p_0(q-q_0)/rq_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

and the rest calculation are similar, we can still get

$$\int |Tf|^q \leq 2^q q \left[ C_0^{q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} + C_1^{q_1} (p_1/p)^{q_1/p_1} |q - q_1|^{-1} \right] = B_t$$

and to show  $B_p |p - p_j|$  is bounded when  $p \rightarrow p_j, j = 0, 1$ , it suffices to show that  $|(p - p_j)/(q - q_j)|$  is bounded when  $p \rightarrow p_j$  and which is easy to check by  $r$ .

For the rest conditions, we assume  $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$  at first, we know

$$\|Th_A\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|h_A\|_\infty$$

and let  $A(\alpha) = \alpha/C_1$  then  $\lambda_{Th_A}(\alpha) = 0$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_0^{C_1\beta} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

when  $\|f\|_p = 1$ , and hence

$$B_p = 2 \left[ C_0^{q_0} C_1^{q-q_0} (p_0/p)^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \right]^{1/q}$$

at this condition, which is bounded when  $p \rightarrow p_j, j = 0, 1$ .

Then assume  $q_0 < q_1 = \infty$ , we have

$$\|Th_A\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|h_A\|_{p_1} \leq C_1 \left( p_1 \int_0^A \alpha^{p_1-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/p_1} \leq C_1 p_1^{1/p_1} A^{(p_1-p)/p_1} (\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1}$$

and let  $A(\alpha) = [\alpha/[C_1(p_1\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1}]]^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-p}}$  and we get  $\|Th_{A(\alpha)}\|_\infty \leq \alpha$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^q &\leq 2^q q C_0^{q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\beta) \left( \int_0^{d\beta^{(p_1-p)/p_1}} \alpha^{q-q_0-1} d\alpha \right)^{p_0/q_0} d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} d^{q-q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta^{p_0-1+p_0(q-q_0)(p_1-p)/p_1 q_0} \lambda_f(\beta) d\beta \right]^{q_0/p_0} \\ &= 2^q q C_0^{q_0} \left( C_1(p_1\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_1} \right)^{q-q_0} p_0^{q_0/p_0} |q - q_0|^{-1} \left( \frac{\|f\|_p^p}{p} \right)^{q_0/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

For  $q_1 < q_0 = \infty$ , we have

$$\|Tg_A\|_\infty \leq C_0 \|g_A\|_{p_0} \leq C_0 \left( p_0 \int_A^\infty \alpha^{p_0-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \right)^{1/p_0} \leq C_0 p_0^{1/p_0} A^{(p_0-p)/p_0} (\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_0}$$

and let  $A(\alpha) = [\alpha/[C_0(p_0\|f\|_p^p/p)^{1/p_0}]]^{\frac{p_0}{p_0-p}}$  and we get  $\|T_{g_{A(\alpha)}}\|_\infty \leq \alpha$  and then the rest are the same.

### Definition 1.6

Suppose  $X_n, n \geq 0$  is a submartingale. Let  $a < b, N_0 = -1$  and for  $k \geq 1$  let

$$N_{2k-1} = \inf\{m > N_{2k-2}, X_m \leq a\}$$

$$N_{2k} = \inf\{m > N_{2k-1}, X_m \geq b\}$$

The  $N_j$  are stopping times so

$$H_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } N_{2k-1} < m \leq N_{2k} \text{ for some } k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines a predictable sequence.



**Proof**

Notice

$$\{N_{2k-1} = n\} = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq n-1} \{N_{2k-2} = m\} \cap \left( \bigcap_{n-1-m \geq k \geq 0} \{X_{m+k} > a\} \right) \cap \{X_n \leq a\}$$

and

$$\{N_{2k} = n\} = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq n-1} \{N_{2k-1} = m\} \cap \left( \bigcap_{n-1-m \geq k \geq 0} \{X_{m+k} < b\} \right) \cap \{X_n \geq b\}$$

and hence  $N_{2k-1}, N_{2k}$  are stopping times by induction.

And notice

$$\{N_{2k-1} < m \leq N_{2k} \text{ for some } k\} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{N_{2k-1} \leq m-1\} \cap \{N_{2k} \geq m\} \in \mathcal{F}_{m-1}$$

and hence  $H_m$  is predictable.

### Theorem 1.13

(Upcoming inequality) If  $X_m, m \geq 0$ , is a submartingale then

$$(b-a)EU_n \leq E(X_n - a)^+ - E(X_0 - a)^+$$

where  $U_n = \sup\{k, N_{2k} \leq n\}$ .

**Proof** Here we assume  $Y_m = a + (X_m - a)^+$  and we have

$$(b-a)U_n \leq (H \cdot Y)_n$$

let  $K_m = 1 - H_m$  and then we know that  $(K \cdot X)_n$  is a submartingale and then

$$E(K \cdot X)_n \geq E(K \cdot X)_0 = 0$$

so we know

$$E(H \cdot Y)_n \leq E(Y_n - Y_0) = E(X_n - a)^+ - E(X_0 - a)^+$$

since  $Y_n - Y_0 = (H \cdot Y)_n + (K \cdot Y)_n$

### Theorem 1.14

(Martingale convergence theorem) If  $X_n$  is a submartingale with  $\sup EX_n^+ < \infty$  then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $X_n$  converges a.s. to a limit  $X$  with  $E|X| < \infty$ .

**Proof** We know  $(X - a)^+ \leq X^+|a|$ , then we know

$$EU_n \leq (|a| + EX_n^+)/ (b-a)$$

so  $\sup X_n^+$  will imply that  $EU < \infty$  where  $U = \lim U_n$  and hence for all rational  $a, b$ , we know

$$P(\{\liminf X_n < a < b < \limsup X_n\}) = 0$$

and hence  $\lim X_n$  exists a.s. and  $EX^+ \leq \liminf EX_n^+ < \infty$  and hence  $X < \infty$  a.s. and notice

$$EX_n^- = EX_n^+ - EX_n \leq EX_n^+ - EX_0$$

and hence  $EX^- \leq \liminf EX_n^- \leq \liminf EX_n^+ - EX_0 < \infty$  therefore  $E|X| < \infty$ .

### Theorem 1.15

If  $X_n \geq 0$  is a supermartingale then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $X_n \rightarrow X$  a.s. and  $EX \leq EX_0$ .

**Proof** Let  $Y_n = -X_n$  and hence a submartingale with  $EY_n^+ = 0$ , then we know  $X_n \rightarrow X$  a.s. and we also have

$$EX \leq \liminf EX_n^+ \leq EX_0$$

### Proposition 1.12

The theorem 1.18. provide a method to show that a.s. convergence does not guarantee convergence in  $L^1$ .

**Proof** Let  $S_n$  be a symmetric simple random walk with  $S_0 = 1$  and  $P(\xi_i = 1) = P(\xi_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ , let  $N = \inf\{n : S_n = 0\}$  and  $X_n = S_{N \wedge n}$ . Then we know  $X_n$  nonnegative and  $EX_n = EX_0 = 1$  since  $X_n$  is a martingale, then we know  $X_n \rightarrow X$  where  $X$  is some r.v. and hence  $X = 0$ , because there is no way to converge to others and hence  $X_n$  do not converge to  $X$  in  $L^1$ .

### Proposition 1.13

*Convergence in probability do not guarantee convergence a.s.*



**Proof** Let  $X_0 = 0$  and  $P(X_k = 1|X_{k-1} = 0) = P(X_k = -1|X_{k-1} = 0) = \frac{1}{2k}$ ,  $P(X_k = 0|X_{k-1} = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$  and  $P(X_k = kX_{k-1}|X_{k-1} \neq 0) = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $P(X_k = 0|X_{k-1} \neq 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$ , then we know  $X_k \rightarrow 0$  in probability, but  $P(X_k = 0, k \geq K)$  and it picks discrete values and hence  $X_k$  can not converge to 0 a.s.