# The Potentials Theory on Denumerable Markov Chain

Boren(Wells) Guan

Date: April 29, 2024

### 1 Basic Definition

**Definition 1.1.** (Irreducible Markov Chain)

 $x \leftrightarrow y$  if  $x \to y$  and  $y \to x$  and we call x and y communicate and easy to be checked an equivalence relation on X. Then we call an equivalence class w.r.t.  $\leftrightarrow$  as an irreducible class. We call (X, P) to be irreducible if it is an irreducible class.

#### **Definition 1.2.** (Harmonic Functions)

Let (X, P) be a finite, irreducible Markov chain. We choose and fix a subset  $X^{\circ} \subset X$  called the interior, and  $\partial X = X - X^{\circ}$ , we suppose  $X^{\circ}$  is connected i.e.  $P_{X^{\circ}}$  is irreducible.

We call a function  $h: X \to \mathbb{R}$  harmonic on  $X^{\circ}$  if h(x) = Ph(x) for every  $x \in X^{\circ}$ , where  $Ph(x) = \sum_{y \in X} p(x, y)h(y)$ , which is also called mean value property. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}) = \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}, P)$  is the linear space of all functions on X and harmonic on  $X^{\circ}$ .

For a general finite Markov chain, we define the linear space of harmonic functions on X with

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(X, P) = \{ h : X \to \mathbb{R}, h(x) = Ph(x), x \in X \}$$

**Definition 1.3.** (Hitting distribution)

Let  $s = s^{\partial X}$ , then  $P_x(s^{\partial X} < \infty) = 1$  for any  $x \in X$ .

Then we may define

$$v_{x}(y) = P_{x}(s < \infty, Z_{s} = y), y \in \partial X$$

and then  $v_x$  will become a probability distribution on  $\partial X$ , called the hitting distribution of  $\partial X$ .

**Proof.** Here we introduce  $\tilde{P}$  which is defined by  $\tilde{p}(x,y) = p(x,y)$  for  $x \in X^{\circ}$  and  $\tilde{p}(x,y) = \delta_x$  for  $x \in \partial X$ , then it is easy to check  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}, P)$  iff  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}, \tilde{P})$  and s is the same on (X, P) and  $(X, \tilde{P})$ . So consider s on  $(X, \tilde{P})$ , we know

$$P(s^{\partial X} < \infty) = 1$$

by corollary 1.3.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 1.4.** (Superharmonic functions)

All functions  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  are assumed to be *P*-integrable (which is a subspace) i.e.

$$\sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) |f(y)| < \infty$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

A real function h on X is called harmonic if h(x) = Ph(x) and superharmonic if  $h(x) \ge Ph(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Addition to  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{H}^+ = \{h \in \mathcal{H}, h(x) \ge 0\}$$
  $\mathcal{H}^\infty = \{h \in \mathcal{H}, h \text{ is bounded on } X\}$ 

and lett S = S(X, P) the space of all superharmonic functions and similarly  $S^+, S^{\infty}$ 

#### **Definition 1.5.** (Invariant and excessive measures)

Here we assume the invariant measure must satisfy nonnegative and

$$vP(y) = \sum_{x \in X} v(x)p(x, y) < \infty$$

Recall we call a measure on X is invariant or stationary if v = vP and excessive or superinvariant v = vP. We denote  $I^+ = I^+(X, P)$  and  $E^+ = E^+(X, P)$  the cones of all invariant and excessive measures.

#### **Definition 1.6.** (Induced Markov chain)

Suppose (X, P) is irreducible and substochastic. Let  $A \subset X$  and we may define

$$p^{A}(x, y) = P_{x}(t^{A} < \infty, Z_{t^{A}} = y)$$

where  $p^A(x, y) = 0$  if  $y \notin A$ . Then we may know  $P^A = (p^A(x, y))$  is substochastic and  $(A, P^A)$  is called the Markov chain induced by (X, P) on A.

Here the irreducibility of (X, P) implies irreducibility of the induced chain.

**Proof.** For  $x, y \in A$  there are n > 0 and  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$  such that  $p(x, x_1)p(x_1, x_2) \dots p(x_{n-1}, y) > 0$  and let  $x_{i_k} \in A$  and we know  $p^A(x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}}) \le p^A(x_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}})$ .

**Definition 1.7.** If  $P^A$  is stochastic, then we call A is recurrent for (X, P).

**Definition 1.8.** For  $A, B \subset X$ , define the restriction of P to  $A \times B$  by  $P_{A,B} = (p(x,y))_{x \in A, y \in B}$ .

#### **Definition 1.9.** (Potentials)

A *G*-integrable function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is one that satisfies  $\sum_{y} G(x,y)|f(y)| < \infty$  for each  $x \in X$ . In this case,  $g(x) = Gf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} G(x,y)f(y)$  is called the potential of f, while f is called the charge of g. The support of f is  $\{x \in X, f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

We may know  $(I - G)^{-1}$  convergent.

### **Definition 1.10.** (F and L functions)

For  $A \subset X$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , we define

$$F^{A}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{x}(Z_{n} = y, Z_{j} \notin A \text{ for } 0 \le j < n) \chi_{A}(y)$$

and

$$L^{A}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{x}(Z_{n} = y, Z_{j} \notin A \text{ for } 0 < j \le n) \chi_{A}(x)$$

And for P and an excessive measure v, define the v-reversal  $\hat{P}$  of P as (to secure  $\hat{p}$  is substochastic)

$$\hat{p}(x, y) = v(y)p(y, x)/v(x)$$

#### **Definition 1.11.** (Reduced measure)

Reduced measure on A is defined by

$$R^{A}[v](x) = \inf\{\mu \in E^{+}, \mu(y) \ge v(y), y \in A\}$$

### **Definition 1.12.** (Potential of measures and Balayee)

Define the potential of an excessive measure v by vG.

If f is a non-negative G-integrable function on X, then the balayee of f is the function  $f^A = L^A f$ . If  $\mu$  is a non-negative, G-integrable measure on X, then the balayee of  $\mu$  is the measure  $\mu^A = \mu F^A$ .

# 2 Solution of Dirichelet problem

**Lemma 2.1.** We call a set  $B \subset X$  convex if  $x, y \in B$  and  $x \to w \to y$  implies  $w \in B$ . For  $B \subset X$  finite, convex set containing no essential elements. Then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for each  $x \in B$  and all but finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\sum_{y \in B} p^{(n)}(x, y) \le (1 - \epsilon)^n$$

**Proof.** B is a disjoint union of finite nonessential irreducible classes  $C(x_1), \dots, C(X_k)$  and assume  $C(x_1), C(x_2), \dots, C(x_j)$  are the maximal elements in the partial order  $\rightarrow$  restricted on  $C(x_i), 1 \le i \le k$ . We know there is  $v_i \in X$  such that  $x_i \to v_i$  but  $v_i \not\to x_i$  for  $1 \le i \le j$  with  $v_i \in X - B$ . For  $x \in B$ ,  $x \to x_i$  for some i and hence  $x \to v_i$  while  $v_i \not\to x$  for some i. So we may find  $m_x$  such that

$$\sum_{y \in B} p^{(m_x)}(x, y) < 1$$

Let  $m = \max\{m_x, x \in B\}$  and  $x \in B$ , we know

$$\sum_{y \in B} p^{(m)}(x,y) = \sum_{y \in B} \sum_{\omega \in X} p^{(m_x)}(m_x)(x,\omega) p^{(m-m_x)}(\omega,y) < 1$$

since B is finite, there is  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{y \in B} p^{(m)}(x, y) \le 1 - \kappa$$

let  $n \ge m$  and we assume n = km + r and we know

$$\sum_{y \in B} p^{(n)}(x,y) = \sum_{w \in B} p^{(km)}(x,w) = \sum_{y \in B} p^{(k-1)m} \sum_{\omega \in B} p^{(m)}(y,\omega) \le \dots \le (1-\kappa)^k = (1-\epsilon)^n$$
 where  $\epsilon = 1 - (1-\kappa)^{1/2m}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For C finite, non-essential irreducible class. The expected number of visits C starting from  $x \in C$  is finite, i.e.

$$E_x(v^C) \le 1/\epsilon + M$$

Then we may know

$$P_x(\exists k, Z_n \in C \ for \ all \ n > k) = 1$$

since  $P(v^C = \infty) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** If the set of all non-essential states in X is finite, then the Markov chain reaches some essential class with probability one:

$$P_{x}(s^{X_{ess}} < \infty) = 1$$

where  $X_{ess}$  is the union of all essential classes.

**Lemma 2.4.** (Maximum principle) Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ})$  and  $M = \max_{X} h(x)$ , then there is  $y \in \partial X$  such that h(y) = M.

If h is non-constant then h(x) < M for every  $x \in X^{\circ}$ .

**Proof.** Here we may know if  $x \in X^{\circ}$  and h(x) = M, then choose any  $y \in X$  and we have

$$M = h(x) = p^{(n)}(x, y)h(y) + \sum_{v \neq y} p^{(n)}(x, v)h(v)$$

$$\leq p^{(n)}(x, y)h(y) + (1 - p^{(n)}(x, y))M$$

where *n* such that  $p^{(n)}(x, y) > 0$  and hence h(y) = M, which means *h* is then constant. And we are done.

**Theorem 2.5.** (Solution of the Dirichlet problem) For every function  $g: \partial X \to \mathbb{R}$  there is a unique function  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}, P)$  such that h(y) = g(y) for all  $y \in \partial(X)$  which is given by

$$h(x) = \int_{\partial X} g d\nu_x$$

**Proof.** We firstly prove that the uniqueness of the solution, if  $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}(X^{\circ}, P)$ , then we know h - h' should be the solution of the Dirichlet problem when g = 0 and by the maximum principle, we know  $h - h' \le 0$  and  $h' - h \le 0$  and we know h = h'.

Now we prove the existence of h, firstly we would like to show that  $x \mapsto v_x(y)$  is harmonic, since

$$\begin{split} \sum_{v \in X} p(x, v) v_v(y) &= \sum_{v \in X} p(x, v) P_v(s < \infty, Z_s = y) \\ &= \sum_{v \in X} p(x, v) P_x(s < \infty, Z_s = y | Z_1 = v) \\ &= \sum_{v \in X} P_x(s < \infty, Z_s = y, Z_1 = v) \\ &= v_x(y) \end{split}$$

and hence  $h = \int_{\partial x} g dv_x$  is actually a combination of harmonic functions with h(y) = g(y) for  $y \in \partial X$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let (X, P) be a finite Markov chain, and denote its essential classes by  $C_i$ ,  $i \in I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

- a. If h is harmonic on X, then h is constant on each  $C_i$ .
- b. For each function  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  there is a unique function  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X, P)$  such that for all  $i \in I$  and  $x \in C_i$  one has h(x) = g(i).

**Proof.** a. We know for any  $x \in C_i$ ,  $x \to y$  iff  $y \in C_i$  and then if  $M_i = \max_{C_i} h = h(x)$ ,  $x \in C_i$ , then for any  $y \in C_i$ , we know

$$h(x) = \sum_{y \in X} p^{(n)}(x, y) h(y) \le \sum_{v \in C_i, v \ne y} p^{(n)}(x, y) M + p^{(n)}(x, y) h(y)$$

for any  $n, y \in C_i$  and we are done.

b. Let prove the uniqueness at first, if h, h' are harmonic functions on X, then assume  $M = \max_X h$  and be obtained at  $x \in X - X_{ess}$ , then we know since  $P_x(s < \infty)$  by corollary 1.3. where  $s = s^{X_{ess}}$ , then there will be an  $y \in X_{ess}$  such that

$$M = h(x) \le p^{(n)}(x, y)h(y) + (1 - p^{(n)}(x, y))M$$

and hence the maximum has to be obtained at  $X^{ess}$  and the rest is easy to be checked.

Now we define  $v_x(i) = P_x(s < \infty, Z_s \in C_i)$  which will be an harmonic function since

$$\sum_{v \in X} p(x, y) P_y(s < \infty, Z_s \in C_i) = v_x(i)$$

and it is easy to check that

$$h(x) = \sum_{i \in I} g(i) v_x(i)$$

will be a solution.

## 3 Infinite cases

In the section we assume P is irreducible on X.

**Lemma 3.1.** (Maximum principle) (Assume |X| > 1) If  $h \in \mathcal{H}(X, P)$  and there is  $x \in X$  such that  $h(x) = M = \max_X h$ , then h is constant, where P is substochatic. Furthermore, if  $M \neq 0$  then P is stochastic.

**Proof.** We still have

$$M \le \sum_{y \ne x'} p^{(n)}(x, y) M + p^{(n)}(x, x') h(x') \le (1 - p^{(n)}(x, x')) M + p^{(n)}(x, x') h(x')$$

and hence h = M, if  $M \neq 0$ . we know the equality has to be reached by P is stochastic.

**Lemma 3.2.** a. If  $h \in S^+$  then  $P^n h \in S^+$  for each n, and either h = 0 for h > 0.

b. If  $h_i$ ,  $i \in I$  is a family of superharmonic functions and  $h(x) = \inf_I h_i(x)$  defines a P-integrable function if I is finite or  $h_i$  is bounded below, then also h is superharmonic.

**Proof.** a. Firstly, the *P*-integrability of *h* implies that of *Ph* since

$$\sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) |Ph(y)| \le \sum_{y \in X, w \in X} p(x, y) |h(y)| < \infty$$

and by induction  $P^nh \in S^+$ , and it is easy to check that  $P^nh \le h$  by  $f \ge g$  implies  $Pf \ge Pg$ , for each 0 and so if h(x) = 0 for some x, then h will be 0.

b. We know  $Ph \le Ph_i \le h_i$  implies  $Ph \le h$ .

For the *P*-integrability, we may use the MCT for the first cases for  $h^-$  and Fatou for  $h^+$ . On the other case  $h^-$  is easier.

**Lemma 3.3.** If (X, P) is transient, then for each  $y \in X$ , the function  $G(\cdot, y)$  is superharmonic and positive. There is at most one  $y \in X$  for which  $G(\cdot, y)$  is a constant function. If P is stochastic, then  $G(\cdot, y)$  is non-constant for every y.

**Proof.** We know

$$PG(x, y) = \sum_{w \in X} p(x, w)G(w, y) = G(x, y)$$

and

$$PG(y, y) = \sum_{w \in X} p(y, w)G(w, y) = G(y, y) - 1$$

and hence  $G(\cdot, y) \in S^+$ . Suppose  $y_1, y_2 \in X$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$  such that  $G(\cdot, y_i)$  are constant, then

$$F(y_1, y_2) = G(y_1, y_2)/G(y_2, y_2) = 1, F(y_2, y_1) = 1$$

and then  $F(y_1, y_1) \ge F(y_1, y_2)F(y_2, y_1) \ge 1 = 1$  and  $y_1$  is recurrent, which is a contradiction.

If P is stochastic, since  $G(\cdot, y)$  is strictly superharmonic and there will be a contradiction since constant function is harmonic.

**Lemma 3.4.** a. If  $v \in E^+$  then  $vP^n \in E^+$  for each n and either v = 0 or v(x) > 0 for every x.

b. If  $v_i$ ,  $i \in I$  is a family of excessive measures, then also  $v(x) = \inf_I v_i(x)$  is excessive.

c. If (X, P) is transient, then for each  $x \in X$ , the measure  $G(x, \cdot)$  defined by  $y \mapsto G(x, y)$  is excessive.

**Proof.** a. Here we know

$$vP^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{y \in X} p^{(n)}(y, x)v(y) \le v(x)$$

and hence if v(x) = 0, then v(y) = 0 since (X, P) irreducible.

- b.  $vP \leq v_i P \leq v_i$ .
- c. We know

$$G(x,\cdot)P(y) = \sum_{w \in X} G(x,w)p(w,y) \le G(x,y)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** In the recurrent as well as in the transient case, for each  $x \in X$ , the measure  $L(x, \cdot)$  defined by  $y \mapsto L(x, y)$  is finite and excessive.

**Theorem 3.6.** (X, P) is recurrent iff every nonnegative superharmonic function is constant.

**Proof.** (Here notice (X, P) is either transient or recurrent since it is irreducible).

a. Suppose that (X, P) is recurrent, we show that  $S^+ = \mathcal{H}^+$ , let  $h \in S^+$ , we have

$$g = h - Ph$$

is non-negative and P-integrable. We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P^{k} g = h - P^{n+1}(x)$$

If g(y) > 0 for some y, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{(k)}(x, y)g(y) \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} P^{k}g(x) \le h(x)$$

and then we have

$$G(y, y) \le h(y)/g(y) < \infty$$

which is a contradiction since y is recurrent. So g = 0 and hence h is harmonic.

Then consider for any  $h \in S^+ = \mathcal{H}^+$ , let  $x, y \in X$  and define  $g(v) = \min_{h(v), h(x)}$ , then we know

$$Pg(y) = \sum_{x \in X} p(y, x)g(x) \le Ph(y)$$

if  $h(y) \le h(x)$  and the RHS is less than h(x) since P is substochastic, so g is subharmonic and hence harmonic, then g should be constant and hence for any  $y \ne x h(y) \ge h(x)$  and then we know h is constant.

b. If (X, P) is transient, then since all the superharmonic functions are constant, then it has to be |X| = 1 which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let (X, P) be substochastic and irreducible. Then (X, P) is recurrent iff there is a non-zero invariant measure v such that each excessive measure is a multiple of v. Then P must be stochastic.

### 4 Induced Markov chains

**Lemma 4.1.** If A is recurrent for (X, P) then

$$P_{x}(t^{A} < \infty) = 1$$
, for all  $x \in X$ 

**Proof.** We know

$$P_{\scriptscriptstyle X}(t^A < \infty) = \sum_{y \in A} p(x,y) + \sum_{y \in X-A} p(x,y) P_y(t^A < \infty)$$

If we have  $P_y(t^A < \infty) = 1$ , then we know  $h(x) = P_x(t^A < \infty)$  and hence to be a constant on (X, P).  $\Box$ 

Lemma 4.2. 
$$P^A = P_A + P_{A,X-A}G_{X-A}P_{X-A,A}$$

**Proof.** Notice for  $x, y \in A$ , we have

$$p^A(x,y) = p(x,y) + \sum_{v \in X-A} p(x,v) P_v(t^A < \infty, Z_{t^A} = y)$$

and then

$$P_v(t^A < \infty, Z_{t^A} = y) = \sum_{w \in X-A} P_v(t^A < \infty, Z_{t^A-1} = \omega, Z_{t^A} = y)$$

$$\sum_{w \in X-A} \sum_{n \ge 1} P_v(t^A = n, Z_{n-1} = w, Z_n = y)$$

$$= \sum_{w \in Y-A} G_{X-A}(v, w) p(w, y)$$

and we have

$$p^{A}(x, y) = p(x, y) + \sum_{v \in X - A} \sum_{w \in X - A} p(x, v) G_{X - A}(v, w) p(w, y)$$

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $A \subset B \subset X$ , then  $(P^B)^A = P^A$ .

**Proof.** We should give an interpretation of  $Z_n^B$  and define  $w_N^B(\omega) = k$  if  $n \le v^B(\omega)$  and k is the instant of the n-th return visit to B, then  $Z_n^B = Z_{w_n^B}$  if  $n \le v^B$ .

Let  $t_B^A$  be the stopping time of the first visit of  $(Z_n^B)$  in A. Since  $A \subset B$ , we have for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $t^A(\omega) = \infty$  iff  $t_B^A(\omega) = \infty$  and  $t^A(\omega) \ge t^B(\omega)$ . Hence, if  $t^A(\omega) < \infty$ , we know

$$Z_{t_{p}^{A}(\omega)^{B}}(\omega) = Z_{t^{A}(\omega)}(\omega)$$

so for  $x, y \in A$ , we have

$$(p^B)^A(x,y) = P_x(t^A_B < \infty, Z^B_{t^A_B} = y) = P_x(t^A < \infty, Z_{t^A} = y) = p^A(x,y).$$

by consider  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $v \in E^+(X, P)$ ,  $A \subset X$  and  $v_A$  the restriction of v to A. Then  $v_A \in E^+(A, P^A)$ .

**Proof.** For  $x \in A$ , then

$$v_A(x) = v(x) \ge vP(x) = v_A P_A(x) + v_{X-A} P_{X-A,A}(x)$$

and hence

$$v_A \ge v_A P_A + v_{X-A} P_{X_A, A}$$

and similarly

$$v_{X-A} \ge v_{X-A} P_{X-A} + v_A P_{A,X-A}$$

and multiply  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{X-A}^k$  to RHS and we obtain

$$v_{X-A} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{X-A}^k \ge v_{X-A} P_{X-A}^n + v_A P_{A,X-A} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{X-A}^k)$$

and hence

$$v_{X-A} \ge v_A P_{A,X-A} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{X-A}^k)$$

for every  $n \ge 1$ . And we know

$$v_A P_{A,X-A}(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{X-A}^k) \rightarrow v_A P_{A,X-A} G(X-A)$$

since  $I/(I - P_{X-A}) = G(X - A)$  and then

$$v_A \ge v_A P_A + v_A P_{A,X-A} G(X-A) P_{X-A,A} = v_A P^A$$

# 5 Potentials, Riesz decomposition

For the rest part, we assume (X, P) is irreducible and transient, which means

$$0 < G(x, y) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** a. If g is the potential of f, then f = (I - P)g. Furthermore,  $P^n g \to 0$  pointwise.

b. If f is non-negative, then  $g = Gf \in S^+$  and g is harmonic on X - supp(f) that is Pg(x) = g(x) for every  $x \in X - supp(f)$ .

**Proof.** a. Suppose that  $f \ge 0$  firstly, then we know

$$PGf(x) = \sum_{y \in X} p(x, y) \sum_{w \in X} G(w, y) f(y) = GPf = \sum_{n \ge 1} P^n f = Gf - f$$

since

$$Gf = \sum_{y \in X} \sum_{n \ge 0} P^{(n)}(x, y) f(y) = \sum_{n \ge 0} P^n f$$

by MCT. And hence Gf is superharmonic and harmonic on X - supp(f). Then notice

$$P^{n}g(x) = GP^{n}f(x) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f(x)$$

has to be convergent to 0. For general f, decompose it as  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  will be fine.

**Theorem 5.2.** (Riesz decomposition theorem) If  $u \in S^+$  then there are a potential  $g \in Gf$  and a function  $h \in \mathcal{H}^+$  such that

$$u = Gf + h$$

The decomposition is unique.

**Proof.** Since  $u \ge 0$  and  $u \ge u$ , for every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \ge 0$ , we know

$$P^n u(x) \ge P^{n+1} u(x) \ge 0$$

Therefore, there is the limit function

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P^n u(x)$$

where

$$Ph(x) = P(\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n u)(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P^{n+1} u(x) = h(x)$$

by DCT since u is P-integrable. Then let f = u - Pu and then we know

$$u - h = Gf$$

Then let us prove the uniqueness, we consider  $u = g_1 + h_1$  another decomposition, then  $P^n = P^n g_1 + h_1$  and then we know  $P^n u \to h_1$  since  $P^n g_1 \to 0$  and we are done.

**Corollary.** a. If g is a non-negative potential then the only function  $h \in \mathcal{H}^+$  with  $g \ge h$  is h = 0.

b. If  $u \in S^+$  and there is a potential g = Gf with  $g \le u$ , then u is the potential of a non-negative function.

**Proof.** a.  $h = P^n h \le P^n g \to 0$  pointwise.

**Theorem 5.3.** (Approximation theorem) If  $h \in S^+(X, P)$  then there is a sequence of potentials  $g_n = Gf_n$ ,  $f_n \ge 0$  such that  $g_n(x) \le g_{n+1}(x)$  for x and n, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = h(x)$$

*Notice here we do not use that h is G-integrable.* 

Proof. Define

$$R^A[h](x) = \inf\{u(x), u \in S^+, u(y) \ge h(y) \text{ for all } y \in A\}$$

and  $R^A[h] \leq h$ . In particular, we have

$$R^A[h](x) = h(x)$$

for  $x \in A$ . And by lemma 2.3. we know  $R^A[h](x) \in S^+$ . Let A be a finite subset X. Let  $f_0(x) = h(x)$  if  $x \in A$  and  $f_0(x) = 0$ .  $f_0$  is non-negative and finitely supported. Then  $Gf_0$  exists and finite on X, with  $Gf_0 \ge f_0$ . So  $Gf_0$  is a superharmonic function since  $PGf_0 = GPf_0 \le Gf_0$  and with  $Gf_0 \ge h$  on A. So we know  $R^A[h] \le Gf_0$ .

So we know  $R^A[h]$  has to be a potential and then let B be another finite subset of X containing A. Then  $R^B[h] \ge R^A[h]$ . Let  $A_n$  be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of X such that  $X = \bigcup_n A_n$  and let  $g_n = R^{A_n}[h]$  then we know  $g_n \le h$  but  $g_n = h$  on  $A_n$ .

# 6 Domination principle

**Proposition 6.1.** a. We have

$$\hat{L}^A(x,y) = \frac{v(y)F^A(y,x)}{v(x)}, \quad \hat{F}^A(x,y) = \frac{v(y)L^A(y,x)}{v(x)}$$
 b.  $x \in A \implies F^A(x,\cdot) = \delta_x, y \in A \implies L^A(\cdot,y) = 1_y$ .

**Proof.** a. We have

$$\begin{split} \hat{L}^A(x,y) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum \hat{P}_x(Z_n = y, Z_j = x_j, 0 \leq j < n) \chi_A(x) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum v(y) p(y,\cdot) \cdots p(\cdot,x) / v(x) \\ &= v(y) \sum_{n \geq 0} P_y(Z_n = x, Z_j \not\in A) \chi_A(x) / v(x) \\ &= v(y) F^A(y,x) / v(x) \end{split}$$

and the rest is similar.

b. 
$$x \in A$$
, then  $F^A(x, y) = P_x(Z_0 = y)$ . And the other one is similar.

**Lemma 6.2.** a.  $G = G_{X-A} + F^A G$ .

$$b. \ G = G_{X-A} + GL^A.$$
 
$$c. \ F^AG = GL^A = G - G_{X-A}.$$

**Proof.** We know

$$\begin{split} p^{(n)}(x,y) &= P_x(Z_n = y, s^A > n) + P_x(Z_n = y, s^A \le n) \\ &= p_{X-A}^{(n)}(x,y) + \sum_{v \in A} \sum_{k=0}^n P_x(Z_n = y, s^A = k, Z_k = v) \\ &= p_{X-A}^{(n)}(x,y) + \sum_{v \in A} \sum_{k=0} P_x(s^A = k, Z_k = v) p^{(n-k)}(v,y) \end{split}$$

then we have

$$G(x, y) = G_{X-A}(x, y) = \sum_{v \in A} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_x(s^A = k, Z_k = v)) (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{(n)}(v, y))$$

and hence

$$G(x, y) = G_{X-A}(x, y) + \sum_{v \in X} F^A(x, v)G(v, y)$$

The rest is to enumerate the last time of visiting A.

**Lemma 6.3.**  $P^A = P_{A,X}F^A = L^A P_{X,A}$ .

**Proof.** We know

$$\begin{split} p^A(x,y) &= p(x,y) + \sum_{v \in X-A} p(x,v) P_v(s^A < |infty, Z_{s^A} = y) \\ &= \sum_{v \in A} p(x,v) \delta_v(y) + \sum_{v \in X-A} p(x,v) F^A(v,y) \\ &= \sum_{v \in X} p(x,v) F^A(v,y) \end{split}$$

Then let v = 1 and we have

$$p^{A}(x, y) = \hat{p}(y, x) = \sum_{v \in X} \hat{p}(y, v) \hat{F}(v, x) = \sum_{v \in X} L(x, v) p(v, y)$$

and we are done. (Ensured by proposition 2.1. c)

**Lemma 6.4.** a. If  $h \in S^+(X, P)$ , then  $F^A h(x) = \sum_{y \in A} F^A(x, y) h(y)$  if finite and

$$F^A h(x) \le h(x)$$

b. If  $v \in E^+(X, P)$ , then  $vL^A(y) = \sum_{x \in A} v(x)L^A(x, y)$  is finite and

$$vL^A(y) \le v(y)$$

**Proof.** By approximation theorem, we may find  $g_n = Gf_n$  such that  $g_n \uparrow h$  on X. The  $f_n$  can be chosen to have finite support. So

$$F^{A}g_{n} = F^{A}Gf_{n} = Gf_{n} - G_{X-A}f_{n} \le g_{n} \le h$$

and hence  $F^A h \leq h$  by MCT.

For the other conclusion, we know

$$vL^A(y) = \sum_{x \in A} v(x)L^A(x, y) = \sum_{x \in A} \hat{F}^A(y, x)v(y) \le v(y)$$

**Theorem 6.5.** a. If  $h \in S^+$  then  $R^A[h] = F^A h$ . In particular,  $R^A[h]$  is harmonic in every point of X - A while  $R^A[h] = h$  on A.

b. If  $v \in E^+$  then  $R^A[v] = vL^A$ . In particular,  $R^A[v]$  is invariant in every point of X - A while  $R^A[v] = v$  on A.

**Proof.** a. For  $x \in X - A$  and  $y \in A$ , we factorize and then

$$F^{A}(x, y) = p(x, y) + \sum_{v \in X - A} p(x, v) F^{A}(v, y) = \sum_{v \in X} p(x, v) F^{A}(v, y)$$

then

$$F^{A}h(x) = \sum_{y \in A} F^{A}(x, y)h(y) = \sum_{v \in X, y \in X} p(x, v)F^{A}(v, y)h(y) = P(F^{A}h)(x)$$

then for  $x \in A$ 

$$P(F^Ah)(x) = \sum PF^A(x, y)h(y) = P^Ah(x) \le h(x)$$

and it is easy to check  $F^A h = h$  on A. sp we know  $F^A \in \{u \in S^+, u \ge h, y \in A\}$  then  $R^A[h] \le F^A h$ . Then for  $u \in Sar^+$  and  $u \ge h$  on A, we know

$$u(x) \ge \sum_{y \in A} F^A(x, y)u(y) \ge F^A h(x)$$

and we are done.

b. For  $x \in X$  we have  $L^A(x, y) = 0$  and then

$$vL^{A}P(y) = \sum_{x \in A, w \in A} v(x)L^{A}(x, w)P(w, y) = \sum_{x \in A} v(x)L^{A}P(x, y) = vP^{A} \le v(y)$$

for  $y \in A$  and for  $x \in X - A$ , we have

$$vL^AP(x) = \sum_{y \in A, w \in A} v(y)L^A(y,w)P(w,x) = 0 = vL^A(x)$$

and then since  $vL^A(y) = v(y)$  for all  $y \in A$ , so we are done.

**Theorem 6.6.** (Domination Principle) Let f be a non-negative, G-integrable function on X with support A. If  $h \in S^+$  is such that  $h(x) \ge Gf(x)$  for every  $x \in A$ , then  $h \ge Gf$  on the whole of X.

**Proof.** We know

$$h(x) \ge F^A h(x) \ge \sum_{y \in A} F^A(x, y) Gf(y) = F^A Gf(x) = Gf^A(x) = Gf(x)$$

for every x since  $f^A = f$ .