

Homework05 - MATH 725

Boren(Wells) Guan

Date: Feb 28, 2024

Before Reading:

To make the proof more readable, I will miss or gap some natural or not important facts or notations during my writing. If you feel it hard to see, you can refer the appendix after the proof, where I will try to explain some simple conclusions (will be marked) more clearly. In case that you misunderstand the mark, I will add the mark just after those formulas between \$ and before those between \$\$.

And I have to claim that the appendix is of course a part of my assignment, so the reference of it is required. Enjoy your grading!

Section 8.3 Ex.15

Let $\text{sinc} x = (\sin \pi x)/\pi x$ with $\text{sinc} 0 = 1$.

a. If $a > 0$, $\chi_{[-a,a]}^\wedge(x) = \chi_{[-a,a]}^\vee(x) = 2a \text{sinc} 2ax$.

b. Let $\mathcal{H}_a = \{f \in L^2, \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ a.e. for } |\xi| > a\}$. Then \mathcal{H}_a is a Hilbert space and $\sqrt{2a} \text{sinc}(2ax - k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_a .

c. (The Sampling Theorem) If $f \in \mathcal{H}_a$ then $f \in C_0$ and $f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k/2a) \text{sinc}(2ax - k)$, where the series converges both uniformly and in L^2 .

Sol.

a. We know

$$\chi_{[-a,a]}^\wedge(x) = \int_{-a}^a e^{-2\pi i \xi x} d\xi = \int_{-a}^a \cos 2\pi \xi x d\xi + i \int_{-a}^a \sin 2\pi \xi x d\xi = \frac{\sin 2\pi \xi x}{2\pi x} \Big|_{-a}^a = 2a \text{sinc} 2ax$$

and

$$\chi_{[-a,a]}^\vee(x) = \chi_{[-a,a]}^\wedge(-x) = 2a \text{sinc}(-2ax) = 2a \text{sinc} 2ax$$

b. It suffices to show that \mathcal{H}_a is a closed subspace of L^2 . Obviously \mathcal{H}_a is a subspace and consider if $f_n \in \mathcal{H}_a$ converges to f in L^2 , we know that

$$\int_{|\xi| > a, |\hat{f}(\xi)| > k^{-1}} |\hat{f}|^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{f} - \hat{f}_n\|_2^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f - f_n)^\wedge\|_2^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_2^2 = 0$$

and hence $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ a.e. for $|\xi| > a$, which means \mathcal{H}_a is a Hilbert space.

Notice $\chi_{[-a,a]} \in L^1, L^2$ and $\sin \pi x / \pi x \in L^1$, so we know

$$\sqrt{2a} \text{sinc}(2ax - k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} = \chi_{\tau_{k/2a}} \hat{\chi}_{[-a,a]} = (e^{2\pi i (k/2a)x} \chi_{[-a,a]})^\wedge$$

almost everywhere and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \sqrt{2a} \operatorname{sinc}(2ax - k_1), \sqrt{2a} \operatorname{sinc}(2ax - k_2) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2a} \langle \tau_{k_1/2a} \chi_{[-a,a]}, \tau_{k_2/2a} \chi_{[-a,a]} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2a} \langle (e^{2\pi i(k_1/2a)x} \chi_{[-a,a]}), (e^{2\pi i(k_2/2a)x} \chi_{[-a,a]}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-a}^a e^{2\pi i(k_1 - k_2/2a)x} dx = \delta_{k_2}(k_1)\end{aligned}$$

which means $\sqrt{2a} \operatorname{sinc}(2ax - k) \in \mathcal{H}_a$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and is an orthonormal set in \mathcal{H}_a .

If $g \in \mathcal{H}_a$ and $g \perp f_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\int_{-a}^a \hat{g}(\xi) e^{\pi i \xi k/a} d\xi = \sqrt{2a} \int \hat{g}(\xi) e^{\pi i \xi k/a} \phi(\xi) d\xi = \sqrt{2a} \langle \hat{g}, \hat{f}_k \rangle = \sqrt{2a} \langle g, f_k \rangle = 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\hat{g}|_{[-a,a]} \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$, where \mathcal{M} is the closed span of the collection of functions of the form $\xi \mapsto e^{-\pi i \xi k/a}$ (where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). But $\mathcal{M} = L^2([-a,a])$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem and the fact that the inclusion $C([-a,a]) \hookrightarrow L^2([-a,a])$ is a bounded linear map with dense range (this is essentially Theorem 8.20). Therefore $\hat{g}|_{[-a,a]} = 0$ almost everywhere, so $\hat{g} = 0$ almost everywhere and hence $g = 0$ almost everywhere. This shows that $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_a .

c. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}_a$, the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \hat{f}, \hat{f}_k \rangle f_k$ converges to f in \mathcal{H}^a , also in L^2 .

. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f}_k \rangle = \int \hat{f}(x) e^{\pi i x k/a} \overline{\phi(x)} dx = \int_{-a}^a \frac{e^{\pi i x k/a}}{\sqrt{2a}} \hat{f}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} \int e^{\pi i x k/a} \hat{f}(x) dx = \frac{\mathcal{F}^2 f(-k/2a)}{\sqrt{2a}} = \frac{f(k/2a)}{\sqrt{2a}}.$$

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and by Holder's inequality

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, f_k \rangle f_k(\xi)| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(\xi)|^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2a |\operatorname{sinc}(2a\xi - k)|^2}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the first sum $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2$ can be made small by choosing Z appropriately, so the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, f_k \rangle f_k$ will be uniformly Cauchy provided that the second sum is uniformly bounded. It suffices to show this for $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2a})$ because

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2a |\operatorname{sinc}(2a(\xi + i/2a) - k)|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2a |\operatorname{sinc}(2a\xi + i - k)|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2a |\operatorname{sinc}(2a\xi - j)|^2$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2a})$ define $Z := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2a |\operatorname{sinc}(2a\xi - k)|^2 &\leq 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} 2a \frac{|\sin(2\pi a\xi)|^2}{|2\pi a\xi - \pi k|^2} \leq 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} \frac{2a}{|2\pi a\xi - \pi k|^2} \\ &\leq 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} \frac{2a}{(\pi|k| - 2\pi a\xi)^2} \leq 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} \frac{2a}{(\pi|k| - \pi)^2} \\ &\leq 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} \frac{2a}{(\pi|k|/2)^2} = 6a + \sum_{k \in Z} \frac{8a}{\pi^2 |k|^2} = 6a + \frac{16a}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty\end{aligned}$$

which means that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k/2a) \operatorname{sinc}(2a\xi - k)$ is uniformly Cauchy for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Since sinc is continuous, in particular, $\operatorname{sinc} \in C_0$ because $|\operatorname{sinc}(\xi)| \leq |\pi\xi|^{-1}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the above series converges uniformly to some $g \in C_0$. A subsequence of its partial sums converges pointwise almost everywhere to f which means that $f = g$ almost everywhere. \square

Section 8.3 Ex.16

Let $f_k = \chi_{[-1,1]} * \chi_{[-k,k]}$.

- Compute $f_k(x)$ explicitly and show that $\|f\|_u = 2$.
- $f_k^\vee(x) = (\pi x)^{-2} \sin 2\pi kx \sin 2\pi x$ and $\|f_k^\vee\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
- $\mathcal{F}(L^1)$ is a proper subset of C_0 .

Sol.

- We know

$$f_k(x) = \int \chi_{[-1,1]}(y) \chi_{[-k,k]}(x-y) dy = m((x-1, x+1) \cap [-k, k]) \leq 2$$

and $f_k(0) = 2$, then we know $\|f_k\|_u = 2$.

- We know

$$f_k^\vee(x) = \chi_{[-1,1]}^\vee(x) \chi_{[-k,k]}^\vee(x) = (2 \sin 2\pi x / 2\pi x) (2k \sin 2\pi kx / 2\pi kx) = (\pi x)^{-2} \sin 2\pi kx \sin 2\pi x$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k^\vee\|_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int |(\pi x)^{-2} \sin 2\pi kx \sin 2\pi x| dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4k \int \left| \frac{\sin y}{y} \right| \left| \frac{\sin y/k}{\sin y/k} \right| dy$$

and since $\sin x/x \in L^1$ and nonzero, we know

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \left| \frac{\sin y}{y} \right| \left| \frac{\sin y/k}{\sin y/k} \right| dy = \int \left| \frac{\sin y}{y} \right| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\sin y/k}{\sin y/k} \right| dy = \int \left| \frac{\sin y}{y} \right| dy = C > 0$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem and hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k^\vee\|_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4Ck = +\infty$$

since $C > 0$.

- We have already know $\mathcal{F} : L^1 \rightarrow C_0$ is a continuous map by the Young's inequality, if $\mathcal{F}(L^1) = C_0$, then \mathcal{F} becomes a surjective continuous linear map and hence open by the Open Mapping Theorem. Then we may find C constant such that for any $f \in L^1$, $\|f\|_1 \leq C\|\hat{f}\|_u$, consider f_k^\vee , then it should be

$$\|f_k^\vee\|_1 \leq C\|f_k\|_u = 2C$$

for some constant C , which is a contradiction and hence $\mathcal{F}(L^1)$ is a proper subset of C_0 . \square

Section 8.3 Ex.18

Suppose $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

- The L^2 derivatives f' exists iff $\xi \hat{f} \in L^2$, in which case $\hat{f}'(\xi) = 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi)$.
- If the L^2 derivative f' exists, then

$$\left[\int |f|^2 dx \right]^2 \leq 4 \int |xf(x)|^2 dx \int |f'(x)|^2 dx$$

- For any $b, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\|f\|_2^4}{16\pi^2} \leq \int (x-b)^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \int (\xi-\beta)^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

Sol.

a. Notice if f' exists, we know

$$\| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f} \|_2 = \| \frac{\tau_{-y} f - f}{y} \|_2 \in L^2$$

for y small enough and hence

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) - \xi \hat{f} \|_2 = \int \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} | \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} - 2\pi i \xi |^2 |\hat{f}|^2 = 0$$

since $| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) - \xi | < | \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) |$ for y small sufficiently and hence $\| \xi \hat{f} \|_2 < \infty$.

Now we assume $\xi \hat{f} \in L^2$ and we still have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) - \xi \hat{f} \|_2 = \int \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} | \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} - 2\pi i \xi |^2 |\hat{f}|^2 = 0$$

since $| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f}(\xi) - \xi | < | 2\pi i \xi |$ for y significantly small, and hence

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \| \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f} \|_2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \| \frac{\tau_{-y} f - f}{y} \|_2 \in L^2 < \infty$$

and hence f' exists since L^2 is complete. And also $\hat{f}' = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{\tau_{-y} \hat{f} - \hat{f}}{y}) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i y \xi} - 1}{y} \hat{f} = 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}$ in L^2 .

b. Assume the integral on the right side is finite, and then we know

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \| \frac{\tau_{-y}(x|f(x)|^2) - x|f(x)|^2}{y} - |f|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(x\bar{f}f') \|_1 \\ & \leq \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} (\| \tau_{-y} f |^2 - |f|^2 \|_1 + \| \frac{x|f(x+y)|^2 - x|f(x)|^2}{y} - 2\operatorname{Re}(x\bar{f}f') \|_1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $x|f(x)|^2 \in L^1$ because

$$\int |x|f(x)|^2 \leq \int_{|x| \leq 1} |f(x)|^2 + \int_{|x| \geq 1} |xf(x)|^2 < \infty$$

then we know

$$\| |f|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} x \bar{f} f' \|_1 = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \| \frac{\tau_{-y}(x|f(x)|^2) - x|f(x)|^2}{y} \|_1 = 0$$

Therefore

$$[\int |f|^2 dx]^2 = 4\operatorname{Re} (\int x \bar{f} f' dx)^2 \leq 4 | \int x \bar{f} f' dx |^2 \leq 4 \int |xf(x)|^2 dx \int |f'(x)|^2 dx$$

by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

c. We know

$$\begin{aligned} \int (x-b)|f(x)|^2 dx \int (\xi-\beta)|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi &= \int |x\tau_{-b}(f)|^2 \int |\xi\tau_{-\beta}\hat{f}|^2 d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int |x\tau_{-b}(f)|^2 \int |f'(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int |x\tau_{-b}(f)|^2 \int |(\tau_{-b})f'(x)|^2 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{16\pi^2} [\int |f|^2 dx]^2 = \frac{\|f\|_2^4}{16\pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

Section 8.3 Ex.23

Sol.

a. Define linear operators P, Q on $S(\mathbb{R})$ by $Pf(x) := f'(x)$ and $Qf(x) = xf(x)$. If $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\int (Qf)\bar{g} = \int xf(x)\overline{g(x)}dx = \int f(x)\overline{xg(x)}dx = \int f(\overline{Qg})$$

and we know $(f\bar{g})' = f'\bar{g} + f\bar{g}'$, and

$$\int (f\bar{g})' = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N (f\bar{g})' = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (f(N)\overline{g(N)} - f(-N)\overline{g(-N)}) = 0$$

by Monotone Convergence Theorem and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and

$$\int (Pf)\bar{g} = \int f'\bar{g} = \int (f\bar{g})' - \int f\bar{g}' = - \int f(\overline{Pg})$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} \int (Tf)\bar{g} &= \int (Qf - Pf)\bar{g} = \int (Qf)\bar{g} - \int (Pf)\bar{g} = \int f(\overline{Qg}) + \int f(\overline{Pg}) \\ &= \int f(\overline{Qg + Pg}) = \sqrt{2} \int f(\overline{T^*g}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int (Tf)\bar{g} = \int f(\overline{T^*g})$$

and if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we know

$$(PQf)(x) = (Qf)'(x) = f(x) + xf'(x) = f(x) + (QPf)(x)$$

so

$$2[T^*, T] = [Q + P, Q - P] = [Q, Q] - [Q, P] + [P, Q] - [P, P] = 2[P, Q] = 2I$$

which means that $[T^*, T] = I = T^0$. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > 1$ and $[T^*, T^{k-1}] = (k-1)T^{k-2}$, then

$$T^*T^k = T^*T^{k-1}T = [T^*, T^{k-1}]T + T^{k-1}T^*T = (k-1)T^{k-1} + T^{k-1}[T^*, T] + T^{k-1}TT^* = kT^{k-1} + T^kT^*$$

in which case $[T^*, T^k] = kT^{k-1}$ and hence $[T^*, T^k] = kT^{k-1}$. for any integer k by induction.

b. For an integer k , we know $Th_k = (k!)^{-1/2}T^{k+1}h_0 = \sqrt{k+1}((k+1)!)^{-1/2}T^{k+1}h_0 = \sqrt{k+1}h_{k+1}$, and since

$$\sqrt{2}(T^*h_0)(x) = xh_0(x) + h_0'(x) = \pi^{-1/4}xe^{-x^2/2} + \pi^{-1/4}(-x)e^{-x^2/2} = 0$$

and we know that $T^*h_k = (k!)^{-1/2}T^*T^k h_0 = (k!)^{-1/2}([T^*, T^k] + T^kT^*)h_0 = (k!)^{-1/2}kT^{k-1}h_0 = \sqrt{k}h_{k-1}$ by (a). Then we have

$$TT^*h_k = T(\sqrt{k}h_{k-1}) = \sqrt{k}Th_{k-1} = \sqrt{k}\sqrt{k}h_k = kh_k$$

for all nonnegative k if we choose $k = 0$ and let h_{-1} an arbitrarily choosed function.

c. Notice

$$S = 2TT^* + I = (Q - P)(Q + P) + I = Q^2 + [Q, P] - P^2 + [P, Q] = Q^2 - P^2$$

and hence, if $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ then $Sf(x) = Q^2f(x) - P^2f(x) = x^2f(x) - f''(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. And if $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then

$$Sh_k = 2TT^*h_k + h_k = 2kh_k + h_k = (2k+1)h_k$$

d. Notice that $\|h_0\|_2^2 = \int |h_0|^2 = \int \frac{e^{-x^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} = 1$. For integer k and $\|h_{k-1}\|_2 = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|h_k\|_2^2 &= \int h_k \overline{h_k} = k^{-1} \int (TT^* h_k) \overline{h_k} = k^{-1} \int (T^* h_k) (\overline{T^* h_k}) \\ &= k^{-1} \int \sqrt{k} h_{k-1} \overline{\sqrt{k} h_{k-1}} = \int |h_{k-1}|^2 = 1\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|h_k\| = 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by induction. If $j, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $j > k$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(h_j, h_k) &= \int h_j \overline{h_k} = j^{-1} \int (TT^* h_j) \overline{h_k} = j^{-1} \int (T^* h_j) (\overline{T^* h_k}) \\ &= j^{-1} \int \sqrt{j} h_{j-1} (\overline{\sqrt{k} h_{k-1}}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{j}} (h_{j-1}, h_{k-1})\end{aligned}$$

and hence $(h_j, h_k) = \sqrt{\frac{k(k-1)\cdots 0}{j(j-1)\cdots (j-k)}} (h_{j-k-1}, h_{-1}) = 0$, which means $\{h_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is orthonormal.

e. For any integer k we know

$$T^{k-1} f(x) = (-1)^{k-1} 2^{(1-k)/2} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}T^k f(x) &= (-1)^{k-1} 2^{-k/2} \left(x e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right) - x e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right) - e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right) \right) \\ &= (-1)^k 2^{-k/2} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right).\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore

$$T^k f(x) = (-1)^k 2^{-k/2} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(e^{-x^2/2} f(x) \right)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by induction. If $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ then

$$h_k = k^{-1/2} T h_{k-1} = \cdots = (k!)^{-1/2} T^k h_0$$

which means for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$h_k(x) = (k!)^{-1/2} (-1)^k 2^{-k/2} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(e^{-x^2/2} h_0(x) \right) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2} 2^k k!}} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} e^{-x^2}$$

f. Given $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, it is easily shown by induction and the product rule that $\frac{d^k}{dx^k} e^{-x^2} = P_k(x) e^{-x^2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $P_k(x)$ is some polynomial of degree k . The formula for h_k from (e) implies that

$$H_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2} 2^k k!}} e^{x^2} P_k(x) e^{-x^2} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2} 2^k k!}} P_k(x)$$

which means $H_k(x)$ is also a polynomial of degree k . In particular $H_0(x)$ is a non-zero constant, so all the constant polynomials are in $\text{span} \{H_0(x)\}$.

If the polynomials of degree less than k are in $\text{span} \{H_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{k-1}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is the leading term of $H_k(x)$, then $x^k - c^{-1} H_k(x) \in \text{span} \{H_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{k-1}$, which implies that $x^k \in \text{span} \{H_j(x)\}_{j=0}^k$ and hence every polynomial of degree at most k is in $\text{span} \{H_j(x)\}_{j=0}^k$. Then $\text{span} \{H_j(x)\}_{j=0}^k$ is the set of polynomials of degree at most k , for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ by induction.

g. Let $f \in L^2$ and suppose that $f \perp h_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(x) := f(x) e^{-x^2/2}$, so that $g \in L^1$ (by Hölder's inequality). If $\xi, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-2\pi i \xi x)^k}{k!} g(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{|2\pi \xi x|^k}{k!} |f(x)| e^{-x^2/2} \leq e^{2\pi |\xi x| - x^2/2} |f(x)|$$

If $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ then $x \mapsto e^{2\pi|\xi x| - x^2/2}$ is clearly in L^2 , so $x \mapsto e^{2\pi|\xi x| - x^2/2}|f(x)|$ is in L^1 . Then

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i \xi x} g(x) dx = \int \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2\pi i \xi x)^k}{k!} g(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-2\pi i \xi x)^k}{k!} g(x) dx$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(2\pi i \xi x)^k}{k!} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{H_k(x)\}_{k=0}^N$, and since $\overline{H_k}g = f\overline{h_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, it follows that $\widehat{g}(\xi) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$. In particular $\widehat{g} \in L^1$, so by the Fourier inversion theorem $g = (\widehat{g})^\vee = 0$ almost everywhere. Since $e^{-x^2/2} > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, this implies that $f = 0$ in L^2 . Therefore $\{h_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for L^2 .

h. Obviously A is linear and bijective since its inverse is given by $A^{-1}f(x) := (2\pi)^{-1/4}f((2\pi)^{-1/2}x)$. If $f \in L^2$ then

$$\|Af\|_2^2 = \int |Af(x)|^2 dx = \int \sqrt{2\pi}|f(x\sqrt{2\pi})|^2 dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \sqrt{2\pi}|f(t)|^2 dt = \|f\|_2^2$$

which shows that A is unitary. If $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ then (assuming $f \in L^1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Af}(\xi) &= \int e^{-2\pi i \xi x} (2\pi)^{1/4} f(x\sqrt{2\pi}) dx = (2\pi)^{1/4} \int e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}i\xi x \sqrt{2\pi}} f(x\sqrt{2\pi}) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/4}} \int e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}i\xi t} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\widetilde{f}(\xi) = A^{-1}\widehat{Af}(\xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-1/4}}{(2\pi)^{1/4}} \int e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}i(2\pi)^{-1/2}\xi t} f(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt$$

If $f \in S$ then clearly $\widetilde{f} \in S$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi}T\widetilde{f}(\xi) &= \int e^{-i\xi t} T f(t) dt = \int T f(t) e^{i\xi t} dt \\ &= \int f(t) \frac{\overline{(te^{i\xi t} + i\xi e^{i\xi t})}}{\sqrt{2}} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int f(t)(t - i\xi) e^{-i\xi t} dt \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$-\sqrt{2\pi}iT(\widetilde{f})(\xi) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\xi \int e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt - \frac{d}{d\xi} \int e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt \right)$$

Since $\left| \frac{d}{d\xi} e^{-i\xi t} f(t) \right| = |-ite^{-i\xi t} f(t)| = |tf(t)|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t \mapsto tf(t)$ is in L^1 (as $f \in S$), we know

$$\begin{aligned} -\sqrt{2\pi}iT(\widetilde{f})(\xi) &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\xi \int e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt - \int \frac{d}{d\xi} e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt \right) \\ &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int \xi e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt + \int ite^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\int te^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt - \int i\xi e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int (t - i\xi) e^{-i\xi t} f(t) dt \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi}T\widetilde{f}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

In particular $T\widetilde{f} = -iT(\widetilde{f})$. Note that $Ah_0(x) = (2\pi)^{1/4}h_0(\sqrt{2\pi}x) = 2^{1/4}e^{-\pi x^2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\widehat{Ah_0}(\xi) = 2^{1/4}e^{-\pi\xi^2}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ (by Proposition 8.24). Then we have

$$\widetilde{h_0}(\xi) = A^{-1}\widehat{Ah_0}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/4}2^{1/4}e^{-\pi(2\pi)^{-1}\xi^2} = \pi^{-1/4}e^{\xi^2/2} = h_0(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $h_k = (k!)^{-1/2} T^k h_0$, so for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\tilde{h}_k(\xi) = (k!)^{-1/2} \widetilde{T^k h_0}(\xi) = (k!)^{-1/2} (-i)^k T \left(\widetilde{T^{k-1} h_0} \right) (\xi) = \dots = (k!)^{-1/2} (-i)^k T^k \tilde{h}_0(\xi) = (-i)^k h_k(\xi)$$

Since $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for L^2 , its unitary image $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also an orthonormal basis for L^2 . Moreover, for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ it is clear that $\hat{\phi}_k = \widehat{A h_k} = A A^{-1} \widehat{A h_k} = \tilde{A h_k} = A(-i)^k h_k = (-i)^k \phi_k$. □