

Newton Method on Brockett Function

Wen Perng

03/07/2024

Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be symmetric positive definite. We define the Brockett function as

$$f : \text{O}(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{X} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Further, a local parameterisation of $\text{O}(m)$ at \mathbf{X} is given as

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{X}} : \text{Skew}(m) \rightarrow \text{O}(m) \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mapsto \mathbf{X} \cdot \exp(\boldsymbol{\Omega}). \quad (2)$$

Question 2:

Develop a Newton-like method for minimising f .

Note that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}(t\boldsymbol{\Omega}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_0 \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}(t\boldsymbol{\Omega}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Omega}^2.$$

First we compute the **gradient** to be

$$\begin{aligned} D(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}(0)) \boldsymbol{\Omega} &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \zeta_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{B} \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow \text{grad} f(\mathbf{X} \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0) &= \text{skew}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we find the **Hessian** by calculating the second derivative:

$$D^2(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}(0))(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_0 \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}^\top \mathbf{A} \zeta_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{B} \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \right\}.$$

Applying the polarization identity to obtain the bilinear form on $\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \in \text{Skew}(m)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}}(0))(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{B}}_{=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega}} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}}_{=\mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ 2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Omega} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \right\} \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^\top \left(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}) \boldsymbol{\Omega} \right) \right\} \\ \Rightarrow \text{hess}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0) \boldsymbol{\Omega} &= \text{skew}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

For us to find the Newton's direction, we need to solve the Newton's equation of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hess}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0)\mathbf{\Omega} &= -\text{grad}f(\mathbf{X} \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0) \\ \text{skew}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{\Omega}) &= -\text{skew}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $\mathcal{A} := \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$, and $\mathbf{Z} := -2\text{skew}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{skew}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{\Omega}) &= \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathcal{A} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathcal{A} - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{\Omega} - \mathbf{\Omega} \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

How do we solve for $\mathbf{\Omega}$? One might immediately shout *vectorization!* The matrix equation quickly turns into a matrix-vector equation:

$$\underbrace{(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathcal{A} - \mathbb{1} \otimes \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbb{1})}_{=: \mathcal{M}} \vec{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \vec{\mathbf{Z}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{\mathbf{X}} := \text{vec}(\mathbf{X})$, and $\text{vec}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{B}^T \otimes \mathbf{A}) \vec{\mathbf{X}}$. Sadly, the matrix \mathcal{M} is not invertible:

Lemma. *\mathcal{M} has 0 as an eigenvalue. Hence it is non-invertible.*

Proof. Denote the linear operator $\mathcal{M}^\downarrow : \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ as

$$\mathcal{M}^\downarrow(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \mathcal{A} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathcal{A} - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{\Omega} - \mathbf{\Omega} \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}),$$

its operator lift is \mathcal{M} . Note that it has the null space of $\mathbb{1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^\downarrow(\mathbb{1}) &= \mathcal{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathcal{A} - 2 \cdot \text{sym}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B}) = 0 \\ \mathcal{M} \vec{\mathbb{1}} &= \text{vec}(\mathcal{M}^\downarrow(\mathbb{1})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{M} has 0 as an eigenvalue. ■

We need another method to solve for the matrix equation $\mathcal{M} \vec{\mathbf{\Omega}} = \vec{\mathbf{Z}}$.

Here I shall present three methods to solve the Newton's equation above.

Method 1.

Note that we have yet utilized the property that $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is skew-symmetric. Let us introduce the *commutation matrix* \mathbf{K} such that it satisfies

$$\mathbf{K} \cdot \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}^T), \quad (5)$$

all skew-symmetric matrices satisfy

$$\mathbf{K} \cdot \text{vec}(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \text{vec}(\mathbf{\Omega}^T) = -\text{vec}(\mathbf{\Omega}). \quad (6)$$

Let us spectrally decompose \mathcal{M} into its eigenvalues λ_i and the corresponding *mutually orthonormal* (wrt Euclidean metric) eigenvectors $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_i$. Since \mathcal{M} is symmetric, such decomposition into an orthonormal set of eigenvectors is possible. Out of all the m^2 eigenvectors, there are exactly $\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ of them forming a complete basis for the vectorization of skew-symmetric matrices, and is identified using \mathbf{K} .

Lemma. *The matrix \mathcal{M} has eigenvectors spanning the vectorization of skew-symmetric matrices, and these eigenvectors have non-zero eigenvalues.*

Proof. I have no idea..., MATLAB says I'm correct though. Note that \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are required to have distinct eigenvalues respectively for the lemma to hold (sufficiently). Further,

$$\mathcal{M}^\downarrow(\text{Skew}(m)) \subseteq \text{Skew}(m).$$

Hence we choose $\{\vec{\mathbf{W}}_i\} \subset \{\vec{\mathbf{V}}_j\}$ such that $\mathbf{K}\vec{\mathbf{W}}_i = -\vec{\mathbf{W}}_i$, $\langle \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i, \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i \rangle = 1$, i.e. $\vec{\mathbf{V}}_i = \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i$ ($\forall i = 1, \dots, \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$), and they form a complete basis of skew-symmetric matrices. Thus the Newton's equation becomes

$$\mathcal{M}\vec{\Omega} = \left(\sum_j \lambda_j \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j \vec{\mathbf{V}}_j^\top \right) \left(\sum_i \omega_i \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i \right) = \sum_i \langle \vec{\mathbf{Z}}, \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i \rangle \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i = \vec{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

It is then easy to check that the solution will be

$$\vec{\Omega} = \sum_i \frac{\langle \vec{\mathbf{Z}}, \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i \rangle}{\lambda_i} \vec{\mathbf{W}}_i. \quad (7)$$

Lastly, by unvectorize $\Omega = \text{vec}^{-1}(\vec{\Omega})$, we obtain the solution. The Newton-like method can be readily applied. ■

Method 2.

Since Ω is skew-symmetric, some of the equations in \mathcal{M} is **redundant**, and we should remove them to obtain a smaller matrix \mathcal{N} that is invertible.

Which of the elements in \mathcal{M} is redundant? Let us take a look at low-dimensional cases for intuition:

(a) $m = 2$,

$$\mathcal{M}\vec{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & a & \textcolor{red}{c} & * \\ * & b & d & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w \\ -w \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ z \\ -z \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{\mathbf{Z}}$$

(b) $m = 3$, $(1) \mapsto (1) - (4)$, $(2) \mapsto (2) - (5)$, $(3) \mapsto (3) - (6)$

$$\mathcal{M}\vec{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & | & | & \textcolor{red}{|} & * & | & \textcolor{red}{|} & \textcolor{red}{|} & * \\ * & (1) & (2) & \textcolor{red}{(4)} & * & (3) & \textcolor{red}{(5)} & \textcolor{red}{(6)} & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & | & | & \textcolor{red}{|} & * & | & \textcolor{red}{|} & \textcolor{red}{|} & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ -a \\ 0 \\ c \\ -b \\ -c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{\mathbf{Z}}$$

By combining/removing redundant equations in \mathcal{M} into $\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ independent ones, the remaining matrix \mathcal{N} is invertible. Hence the Newton's direction is obtained. ■

Note that we have not proven the invertibility of the reduced matrix \mathcal{N} .

Method 3. The vector $\vec{\Omega}$ satisfies two constraints:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{M}\vec{\Omega} = \vec{Z} \\ (\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K})\vec{\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (8)$$

where the second constraint uses the skew-symmetric property of Ω . We can combine the two equations into a single one as:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\vec{\Omega} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M} \\ \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K} \end{bmatrix} \vec{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{Z} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} =: \tilde{\vec{Z}}, \quad (9)$$

this can be solved by applying the pseudo-inverse to $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+$, obtaining the solution of

$$\vec{\Omega} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^+ \tilde{\vec{Z}}. \quad (10)$$

The Newton's method can be applied readily. ■

Note that by using the pseudo-inverse to solve [Equation 9](#), the solution we obtained in [Equation 10](#) are the min-square-error solution. The fact that the two results coincide is not yet proven.

Lemma. *The solution to [Equation 9](#) and [Equation 10](#) coincides.*

Proof. IDK

Question 4:

Let $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be further assumed to be diagonal. Develop a simpler approximate Newton-like method for minimising f .

For the case of \mathbf{B} being diagonal, the method for obtaining the Newton's direction for the approximate Newton-like method is even computationally simpler. At the critical point \mathbf{X}^* ,

$$\text{grad}f(\mathbf{X}^*) = 0 = \text{skew}(\mathbf{X}^{*\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{B}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}^{*\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^* \text{ and } \mathbf{B} \text{ commutes} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* := \mathbf{X}^{*\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^* \text{ is diagonal.}$$

For the approximate Newton-like method, we want to find a matrix $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}^*) \boldsymbol{\Omega} &\equiv \text{hess}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}^*})(0) \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \text{skew}(\mathcal{A}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A}^* \mathbf{B}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \\ &= \text{skew}(\mathcal{A}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B} - \mathcal{A}^* \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \\ &= \text{skew} \left([\mathcal{A}_{ii}^* \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \mathbf{B}_{jj} - \mathcal{A}_{ii}^* \mathbf{B}_{ii} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}] \right) = \text{skew} \left([\mathcal{A}_{ii}^* (\mathbf{B}_{jj} - \mathbf{B}_{ii}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{A}_{ii}^* (\mathbf{B}_{jj} - \mathbf{B}_{ii}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} - \mathcal{A}_{jj}^* (\mathbf{B}_{ii} - \mathbf{B}_{jj}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ji} \right] \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{A}_{ii}^* - \mathcal{A}_{jj}^*) (\mathbf{B}_{ii} - \mathbf{B}_{jj}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, I have chosen

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{A}_{ii} - \mathcal{A}_{jj}) (\mathbf{B}_{ii} - \mathbf{B}_{jj}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} \right], \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$. Solving the Newton's equation also becomes extremely simple:

$$\begin{aligned} [-\text{hess}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0) \boldsymbol{\Omega}]_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{A}_{ii} - \mathcal{A}_{jj}) (\mathbf{B}_{ii} - \mathbf{B}_{jj}) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} = [\text{skew}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B})]_{ij} = [\text{grad}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}})(0)]_{ij} \\ \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} &= \begin{cases} \frac{2 [\text{skew}(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{B})]_{ij}}{([\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}]_{ii} - [\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}]_{jj}) (\mathbf{B}_{ii} - \mathbf{B}_{jj})} & , \text{ for } i \neq j; \\ 0 & , \text{ for } i = j. \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for obtaining the right solutions, it is required that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{B} are all distinct. This is, I *assume*, implicitly implied when we want our Hessian to be non-singular, also implying that all the critical points are isolated.

Question 3:

Develop an approximate Newton-like method for minimising f .

At the critical point \mathbf{X}^* , it remains that $\mathcal{A}^* := \mathbf{X}^{*\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^*$ and \mathbf{B} commutes. For the approximate Newton-like method, we want to find a matrix $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}^*)\boldsymbol{\Omega} &\equiv \text{hess}(f \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{X}^*})(0)\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \text{skew}(\mathcal{A}^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}\mathbf{B} - \text{sym}(\mathcal{A}^*\mathbf{B})\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \\ &= \text{skew}(\mathcal{A}^*\boldsymbol{\Omega}\mathbf{B} - \mathcal{A}^*\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, I have chosen

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X})\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \text{skew}(\mathcal{A}\boldsymbol{\Omega}\mathbf{B} - \mathcal{A}\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$. Procedure of solving the Newton's equation is the same as in question 2.