Notes for General Topology

Zhao Wenchuan

May 3, 2021

Contents

1	Top	pological Spaces	2
	1.1	Topological Spaces	2
	1.2	Metrizability	3
	1.3	Separation Axioms. From T_0 to Hausdorff	7
	1.4	Continuity	10
	1.5	Homeomorphisms	13
	1.6	Cover and Basis	13
	1.7	Interiors and Closures	15
	1.8	Boundaries	17
	1.9	Limit Points	19
2	Creating New Spaces 2		
2	\mathbf{Cre}	ating New Spaces	20
2	Cre 2.1	ating New Spaces Subspaces	20 20
2			
2	2.1	Subspaces	20
3	2.1 2.2 2.3	Subspaces	20 20
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Subspaces	20 20 20
	2.1 2.2 2.3 Top	Subspaces	20 20 20 21
	2.1 2.2 2.3 Top 3.1	Subspaces	20 20 20 21 21
	2.1 2.2 2.3 Top 3.1 3.2	Subspaces Quotient Spaces Product Spaces Cological Properties Cardinal Functions More on Separation Axioms	20 20 20 21 21 21

Chapter 1

Topological Spaces

1.1 Topological Spaces

Definition 1.1.1 (topology). Let X be a set, and let a family $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. \mathcal{T} is called a topology on X iff

- (i) $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary union;
- (iii) \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersection.

Definition 1.1.2 (topological spaces). Let X be any set, and let \mathcal{T} be a topology on X, then the pair (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a *topological space*. All subsets of X in \mathcal{T} are called *open sets* in (X, \mathcal{T}) .

Definition 1.1.3 (closed sets). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A subset V of X is said to be *closed* iff there is an open set U in X such that

$$V = X \setminus V$$
.

Proposition 1.1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let \mathcal{C} be the family of all closed sets in X. Then

- (i) $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{C} is closed under arbitrary intersection;
- (iii) C is closed under finite union.

Proof.

- (i) $X \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $X \setminus X = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$; and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $X \setminus \emptyset = X \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (ii) As \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary union, then by Definition 1.1.3 and De Morgan's Law, \mathcal{C} is closed under arbitrary intersection.
- (iii) As \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersection, then by Definition 1.1.3 and De Morgan's Law, \mathcal{C} is closed under finite union.

Definition 1.1.4 (finer and coarser topology). Let X be any set, and let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ be topologies on X. \mathcal{T} is said to be *finer* than \mathcal{T}' iff $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{T}'$; respectively, \mathcal{T} is said to be *coarser* than \mathcal{T}' iff $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$.

Definition 1.1.5 (neighbourhood). Given (X, \mathcal{T}) as a topological space and a point $x \in X$, a subset $N \subseteq X$ is called a *neighbourhood* iff it contains an open set U containing x.

Proposition 1.1.2. Given (X, \mathcal{T}) as a topological space and $U \subseteq X$, U is open iff for all $x \in U$, there is a neighbourhood N of x contained in U.

Proof. If U is open, then U itself is a neighbourhood of x contained in U.

Conversely, if for all $x \in U$, there is a neighbourhood N_x of x contained in U, then there is a open neighbourhood $U_x \ni x$ contained in N_x . Then we have

$$U \supseteq \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x.$$

Suppose U is not open, then U is a proper superset in the relation above. Then there exists $y \in U$ which is not in any U_x . This implies that such a y does not have any neighbourhood N_y in U, for such an N_y must contains an open $U_y \ni y$. For if it does, then there must be a U_x contains y. This is a contradiction. Thus,

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x$$

is open.

1.2 Metrizability

Definition 1.2.1 (metric spaces). Let X be any set. A *metric* ρ on X is a function $\rho: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$

- (i) $\rho(x,y) \geq 0$, and $\rho(x,y) = 0$ iff x = y;
- (ii) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, z)$;
- (iii) $\rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y) \ge \rho(x,y)$.

Definition 1.2.2 (balls). Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, let $x \in X$, and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The open ε -ball about x or just ε -ball about x is defined to be

$$B(x,\varepsilon) = \{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) < \varepsilon \}.$$

The closed ε -ball about x is defined to be

$$\overline{B}(x,\varepsilon) = \{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) \le \varepsilon \}.$$

Example 1.2.1. Let X be any set, and let metric ρ_p on X^n $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ defined by

$$\rho_p(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$. ρ_2 is so called the *standard Euclidean metric*. If $X = \mathbb{R}$, then the metric space (\mathbb{R}^n, ρ_2) is so-called *Euclidean n-space*.

For all $p,q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, if p < q, then for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and for all $x,y \in X$, $\rho_p(x,y) \geq \rho_q(x,y)$; in particular, $\rho_p = \rho_q$ iff there is a unique $k \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, such that for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{k\}$, $x_i = 0$. As $\rho_p(x,y)$ is always "overestimated" than $\rho_q(x,y)$, we have $B_{\rho_p}(x,\varepsilon) \supseteq B_{\rho_q}(x,\varepsilon)$.

Example 1.2.2. Let X be any set. The discrete metric ρ on X is defined to be

$$\rho(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 1.2.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b, and let metric ρ_p on C[a, b] defined by

$$\rho_p(f,g) = \left(\int_a^b |f(t) - g(t)|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $p \geq 1$. In particular,

$$\rho_{\infty}(f,g) = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |f(t) - g(t)|.$$

Proposition 1.2.1. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, then for all $x, y \in X$ $(x \neq y)$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, then there must be a $z \in X$ such that $z \in B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$. $z \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ only if $\rho(x, z) < \varepsilon$, and $z \in B(y, \varepsilon)$ only if $\rho(z, y) < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < 2\varepsilon.$$

As the assumption holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we may put

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < \rho(x,y),$$

which is impossible.

Definition 1.2.3 (induced topologies). Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. A topology \mathcal{T} on X is said to be *induced* by ρ iff for all $\varepsilon > 0$, any $U \in \mathcal{T}$ is the union of ball(s) in X; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ U \subseteq X : U = \bigcup_{x \in X} B(x, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

In this case, \mathcal{T} is called the underlying topology of ρ .

Definition 1.2.4 (metrizable spaces). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. If there is any ρ induce \mathcal{T} , then (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *metrizable*.

Definition 1.2.5 (Lipschitz equivalence). Let X be any set, and let ρ and ρ' be metrics on X. ρ and ρ' are said to be *Lipschitz equivalent* iff there exist c, C > 0, such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$c\rho(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y) \le C\rho(x,y).$$

Proposition 1.2.2. Lipschitz equivalence is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Clearly, Definition 1.2.5 also holds for $\rho = \rho'$. So, Lipschitz equivalence is reflexive. In Definition 1.2.5, the relation also holds for $\frac{1}{C}\rho' \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{c}\rho'$. So Lipschitz equivalence is symmetric.

If there is another ρ'' be Lipschitz equivalent to ρ' , then there is r, R > 0, such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$r\rho''(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y) \le R\rho''(x,y).$$

By the conditions in Definition 1.2.5, we have

$$\frac{c}{r}\rho(x,y) \le \rho''(x,y) \le \frac{C}{R}\rho(x,y),$$

i.e., ρ and ρ'' are also Lipschitz equivalent. So Lipschitz equivalence is transitive. Above all, Lipschitz equivalence is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 1.2.3. Let X be any set, and let ρ and ρ' be metrics on X. If ρ and ρ' are Lipschitz equivalent, then ρ and ρ' induce the same topology.

Proof. As ρ and ρ' are Lipschitz equivalent, by Definition 1.2.5, there is a c > 0 such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$c\rho(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y).$$

Given $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and for all $x \in X$, we have

$$B_{\rho'}(x,cr) \subseteq B_{c\rho}(x,r) = B_{\rho}\left(x,\frac{1}{c}r\right).$$

For all $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$, for all $x \in U$, there is an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, such that

$$B_{\rho'}(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq U.$$

So $U \in \mathcal{T}'_{\rho}$. Then we have $\mathcal{T}_{\rho} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$.

Similarly, $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$ only if $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$. Then we have $\mathcal{T}_{\rho'} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$. Above all, $\mathcal{T}_{\rho} = \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$.

Note 1.2.1. In this proposition, \mathcal{T}_{ρ} and $\mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$ are said to be homeomorphic or topologically equivalent (see Definition 1.5.2). And ρ and ρ' are also said to be topologically equivalent.

Example 1.2.4. In Example 1.2.1, for all $p, q \ge 1$, all ρ_p and ρ_q induce the same topology. Let X be any subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then for all $x, y \in X$, if p < q, then

$$\rho_p(x,y) \ge \rho_q(x,y).$$

Thus, if ρ_1 and ρ_{∞} are Lipschitz equivalent, then any other ρ_p and ρ_q are Lipschitz equivalent. We have

$$\rho_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \ge \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} |x_i - y_i| = \rho_\infty(x,y).$$

Clearly,

$$\rho_{\infty}(x,y) \le \rho_1(x,y) \le n\rho_{\infty}(x,y).$$

By Definition 1.2.5, ρ_1 and ρ_{∞} are Lipschitz equivalent, hence for all $p, q \geq 1$, ρ_p and ρ_q are Lipschitz equivalent. Thus, by Proposition 1.2.3, they induce the same topology.

1.3 Separation Axioms. From T_0 to Hausdorff

Definition 1.3.1 (saperated). In a topological space, two sets are said to be *separated* iff each is disjoint from other's closure.

Definition 1.3.2 (separated by neighbourhoods). In a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) , two sets A and B are said to be *separated by neighbourhood* iff there are neighbourhoods N_A of A and N_B of B such that N_A and N_B are disjoint.

Definition 1.3.3 (topologically indistinguishable). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Two points $x, y \in X$ are said to be topologically indistinguishable iff they share all their neighbourhoods. That is, let \mathcal{N}_x be the family of all neighbourhoods of x and let \mathcal{N}_y be the family of all neighbourhoods of y, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_x = \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

Respectively, x, y are said to be topologically distinguishable iff they are not topologically distinguishable; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_x \neq \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

Example 1.3.1. In an indiscrete topological space, all distinct points are topologically indistinguishable.

On Hausdorff Spaces

Definition 1.3.4 (Hausdorff Spaces). A topological space is said to be *Hausdorff* or T_2 iff all distinct points in the space are separated by neighbourhoods.

Definition 1.3.5 (R_1 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be R_1 iff any two topological distinguishable points in X are separated by neighbourhoods.

Proposition 1.3.1. All metrizable spaces are Hausdorff

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a metrizable space. There exists a metric ρ on X that induces \mathcal{T} . Given distinct points $x, y \in X$, suppose for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there exists $z \in B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$. Then $\rho(x, z) < \varepsilon$ and $\rho(y, z) < \varepsilon$. Now we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < 2\varepsilon$$
.

Put $\rho(x,y) > 2\varepsilon$ as x and y are arbitrarily given. Then we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < \rho(x,y),$$

which implies that ρ is not a metric on X. Hence, (X, \mathcal{T}) is not metrizable which is contradicted to the condition.

Proposition 1.3.2. All singletons in a Hausdorff space are closed.

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Hausdorff space, and let $x \in X$. For all $y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there is a open neighbourhood U_y of y such that $x \notin U_y$. Then, for all such U_y , we have

$$\forall y \in X, \ x \in X \setminus U_y = \{x\} \iff x \in \bigcap_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} X \setminus U_y = \{x\}.$$

As all $X \setminus U_y$ are closed, their intersection $\{x\}$ is closed.

On Fréchet spaces

Definition 1.3.6 (Fréchet spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_1 or *Fréchet* iff for all singletons in X are closed.

Proposition 1.3.3. In a Hausdorff space, any two topologically distinguishable points are separated.

Proof. Clearly, in a Hausdorff space, any two points are topologically distinguishable points iff they are distinct. Then, of course, they are separated.

Definition 1.3.7 (R_0 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be R_0 iff any two topologically distinguishable points in X are separated.

Example 1.3.2 (Fréchet but not Hausdorff). Let X be a nonempty set, let $p \in X$, let \mathcal{T}' be a Hausdorff topology on $X \setminus \{p\}$, and let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{X\} \cup \mathcal{T}'.$$

Then, all $x \in (X, \mathcal{T})$ are closed, thus (X, \mathcal{T}) is Fréchet. But the only neighbourhood of p is X, so its closure is X. Then, for any $x \in X \setminus \{p\}$, x and p are not separated, in which case (X, \mathcal{T}) is not R_0 . Thus, (X, \mathcal{T}) is not Hausdorff.

Note 1.3.1. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is Fréchet only if it is R_0 . Because for distinct points all $x, y \in X$, $x \notin \overline{\{y\}} = \{y\}$ (being R_0), as all singletons are closed (being Fréchet). But, on the contrary, being R_0 does not imply being T_1 , for we can find topological spaces being R_0 but not Fréchet.

Example 1.3.3 (R_0 but not T_1). Let X be any set with $|X| \geq 3$, let $U \subsetneq X$ with $|U| \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{T}_{X \setminus U}$ be a T_1 topology on $X \setminus U$, and let \mathcal{T}

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{X \setminus U} \cup \{X, U\}.$$

For all $x, y \in X$, if $x \neq y$, then they are separated. Thus, the space is R_0 . But (X, \mathcal{T}) is not T_1 , because all $\{u\} \in U$ share the same closure which is U itself.

On T_0 Spaces

Definition 1.3.8 (T_0 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_0 or Kolmogorov, iff all distinct points $x, y \in X$ are topologically distinguishable.

Note 1.3.2. In Example 1.3.6, all $u \in U$ are topologically indistinguishable, for they have the same family of neighbourhoods which is $\{U, X\}$. Thus, in the example, (X, \mathcal{T}) is not T_0 .

Example 1.3.4 (R_0 but not T_0). Let \mathcal{T} be a countable family of disjoint proper intervals on \mathbb{R}^n , and $\bigcup \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}^n$. (X, \mathcal{T}) is R_0 , but not T_0 .

Example 1.3.5 (T_0 but not R_0). Let $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space with

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ U \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \forall i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \ U_i = [0, i) \},\$$

Then for all $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{T})$, if $x \neq y$, then there are |y - x| neighbourhoods N_x of x do not contain y. Thus, it is T_0 .

On the other hand, it is not R_0 , because for all $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{T})$ with x < y, $x \in \overline{\{y\}} = [0, y]$.

Proposition 1.3.4 (alternative definitions of R_0 spaces). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be R_0 , then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) The closure of all singletons in X are not T_0 subspace.

- (ii) For any two points $x, y \in X$, $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ iff $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$.
- (iii) Every open set is the union of closed sets.

Proof.

- (i) By Definition 1.3.7, if y and x are topologically distinguishable, by Definition 1.3.7, x and y are separated; i.e., $x \notin \overline{\{y\}}$ and $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$.
- (ii) By Definition 1.3.7, for all $x, y \in X$, x, y are not separated only if they are topologically indistinguishable. By Definition 1.3.3, they share all their neighbourhoods, thus they have the same closure; i.e., $\overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$.
- (iii) (Remained as a problem!)

Proposition 1.3.5 (alternative definitions of T_1 spaces). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be T_1 , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) All singletons in X are closed.
- (ii) Every subset of X is the intersection of all open sets containing it.
- (iii) Every cofinite subset of X is open.

Proof.

Proposition 1.3.6. All singletons in a T_1 space are closed, That is, if a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is T_1 , then

$$\forall x \in (X, \mathcal{T}) : \exists U \in \mathcal{T} : \{x\} = X \setminus U.$$

Example 1.3.6 (R_0 but not R_1). (Remained as a problem!)

Proposition 1.3.7. All metric spaces are Hausdorff.

1.4 Continuity

Definition 1.4.1 (continuous maps). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *continuous* iff for any open set U in Y, its preimage in X under f is open.

Note 1.4.1. In Definition 1.4.1, note that even if for any open set U in X, f[X] is open in Y, f is not necessarily continuous. For example, let $X = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_X)$ with \mathcal{T}_X induced by standard Euclidean metric, let $Y = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ with \mathcal{T}_Y as a indiscrete topology, and define

$$f(x) = [x],$$

where [x] denotes the integer part of x. Then for all $U \subseteq X$, f[U] is open in Y, but by Definition 1.4.1, f is not continuous.

Note 1.4.2. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces, if \mathcal{T}_X is the discrete topology on X, then any function with domain X is continuous. If \mathcal{T}_Y is the indiscrete topology on Y, then any function with codomain Y is continuous.

Note 1.4.3. A function is continuous bijection does not implies that its inverse is continuous. For example, let X be any set and let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be its topologies. If \mathcal{T} is finer than \mathcal{T}' , then any bijection $f:(X,\mathcal{T})\to (X,\mathcal{T}')$ is continuous. In this case, however, if $\mathcal{T}\neq \mathcal{T}'$, then f^{-1} is not continuous.

Proposition 1.4.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is continuous at $x \in X$ iff for any neighbourhood N_y of f(x), there is a neighbourhood N_x of x, such that $f[N_x] \subseteq N_y$.

Proof. Let N_y be a neighbourhood of f(x). Clearly, there exists an open set U_y contains y.

By Definition 1.4.1, f is continuous at x iff $x \in f^{-1}[U_y] \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Clearly, $f^{-1}[U_y]$ is a neighbourhood of x. We have $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] = U_y \subseteq N_y$.

By Proposition 1.1.2, there U_x must contains at least one neighbourhood N_x of x, thus, $f[N_x] \subseteq U_y$.

Proposition 1.4.2. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be metrizable spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is continuous at $p \in X$ iff for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$, such that for all $x \in B_X(p, \delta)$, $f(x) \in B_Y(f(p), \varepsilon)$, where B_X is defined by any metrics ρ_X induces \mathcal{T}_X , and B_Y is defined by any metrics ρ_Y induces \mathcal{T}_Y .

Proof. Clearly, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)$ is an open neighbourhood of f(x). f is not necessarily be injective, so $f^{-1}[B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)] = U \in x$. By Definition 1.4.1, U is open, so for some $\delta > 0$, $B_X(x, \delta) \subseteq U$. Thus, By Proposition 1.4.1, f is continuous iff $f[B_X(x, \delta)] \subseteq B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)$. This satisfies the conditions we have.

Proposition 1.4.3. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be continuous iff for any closed set V in Y, its preimage in X under f is closed.

Proof. Let U_Y be any open set in Y, let U_X be the preimage of U_Y under f. By Definition 1.4.1, U_X is open in X. Let

$$V_X = f^{-1}[Y \setminus U_Y] = X \setminus U_X,$$

Then V_X is closed.

Definition 1.4.2 (convergence of sequences). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *converges* in X iff there is an $x \in X$, such that for any open neighbourhood U_x of x, it contains a cofinite subset $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$. That is, there exists N in the domain of $\{x_n\}$, for any natural numbers $n \geq N$, $x_n \in U_x$.

Example 1.4.1.

- 1. In a discrete topological space, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges iff there is an N in the domain of $\{x_n\}$, for any natural numbers m > N, $x_N = x_m$.
- 2. In a indiscrete topological space, any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges in X. And

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \{x_n\} = X.$$

Proposition 1.4.4. In a Hausdorff space, any convergent sequence converges to a unique point in the space.

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Hausdorff space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ converges to more than one point, say to $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, then, for all neighbourhoods N_x of x and N_y of y, N_x contains a cofinite subset $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$ and N_y contains a cofinite subset $B \subseteq \{x_n\}$. If this were true, $N_x \cap N_y$ should be non-empty, otherwise N_x or N_y should be finite.

Then, x and y are not separated by neighbourhoods, thus (X, \mathcal{T}) is not Hausdorff. This is a contradiction.

But, as (X, \mathcal{T}) is Hausdorff, there must be mutually disjoint N_x and N_y . Thus, the assumption cause a contradiction.

Note 1.4.4. As all metrizable spaces are Hausdorff, so any convergent sequence in a metrizable space converges to at most one point.

Proposition 1.4.5. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological space, let $f: X \to Y$ be a map, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a convergent sequence in X. If f is continuous, then $f[\{x_n\}]$ is a sequence convergent in Y.

Proof. Let U_y be any open neighbourhood of f(x). By Definition 1.4.1, $f^{-1}[U_y]$ is also an open neighbourhood of x. By Definition 1.4.2, $f^{-1}[U_y]$ contains a cofinite subset $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$. Then f[A] is a cofinite subset of $f[\{x_n\}]$. As $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] \supseteq f^{-1}[A]$, $f[\{x_n\}]$ converges in $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] \supseteq f^{-1}[A]$.

Note 1.4.5. In this proposition, even if $f[\{x_n\}]$ converges in Y, f might be discontinuous. For example, let X any set, let \mathcal{T} be the indiscrete topology on X, let U be another cofinite subset of X with $X \neq U$, and let $\mathcal{T}' = \{\emptyset, X, U\}$. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{T}) \to (X, \mathcal{T}')$ be defined by

$$f(x) = x$$
.

By Definition 1.4.1, f is not continuous. But, for any convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, \mathcal{T}) , $f[\{x_n\}]$ also convergent in (X, \mathcal{T}) .

1.5 Homeomorphisms

Definition 1.5.1 (homeomorphisms). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A bijection $f: X \to Y$ is called a *homeomorphism* iff it is continuous and its inverse is also continuous.

Definition 1.5.2 (homeomorphic). Two topological spaces (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) are said to be *homeomorphic* or *topologically equivalent*, denoted $X \cong Y$, iff there is an homeomorphism between them.

1.6 Cover and Basis

Definition 1.6.1 (cover). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $U \subseteq X$, then a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a *cover* of U iff the union of all sets in \mathcal{C} is a superset of U. That is,

$$U\subseteq\bigcup\mathcal{C}.$$

If $C \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, then we call C an open cover of U.

Let $S \subseteq C$, iff the union of S is still a superset of U, then we call S a subcover of C.

Definition 1.6.2 (basis). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, let $U \subseteq X$, and let \mathcal{B} be a open cover of X. We call \mathcal{B} a base of X iff the union of \mathcal{B} is precisely U itself, i.e.,

$$U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}.$$

Definition 1.6.3 (synthetic basis). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let \mathcal{B} be a base of X. \mathcal{B} is said to be *synthetic* iff for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$A \cap B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i, \quad B_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Definition 1.6.4 (generated by basis). Let X be any set and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be its cover. A topology \mathcal{T} on X is said to be *generated* by the base \mathcal{B} iff

- (i) for all $U \in \mathcal{T}$, U is the union of \mathcal{B} -sets;
- (ii) for all $U \in \mathcal{T}$, U is the finite intersection of \mathcal{B} -sets.

Proposition 1.6.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space be genrated by a base \mathcal{B} . For all $U \in \mathcal{T}$, there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. By Definition 1.6.4, if \mathcal{T} is generated by \mathcal{B} , then for all $U \in \mathcal{T}$, there is an finite set I, such that

$$U = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i, \quad B_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus, for at least one $k \in I$, $U \subseteq B_k$.

Proposition 1.6.2. Let X be any set, and let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be its topologies generated by basis \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' respectively. Then \mathcal{T}' is finer than \mathcal{T} iff for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ such that $B' \subseteq B$.

Proof. If \mathcal{T} is generated by \mathcal{B} , then for all $U' \in \mathcal{T}'$,

$$U' = \bigcup_{j \in J} B'_j,$$

where $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$.

As \mathcal{T} is generated by \mathcal{B} , then, certainly, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

By the conditions we have, $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ iff for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there is $W' \in \mathcal{T}$ such that

$$B=W'=\bigcup_{i\in I}B_i',$$

where $B'_i \in \mathcal{B}'$. Certainly, all such B'_i are contained in B.

Proposition 1.6.3. Let X be any set, and let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. \mathcal{T} is a topology on X iff it generates itself.

Proof. If \mathcal{T} is a topology on X, then, by Definition 1.6.4, any open set generated by \mathcal{T} is still a member of \mathcal{T} . On the other hand, if \mathcal{T} generates itself, then, \emptyset and X must be members of \mathcal{T} , and, by Definition 1.6.4, \mathcal{T} is a topology on X.

1.7 Interiors and Closures

Definition 1.7.1 (interiors). The *interior* of a set A, denoted A° , is defined to be the union of all open subsets of A.

Definition 1.7.2 (closure). The *closure* of a set A, denoted \overline{A} , is defined to be the intersection of all closed supersets of A.

Definition 1.7.3 (dense sets). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$. A is said to be dense, iff $\overline{A} = X$.

Definition 1.7.4 (nowhere dense sets). A set A is said to be *nowhere dense* iff the interior of its closure is empty.

Proposition 1.7.1 (properties of interiors). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be any topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$.

- (i) (Intensive) $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$.
- (ii) A is open iff $A = A^{\circ}$.
- (iii) (Idempotence) $(A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$.
- (iv) $(A \cap B)^{\circ} = A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ}$.
- (v) $A \subseteq B \implies A^{\circ} \subseteq B^{\circ}$.
- (vi) If B is open, then $B \subseteq A$ iff $B \subseteq A^{\circ}$.

Proof.

- (i) By Definition 1.7.1, naturally, $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$.
- (ii) By Definition 1.1.2, A° is the union of open sets hence it is open. A is open iff it is the union of all open subsets of A. Thus $A = A^{\circ}$.

- (iii) A° is open, thus $(A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$.
- (iv) By Definition 1.7.1, we have

$$(A \cap B)^{\circ} = \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A \cap B \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \bigcup U : (U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A) \wedge (U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq B) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq B \right\}$$

$$= A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ}.$$

(v) Clearly, $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$, thus,

$$A \subseteq B \implies A^{\circ} \subseteq B$$

Suppose $A^{\circ} \not\subseteq B^{\circ}$, then $A^{\circ} \setminus B^{\circ}$ is not empty (\emptyset is the subset of any set, so A° is not empty).

Then there exists $x \in A^{\circ}$ with $x \in \partial B$ ($x \in B$ but $x \notin B^{\circ}$). Then there exists neighbourhood $N_x \ni x$, and $N_x \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$. But this is impossible, for $A^{\circ} \subseteq B$ implies that $A^{\circ} \cap \partial B = \emptyset$ (This is a straight consequence of $A^{\circ} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$. See Proposition 1.8.1), so such N_x does not exist. Thus,

$$A^{\circ} \subset B^{\circ}$$
.

(vi) If B is open, then $B = B^{\circ}$. Then $B \subseteq A$ iff $B^{\circ} \subseteq A^{\circ}$.

Proposition 1.7.2 (properties of closures). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A, B \subseteq X$.

- (i) \overline{A} is closed.
- (ii) A is closed iff $A = \overline{A}$.
- (iii) $A \subseteq B$ implies $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$.
- (iv) If A is closed, then $A \supseteq B$ iff $A \supseteq \overline{B}$

Proof.

(i) By Definition 1.7.2, \overline{A} is the intersection of closed sets. By Proposition 1.1.1, \overline{A} is closed.

- (ii) Proposition 1.1.1 implies that any closed set is the intersection of closed sets, this is precisely what Definition 1.7.2 says.
- (iii) $A \subseteq B$ iff $X \setminus A \supseteq X \setminus B$. Then we have

$$X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ} \subseteq X \setminus (X \setminus B)^{\circ}$$

Clearly, $(X \setminus A)^{\circ}$ is the union of all open set disjoint from A, then, by De Morgan's laws, $X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ}$ is the intersection of all closed sets containing A. By Definition 1.7.2, we have $(X \setminus A)^{\circ} = \overline{A}$. Thus

$$\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$$
.

(iv) If A is closed, then $A = \overline{A}$. Suppose $B \subseteq A$, then we have

$$\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A} \iff \overline{B} \subseteq A.$$

1.8 Boundaries

Definition 1.8.1 (boundaries). Let A be any set, the *boundary* of A, denoted ∂A , is defined to be the complement of the interior of A in the closure of A; i.e.,

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

Proposition 1.8.1 (properties of boundaries). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$.

- (i) ∂A is closed.
- (ii) $A^{\circ} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$.
- (iii) $\overline{A} = A^{\circ} \cup \partial A$.
- (iv) A is closed iff $\partial A \subseteq A$.
- (v) ∂A is nowhere dense.
- (vi) $\partial \overline{A} \subseteq \partial A \subseteq \partial A^{\circ}$.
- (vii) $\partial A = \partial (X \setminus A)$.

(viii) A is dense iff $\partial A = X \setminus A^{\circ}$.

Proof.

(i) \overline{A} is closed, and $X \setminus A^{\circ}$ is also closed. Thus

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap (X \setminus A)$$

is closed.

(ii) By Definition 1.8.1, we have

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \iff \partial A \cap A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \cap A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap \emptyset = \emptyset.$$

(iii) We have

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \iff \partial A \cup A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \cup A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap (X \setminus A^{\circ} \cup A^{\circ})$$
$$\iff \partial A \cup A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap X|_{\text{for } A^{\circ} \subset X} = \overline{A}.$$

- (iv) As A is closed, $A = \overline{A}$ (this can be straightly proved by Definition 1.7.2). By Definition 1.8.1, it is clear that $\partial A \subseteq \overline{A}$, thus $\partial A \subseteq A$.
- (v) By Definition 1.7.4, ∂A is nowhere dense iff $\overline{\partial A}^\circ$ is empty. We have

$$\overline{\partial A}^{\circ} = \overline{\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}}^{\circ}$$

$$= (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}) \cup (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}) \setminus (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ})$$

$$= \emptyset.$$

(vi) $\overline{A} \supseteq A^{\circ}$ implies $\overline{A}^{\circ} \supseteq (A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$, then we have,

$$\partial \overline{A} = \overline{\overline{A}} \setminus \overline{A}^{\circ} \subset \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \partial A.$$

 $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$ implies $\overline{A^{\circ}} \subseteq \overline{A}$, then we have,

$$\partial A^{\circ} = \overline{A^{\circ}} \setminus (A^{\circ})^{\circ} \supseteq \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

(vii) We have

$$\begin{split} \partial(X \setminus A) &= \overline{X \setminus A} \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ} \\ &= X \setminus A^{\circ} \setminus (X \setminus \overline{A}) \\ &= X \setminus A^{\circ} \cap \overline{A} \\ &= \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \\ &= \partial A. \end{split}$$

(viii) By Definition 1.7.3, A is dense in X iff $\overline{A} = X$. Then we have,

$$\overline{A} = X \iff \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = X \setminus A^{\circ}$$
$$\iff \partial A = X \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

1.9 Limit Points

Definition 1.9.1 (limit points). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$. A point $x \in X$ is called a *limit point* of A iff for all neighbourhood N_x of $x, N_x \setminus \{x\}$ intersects A.

Proposition 1.9.1. Let A be any set, and let x be a limit point of A, then x is an element of the closure of A.

Proof. If A is empty, then this is vacuously true. So, suppose A is not empty. By Definition 1.9.1, for all neighbourhood N_x of x, $N_x \setminus \{x\} \cap A$ is not empty. Naturally, $N_x \cap A$ is not empty.

Assume that $x \notin \overline{A}$, then $X \setminus \overline{A}$ is a neighbourhood of x, by Definition 1.1.5, and is disjoint from A. This is contradicted to the conditions.

Note 1.9.1. In this proof, the proposition also holds for $N_x \cap A^{\circ} = \emptyset$. Because if it is true, then

$$N_x \cap \partial A \supseteq (N_x \cap A) \setminus (N_x \cap A^\circ) = N_x \cap A.$$

This implies that $A\subseteq \partial A$. In this case, $\overline{A}=\partial A$, for Assume that $x\notin \partial A$, then we have the same conclusion. Then $A^\circ=A\setminus \partial A=\emptyset$.

Proposition 1.9.2. A set is closed iff it contains all its limit point.

Proof. Let A be a set. By proposition 1.9.1, for every limit point of A, it is also an element of the closure \overline{A} . And A is closed iff $A = \overline{A}$.

Definition 1.9.2 (convergent sequences). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergence* in X iff there is an open set U contains all but finite terms of $\{x_n\}$.

Chapter 2

Creating New Spaces

2.1 Subspaces

Definition 2.1.1 (subspace topology). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$. The *subspace topology* \mathcal{T}_A on A is defined to be the family of the intersections of open sets in (X, \mathcal{T}) and A. That is,

$$\mathcal{T}_A = \{ U \cap A : U \in \mathcal{T} \}.$$

2.2 Quotient Spaces

Definition 2.2.1 (quotient topology). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let \sim be an equivalence relation on X. The *quotient topology* is a topology on $\mathcal{P}(X/\sim)$; it is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_{X/\sim} = \{ U \in \mathcal{P}(X/\sim) : \{ x \in X : [x] \in U \} \in \mathcal{T}_X \}.$$

2.3 Product Spaces

Definition 2.3.1 (product topologies).

Chapter 3

Topological Properties

3.1 Cardinal Functions

3.2 More on Separation Axioms

Definition 3.2.1 (saperated sets). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

- (i) A and B are said to be separated iff each is disjoint from other's closure.
- (ii) A and B are said to be separated by neighbourhoods iff there are neighbourhoods N_A of A and N_B of B such that N_A and N_B are disjoint.
- (iii) A and B are said to be separated by closed neighbourhoods iff there are closed neighbourhoods \overline{N}_A of A and \overline{N}_B of B such that \overline{N}_A and \overline{N}_B are disjoint.
- (iv) A and B are said to be separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f[A] = \{0\}$ and $f[B] = \{1\}$.
- (v) A and B are said to be precisely separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f^{-1}[\{0\}] = A$ and $f^{-1}[\{1\}] = B$

Definition 3.2.2 ($T_{2^{1/2}}$ spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be $T_{2^{1/2}}$ or Urysohn iff two distinct points in X are separated by closed neighbourhoods.

Example 3.2.1 $(T_2 \text{ but not } T_{2^{1/2}})$. ¹ (Remained as a problem)

¹ See MathPlanet.

Definition 3.2.3 (T_3 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_3 or regular iff it is T_0 and given any point $x \in (X, \mathcal{T})$ and closed set $V \subseteq X$ with $x \notin V$ are separated by neighbourhoods.

Definition 3.2.4 $(T_{3^{1}/2} \text{ spaces})$. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be $T_{3^{1}/2}$, or *Tychonoff* or, *completely* T_3 , or *completely regular*, iff it is T_0 and given any point x and closed set $V \subseteq X$ with $x \notin V$, they are separated by a continuous function.

Definition 3.2.5 (T_4 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_4 or *normal* iff it is Hausdorff and any tow disjoint closed subsets of X are separated by neighbourhoods.

Proposition 3.2.1 (Urysohn's lemma). A topological space is normal iff any two disjoint closed sets are separated by a continuous function.

Definition 3.2.6 (T_5 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_5 or completely T_4 iff it is T_1 any two separated sets are separated by neighbourhoods.

Proposition 3.2.2. Every subspace of a T_5 space is normal.

Definition 3.2.7 (T_6 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_6 , or perfectly T_4 or perfectly normal iff it is T_1 and any two disjoint closed sets are precisely separated by a continuous function.

Proposition 3.2.3 (Tietze extension theorem). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be normal topological space, and let $f: A \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}')$ be a continuous map where A is a closed subset of X and \mathcal{T}' is the standard topology (induced by Euclidean metric). Then there exists a continuous map

$$F:(X,\mathcal{T})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{T}'),$$

such that

$$\forall x \in A : f(x) = g(x).$$

3.3 Countability Axioms

3.4 Compactness

Definition 3.4.1 (compactness). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *compact* iff every open cover of X has a finite subcover. That is,

$$\forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T} : \bigcup \mathcal{C} = X : \exists \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : \bigcup \mathcal{S} = X : |\mathcal{S}| < \aleph_0.$$

3.5 Connectedness

Definition 3.5.1 (connectedness). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *connected* iff X is not empty and it it not the union of any disjoint open sets. That is,

$$\forall U, V \in \mathcal{T} : X = U \cup V : U \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

Definition 3.5.2 (path-connectedness). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space.

- (i) A map $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$ is called a *path* in X iff it is continuous. If $\gamma(0)=x$ and $\gamma(1)=y$, we say that γ is path from x to y in X.
- (ii) X is said to be path-connected iff for all $x,y\in X$ there is a path from x to y in X.