Notes for General Topology

Zhao Wenchuan

April 22, 2021

Chapter 1

Metric Spaces

Chapter 2

Topological Spaces

2.1 Topological Spaces

Definition 2.1.1 (topology). Let X be a set, and let a family $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. \mathcal{T} is called a topology on X iff

- (i) $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{T} is closed under arbitrary union;
- (iii) \mathcal{T} is closed under finite intersection.

Definition 2.1.2 (topological spaces). Let X be any set, and let \mathcal{T} be a topology on X, then the pair (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a *topological space*. All subsets of X in \mathcal{T} are called *open sets* in (X, \mathcal{T}) .

Definition 2.1.3 (closed sets). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A subset V of X is said to be *closed* iff there is an open set U in X such that

$$V = X \setminus V$$
.

Definition 2.1.4 (finer and coarser topology). Let X be any set, and let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ be topologies on X. \mathcal{T} is said to be *finer* than \mathcal{T}' iff $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{T}'$; respectively, \mathcal{T} is said to be *coarser* than \mathcal{T}' iff $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$.

Definition 2.1.5 (neighbourhood). Given (X, \mathcal{T}) as a topological space and a point $x \in X$, a subset $N \subseteq X$ is called a *neighbourhood* iff it contains an open set U containing x.

Proposition 2.1.1. Given (X, \mathcal{T}) as a topological space and $U \subseteq X$, U is open iff for all $x \in U$, there is a neighbourhood N of x contained in U.

Proof. If U is open, then U itself is a neighbourhood of x contained in U.

Conversely, if for all $x \in U$, there is a neighbourhood N_x of x contained in U, then there is a open neighbourhood $U_x \ni x$ contained in N_x . Then we have

$$U \supseteq \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x.$$

Suppose U is not open, then U is a proper superset in the relation above. Then there exists $y \in U$ which is not in any U_x . This implies that such a y does not have any neighbourhood N_y in U, for such an N_y must contains an open $U_y \ni y$. For if it does, then there must be a U_x contains y. This is a contradiction. Thus,

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x$$

is open.

2.2 Metrizable Spaces

2.3 Continuity

Definition 2.3.1 (continuous maps). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *continuous* iff for any open set U in Y, its preimage in X under f is open.

Proposition 2.3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is continuous at $x \in X$ iff for any neighbourhood N_y of f(x), there is a neighbourhood N_x of x, such that $f[N_x] \subseteq N_y$.

Proof. Let N_y be a neighbourhood of f(x). Clearly, there exists an open set U_y contains y.

By Definition 2.3.1, f is continuous at x iff $x \in f^{-1}[U_y] \in \mathcal{T}_X$. Clearly, $f^{-1}[U_y]$ is a neighbourhood of x. We have $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] = U_y \subseteq N_y$.

By Proposition 2.1.1, there U_x must contain at least one neighbourhood N_x of x, thus, $f[N_x] \subseteq U_y$.

Proposition 2.3.2. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be metrizable spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$ is continuous at $p \in X$ iff for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$, such that

for all $x \in B_X(p,\delta)$, $f(x) \in B_Y(f(p),\varepsilon)$, where B_X is defined by any metrics ρ_X induces \mathcal{T}_X , and B_Y is defined by any metrics ρ_Y induces \mathcal{T}_Y .

Proof. Clearly, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)$ is an open neighbourhood of f(x).

f is not necessarily be injective, so $f^{-1}[B_Y(f(x),\varepsilon)] = U \in x$. By Definition 2.3.1, U is open, so for some $\delta > 0$, $B_X(x,\delta) \subseteq U$. Thus, By Proposition 2.3.1, f is continuous iff $f[B_X(x,\delta)] \subseteq B_Y(f(x),\varepsilon)$. This satisfies the conditions we have.

Proposition 2.3.3. Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be continuous iff for any closed set V in Y, its preimage in X under f is closed.

Proof. Let U_Y be any open set in Y, let U_X be the preimage of U_Y under f. By Definition 2.3.1, U_X is open in X. Let

$$V_X = f^{-1}[Y \setminus U_Y] = X \setminus U_X,$$

Then V_X is closed.

2.4 Cover

Definition 2.4.1 (cover). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $U \subseteq X$, then a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a *cover* of U iff the union of all sets in \mathcal{C} is a superset of U. That is,

$$U\subseteq\bigcup\mathcal{C}.$$

If $C \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, then we call C an open cover of U.

Let $S \subseteq C$, iff the union of S is still a superset of U, then we call S a subcover of C.

Definition 2.4.2 (basis). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, let $U \subseteq X$, and let \mathcal{B} be a open cover of X. We call \mathcal{B} a *base* of X iff the union of \mathcal{B} is precisely U itself, i.e.,

$$U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}.$$

Definition 2.4.3 (synthetic basis). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let \mathcal{B} be a base of X. \mathcal{B} is said to be *synthetic* iff for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$A \cap B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i, \quad B_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

2.5 Untitled

Definition 2.5.1 (subspace topology). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$. The *subspace topology* \mathcal{T}_A on A is defined to be the family of the intersections of open sets in (X, \mathcal{T}) and A. That is,

$$\mathcal{T}_A = \{ U \cap A : U \in \mathcal{T} \}.$$

Definition 2.5.2 (quotient topology). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and let \sim be an equivalence relation on X. The *quotient topology* is a topology on $\mathcal{P}(X/\sim)$; it is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_{X/\sim} = \{ U \in \mathcal{P}(X/\sim) : \{ x \in X : [x] \in U \} \in \mathcal{T}_X \}.$$

Definition 2.5.3 (homeomorphisms). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) be topological spaces. A bijection $f: X \to Y$ is called a *homeomorphism* iff it is continuous and its inverse is also continuous.

Definition 2.5.4 (homeomorphic). Two topological spaces (X, \mathcal{T}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y) are said to be *homeomorphic* or *topologically equivalent*, denoted $X \cong Y$, iff there is an homeomorphism between them.

Definition 2.5.5 (compactness). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *compact* iff every open cover of X has a finite subcover. That is,

$$\forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T} : \left(\ \right] \mathcal{C} = X : \exists \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : \left(\ \right] \mathcal{S} = X : |\mathcal{S}| < \aleph_0.$$

Definition 2.5.6 (connectedness). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be *connected* iff X is not empty and it it not the union of any disjoint open sets. That is,

$$\forall U, V \in \mathcal{T} : X = U \cup V : U \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

Definition 2.5.7 (path-connectedness). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space.

- (i) A map $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$ is called a *path* in X iff it is continuous. If $\gamma(0)=x$ and $\gamma(1)=y$, we say that γ is path from x to y in X.
- (ii) X is said to be path-connected iff for all $x, y \in X$ there is a path from x to y in X.

Definition 2.5.8 (topologically indistinguishable). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. Two points $x, y \in X$ are said to be topologically indistinguishable

iff they share all their neighbourhoods. That is, let \mathcal{N}_x be the family of all neighbourhoods of x and let \mathcal{N}_y be the family of all neibourhoods of y, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_x = \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

Respectively, x, y are said to be topologically distinguishable iff they are not topologically distinguishable; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_x \neq \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

Definition 2.5.9 (saperated sets). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space, and let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

- (i) A and B are said to be separated iff each is disjoint from other's closure.
- (ii) A and B are said to be separated by neighbourhoods iff there are neighbourhoods N_A of A and N_B of B such that N_A and N_B are disjoint.
- (iii) A and B are said to be separated by closed neighbourhoods iff there are closed neighbourhoods \overline{N}_A of A and \overline{N}_B of B such that \overline{N}_A and \overline{N}_B are disjoint.
- (iv) A and B are said to be separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f[A] = \{0\}$ and $f[B] = \{1\}$.
- (v) A and B are said to be precisely separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $f^{-1}[\{0\}] = A$ and $f^{-1}[\{1\}] = B$

See Wikipedia.org

Definition 2.5.10 (T_0 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_0 or Kolmogorov, iff all distinct points $x, y \in X$ are topologically distinguishable.

Definition 2.5.11 (R_0 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be R_0 iff any two topologically distinguishable points in X are separated.

Definition 2.5.12 (T_1 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_1 or *Fréchet* iff any two distinct points in X are separated.

Proposition 2.5.1. All singletons in a T_1 space are closed, That is, if a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is T_1 , then

$$\forall x \in (X, \mathcal{T}) : \exists U \in \mathcal{T} : \{x\} = X \setminus U.$$

Definition 2.5.13 (T_2 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_2 or *Hausdorff* or *separated* iff any two distinct points in (X, \mathcal{T}) are separated by neighbourhoods.

Definition 2.5.14 ($T_{2^{1/2}}$ spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be $T_{2^{1/2}}$ or Urysohn iff two distinct points in X are separated by closed neighbourhoods.

Definition 2.5.15 (T_3 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_3 or regular iff it is T_0 and given any point $x \in (X, \mathcal{T})$ and closed set $V \subseteq X$ with $x \notin V$ are separated by neighbourhoods.

Definition 2.5.16 $(T_{3^{1}/2} \text{ spaces})$. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be $T_{3^{1}/2}$, or *Tychonoff* or, *completely* T_3 , or *completely regular*, iff it is T_0 and given any point x and closed set $V \subseteq X$ with $x \notin V$, they are separated by a continuous function.

Definition 2.5.17 (T_4 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_4 or *normal* iff it is Hausdorff and any tow disjoint closed subsets of X are separated by neighbourhoods.

Proposition 2.5.2 (Urysohn's lemma). A topological space is normal iff any two disjoint closed sets are separated by a continuous function.

Definition 2.5.18 (T_5 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_5 or completely T_4 iff it is T_1 any two separated sets are separated by neighbourhoods.

Proposition 2.5.3. Every subspace of a T_5 space is normal.

Definition 2.5.19 (T_6 spaces). A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is said to be T_6 , or perfectly T_4 or perfectly normal iff it is T_1 and any two disjoint closed sets are precisely separated by a continuous function.

Proposition 2.5.4 (Tietze extension theorem). Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be normal topological space, and let $f: A \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}')$ be a continuous map where A is a closed subset of X and \mathcal{T}' is the standard topology (induced by Euclidean metric). Then there exists a continuous map

$$F:(X,\mathcal{T})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{T}'),$$

such that

$$\forall x \in A : f(x) = g(x).$$

2.6 Limit Points

Definition 2.6.1 (limit points). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$. A point $x \in X$ is called a *limit point* of A iff for all neighbourhood N_x of $x, N_x \setminus \{x\}$ intersects A.

Proposition 2.6.1. Let A be any set, and let x be a limit point of A, then x is an element of the closure of A.

Proof. If A is empty, then this is vacuously true. So, suppose A is not empty. By Definition 2.6.1, for all neighbourhood N_x of x, $N_x \setminus \{x\} \cap A$ is not empty. Naturally, $N_x \cap A$ is not empty. As A is not empty, $N_x \cap A^{\circ}$ is not empty, either, for if it is empty, then

$$N_x \cap \partial A = (N_x \cap A) \setminus (N_x \cap A^\circ) = N_x \cap A.$$

This is impossible, for if $\partial A = A$, then A° is empty.

Assume that $x \notin \overline{A}$, then $X \setminus \overline{A}$ is a neighbourhood of x, by Definition 2.1.5, and is disjoint from A. This is contradicted to the conditions.

Definition 2.6.2 (convergent sequences). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergence* in X iff there is an open set U contains all but finite terms of $\{x_n\}$.

2.6 Limit Points

Definition 2.6.1 (limit points). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space, and let $A \subseteq X$. A point $x \in X$ is called a *limit point* of A iff for all neighbourhood N_x of $x, N_x \setminus \{x\}$ intersects A.

Proposition 2.6.1. Let A be any set, and let x be a limit point of A, then x is an element of the closure of A.

Proof. By Definition 2.6.1, for all open neighbourhood U_x of x, $U_x \setminus \{x\} \cap A$ is not empty, naturally, $U_x \cap A^0$ is not empty and open, thus $U_x^{\complement} \cup A^{0^{\complement}}$ is closed. If $x \notin \text{Int} A$.

Definition 2.6.2 (convergent sequences). Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be a topological space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be *convergence* in X iff there is an open set U contains all but finite terms of $\{x_n\}$.