

# Notes for Vector Calculus

Zhao Wenchuan

October 11, 2021

# *Contents*

<b>1</b>	<b>Directional and Partial Derivatives</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Directional Derivatives . . . . .	2
1.2	Partial Derivatives . . . . .	4
1.3	Gradient . . . . .	5

*Chapter 1.*

***Directional and Partial  
Derivatives***

§1.1 Directional Derivatives

---

**Definition 1.1.1.** Let  $U$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\vec{u} \in U \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$  and  $\vec{x} \in U$ .

Then, the  $\vec{u}$ -directional derivative of  $f$  at  $\vec{x}$  is defined as

$$\nabla_{\vec{u}}f(\vec{x}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{x})}{t},$$

if the limit exists in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Note 1.1.1.** By Definition 1.1.1, if we consider  $\nabla_{\vec{u}}f$  as a function, the mapping between elements is

$$\vec{x} \in U \mapsto \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Thus,  $\nabla_{\vec{u}}f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , and it can be considered as the  $\vec{u}$ -directional derived function of  $f$  defined as

$$\nabla_{\vec{u}}f(\vec{x}) := \begin{cases} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{x})}{t} & , \text{ if the limit exists in } \mathbb{R}^m; \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.1.1.** With the condition above, let  $g : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined as

$$g(t) := f(\vec{x} + t\vec{u}),$$

then,

$$\nabla f_{\vec{u}} = \frac{dg}{dt}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{x}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + t\vec{u}) - f(\vec{x})}{t} \quad (\text{by Definition 1.1.1}) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t) - g(0)}{t} \quad (\text{by assumption}) \\ &= \frac{dg(t)}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Generalized it, we have

$$\nabla_{\vec{u}} f = \frac{dg}{dt}.$$

■

**Note 1.1.2.** As  $\vec{x} + t\vec{u}$  defines a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g(t)$  can be considered as a function defined on a new one-dimensional axis with  $\vec{x}$  as the new origin  $0'$  and  $\vec{u}$  as the new unit  $1'$ .

Let

$$L := \{\vec{x} + t\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n : t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

then we have

$$g[\mathbb{R}] = f[L] \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

**Proposition 1.1.2.** With the condition above, let  $s \in \mathbb{R}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$s\nabla_{\vec{u}} f = \nabla_{s\vec{u}} f.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined as

$$g(t) := f(\vec{x} + t\vec{u}),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s\nabla_{\vec{u}}f(\vec{x}) &= s\frac{dg(t)}{dt} && \text{(by Proposition 1.1.1)} \\
&= \frac{dg(t)}{dt} \cdot \frac{dst}{dt} \\
&= \frac{dg(st)}{d(t)} && \text{(by chain rule)} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(st) - g(t)}{t} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + ts\vec{u}) - f(\vec{x})}{t} && \text{(by assumption)} \\
&= \nabla_{s\vec{u}}f(\vec{x}). && \text{(by Definition 1.1.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Generalized it, we have

$$s\nabla_{\vec{u}}f = \nabla_{s\vec{u}}f.$$

■

## §1.2 Partial Derivatives

---

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $U$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\vec{x} \in U$ .

The  $i$ -th partial derivative of  $f$  at  $\vec{x}$  is defined to be the  $\hat{e}_i$ -directional derivative of  $f$  at  $\vec{x}$ .

**Note 1.2.1.** Explicitly, by Definition 1.1.1, that is,

$$\nabla_i f(\vec{x}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + t\hat{e}_i) - f(\vec{x})}{t},$$

if the limit exists in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Here, we write  $\nabla_i$  for  $\nabla_{\hat{e}_i}$  for convince.

As  $\hat{e}_i$  is the  $i$ -th basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can let  $\delta = t\hat{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}_i$ , then we have

$$\nabla_i f(\vec{x}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}_i} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_i + \delta, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)}{\delta}.$$

Now, let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined as

$$g(x_i) := f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n),$$

then we have

$$\nabla_i f(\vec{x}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x_i + \delta) - g(x_i)}{\delta} = \frac{dg(x_i)}{dx_i}.$$

In classical notation, we write

$$\frac{\partial f(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i} \text{ for } \frac{dg(x_i)}{dx_i}, \text{ and } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \text{ for } \frac{dg}{dx_i}.$$

### §1.3 Gradient

---

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let  $U$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\vec{x} \in U$ .

The *gradient of  $f$  at  $\vec{x}$*  is defined as

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) := (\nabla_1 f(\vec{x}), \dots, \nabla_n f(\vec{x})).$$

**Note 1.3.1.**

$$\nabla f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (} n \text{ times)}$$

(Note the  $m$  and  $n$  here.)

**Proposition 1.3.1.**

$$\nabla_{\vec{u}} f = \nabla f \cdot \vec{u}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined as

$$l(t) := \vec{x} + t\vec{u}.$$

For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let

$$l_i(t) := x_i + tu_i.$$

Then we have

$$\nabla_{\vec{u}} f(\vec{x}) = 1$$

■

<https://math.okstate.edu/people/binegar/4013-U98/4013-108.pdf>