# Notes for General Topology

Zhao Wenchuan

May 31, 2021

# Contents

| 1 | Top   | oological Spaces  | <b>2</b>                                |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | 1.1   | Topological Spaces  | 2                                       |
|   | 1.2   | Metrizability   | 4                                       |
|   | 1.3   | Separation Axioms. From $T_0$ to Hausdorff  | 7                                       |
|   | 1.4   | Continuity  | 11                                      |
|   | 1.5   | Homeomorphisms  | 14                                      |
|   | 1.6   | Cover and Basis   | 15                                      |
|   | 1.7   | Interiors and Closures  | 17                                      |
|   | 1.8   | Boundaries  | 19                                      |
|   | 1.9   | Limit Points  | 21                                      |
|   |   |   |   |
| 2 | $\mathbf{Cre}$                                | ating New Spaces  | <b>22</b>                               |
| 2 | <b>Cre</b> 2.1                                |   | <b>22</b><br>22                         |
| 2 |   | Subspaces   |   |
| 2 | 2.1   | Subspaces   | 22                                      |
|   | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3                             | Subspaces   | 22<br>22                                |
|   | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3                             | Subspaces Quotient Spaces Product Spaces  cological Properties  | 22<br>22<br>22<br>22                    |
|   | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br><b>Top</b>               | Subspaces Quotient Spaces Product Spaces  cological Properties Cardinal Functions   | 22<br>22<br>22<br>22<br><b>23</b>       |
| 3 | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br><b>Top</b><br>3.1        | Subspaces Quotient Spaces Product Spaces  Cological Properties Cardinal Functions More on Separation Axioms                     | 22<br>22<br>22<br>22<br><b>23</b><br>23 |
|   | 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br><b>Top</b><br>3.1<br>3.2 | Subspaces Quotient Spaces Product Spaces  Cological Properties Cardinal Functions More on Separation Axioms Countability Axioms | 22<br>22<br>22<br>23<br>23<br>23        |

## Chapter 1

# **Topological Spaces**

## 1.1 Topological Spaces

**Definition 1.1.1** (topology). Let X be a set, and let a family  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a *topology* on X iff it satisfies the *open set axioms*:

**O1.**  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ ;

**O2.**  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under arbitrary union;

**O3.**  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under finite intersection.

Theorem 1.1.1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ .

*Proof.* By O2 in Definition 1.1.1, for all  $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\bigcup A \in \mathcal{T}$ . Clearly,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ , then we have

$$\emptyset = \bigcup \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}.$$

**Definition 1.1.2** (topological spaces). With the condition in Definition 1.1.1, the pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a *topological space*. All subsets of X in  $\mathcal{T}$  are said to be *open* in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

**Theorem 1.1.2.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space, and let

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rho} = \left\{ \bigcup_{x \in U} B_{\rho}(x, \varepsilon) : U \subseteq X \land \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}.$$

 $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\rho})$  is a topological space; i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}$  satisfies the open set axioms.

*Proof.* For O1. Apparently, there exists (for any)  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,

$$X = \bigcup_{x \in X} B(x, \varepsilon).$$

For O2. Let I be an index set, and let  $U_i \in T$  for all  $i \in I$ . There exists  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  we can define

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcup_{x \in U_i} B(x, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{x \in U} B(x, \varepsilon).$$

Then, for all  $x \in U$ , there exists  $B(x, \varepsilon) \ni x$ . Thus  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ .

For O3. Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ . If  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , then the proof is done. Suppose  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $x \in U \cap V$ . U is open and  $x \in U$ , so there exists  $r_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $B(x, r_1) \subseteq U$ ; V is open and  $x \in V$ , so there exists  $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $B(x, r_2) \subseteq V$ .

If  $r_1 = r_2$ , then  $B(x, r_1) = B(x, r_2)$ . If  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , say  $r_1 < r_2$ , then  $B(x, r_1) \subseteq B(x, r_2) \subseteq V$ . Above all, for any  $x \in U \cap V$ , there exits  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U \cap V$ . Thus,  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$ .

**Definition 1.1.3** (closed sets). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. A subset V of X is said to be *closed* iff there is an open set U in X such that

$$V = X \setminus V$$
.

**Proposition 1.1.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of all closed sets in X. Then

- (i)  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (ii) C is closed under arbitrary intersection;
- (iii) C is closed under finite union.

Proof.

- (i)  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  implies  $X \setminus X = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ ; and  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  implies  $X \setminus \emptyset = X \in \mathcal{C}$ ;
- (ii) As  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under arbitrary union, then by Definition 1.1.3 and De Morgan's Law,  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under arbitrary intersection.
- (iii) As  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under finite intersection, then by Definition 1.1.3 and De Morgan's Law,  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite union.

**Definition 1.1.4** (finer and coarser topology). Let X be any set, and let  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$  be topologies on X.  $\mathcal{T}$  is said to be *finer* than  $\mathcal{T}'$  iff  $\mathcal{T} \supseteq \mathcal{T}'$ ; respectively,  $\mathcal{T}$  is said to be *coarser* than  $\mathcal{T}'$  iff  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ .

**Definition 1.1.5** (neighbourhood). Given  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  as a topological space and a point  $x \in X$ , a subset  $N \subseteq X$  is called a *neighbourhood* iff it contains an open set U containing x.

**Proposition 1.1.2.** Given  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  as a topological space and  $U \subseteq X$ , U is open iff for all  $x \in U$ , there is a neighbourhood N of x contained in U.

*Proof.* If U is open, then U itself is a neighbourhood of x contained in U.

Conversely, if for all  $x \in U$ , there is a neighbourhood  $N_x$  of x contained in U, then there is a open neighbourhood  $U_x \ni x$  contained in  $N_x$ . Then we have

$$U \supseteq \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x.$$

Suppose U is not open, then U is a proper superset in the relation above. Then there exists  $y \in U$  which is not in any  $U_x$ . This implies that such a y does not have any neighbourhood  $N_y$  in U, for such an  $N_y$  must contains an open  $U_y \ni y$ . For if it does, then there must be a  $U_x$  contains y. This is a contradiction. Thus,

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} U_x$$

is open.

### 1.2 Metrizability

**Definition 1.2.1** (metric spaces). Let X be any set. A *metric*  $\rho$  on X is a function  $\rho: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ 

- (i)  $\rho(x, y) \ge 0$ , and  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  iff x = y;
- (ii)  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, z);$
- (iii)  $\rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y) \ge \rho(x, y)$ .

**Definition 1.2.2** (balls). Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space, let  $x \in X$ , and let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . The open  $\varepsilon$ -ball about x or just  $\varepsilon$ -ball about x is defined to be

$$B(x,\varepsilon) = \{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) < \varepsilon \}.$$

The closed  $\varepsilon$ -ball about x is defined to be

$$\overline{B}(x,\varepsilon) = \{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) \le \varepsilon \}.$$

**Example 1.2.1.** Let X be any set, and let metric  $\rho_p$  on  $X^n$   $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$  defined by

$$\rho_p(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ .  $\rho_2$  is so called the *standard Euclidean metric*. If  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , then the metric space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho_2)$  is so-called *Euclidean n-space*.

For all  $p,q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ , if p < q, then for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and for all  $x,y \in X$ ,  $\rho_p(x,y) \geq \rho_q(x,y)$ ; in particular,  $\rho_p = \rho_q$  iff there is a unique  $k \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ , such that for all  $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{k\}$ ,  $x_i = 0$ . As  $\rho_p(x,y)$  is always "overestimated" than  $\rho_q(x,y)$ , we have  $B_{\rho_p}(x,\varepsilon) \supseteq B_{\rho_q}(x,\varepsilon)$ .

**Example 1.2.2.** Let X be any set. The discrete metric  $\rho$  on X is defined to be

$$\rho(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Example 1.2.3.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b, and let metric  $\rho_p$  on C[a, b] defined by

$$\rho_p(f,g) = \left(\int_a^b |f(t) - g(t)|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $p \geq 1$ . In particular,

$$\rho_{\infty}(f,g) = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |f(t) - g(t)|.$$

**Proposition 1.2.1.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space, then for all  $x, y \in X$   $(x \neq y)$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $B(x,\varepsilon) \cap B(y,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ , then there must be a  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in B(x,\varepsilon) \cap B(y,\varepsilon)$ .  $z \in B(x,\varepsilon)$  only if  $\rho(x,z) < \varepsilon$ , and  $z \in B(y,\varepsilon)$  only if  $\rho(z,y) < \varepsilon$ . Thus

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < 2\varepsilon.$$

As the assumption holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may put

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < \rho(x,y),$$

which is impossible.

**Definition 1.2.3** (induced topologies). Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on X is said to be *induced* by  $\rho$  iff for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , any  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  is the union of ball(s) in X; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ U \subseteq X : U = \bigcup_{x \in X} B(x, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

In this case,  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the underlying topology of  $\rho$ .

**Definition 1.2.4** (metrizable spaces). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. If there is any  $\rho$  induce  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be *metrizable*.

**Definition 1.2.5** (Lipschitz equivalence). Let X be any set, and let  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  be metrics on X.  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are said to be *Lipschitz equivalent* iff there exist c, C > 0, such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$c\rho(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y) \le C\rho(x,y).$$

Proposition 1.2.2. Lipschitz equivalence is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Clearly, Definition 1.2.5 also holds for  $\rho = \rho'$ . So, Lipschitz equivalence is reflexive. In Definition 1.2.5, the relation also holds for  $\frac{1}{C}\rho' \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{c}\rho'$ . So Lipschitz equivalence is symmetric.

If there is another  $\rho''$  be Lipschitz equivalent to  $\rho'$ , then there is r, R > 0, such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$r\rho''(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y) \le R\rho''(x,y).$$

By the conditions in Definition 1.2.5, we have

$$\frac{c}{r}\rho(x,y) \le \rho''(x,y) \le \frac{C}{R}\rho(x,y),$$

i.e.,  $\rho$  and  $\rho''$  are also Lipschitz equivalent. So Lipschitz equivalence is transitive. Above all, Lipschitz equivalence is an equivalence relation.

**Proposition 1.2.3.** Let X be any set, and let  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  be metrics on X. If  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are Lipschitz equivalent, then  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  induce the same topology.

*Proof.* As  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are Lipschitz equivalent, by Definition 1.2.5, there is a c > 0 such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$c\rho(x,y) \le \rho'(x,y).$$

Given  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and for all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$B_{\rho'}(x,cr) \subseteq B_{c\rho}(x,r) = B_{\rho}\left(x,\frac{1}{c}r\right).$$

For all  $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$ , for all  $x \in U$ , there is an  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , such that

$$B_{\rho'}(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq U.$$

So  $U \in \mathcal{T}'_{\rho}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$ .

Similarly,  $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$  only if  $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho'} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\rho}$ . Above all,  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho} = \mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$ .

**Note 1.2.1.** In this proposition,  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho'}$  are said to be homeomorphic or topologically equivalent (see Definition 1.5.2). And  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are also said to be topologically equivalent.

**Example 1.2.4.** In Example 1.2.1, for all  $p, q \ge 1$ , all  $\rho_p$  and  $\rho_q$  induce the same topology. Let X be any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ , if p < q, then

$$\rho_p(x,y) \ge \rho_q(x,y).$$

Thus, if  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_{\infty}$  are Lipschitz equivalent, then any other  $\rho_p$  and  $\rho_q$  are Lipschitz equivalent. We have

$$\rho_1(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \ge \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}} |x_i - y_i| = \rho_{\infty}(x,y).$$

Clearly,

$$\rho_{\infty}(x,y) \le \rho_1(x,y) \le n\rho_{\infty}(x,y).$$

By Definition 1.2.5,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_{\infty}$  are Lipschitz equivalent, hence for all  $p, q \geq 1$ ,  $\rho_p$  and  $\rho_q$  are Lipschitz equivalent. Thus, by Proposition 1.2.3, they induce the same topology.

### 1.3 Separation Axioms. From $T_0$ to Hausdorff

**Definition 1.3.1** (saperated). In a topological space, two sets are said to be *separated* iff each is disjoint from other's closure.

**Definition 1.3.2** (separated by neighbourhoods). In a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , two sets A and B are said to be *separated by neighbourhood* iff there are neighbourhoods  $N_A$  of A and  $N_B$  of B such that  $N_A$  and  $N_B$  are disjoint.

**Definition 1.3.3** (topologically indistinguishable). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. Two points  $x, y \in X$  are said to be topologically indistinguishable iff they share all their neighbourhoods. That is, let  $\mathcal{N}_x$  be the family of all neighbourhoods of x and let  $\mathcal{N}_y$  be the family of all neighbourhoods of y, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_x = \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

Respectively, x, y are said to be topologically distinguishable iff they are not topologically distinguishable; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_x \neq \mathcal{N}_y$$
.

**Example 1.3.1.** In an indiscrete topological space, all distinct points are topologically indistinguishable.

#### $T_0$ Spaces

**Definition 1.3.4** ( $T_0$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_0$  or Kolmogorov, iff all distinct points  $x, y \in X$  are topologically distinguishable.

**Example 1.3.2.** Let X be any set and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the indiscrete topology on X.  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_0$  iff  $|X| \in \{0, 1\}$ .

#### $T_1$ Spaces

**Definition 1.3.5** ( $R_0$  spaces). A topological space ( $X, \mathcal{T}$ ) is said to be  $R_0$  iff any two topologically distinguishable points in X are separated.

**Definition 1.3.6** ( $T_1$  Spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_1$  or *Fréchet* iff it is  $T_0$  and  $R_0$ ; i.e., all distinct pionts  $x, y \in X$  are separated.

**Example 1.3.3** ( $R_0$  but not  $T_0$ ). Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a countable family of disjoint proper intervals on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\bigcup \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $R_0$ , but not  $T_0$ .

**Example 1.3.4** ( $T_0$  but not  $R_0$ ). Let  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space with

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ U \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \forall i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \ U_i = [0, i) \},$$

Then for all  $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{T})$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then there are |y - x| neighbourhoods  $N_x$  of x do not contain y. Thus, it is  $T_0$ .

On the other hand, it is not  $R_0$ , because for all  $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{T})$  with x < y,  $x \in \overline{\{y\}} = [0, y]$ .

**Example 1.3.5** ( $R_0$  but not  $T_1$ ). Let X be any set with  $|X| \geq 3$ , let  $U \subsetneq X$  with  $|U| \geq 2$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_{X \setminus U}$  be a  $T_1$  topology on  $X \setminus U$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}$ 

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{X \setminus U} \cup \{X, U\}.$$

For all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \neq y$ , then they are separated. Thus, the space is  $R_0$ . But  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not  $T_1$ , because all  $\{u\} \in U$  share the same closure which is U itself.

**Proposition 1.3.1** (alternative definitions of  $R_0$  spaces). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be  $R_0$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) The closure of all singletons in X are not  $T_0$  subspace.
- (ii) For any two points  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$  iff  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$ .
- (iii) Every open set is the union of closed sets.

Proof.

- (i) By Definition 1.3.5, if y and x are topologically distinguishable, by Definition 1.3.5, x and y are separated; i.e.,  $x \notin \overline{\{y\}}$  and  $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ .
- (ii) By Definition 1.3.5, for all  $x, y \in X$ , x, y are not separated only if they are topologically indistinguishable. By Definition 1.3.3, they share all their neighbourhoods, thus they have the same closure; i.e.,  $\overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$ .
- (iii) For any  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{x\}.$$

If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_1$ , then we are done. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not  $T_1$ , then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  with |A| > 1, and for all  $B \subsetneq A$ ,  $B \notin \mathcal{T}$  (proof omitted). For such  $A, X \setminus A$  is open, for  $X \setminus A = \bigcup (\mathcal{T} \setminus \{A\})$ , thus A is also closed.

Suppose for any such A with  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A \subseteq U$ . Suppose it fails, i.e.,  $A \cap U \neq A$ , then we have  $A \cap U \subsetneq A$  and  $A \cap U \in \mathcal{T}$ , which is contradicted to the condition of A. Now we have

$$U = \bigcup \mathcal{A} \cup \bigcup_{x \in I} \{x\}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of such A, and I is the union of all closed singletons in U. Thus U is open.

**Proposition 1.3.2** (alternative definitions of  $T_1$  spaces). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be  $T_1$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) All singletons in X are closed.
- (ii) Every subset of X is the intersection of all open sets containing it.
- (iii) Every cofinite subset of X is open.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose there exists  $\{x\} \subseteq X$  with  $\overline{\{x\}} \neq \{x\}$ , then there exists  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$  with  $x \neq y$ . By Definition 1.3.6, this is impossible.
- (ii) For any  $A \subseteq X$ ,

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\}.$$

Let  $B = X \setminus A$ . By De Morgan's law,

$$B = \bigcap_{x \in A} X \setminus \{x\}.$$

 $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_1$  iff all  $\{x\}$  are closed, in which case, B is the intersection of all open sets  $X \setminus \{x\} \supseteq B$ .

(iii) Let A be a cofinite subset of X.  $X \setminus A$  is a finite union of singletons. As  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_1$ , any singletons in X is closed. By Proposition 1.1.1,  $X \setminus A$  is closed. By Definition 1.1.3, A is open.

#### **Hausdorff Spaces**

**Definition 1.3.7** ( $R_1$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $R_1$  iff any two topological distinguishable points in X are separated by neighbourhoods.

**Definition 1.3.8** (Hausdorff Spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be *Hausdorff* or  $T_2$  iff it is  $T_0$  and  $R_1$ ; i.e., all distinct points  $x, y \in X$  are separated by neighbourhoods.

#### **Proposition 1.3.3.** All metrizable spaces are Hausdorff

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a metrizable space. There exists a metric  $\rho$  on X that induces  $\mathcal{T}$ . Given distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , suppose for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there exists  $z \in B(x, \varepsilon) \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$ . Then  $\rho(x, z) < \varepsilon$  and  $\rho(y, z) < \varepsilon$ . Now we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Put  $\rho(x,y) > 2\varepsilon$  as x and y are arbitrarily given. Then we have

$$\rho(x,z) + \rho(y,z) < \rho(x,y),$$

which implies that  $\rho$  is not a metric on X. Hence,  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not metrizable which is contradicted to the condition.

Proposition 1.3.4. All singletons in a Hausdorff space are closed.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a Hausdorff space, and let  $x \in X$ . For all  $y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , there is a open neighbourhood  $U_y$  of y such that  $x \notin U_y$ . Then, for all such  $U_y$ , we have

$$\forall y \in X, \ x \in X \setminus U_y = \{x\} \iff x \in \bigcap_{y \in X \setminus \{x\}} X \setminus U_y = \{x\}.$$

As all  $X \setminus U_y$  are closed, their intersection  $\{x\}$  is closed.

**Example 1.3.6** ( $T_1$  but not Hausdorff). Let X be a nonempty set, let  $p \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{T}'$  be a Hausdorff topology on  $X \setminus \{p\}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{X\} \cup \mathcal{T}'.$$

Then, all  $x \in (X, \mathcal{T})$  are closed, thus  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is Fréchet. But the only neighbourhood of p is X, so its closure is X. Then, for any  $x \in X \setminus \{p\}$ , x and p are not separated, in which case  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not  $R_0$ . Thus,  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not Hausdorff.

### 1.4 Continuity

**Definition 1.4.1** (continuous maps). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be *continuous* iff for any open set U in Y, its preimage in X under f is open.

**Note 1.4.1.** In Definition 1.4.1, note that even if for any open set U in X, f[X] is open in Y, f is not necessarily continuous. For example, let  $X = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_X)$  with  $\mathcal{T}_X$  induced by standard Euclidean metric, let  $Y = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  with  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  as a indiscrete topology, and define

$$f(x) = [x],$$

where [x] denotes the integer part of x. Then for all  $U \subseteq X$ , f[U] is open in Y, but by Definition 1.4.1, f is not continuous.

**Note 1.4.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces, if  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is the discrete topology on X, then any function with domain X is continuous. If  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is the indiscrete topology on Y, then any function with codomain Y is continuous.

**Note 1.4.3.** A function is continuous bijection does not implies that its inverse is continuous. For example, let X be any set and let  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  be its topologies. If  $\mathcal{T}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}'$ , then any bijection  $f:(X,\mathcal{T})\to (X,\mathcal{T}')$  is continuous. In this case, however, if  $\mathcal{T}\neq \mathcal{T}'$ , then  $f^{-1}$  is not continuous.

**Proposition 1.4.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous at  $x \in X$  iff for any neighbourhood  $N_y$  of f(x), there is a neighbourhood  $N_x$  of x, such that  $f[N_x] \subseteq N_y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $N_y$  be a neighbourhood of f(x). Clearly, there exists an open set  $U_y$  contains y.

By Definition 1.4.1, f is continuous at x iff  $x \in f^{-1}[U_y] \in \mathcal{T}_X$ . Clearly,  $f^{-1}[U_y]$  is a neighbourhood of x. We have  $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] = U_y \subseteq N_y$ .

By Proposition 1.1.2, there  $U_x$  must contains at least one neighbourhood  $N_x$  of x, thus,  $f[N_x] \subseteq U_y$ .

**Proposition 1.4.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be metrizable spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous at  $p \in X$  iff for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$ , such that for all  $x \in B_X(p, \delta)$ ,  $f(x) \in B_Y(f(p), \varepsilon)$ , where  $B_X$  is defined by any metrics  $\rho_X$  induces  $\mathcal{T}_X$ , and  $B_Y$  is defined by any metrics  $\rho_Y$  induces  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ .

Proof. Clearly, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)$  is an open neighbourhood of f(x). f is not necessarily be injective, so  $f^{-1}[B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)] = U \in x$ . By Definition 1.4.1, U is open, so for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $B_X(x, \delta) \subseteq U$ . Thus, By Proposition 1.4.1, f is continuous iff  $f[B_X(x, \delta)] \subseteq B_Y(f(x), \varepsilon)$ . This satisfies the conditions we have.

**Proposition 1.4.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be continuous iff for any closed set V in Y, its preimage in X under f is closed.

*Proof.* Let  $U_Y$  be any open set in Y, let  $U_X$  be the preimage of  $U_Y$  under f. By Definition 1.4.1,  $U_X$  is open in X. Let

$$V_X = f^{-1}[Y \setminus U_Y] = X \setminus U_X,$$

Then  $V_X$  is closed.

**Definition 1.4.2** (convergence of sequences). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be *converges* in X iff there is an  $x \in X$ , such that for any open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x, it contains a cofinite subset  $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$ . That is, there exists N in the domain of  $\{x_n\}$ , for any natural numbers  $n \geq N$ ,  $x_n \in U_x$ .

#### Example 1.4.1.

- 1. In a discrete topological space, a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges iff there is an N in the domain of  $\{x_n\}$ , for any natural numbers m > N,  $x_N = x_m$ .
- 2. In a indiscrete topological space, any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges in X. And

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \{x_n\} = X.$$

**Proposition 1.4.4.** In a Hausdorff space, any convergent sequence converges to a unique point in the space.

Proof. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a Hausdorff space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  converges to more than one point, say to  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , then, for all neighbourhoods  $N_x$  of x and  $N_y$  of y,  $N_x$  contains a cofinite subset  $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$  and  $N_y$  contains a cofinite subset  $B \subseteq \{x_n\}$ . If this were true,  $N_x \cap N_y$  should be non-empty, otherwise  $N_x$  or  $N_y$  should be finite.

Then, x and y are not separated by neighbourhoods, thus  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is not Hausdorff. This is a contradiction.

But, as  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is Hausdorff, there must be mutually disjoint  $N_x$  and  $N_y$ . Thus, the assumption cause a contradiction.

**Note 1.4.4.** As all metrizable spaces are Hausdorff, so any convergent sequence in a metrizable space converges to at most one point.

**Proposition 1.4.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological space, let  $f: X \to Y$  be a map, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a convergent sequence in X. If f is continuous, then  $f[\{x_n\}]$  is a sequence convergent in Y.

*Proof.* Let  $U_y$  be any open neighbourhood of f(x). By Definition 1.4.1,  $f^{-1}[U_y]$  is also an open neighbourhood of x. By Definition 1.4.2,  $f^{-1}[U_y]$  contains a cofinite subset  $A \subseteq \{x_n\}$ . Then f[A] is a cofinite subset of  $f[\{x_n\}]$ . As  $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] \supseteq f^{-1}[A]$ ,  $f[\{x_n\}]$  converges in  $f[f^{-1}[U_y]] \supseteq f^{-1}[A]$ .

**Note 1.4.5.** In this proposition, even if  $f[\{x_n\}]$  converges in Y, f might be discontinuous. For example, let X any set, let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the indiscrete topology on X, let U be another cofinite subset of X with  $X \neq U$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}' = \{\emptyset, X, U\}$ . Let  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}) \to (X, \mathcal{T}')$  be defined by

$$f(x) = x$$
.

By Definition 1.4.1, f is not continuous. But, for any convergent sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ ,  $f[\{x_n\}]$  also convergent in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

### 1.5 Homeomorphisms

**Definition 1.5.1** (homeomorphisms). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces. A bijection  $f: X \to Y$  is called a *homeomorphism* iff

- (i) f is a bijection;
- (ii) f is continuous;
- (iii)  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

**Definition 1.5.2** (homeomorphic). Two topological spaces  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  are said to be *homeomorphic* or *topologically equivalent*, denoted  $X \cong Y$ , iff there is an homeomorphism between them.

**Proposition 1.5.1.** Two topological spaces are homeomorphic only if they have the same cardinality.

*Proof.* Let X and Y be two sets with |X| < |Y|. There is no surjection from A to B.

**Example 1.5.1.** |X| = |Y| does not imply  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  are homeomorphic, even if they are finite. For example, let  $X = Y = \{1, ..., n\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}_X$  be indiscrete topology on X and  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{P}(X)$ . There is no homeomorphism between X and Y.

On the other hand, even if  $|X| = |Y| \ge \aleph_0$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  are induced by same metric, X and Y might not be homeomorphic. For example, if  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  are both induced by standard Euclidean metric, and  $X = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y = [c, d) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  where a < b and c < d. No doubt,  $|X| = |Y| = \mathfrak{c}$ , but X and Y are not homeomorphic.

**Example 1.5.2.**  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (n < m) are not homeomorphic, although  $|\mathbb{R}^n| = |\mathbb{R}^m|$ .

**Example 1.5.3.** Let I be a proper interval in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\mathcal{T}$  be standard Euclidean topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{T}_I$  be a subspace topology on I.  $I \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  iff I is an open interval.

But if  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then there exists bijection  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $|I| = |\mathbb{R}^n|$ , and such f can be bicontinuous, for any subset  $A \subseteq I$  is also open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , vise versa. In this case,  $I \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  whenever I is a closed, half-close, half-open, or open interval respect to standard Euclidean metric.

**Example 1.5.4.** Let  $S^n$  be an n-dimensional sphere with center  $o \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and radius  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,

$$S^n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \rho(o, x) = r \},$$

where  $\rho$  is the standard Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . For any  $x \in S^n$ , let  $U = B(x,\varepsilon) \cap S^n$  where  $0 \le \varepsilon < \max_{x,y \in S^n} \rho(x,y)$  (here  $B(x,\varepsilon) = \{x\}$  if  $\varepsilon = 0$ ), then  $S^n \setminus U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Example 1.5.5.** Indeed,  $S^1 \setminus \{x\} \cong \mathbb{R}$  where  $x \in S^1$ . But for any interval  $I \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S^1 \ncong I$ .

#### 1.6 Cover and Basis

**Definition 1.6.1** (cover). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $U \subseteq X$ , then a family  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a *cover* of U iff the union of all sets in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a superset of U. That is,

$$U \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{C}$$
.

If  $C \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ , then we call C an open cover of U.

Let  $S \subseteq C$ , iff the union of S is still a superset of U, then we call S a subcover of C.

**Definition 1.6.2** (basis). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, let  $U \subseteq X$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a open cover of X. We call  $\mathcal{B}$  a *base* of X iff the union of  $\mathcal{B}$  is precisely U itself, i.e.,

$$U = \bigcup \mathcal{B}.$$

**Definition 1.6.3** (synthetic basis). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base of X.  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be *synthetic* iff for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$A \cap B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i, \quad B_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

**Definition 1.6.4** (generated by basis). Let X be any set and let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be its cover. A topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on X is said to be *generated* by the base  $\mathcal{B}$  iff

- (i) for all  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , U is the union of  $\mathcal{B}$ -sets;
- (ii) for all  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , U is the finite intersection of  $\mathcal{B}$ -sets.

**Proposition 1.6.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space be genrated by a base  $\mathcal{B}$ . For all  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is a  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $U \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof.* By Definition 1.6.4, if  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ , then for all  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is an finite set I, such that

$$U = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i, \quad B_i \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus, for at least one  $k \in I$ ,  $U \subseteq B_k$ .

**Proposition 1.6.2.** Let X be any set, and let  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  be its topologies generated by basis  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$  respectively. Then  $\mathcal{T}'$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}$  iff for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that  $B' \subseteq B$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ , then for all  $U' \in \mathcal{T}'$ ,

$$U' = \bigcup_{j \in J} B_j',$$

where  $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$ .

As  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ , then, certainly,  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

By the conditions we have,  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$  iff for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is  $W' \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$B = W' = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i',$$

where  $B'_i \in \mathcal{B}'$ . Certainly, all such  $B'_i$  are contained in B.

**Proposition 1.6.3.** Let X be any set, and let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on X iff it generates itself.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on X, then, by Definition 1.6.4, any open set generated by  $\mathcal{T}$  is still a member of  $\mathcal{T}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{T}$  generates itself, then,  $\emptyset$  and X must be members of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and, by Definition 1.6.4,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on X.

#### 1.7 Interiors and Closures

**Definition 1.7.1** (interiors). The *interior* of a set A, denoted  $A^{\circ}$ , is defined to be the union of all open subsets of A.

**Definition 1.7.2** (closure). The *closure* of a set A, denoted  $\overline{A}$ , is defined to be the intersection of all closed supersets of A.

**Definition 1.7.3** (dense sets). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A is said to be dense, iff  $\overline{A} = X$ .

**Definition 1.7.4** (nowhere dense sets). A set A is said to be *nowhere dense* iff the interior of its closure is empty.

**Proposition 1.7.1** (properties of interiors). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be any topological space and  $A, B \subseteq X$ .

- (i) (Intensive)  $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) A is open iff  $A = A^{\circ}$ .
- (iii) (Idempotence)  $(A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$ .
- (iv)  $(A \cap B)^{\circ} = A^{\circ} \cap B^{\circ}$ .
- (v)  $A \subseteq B \implies A^{\circ} \subseteq B^{\circ}$ .
- (vi) If B is open, then  $B \subseteq A$  iff  $B \subseteq A^{\circ}$ .

#### Proof.

- (i) By Definition 1.7.1, naturally,  $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) By Definition 1.1.2,  $A^{\circ}$  is the union of open sets hence it is open. A is open iff it is the union of all open subsets of A. Thus  $A = A^{\circ}$ .
- (iii)  $A^{\circ}$  is open, thus  $(A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$ .
- (iv) By Definition 1.7.1, we have

$$\begin{split} (A\cap B)^\circ &= \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A \cap B \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \bigcup U : (U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A) \wedge (U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq B) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq A \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcup U : U \in \mathcal{T} \wedge U \subseteq B \right\} \\ &= A^\circ \cap B^\circ. \end{split}$$

(v) Clearly,  $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$ , thus,

$$A \subseteq B \implies A^{\circ} \subseteq B$$

Suppose  $A^{\circ} \not\subseteq B^{\circ}$ , then  $A^{\circ} \setminus B^{\circ}$  is not empty ( $\emptyset$  is the subset of any set, so  $A^{\circ}$  is not empty).

Then there exists  $x \in A^{\circ}$  with  $x \in \partial B$  ( $x \in B$  but  $x \notin B^{\circ}$ ). Then there exists neighbourhood  $N_x \ni x$ , and  $N_x \cap \partial B \neq \emptyset$ . But this is impossible, for  $A^{\circ} \subseteq B$  implies that  $A^{\circ} \cap \partial B = \emptyset$  (This is a straight consequence of  $A^{\circ} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . See Proposition 1.8.1), so such  $N_x$  does not exist. Thus,

$$A^{\circ} \subset B^{\circ}$$
.

(vi) If B is open, then  $B=B^{\circ}$ . Then  $B\subseteq A$  iff  $B^{\circ}\subseteq A^{\circ}$ .

**Proposition 1.7.2** (properties of closures). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $A, B \subseteq X$ .

- (i)  $\overline{A}$  is closed.
- (ii) A is closed iff  $A = \overline{A}$ .
- (iii)  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$ .

- (iv) If A is closed, then  $A \supseteq B$  iff  $A \supseteq \overline{B}$ Proof.
  - (i) By Definition 1.7.2,  $\overline{A}$  is the intersection of closed sets. By Proposition 1.1.1,  $\overline{A}$  is closed.
- (ii) Proposition 1.1.1 implies that any closed set is the intersection of closed sets, this is precisely what Definition 1.7.2 says.
- (iii)  $A \subseteq B$  iff  $X \setminus A \supseteq X \setminus B$ . Then we have

$$X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ} \subseteq X \setminus (X \setminus B)^{\circ}$$

Clearly,  $(X \setminus A)^{\circ}$  is the union of all open set disjoint from A, then, by De Morgan's laws,  $X \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ}$  is the intersection of all closed sets containing A. By Definition 1.7.2, we have  $(X \setminus A)^{\circ} = \overline{A}$ . Thus

$$\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$$
.

(iv) If A is closed, then  $A = \overline{A}$ . Suppose  $B \subseteq A$ , then we have

$$\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A} \iff \overline{B} \subseteq A.$$

#### 1.8 Boundaries

**Definition 1.8.1** (boundaries). Let A be any set, the *boundary* of A, denoted  $\partial A$ , is defined to be the complement of the interior of A in the closure of A; i.e.,

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

**Proposition 1.8.1** (properties of boundaries). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $A \subseteq X$ .

- (i)  $\partial A$  is closed.
- (ii)  $A^{\circ} \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ .
- (iii)  $\overline{A} = A^{\circ} \cup \partial A$ .
- (iv) A is closed iff  $\partial A \subseteq A$ .

- (v)  $\partial A$  is nowhere dense.
- (vi)  $\partial \overline{A} \subseteq \partial A \subseteq \partial A^{\circ}$ .
- (vii)  $\partial A = \partial (X \setminus A)$ .
- (viii) A is dense iff  $\partial A = X \setminus A^{\circ}$ .

Proof.

(i)  $\overline{A}$  is closed, and  $X \setminus A^{\circ}$  is also closed. Thus

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap (X \setminus A)$$

is closed.

(ii) By Definition 1.8.1, we have

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \iff \partial A \cap A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \cap A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap \emptyset = \emptyset.$$

(iii) We have

$$\begin{split} \partial A &= \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \iff \partial A \cup A^{\circ} &= \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \cup A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap (X \setminus A^{\circ} \cup A^{\circ}) \\ &\iff \partial A \cup A^{\circ} = \overline{A} \cap X|_{\text{for } A^{\circ} \subseteq X} = \overline{A}. \end{split}$$

- (iv) As A is closed,  $A = \overline{A}$  (this can be straightly proved by Definition 1.7.2). By Definition 1.8.1, it is clear that  $\partial A \subseteq \overline{A}$ , thus  $\partial A \subseteq A$ .
- (v) By Definition 1.7.4,  $\partial A$  is nowhere dense iff  $\overline{\partial A}^{\circ}$  is empty. We have

$$\overline{\partial A}^{\circ} = \overline{\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}}^{\circ}$$

$$= (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}) \cup (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}) \setminus (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ})$$

$$= \emptyset$$

(vi)  $\overline{A} \supseteq A^{\circ}$  implies  $\overline{A}^{\circ} \supseteq (A^{\circ})^{\circ} = A^{\circ}$ , then we have,

$$\partial \overline{A} = \overline{\overline{A}} \setminus \overline{A}^{\circ} \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \partial A.$$

 $A^{\circ} \subseteq A$  implies  $\overline{A^{\circ}} \subseteq \overline{A}$ , then we have,

$$\partial A^{\circ} = \overline{A^{\circ}} \setminus (A^{\circ})^{\circ} \supset \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

(vii) We have

$$\partial(X \setminus A) = \overline{X \setminus A} \setminus (X \setminus A)^{\circ}$$

$$= X \setminus A^{\circ} \setminus (X \setminus \overline{A})$$

$$= X \setminus A^{\circ} \cap \overline{A}$$

$$= \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}$$

$$= \partial A.$$

(viii) By Definition 1.7.3, A is dense in X iff  $\overline{A} = X$ . Then we have,

$$\overline{A} = X \iff \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = X \setminus A^{\circ}$$
$$\iff \partial A = X \setminus A^{\circ}.$$

#### 1.9 Limit Points

**Definition 1.9.1** (limit points). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  be a topological space, and let  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a *limit point* of A iff for all neighbourhood  $N_x$  of  $x, N_x \setminus \{x\}$  intersects A.

**Proposition 1.9.1.** Let A be any set, and let x be a limit point of A, then x is an element of the closure of A.

*Proof.* If A is empty, then this is vacuously true. So, suppose A is not empty. By Definition 1.9.1, for all neighbourhood  $N_x$  of x,  $N_x \setminus \{x\} \cap A$  is not empty. Naturally,  $N_x \cap A$  is not empty.

Assume that  $x \notin \overline{A}$ , then  $X \setminus \overline{A}$  is a neighbourhood of x, by Definition 1.1.5, and is disjoint from A. This is contradicted to the conditions.

**Note 1.9.1.** In this proof, the proposition also holds for  $N_x \cap A^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . Because if it is true, then

$$N_x \cap \partial A \supseteq (N_x \cap A) \setminus (N_x \cap A^\circ) = N_x \cap A.$$

This implies that  $A\subseteq \partial A$ . In this case,  $\overline{A}=\partial A$ , for Assume that  $x\notin \partial A$ , then we have the same conclusion. Then  $A^\circ=A\setminus \partial A=\emptyset$ .

Proposition 1.9.2. A set is closed iff it contains all its limit point.

*Proof.* Let A be a set. By proposition 1.9.1, for every limit point of A, it is also an element of the closure  $\overline{A}$ . And A is closed iff  $A = \overline{A}$ .

**Definition 1.9.2** (convergent sequences). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  be a topological space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be *convergence* in X iff there is an open set U contains all but finite terms of  $\{x_n\}$ .

## Chapter 2

## **Creating New Spaces**

## 2.1 Subspaces

**Definition 2.1.1** (subspace topology). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and let  $A \subseteq X$ . The *subspace topology*  $\mathcal{T}_A$  on A is defined to be the family of the intersections of open sets in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and A. That is,

$$\mathcal{T}_A = \{ U \cap A : U \in \mathcal{T} \}.$$

## 2.2 Quotient Spaces

**Definition 2.2.1** (quotient topology). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on X. The *quotient topology* is a topology on  $\mathcal{P}(X/\sim)$ ; it is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_{X/\sim} = \{ U \in \mathcal{P}(X/\sim) : \{ x \in X : [x] \in U \} \in \mathcal{T}_X \}.$$

## 2.3 Product Spaces

**Definition 2.3.1** (product topologies).

## Chapter 3

## **Topological Properties**

#### 3.1 Cardinal Functions

### 3.2 More on Separation Axioms

**Definition 3.2.1** (saperated sets). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and let  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

- (i) A and B are said to be separated iff each is disjoint from other's closure.
- (ii) A and B are said to be separated by neighbourhoods iff there are neighbourhoods  $N_A$  of A and  $N_B$  of B such that  $N_A$  and  $N_B$  are disjoint.
- (iii) A and B are said to be separated by closed neighbourhoods iff there are closed neighbourhoods  $\overline{N}_A$  of A and  $\overline{N}_B$  of B such that  $\overline{N}_A$  and  $\overline{N}_B$  are disjoint.
- (iv) A and B are said to be separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $f[A] = \{0\}$  and  $f[B] = \{1\}$ .
- (v) A and B are said to be precisely separated by a continuous function iff there is a continuous function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $f^{-1}[\{0\}] = A$  and  $f^{-1}[\{1\}] = B$

**Definition 3.2.2** ( $T_{2^{1/2}}$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_{2^{1/2}}$  or Urysohn iff two distinct points in X are separated by closed neighbourhoods.

**Example 3.2.1** ( $T_2$  but not  $T_{2^{1/2}}$ ). <sup>1</sup> (Remained as a problem)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See MathPlanet.

**Definition 3.2.3** ( $T_3$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_3$  or regular iff it is  $T_0$  and given any point  $x \in (X, \mathcal{T})$  and closed set  $V \subseteq X$  with  $x \notin V$  are separated by neighbourhoods.

**Definition 3.2.4**  $(T_{3^{1}/2} \text{ spaces})$ . A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_{3^{1}/2}$ , or *Tychonoff* or, *completely*  $T_3$ , or *completely regular*, iff it is  $T_0$  and given any point x and closed set  $V \subseteq X$  with  $x \notin V$ , they are separated by a continuous function.

**Definition 3.2.5** ( $T_4$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_4$  or *normal* iff it is Hausdorff and any tow disjoint closed subsets of X are separated by neighbourhoods.

**Proposition 3.2.1** (Urysohn's lemma). A topological space is normal iff any two disjoint closed sets are separated by a continuous function.

**Definition 3.2.6** ( $T_5$  spaces). A topological space ( $X, \mathcal{T}$ ) is said to be  $T_5$  or completely  $T_4$  iff it is  $T_1$  any two separated sets are separated by neighbourhoods.

**Proposition 3.2.2.** Every subspace of a  $T_5$  space is normal.

**Definition 3.2.7** ( $T_6$  spaces). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be  $T_6$ , or perfectly  $T_4$  or perfectly normal iff it is  $T_1$  and any two disjoint closed sets are precisely separated by a continuous function.

**Proposition 3.2.3** (Tietze extension theorem). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be normal topological space, and let  $f: A \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}')$  be a continuous map where A is a closed subset of X and  $\mathcal{T}'$  is the standard topology (induced by Euclidean metric). Then there exists a continuous map

$$F:(X,\mathcal{T})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{T}'),$$

such that

$$\forall x \in A : f(x) = g(x).$$

## 3.3 Countability Axioms

## 3.4 Compactness

**Definition 3.4.1** (compactness). A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be *compact* iff every open cover of X has a finite subcover. That is,

$$\forall \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{T} : \bigcup \mathcal{C} = X : \exists \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : \bigcup \mathcal{S} = X : |\mathcal{S}| < \aleph_0.$$

## 3.5 Connectedness

**Definition 3.5.1** (connectedness). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be *connected* iff X is not empty and it it not the union of any disjoint open sets. That is,

$$\forall U, V \in \mathcal{T} : X = U \cup V : U \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

**Definition 3.5.2** (path-connectedness). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space.

- (i) A map  $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$  is called a *path* in X iff it is continuous. If  $\gamma(0)=x$  and  $\gamma(1)=y$ , we say that  $\gamma$  is path from x to y in X.
- (ii) X is said to be path-connected iff for all  $x,y\in X$  there is a path from x to y in X.