

Network Flow

Definition: "network" = directed graph $N = (V, E)$ with

- a single "source" s ($- V$ with no incoming edge,
- a single "sink" t ($- V$ with no outgoing edge,
- nonnegative integer "capacity" $c(e)$ for each edge e ($- E$).
- Networks can be used to model, e.g., computer networks (capacity = bandwidth), electrical networks, etc.
- (Example: $V = \{s, a, b, c, d, t\}$, $E = \{ (s, a):16, (s, b):13, (a, c):12, (b, a):4, (b, d):14, (c, b):9, (c, t):20, (d, c):7, (d, t):4 \}$.)

Network flow problem: Assign flow $f(e)$ ($- R$ to each edge e such that we have maximum flow "in the network" (to be defined), subject to:

- capacity constraint: for each edge e , $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ (flow does not exceed capacity);
- conservation constraint: for each vertex $v \neq s, t$, $f^{\text{in}}(v) = f^{\text{out}}(v)$, where $f^{\text{in}}(v) = \text{total flow into } v = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} f(u,v)$ and $f^{\text{out}}(v) = \text{total flow out of } v = \sum_{(v,u) \in E} f(v,u)$;
- total flow in network is denoted $|f|$ and defined as $|f| = f^{\text{out}}(s)$ (by conservation, $|f| = f^{\text{in}}(t)$; this will be proved later).

Brute force? $\prod_{e \in E} c(e)$ for integer flows -- each edge e can get a flow of $0, 1, 2, \dots, c(e)$, and we consider all possibilities independently of other edges -- much worse than simple exponential!

Greedy? No way to select any part of flow greedily.

Dynamic programming? No way to break down problem into independent recursive sub-problems.

Idea: Local search strategy: start with initial assignment of flow guaranteed to be correct but not necessarily maximum, then try to make incremental improvements -- stop when no improvement possible.

- Ford-Fulkerson algorithm:
 start with any valid flow f (e.g., $f(e) = 0$ for all $e \in E$)
 while there is an "augmenting path" P :
 "augment" f using P
 output f

Augmenting paths? Augment a flow?

- Intuition: Since all flow must "start" at s and "end" at t , find s - t paths along which flow can be increased. Instead of adding flow to edges in haphazard manner, this preserves conservation.
- First idea: path $P = s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t$ where $f(e) < c(e)$ for each e . Define "residual capacity" for edges: $\Delta_f(e) = c(e) - f(e)$, and residual capacity for paths: $\Delta_f(P) = \min_{e \in P} \Delta_f(e)$. Augment path by adding $\Delta_f(P)$ to all edge flows.
- Problem: notion too narrow, can get stuck with sub-optimal solution. (Example: $f(s, a) = 8, f(s, b) = 13, f(a, c) = 12, f(b, a) = 4, f(b, d) = 9, f(d, c) = 5, f(d, t) = 4, f(c, t) = 17$ on earlier network.)

- Second idea: allow flow to decrease along some edges (instead of only using edges on which flow increases).

Residual network.

- Network N , flow $f \Rightarrow$ residual network N_f :
 - . same vertices as N ;
 - . for each edge (u,v) in N with $f(u,v) < c(u,v)$, N_f contains "forward" edge (u,v) with capacity $c_f(u,v) = c(u,v) - f(u,v)$;
 - . for each edge (u,v) in N with $f(u,v) > 0$, N_f contains "backward" edge (v,u) with capacity $c_f(v,u) = f(u,v)$.

Intuition: "forward edge" has unused capacity that can be used to push more flow from s to t ; "backward edge" has surplus flow that can be redirected to push more flow from s to t .

Note: this is a form of backtracking -- changing our mind about previously assigned flow -- but in a specific, controlled fashion.

- Augmenting path = any s - t path in N_f .
- Augmentation: add $\Delta_f(P)$ (defined as before) to forward edges, subtract it from backward edges.

Example: $s \xrightarrow{-8} a \xrightarrow{-4} b \xrightarrow{-5} d \xrightarrow{-2} c \xrightarrow{-3} t$ in residual network for earlier example. $\Delta_f(P) = 2$ (minimum c_f for edges on P).

Add 2 to each edge traversed forward (s,a) , (b,d) , (d,c) , (c,t) and subtracting 2 from each edge traversed backward (b,a) .

New flow: $f(s,a) = 10$, $f(b,a) = 2$, $f(b,d) = 11$, $f(d,c) = 7$, $f(c,t) = 19$.

Correctness of Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm:

- A "cut" is a partition of V into V_s , V_t (i.e., $V = V_s \cup V_t$ and $V_s \cap V_t = \{\}$) such that $s \in V_s$, $t \in V_t$;
 - . an edge (u,v) with $u \in V_s$, $v \in V_t$ is a "forward" edge;
 - . an edge (u,v) with $u \in V_t$, $v \in V_s$ is a "backward" edge.
 Careful! Two different notions of "forward/backward": with respect to augmenting paths and with respect to cuts.
- For any cut $X=(V_s,V_t)$,
 - . The "capacity" of cut X is the sum of the capacities of the forward edges: $c(X) = \sum_{e \text{ forward}} c(e)$.
 - . The "flow across X " is the total flow forward minus the total flow backward across the cut:

$$f(X) = \sum_{e \text{ forward}} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ backward}} f(e).$$
- Example: $X_0 = (V_s = \{s,a\}; V_t = \{b,c,d,t\})$ on ongoing example network. $c(X_0) = c(a,c) + c(s,b) = 12 + 13 = 25$ -- don't count backward edge (b,a) .
- Lemma: For any cut X and any flow f , $f(X) \leq c(X)$.
Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= \sum_{e \text{ forward}} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ backward}} f(e) \\ &\leq \sum_{e \text{ forward}} f(e) \\ &\leq \sum_{e \text{ forward}} c(e) = c(X). \end{aligned}$$
- Lemma: For any cut X and any flow f , $f(X) = |f|$.
Proof: Omitted for length. Intuition: all flow "generated" at s and "consumed" at t so value across any cut remains constant.
- Corollary: For any cut X and any flow f , $|f| \leq c(X)$. (From two facts above). In particular, max flow in network \leq min capacity of any cut.

- Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson): For any network N and flow f , $|f|$ is maximum (and equal to $c(X)$ for some cut X) iff there is no augmenting path.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) augment

(\Leftarrow) Construct cut X as follows:

- . start with $V_s = \{s\}$, $V_t = V - \{s\}$;
- . if $(u,v) \in E$ with $u \in V_s$, $v \in V_t$, $f(u,v) < c(u,v)$, then move v from V_t to V_s ;
- . if $(u,v) \in E$ with $v \in V_s$, $u \in V_t$, $f(u,v) > 0$, then move u from V_t to V_s ;
- . repeat until no further change possible.

Since there is no augmenting path, this must stop with $t \in V_t$ (otherwise, there is some augmenting path). By definition of X , every edge crossing X has property that $f(e) = c(e)$ for forward edges and $f(e) = 0$ for backward edges. Hence, $|f| = f(X) = c(X)$.

Corollary (max-flow/min-cut theorem): For any network, the maximum flow value equals the minimum cut capacity.

- Additional property: because of nature of augmentation, can prove by induction that max flow can always be achieved with integer flow values (as long as all capacities are integer).

For Next Week

- * Readings: Sections 7.3, 7.1
- * Self-Test: Exercises 7.17(a), 7.17(b), 7.2