You have a network of wireless sensors that you would like to make more reliable, by selecting some number of "backup" sensors for each sensor in the network. Formally, consider the following problem.

**Input:** Sensors  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n$  (each sensor  $s_i$  has real-number coordinates  $(x_i, y_i)$ ), distance parameter  $d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , redundancy parameter  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and backup parameter  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $b \ge r$ .

**Output:** Backup sets  $B_1, ..., B_n$  where  $B_j \subseteq \{s_1, ..., s_n\} - \{s_j\}$  for each j, every sensor in  $B_j$  is within distance d of  $s_j$ , every  $B_j$  contains at least r elements, and every sensor belongs to at most b backup sets. (If this is not possible, output the special value NIL.)

Give an efficient algorithm to solve this problem, based on network flow techniques. Write a detailed justification that your algorithm is correct (in particular, explain how backup sets and flows correspond to each other) and analyze the worst-case running time of your algorithm. (HINT: Consider using *two* nodes for each sensor.)

Solution on the next page... but you will get the most benefit from this example if you try it for yourself first!

## Algorithm:

- 1. Create a network N with vertices  $V = \{s, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, t\}$  and edges
  - $E = \{(s, a_1), \dots, (s, a_n)\}\ (\text{with } c(s, a_i) = b)$
  - $\cup \{(b_1, t), \dots, (b_n, t)\}\ (\text{with } c(b_i, t) = r)$
  - $\cup \{(a_i, b_i) : d(s_i, s_i) \le d\}$  (with  $c(a_i, b_i) = 1$ ).

(Vertices  $a_1, ..., a_n$  represent sensors; vertices  $b_1, ..., b_n$  represent backup sets.)

- 2. Find a maximum integer flow f in network N (using the Edmonds-Karp algorithm, for example).
- 3. If |f| < rn, then return NIL; else, for j = 1, ..., n, set  $B_j = \{s_i : f(a_i, b_j) = 1\}$ , and return  $B_1, ..., B_n$ .

**Correctness:** Every collection of backup sets  $B_1, ..., B_n$  with no sensor belonging to more than b sets and no backup set of size more than b (but not all b) necessarily having size b) gives rise to a flow b1 in b2 as follows:

- $f(s, a_i)$  = number of backup sets that sensor  $s_i$  belongs to (not more than b so edge capacity respected);
- $f(a_i, b_j) = 1$  iff  $s_i \in B_j$ ;
- $f(b_i, t)$  = number of sensors in backup set  $B_i$  (not more than r so edge capacity respected).

In this way, flow is conserved at each  $a_i$  because the total flow out is exactly equal to the number of backup sets that  $s_i$  belongs to, and flow is conserved at each  $b_j$  because the total flow in is exactly equal to the number of sensors in backup set  $B_j$ . So the maximum flow value |f| is at least as large as the total size of all the backup sets.

Every integer flow in N gives rise to a collection of backup sets  $B_1, ..., B_n$  with no sensor belonging to more than b sets, as follows:

- $B_j = \{s_i : f(a_i, b_j) = 1\};$
- no sensor belongs to more than b backup sets because  $c(s, a_i) = b$ ;

For these backup sets,  $|B_1| + \cdots + |B_n| = |f|$  because both sides are equal to the number of edges  $(a_i, b_j)$  with  $f(a_i, b_j) = 1$ . This means that the total size of all the backup sets is at least as large as the maximum flow value in N.

Hence, finding a maximum flow in N yields a collection of backup sets with the maximum total size. If this size is equal to rn, then the backup sets  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  defined above satisfy the conditions of the problem; else, there is no collection of backup sets that is large enough.

**Runtime:** Creating N takes time  $\Theta(n^2)$  (every pair of sensors  $(s_i, s_j)$  must be examined); solving the maximum flow problem takes time  $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$  (using the Edmonds-Karp algorithm, for example); constructing the backup sets takes time  $\Theta(n^2)$  (every edge  $(a_i, b_j)$  must be examined). The total is  $\Theta(n^5)$ —dominated by the time to solve the maximum flow problem.