

STA347 Probability I

Gun Ho Jang

Update on November 6, 2018

Note: This note is prepared for STA347. There might be numerous fault arguments/statements/tipos. If you spot one, please contact the instructor or you may look up references which may contain errors too.

Mode of Convergence

Definition 34. A sequence of random variables X_n *converges to X in distribution* ($X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$) if $P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any x with $P(X = x) = 0$. A sequence of random variables X_n *converges to X in probability* ($X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$) if, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A sequence of random variables X_n *converges to X almost surely* ($X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$) if $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| = 0) = 1$. A sequence of random variables X_n *converges to X in L^p* ($X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$) for $p > 0$ if $\mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|^p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the above convergences, all random variables are converging except convergence in distribution. The convergence in distribution indicates distribution functions of random variables are converging instead of random variables.

The definition of almost sure convergence $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ contains two properties X_n converges and the limit is X with probability one, or, $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \text{ exists and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$.

Implications

Theorem 36. (a) $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s. $\implies X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

(b) $X_n \rightarrow X$ in $L^p \implies X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

(c) $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability $\implies X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution.

Proof. (a) Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$ a.s. implies $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| = 0$ a.s. Hence

$$0 = P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > \epsilon) = P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}\right) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_m - X| > \epsilon).$$

(b) Fix $\epsilon > 0$. The probability $P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)$ converges to

$$P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = \mathbb{E}[1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^p} |X_n - X|^p 1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)\right] \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^p} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] \rightarrow 0.$$

(c) Note that $P(X_n \leq x) = P(X_n \leq x, X \leq x + \epsilon) + P(X_n \leq x, X > x + \epsilon) \leq P(X \leq x + \epsilon) + P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)$.

Similarly $P(X \leq x - \epsilon) = P(X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n \leq x) + P(X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n > x) \leq P(X_n \leq x) + P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)$.

Hence

$$P(X \leq x - \epsilon) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) \leq P(X \leq x + \epsilon).$$

For any point x with $P(X = x) = 0$, by taking ϵ small enough, we get $P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)$, that is, $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution. \square

Example 61. Let $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$.

- Let $X_n = 1(U \in [0, 1/n])$. Then $X_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability, a.s. and in L^p for $p > 0$.

Take $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. $P(|X_n - 0| > \epsilon) = P(X_n > \epsilon) = P(U \leq 1/n) = 1/n \rightarrow 0$. $\limsup X_n = \limsup 1(U \in [0, 1/n]) = 0$. $\mathbb{E}[|X_n - 0|^p] = \mathbb{E}[X_n^p] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mathbb{E}[1(U \leq 1/n)] = 1/n \rightarrow 0$.

- Let $Y_n = n1(U \in [0, 1/n])$. Then $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability, a.s. but not in L^p for $p \geq 1$.

Take $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. $P(|Y_n - 0| > \epsilon) = P(Y_n > \epsilon) = P(U \leq 1/n) = 1/n \rightarrow 0$. $\limsup Y_n = \limsup n1(U \in [0, 1/n]) = 0$. $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n - 0|^p] = \mathbb{E}[Y_n^p] = \mathbb{E}[n^p 1(U \leq 1/n)] = n^p(1/n) = n^{p-1}$ which diverges to ∞ if $p > 1$ and converges to 1 if $p = 0$. Hence Y_n does not converge to 0 in L^p for $p \geq 1$.

- Let $Z_n = 1(U \in [a_n, b_n])$ where $n = 2^k + m$ with $0 \leq m < 2^k$, $a_n = m/2^k$ and $b_n = (m + 1)/2^k$. Then $Z_n \rightarrow 0$ in probability and in L^p for $p > 0$ but not a.s. because $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = 1$.

Take $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. $P(|Z_n - 0| > \epsilon) = P(Z_n > \epsilon) = 2^{-k_n} \rightarrow 0$ where $k_n = \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor$. $\mathbb{E}[|Z_n - 0|^p] = \mathbb{E}[Z_n^p] = \mathbb{E}[Z_n] = 2^{-k_n} \rightarrow 0$. $\limsup Z_n = 1$. Hence $P(\lim Z_n = 0) = 0$ and Z_n does not converge to 0 a.s.

- Let $W_n = U$ if n is odd and $W_n = 1 - U$ if n is even. Then $W_n \rightarrow U$ in distribution but not in probability.

Note $P(W_n \leq x) = x$ for any n and $0 < x < 1$. But $P(|W_n - W_{n-1}| > \epsilon) = P(|2U - 1| > \epsilon) = \max(0, 1 - 2\epsilon)$ implies W_n does not converge in probability.

L^1 Convergence

Lemma 37. If $Y \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $M > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > M)] < \epsilon$.

Proof. Brief proof. $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > M)] = \mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}[Y1(Y \leq M)] = \mathbb{E}(Y) - \int_0^M y \, d\text{cdf}_Y(y) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y) - \int_0^\infty y \, d\text{cdf}_Y(y) = \mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(Y) = 0$.

Rigorous proof. Suppose $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > y)]$ does not converge to 0. Then there exists an increasing sequence y_n such that $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > y_n)] \rightarrow c$ where $c > 0$. The convergence implies there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > y_n)] \geq 2c/3$ for all $n \geq n_0$. For any $k \geq 1$, we take n_k sequentially increasing. Since $\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > n_{k-1})] > 2c/3$, there exists $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[Y1(y_{n_{k-1}} < Y \leq y_{n_k})] \geq c/3$ for all $n \geq n_k$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[Y1(y_{n_{k-1}} < Y \leq y_{n_k})] \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{3} = \infty.$$

Which contradicts to the assumption $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$. Thus $\limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y1(Y > y)] = 0$. \square

Exercise 16. Prove that $nP(X > n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\mathbb{E}(|X|) < \infty$.

Lemma 38. Suppose a random variable Y has a finite absolute expectation, that is, $\mathbb{E}(|Y|) < \infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\mathbb{E}[Y1_A]| < \epsilon$ for any event A with $P(A) < \delta$ where 1_A is an indicator function of the event A .

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $M > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| > M)] < \epsilon/2$. Take $0 < \delta < \epsilon/(2M)$. Then for any event A with $P(A) < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Y1_A]| &\leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|1_A] \leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| > M)] + \mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| \leq M)1_A] \leq \epsilon/2 + M\mathbb{E}[1_A] \leq \epsilon/2 + M\delta \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + M\epsilon/(2M) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 39. Suppose a random variable Y has a finite absolute expectation, that is, $\mathbb{E}(|Y|) < \infty$ and a sequence A_n of events satisfy $P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\mathbb{E}(Y1_{A_n}) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. From the finite expectation assumption, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| > M)] < \epsilon/2$.

$\epsilon/2$ by Lemma 37. There exists $N > 0$ such that $P(A_n) < \epsilon/(2M)$ for all $n \geq N$. Then for any $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Y1_{A_n}]| &\leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|1_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| > M)1_{A_n}] + \mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| \leq M)1_{A_n}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|Y|1(|Y| > M)] + \mathbb{E}[M1_{A_n}] \leq \epsilon/2 + MP(A_n) \leq \epsilon/2 + M\epsilon/(2M) \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of $\epsilon > 0$ implies $|\mathbb{E}[Y1(Y \in A_n)]| \rightarrow 0$ and the lemma holds. \square

Theorem 40 (Dominated Convergence Theorem). Suppose that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability, $|X_n| \leq Y$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Proof. We rather prove $\mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|) \rightarrow 0$. Which implies the theorem via the triangle inequality.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. From $|X_n| \leq Y$, we get $|X| \leq Y$ and hence $|X_n - X| \leq 2Y$. The convergence $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ implies $P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon/2) \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|) &= \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|1(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon/2)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon/2)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\epsilon/2 1(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon/2)] + \mathbb{E}[2Y 1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon/2)] \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 39, $\mathbb{E}[2Y 1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon/2)] \rightarrow 0$. Hence there exists $N > 0$, such that $\mathbb{E}[2Y 1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon/2)] < \epsilon/2$ for all $n \geq N$.

$$\leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \leq \epsilon.$$

By taking $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, the result $\mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|) \rightarrow 0$ is obtained. \square

Theorem 41 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). Let X_n be non-negative non-decreasing random variables. Suppose $X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ is finite a.s. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Proof. Apply DCT for $0 \leq X_n \leq X$ and $\mathbb{E}(X) < \infty$.

Second Proof using MCT in integration: Since $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s., $f_n(x) := P(X_n > x) \rightarrow P(X > x) =: f(x)$ as long as $P(X = x) = 0$. Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. and $f_n \nearrow f$. Using the monotone convergence theorem of integral we get

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \int_0^\infty P(X_n > x) dx = \int_0^\infty f_n(x) dx \nearrow \int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty P(X > x) dx = \mathbb{E}(X).$$

Thus the theorem follows. \square

Example 62. Suppose $X_n \geq 0$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) < \infty$. Let $Y_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. Then Y_n converges to $Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ a.s. By the MCT, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(Y_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n)$.

Theorem 42 (Fatou's lemma). Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of non-negative random variables. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n).$$

Proof. Let $Y_n = \inf_{m \geq n} X_m$ so that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} X_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n$. Obviously Y_n is non-decreasing. Also $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \mathbb{E}[\inf_{m \geq n} X_m] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_m]$ for all $m \geq n$ implies $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) \leq \inf_{m \geq n} \mathbb{E}(X_m)$. Using the monotone convergence theorem implies

$$\mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(Y_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} \mathbb{E}(X_m) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n).$$

□

Theorem 43 (Dominated convergence theorem in classical sense). Suppose $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s. and $|X_n| \leq Y$ with $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Classical Proof using Fatou's lemma. Note $Y + X_n \geq 0$ and $Y + X_n \rightarrow Y + X$ a.s. By Fatou's lemma, $\mathbb{E}(Y + X) = \mathbb{E}[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Y + X_n)] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(Y + X_n) = \mathbb{E}(Y) + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$ which implies $\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$. Similarly, $Y - X_n \geq 0$ with $Y - X_n \rightarrow Y - X$ a.s. Hence $\mathbb{E}(Y - X) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(Y - X_n) = \mathbb{E}(Y) - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$. Hence we get

$$\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq \mathbb{E}(X)$$

which implies $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Example 63. Suppose random variables X_n satisfy $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. Let $Y = |X_1| + |X_2| + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n|$. Then $|X_n| \leq Y$ and $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. By DCT, $X_1 + X_2 + \cdots \rightarrow X$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$.

Example 64. Suppose $\mathbb{E}(|X|^r) < \infty$. Let $X_n = |X|1(|X| \geq n)$. Then $X_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and $|X_n| \leq |X|$. Which implies $X_n^r \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and $|X_n^r| \leq |X|^r$. By DCT, $\mathbb{E}(X_n^r) \rightarrow 0$. Then $n^r P(|X| \geq n) \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n^r] \rightarrow 0$.

Exercise 17. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(|X|)$. Prove (a) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $B > 0$ such that $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n|1(|X_n| > B)] < \epsilon$. (b) $\mathbb{E}(|X_n - X|) \rightarrow 0$.

Exercise 18. Show the next theorem.

Theorem (Generalized Dominated Convergence Theorem). If all X, Y, X_n, Y_n have finite absolute expectation, $|X_n| \leq Y_n$ for all n , $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$, and $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(Y)$, then $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Example 65. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, $|X_n| \leq Y$ with $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$. For any subsequence n_k , there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$. By applying the dominated convergence theorem, $\mathbb{E}[X_{n_{k_l}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$. Theorem 47 implies $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Exercise 19. Prove the generalized dominated convergence theorem with $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

Exercise 20. Show that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^p if and only if for any subsequence n_k there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow X$ in L^p and a.s. Note. L^p is a vector space equipped with a topology.

Almost Sure Convergence

Theorem 44 (Borel-Cantelli lemma). Let $A = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n$ be the event that infinitely many A_n 's occur.

(a) $P(A) = 0$ if $\sum_n P(A_n) < \infty$.

(b) $P(A) = 1$ if $\sum_n P(A_n) = \infty$ and A_1, A_2, \dots are independent.

Proof. (a) Using continuity from above,

$$P(A) = P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 0.$$

(b) The de Moivre's theorem and the continuity theorems imply

$$\begin{aligned} P(A^c) &= P\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n^c\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n^c\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(\cap_{n=m}^{m+k} A_n^c) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=m}^{m+k} (1 - P(A_n)) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=m}^{m+k} \exp(-P(A_n)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(A_n)\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P(A) = 1 - P(A^c) = 1$. □

Exercise 21. Suppose $X \geq 0$. $\mathbb{E}(X) < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X \geq n) < \infty$.

Borel-Cantelli lemma is often used to prove almost sure convergence.

Example 66. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be random variables having the same distribution with finite mean, that is, $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_1|/\epsilon > n) \leq \int_0^{\infty} P(|X_1|/\epsilon > x) dx =$

$\mathbb{E}[|X_1|/\epsilon] < \infty$. Hence $A = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n=m}^{\infty} (|X_n|/n > \epsilon) = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} (\sup_{n \geq m} |X_n|/n > \epsilon) = (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n|/n > \epsilon)$ have probability zero, that is, $P(A) = P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n|/n > \epsilon) = 0$ which implies $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n|/n \leq \epsilon$ with probability 1 (or almost surely). By taking $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n|/n = 0$ almost surely, that means, $X_n/n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely.

Example 67. Let X_n be identically distributed random variables. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then $P(|X_n/n| > \epsilon) = P(|X_1| > n\epsilon) \rightarrow P(\emptyset) = 0$ by the continuity from above. Hence $X_n/n \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Theorem 45. If, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) < \infty$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > \epsilon\} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}$. The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies

$$P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > \epsilon) = P(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} (|X_n - X| > \epsilon)) = 0.$$

Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| \leq \epsilon$ almost surely. By taking $\epsilon > 0$ as small as possible. The result $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| = 0$ almost surely.

Proof by definition: To prove almost sure convergence usually $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > 0) = 0$ is argued. Consider the event $\{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > 0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > 1/k\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n>m} \{|X_n - X| > 1/k\}$. For any fixed k , $A_{k,m} = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > 1/k\}$ are non-increasing events as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Also events $A_k = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{k,m}$ is non-decreasing events as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > 0) = P(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > 1/k\}) = P(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{k,m}) = P(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k)$$

Apply the continuity from below for $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$,

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(A_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{k,m})$$

Using the continuity from above for $A_{k,1} \supset A_{k,2} \supset \dots$,

$$= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(A_{k,m}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| > 1/k\}).$$

Using Boole's inequality (or subadditivity),

$$\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > 1/k) = 0.$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

Example 68. Let $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ and define $X_n = 1(U > 1/n^2)$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - 1| > \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(1 - X_n > \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(U \leq 1/n^2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty.$$

Theorem 45 implies $X_n \rightarrow 1$ almost surely.

Define $Y_n = 1(U > 1/n)$. Obviously $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = 1$. But

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - 1| > \varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(U \leq 1/n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty$$

Hence the converse of Theorem 45 does not hold.

Theorem 46. If a sequence of random variables X_n converges to X in probability, then there exists a subsequence n_k such that X_{n_k} converges to X a.s.

Proof. Let $n_0 = 0$. Sequentially take $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such that $P(|X_n - X| > 2^{-k}) < 2^{-k}$ for all $n \geq n_k$. Then $\{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{n_k} \neq X\} \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k \geq m} B_k$ where $B_k = \{|X_{n_k} - X| > 2^{-k}\}$. So we get

$$P(\{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{n_k} \neq X\}) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(\bigcup_{k \geq m} B_k) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq m} P(B_k) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq m} 2^{-k} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{1-m} = 0.$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 47. A sequence x_n of real numbers converges to x if and only if for any subsequence n_k there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $x_{n_{k_l}}$ converges to x .

Proof. Sufficiency (\implies) is obvious. Necessity (\impliedby). If x_n does not converge to x , then the sequence $|x_n - x|$ does not converge to 0. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence n_k such that $|x_{n_k} - x| > \delta$. However, from the assumption, there exists a further sequence n_{k_l} such that $x_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow x$, i.e., $|x_{n_{k_l}} - x| \rightarrow 0$. Two statements contradicts. Thus x_n converges to x . \square

Theorem 48. A sequence of random variables X_n converges to X in probability if and only if for any subsequence n_k there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}}$ converges to X a.s.

Proof. Necessity part (\impliedby) is direct from Theorem 36.

Sufficiency (\implies). Note that $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ implies $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{p} X$. By applying Theorem 46, there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Example 69. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, $|X_n| \leq Y$ with $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$. For any subsequence n_k , there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$. By applying the dominated convergence theorem, $\mathbb{E}[X_{n_{k_l}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$. Theorem 47 implies $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Exercise 22. Prove the generalized dominated convergence theorem with $X_n \rightarrow X$ in probability.

Exercise 23. Show that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^p if and only if for any subsequence n_k there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow X$ in L^p and a.s. Note. L^p is a vector space equipped with a topology.

Example 70. Suppose $X_n \rightarrow X$ and $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ a.s. Then $X_n + Y_n \rightarrow X + Y$ a.s. because $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n + Y_n) \neq X + Y) \leq P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \neq X) + P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n \neq Y) = 0$. Similarly, $X_n Y_n \rightarrow XY$ a.s.

Example 71. Suppose $X_n \rightarrow X$, $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ in probability. For any subsequence n_k , there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow X$ and $Y_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow Y$ a.s. Hence $X_{n_{k_l}} + Y_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow X + Y$ and $X_{n_{k_l}} Y_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow XY$ a.s. Hence $X_n + Y_n \rightarrow X + Y$ and $X_n Y_n \rightarrow XY$ in probability.

Theorem 49. (a) If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ where c is a constant, then $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$.
(b) If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $P(|X_n| \leq M) = 1$ for some $M > 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ for any $p > 0$.

Proof. (a) Fix $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|X_n - c| > \epsilon) \leq P(X_n \leq c - \epsilon) + 1 - P(X_n \leq c + \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ since $P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow 0$ for any $x < c$ and $P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow 1$ for any $x > c$.

(b) Note that $P(|X_n| \leq M) = 1$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ implies $P(|X| \leq M) = 1$ and $|X_n - X| \leq 2M$ for all n . Thus $|X_n - X|^p \leq (2M)^p$ and $|X_n - X|^p \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] &= \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p 1(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon)] + \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p 1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)] \\ &\leq \epsilon^p + (2M)^p \mathbb{E}[1(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)] = \epsilon^p + (2M)^p P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] \leq \epsilon^p + (2M)^p \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = \epsilon^p$ and again $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] \leq \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \epsilon^p = 0$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}[|X_n - X|^p] \rightarrow 0$. \square

Theorem 50. Let X be a random variable with $P(X = x) = 0$ for all x and F be the distribution function of X . Then $F(X) \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ and $F^{-1}(U) \sim X$ for any $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$.

Proof. The random variable X does not have any point mass from the assumption. Hence $F(x-) = \lim_{y \uparrow x} F(y) = P(X < x) = P(X \leq x) - P(X = x) = P(X \leq x) = F(x)$ implies F is continuous.

Let $V = F(X)$ for simplicity. For any $v \in (0, 1)$, there exists x_v such that $F(x_v) = v$. Then $F_V(v) = P(V \leq v) = P(F(X) \leq v) = P(X \leq x_v) = F(x_v) = v$, that is, $V \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$.

Let $Y = F^{-1}(U)$. For any x , $P(Y \leq x) = P(F^{-1}(U) \leq x) = P(F(F^{-1}(U)) \leq F(x)) = P(U \leq F(x)) = F(x) = P(X \leq x)$. Hence $Y = F^{-1}(U)$ and X have the same distribution. \square

Theorem 51 (Skorokhod's representation theorem). If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, then there exist random variables Y, Y_1, Y_2, \dots in a probability space such that

- (a) X_n and Y_n have the same distribution as well as X and Y have the same distribution,
- (b) $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$.

The below proof requires a bit of mathematics and you may skip this proof.

Proof. For simplicity, let $X_0 = X$. Let F_n be the distribution function of X_n for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Consider functions $Y_n(u) = \inf\{x : F_n(x) \geq u\}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For a uniform random variable $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$, define random variables $Y_n = Y_n(U)$ for $n \geq 0$. Note that (a) $u \leq F_n(x)$ if and only if $Y_n(u) \leq x$, (b) $Y_n(\cdot)$ is non-decreasing, (c) $u \leq F_n(Y_n(u))$. Thus $P(Y \leq y) = P(Y(U) \leq y) = P(U \leq F_n(y)) = F_n(y) = P(X_n \leq y)$ which implies X_n and Y_n have the same distribution. Similarly, X and Y have the same distribution.

For any $x < y$, the event $x < Y(U) \leq y$ is equivalent to $F(x) < U \leq F(y)$ also $x < Y_n(U) \leq y$ is equivalent to $F_n(x) < U \leq F_n(y)$. If $P(Y = y) = 0 = P(Y = x)$, then $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ and $F_n(y) \rightarrow F(y)$. Hence Let $h(F, u) = \inf\{x : F(x) \geq u\}$. then $h(F, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing. Take y so that $P(Y = y) = 0$. Let $u = F(y)$. Then there exists a unique u such that. Let $u = \max\{v : Y(v) = y\}$

Still $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$ should be proved, that is, $Y_n(u) \rightarrow Y(u)$ almost surely. For any $u \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $y = Y(u)$. Then pick an x so that $y - \epsilon < x < y$ and $P(Y = x) = 0$. Since $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $|F_n(x) - F(x)| < (F(y) - F(x))/2$ for all $n \geq N$. Then $F_n(x) < F(x) + (F(y) - F(x))/2 < F(y) \leq u$. Hence $Y_n(u) > x$ for all $n \geq N$ which implies $Y(u) - \epsilon = y - \epsilon \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(u)$. By taking $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, $Y(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(u)$.

For any $v \in (F(y), 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $z > y$ such that $Y(v) < z < Y(v) + \epsilon$ with $P(Y = z) = 0$. Then for sufficiently large n , $|F_n(z) - F(z)| < (F(z) - F(y))/2$ which implies $F_n(z) > (F(y) + F(z))/2 > u$. Hence $Y_n(u) < z < Y(v) + \epsilon$. Send n to infinity and ϵ to zero to obtain $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(u) \leq Y(v)$ for any $v > F(y) \geq u$. Hence $Y_n(u) \rightarrow Y(u)$ as long as $\lim_{v \searrow u} Y(v) = Y(u)$. Since Y is non-decreasing, there are at most countably many discontinuity points, say D . Then $P(Y \in D) = P(U \in Y^{-1}(D)) = 0$ because $Y^{-1}(D)$ is at most countable. Hence $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$. \square

Note. Roughly speaking, Skorokhod's representation theorem can be interpreted as, for a given $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$, new random variables $Y_n = F_n^{-1}(U) \sim F_n \sim X_n$ converges almost surely to $Y = F^{-1}(U)$ where F_n is the distribution function of X_n .

Theorem 52 (Continuous mapping theorem). Let g be a continuous function.

(a) $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ implies $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$.

(b) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ implies $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$.

(c) $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ implies $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$.

Proof. Recall that g is continuous if $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$ as long as $x_n \rightarrow x$.

(a) $P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g(X_n) - g(X)| > 0) \leq P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| > 0) = 0$.

(b) For any subsequence n_k , $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{p} X$ and hence there exists a further subsequence n_{k_l} such that $X_{n_{k_l}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$. Then by part (a), $g(X_{n_{k_l}}) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$. Theorem 46 implies $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$.

(c) From Skorokhod's representation theorem, there exist Y, Y_1, Y_2, \dots such that $P(X \leq x) = P(Y \leq x)$, $P(X_n \leq x) = P(Y_n \leq x)$ for all x and $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$. By part (a), $g(Y_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(Y)$ which implies $g(Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(Y)$. Then $P(g(X_n) \leq x) = P(g(Y_n) \leq x) \rightarrow P(g(Y) \leq x) = P(g(X) \leq x)$ for any x with $P(g(X) = x) = 0$. Hence $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$. \square

Convergence in distribution

Theorem 53. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}[g(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ for any bounded continuous function g .

Proof. Sufficiency (\implies). Take Skorokhod's representation theorem, say Y and Y_1, Y_2, \dots . Let $M = \sup_x |g(x)| < \infty$. Hence $|g(Y_n)| \leq M < \infty$. The dominated convergence theorem implies $\mathbb{E}[g(X_n)] = \mathbb{E}[g(Y_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[g(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$.

Necessity (\impliedby). For $y < z$, define a continuous function $h_{y,z}$ by $h_{y,z}(x) = 1$ if $x \leq y$, $h_{y,z}(x) = 0$ if $x > z$, and $h_{y,z}(x) = (z - x)/(z - y)$ so that $h_{y,z}$ is continuous and bounded like $0 \leq 1(x \leq y) \leq h_{y,z}(x) \leq 1(x \leq z) \leq 1$. From $\mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X)]$ and $P(X_n \leq y) = \mathbb{E}[1(X_n \leq y)] \leq \mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X_n)] \leq \mathbb{E}[1(X_n \leq z)] = P(X_n \leq z)$, we get $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq y) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X_n)] = \mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X)] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[h_{y,z}(X_n)] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq z)$. Pick x so that $P(X = x) = 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{E}[h_{x-\epsilon, x}(X)] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq x) \leq \mathbb{E}[h_{x, x+\epsilon}(X)]$. Hence the limit of $P(X_n \leq x)$ exists because $\inf_{\epsilon > 0} \{\mathbb{E}[h_{x-\epsilon, x}(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h_{x, x+\epsilon}(X)]\} \leq \inf_{\epsilon > 0} P(x - \epsilon \leq X \leq x + \epsilon) = F_X(y+) - F_X(y-) = F_X(y) - F_X(y) = 0$. Hence $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. \square

Theorem 54. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ if and only if $\text{chf}_{X_n}(t) \rightarrow \text{chf}_X(t)$.

Proof. The sufficiency (\implies) is direct from Theorem 53.

The necessity (\impliedby) requires tedious rigorous steps. A sketch is given below using inversion formula. Fix $a < b$. Define $h_n = [(e^{-iat} - e^{-ibt})/(it)]\text{chf}_{X_n}(t)$ is continuous, bounded by $b - a$ and converges to $h =$

$[(e^{-iat} - e^{-ibt})/(it)]\text{chf}_X(t)$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [P(a < X_n < b) + \{P(X_n = a) + P(X_n = b)\}/2] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T h_n(t) dt$$

change the order of limit and apply dominated convergence theorem

$$= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T h_n(t) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T h(t) dt = P(a < X < b) + \{P(X = a) + P(X = b)\}/2.$$

Roughly speaking, by taking $a < b$ so that $P(X = a) = P(X = b) = 0$, the convergence $P(a < X_n \leq b) \rightarrow P(a < X \leq b)$ is obtained as well as $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. \square

Theorem 55. If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, then $aX_n + b \xrightarrow{d} aX + b$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Proof I: If $a = 0$, then $aX_n + b \equiv b \equiv aX + b$. Assume either $a > 0$ or $a < 0$. For any x so that $P(X = x) = 0$, if $a > 0$, $P(aX_n + b \leq ax + b) = P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x) = P(aX + b \leq ax + b)$, if $a < 0$, then $P(aX_n + b \leq ax + b) = P(X_n \geq x) = 1 - P(X_n < x) \rightarrow 1 - P(X \leq x) = P(aX + b \leq ax + b)$ where $P(X = x) = 0$ is used.

Proof II: $\text{chf}_{aX_n+b}(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{it(aX_n+b)}] = e^{itb}\mathbb{E}[e^{i(ta)X_n}] = e^{itb}\text{chf}_{X_n}(ta) \rightarrow e^{itb}\text{chf}_X(ta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itaX+itb}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{it(aX+b)}] = \text{chf}_{aX+b}(t)$. Hence $aX_n + b \xrightarrow{d} aX + b$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 56 (Slutsky's lemma). Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ for a constant c .

- (a) $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$,
- (b) $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Xc$,
- (c) $X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c$ if $c \neq 0$.

Proof. **A tedious proof:** (a) Fix x so that $P(X = x) = 0$. Note that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ with $P(|X - x| = \epsilon) = 0$, if $X_n + Y_n \leq x + c$, then $|Y_n - c| \geq \epsilon$ or $X_n \leq x + \epsilon$. Thus $P(X_n + Y_n \leq x + c) \leq P(|Y_n - c| \geq \epsilon) + P(X_n \leq x + \epsilon) \rightarrow P(X \leq x + \epsilon)$. Similarly, $X_n \leq x - \epsilon$ and $|Y_n - c| < \epsilon$ implies $X_n + Y_n \leq x + c$. Hence $P(X_n + Y_n \leq x + c) \geq P(X_n \leq x - \epsilon, |Y_n - c| < \epsilon) \geq P(X_n \leq x - \epsilon) - P(|Y_n - c| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow P(X \leq x - \epsilon)$. In sum, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P(X_n + Y_n \leq x + c) - P(X \leq x)| \leq P(x - \epsilon < X \leq x + \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Finally $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$.

(b) Fix $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ so that $P(|X| > M) < \epsilon$ and $P(|X| = M) = 0$. Then $P(|X_n(Y_n - c)| > \epsilon) \leq P(|X_n| > M) + P(|Y_n - c| > \epsilon/M) \rightarrow P(|X| > M) < \epsilon$. Hence $X_n(Y_n - c) \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Also $cX_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$. By part (a), $X_n Y_n = cX_n + X_n(Y_n - c) \xrightarrow{d} cX$.

(c) The continuous mapping theorem implies $1/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} 1/c$. Apply (b) to obtain $X_n/Y_n = X_n(1/Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} X/c$.

An elegant proof: Note that $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, c)$ because for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(X_n \leq x, Y_n < c - \epsilon) \leq P(Y_n < c - \epsilon) = 0$ and $P(X_n \leq x, Y_n \leq c + \epsilon) = P(X_n \leq x) - P(X_n \leq x, Y_n > c + \epsilon) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)$ for all x with $P(X = x) = 0$. Three maps $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ and $(x, y) \mapsto x/y$ are continuous. The continuous mapping theorem implies the results. \square

Law of Large Numbers

Example 72 (Weak law of large numbers). Let X_1, \dots, X_n be an i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) with mean μ and finite variance σ^2 . Then the sample mean $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n$ has mean $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n) = \mu$ and variance $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{Var}(X_1)/n = \sigma^2/n$. Chebychev's inequality implies, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > (\epsilon/\sigma)\sigma) \leq \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n)/(\epsilon/\sigma)^2 = \sigma^2/(n\epsilon^2) \rightarrow 0.$$

In other words, \bar{X}_n converges to the mean μ in probability as n increases.

Theorem 57. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) < \infty$. For $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X_1)$, $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n \rightarrow \mu$ almost surely and in L^2 .

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n] = \mu$ and $\mathbb{E}[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] = \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^2 = n\text{Var}(X_1)/n^2 = \text{Var}(X_1)/n \rightarrow 0$ implies L^2 convergence.

Claim: Let Y_n be nonnegative i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}(Y_n^2) < \infty$. Then $V_n/n \rightarrow \mu_y$ where $V_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ and $\mu_y = \mathbb{E}(Y_n)$.

Let $n_k = k^2$ and $\sigma_y^2 = \text{Var}(Y_1)$. Then, for $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|V_{n_k}/n_k - \mu_y| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-2}\text{Var}(V_{n_k}/n_k) = \epsilon^{-2}\text{Var}(Y_1)/n_k = \epsilon^{-2}\sigma_y^2/k^2$. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(|V_{n_k}/n_k - \mu_y| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-2}\sigma_y^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k^2 < \infty$ implies $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |V_{n_k}/n_k - \mu_y| \leq \epsilon$ almost surely. By taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |V_{n_k}/n_k - \mu_y| = 0$ almost surely that is equivalent to $V_{n_k}/n_k \rightarrow \mu_y$ almost surely. For any n , there exists k such that $k^2 \leq n \leq (k+1)^2$. Then

$$\frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2} \frac{V_{k^2}}{k^2} = \frac{V_{k^2}}{(k+1)^2} \leq \frac{V_n}{n} \leq \frac{V_{(k+1)^2}}{k^2} = \frac{V_{(k+1)^2}}{(k+1)^2} \frac{(k+1)^2}{k^2}.$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(k/(k+1))^2 \rightarrow 1$ and $V_{k^2}/k^2 \rightarrow \mu_y$ a.s. Hence $V_n/n \rightarrow \mu_y$ almost surely.

Recall that $X_n = X_{n,+} - X_{n,-}$ where $X_{n,+} = \max(0, X_n)$ and $X_{n,-} = \max(0, -X_n)$. Let $S_n = X_{1,+} + \dots + X_{n,+}$ and $T_n = X_{1,-} + \dots + X_{n,-}$. Then $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n = (X_{1,+} - X_{1,-} + \dots + X_{n,+} - X_{n,-})/n = S_n/n - T_n/n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}[X_{1,+}] - \mathbb{E}[X_{1,-}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{1,+} - X_{1,-}] = \mathbb{E}(X_1)$. \square

Theorem 58 (Weak law of large numbers). Let X_n be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. Then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ in probability.

Proof. Let $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X_1)$. Recall $\text{chf}_{X_1}(t) = 1 + i\mu t + o(|t|)$. Note that $\text{chf}_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(it\bar{X}_n)] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(it(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n)] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(itX_1/n)] \cdots \mathbb{E}[\exp(itX_n/n)] = \{\mathbb{E}[\exp(it/n)X_1]\}^n = \{\text{chf}_{X_1}(t/n)\}^n = (1 + i\mu(t/n) + o(|t/n|))^n = \exp(n \log(1 + i\mu(t/n) + o(|t/n|))) = \exp(n[i\mu(t/n) + o(|t/n|) + o(|i\mu(t/n)|)]) = \exp(it + o(|t|)) \rightarrow \exp(it)$ which is the characteristic function of constant μ . Hence $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$. Thus $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$. \square

Exercise 24. Let X_n be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. Show that $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(|X_1|)$ in L^1 .

Theorem 59 (Strong law of large numbers). Let X_n be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) < \infty$. Then $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ almost surely.

A proof of strong law of large numbers is beyond our scope. A sketch of proof is as follows. Define $Y_n = X_n 1(|X_n| \leq n)$. Then $Y_n = X_n$ almost surely using $\sum_n P(Y_n \neq X_n) = \sum_n P(|X_n| > n) \leq \mathbb{E}(|X_1|) < \infty$. Take $n_k = \lfloor \alpha^k \rfloor$ for a $\alpha > 1$. Then, for $T_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$, $\sum_k P(|(T_{n_k} - \mathbb{E}(T_{n_k}))/n_k| > \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-2} \sum_k \text{Var}(T_{n_k})/n_k^2 < \infty$ implies $(T_{n_k} - \mathbb{E}(T_{n_k}))/n_k \rightarrow 0$ almost surely. Using $\mathbb{E}(T_{n_k})/n_k \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$, $T_{n_k}/n_k \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ almost surely. Then apply similar method to Theorem 57 to obtain $T_n/n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ almost surely. Since the $X_n = Y_n$ almost surely, $\bar{X}_n/n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ almost surely.

Central Limit Theorem

Central limit theorem was found long ago for binomial cases which is called de Moivre-Laplace theorem.

Theorem 60. For k around np , the binomial probability is approximated by

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi np(1-p)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(k-np)^2}{2np(1-p)}\right).$$

Proof. Note that $k/n \approx p$, $(n-k)/n \approx 1-p$ and let $z_n = (k-np)/\sqrt{n}$ or $k = np + z_n\sqrt{n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \right] &= \log \left[\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \right] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n - \left[\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log k - k + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \left(n-k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(n-k) - (n-k) \right] \\ &\quad + k \log(p) + (n-k) \log(1-p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi \frac{k(n-k)}{n} - k \log(k/n) - (n-k) \log(1-k/n) + k \log p + (n-k) \log(1-p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi \frac{k(n-k)}{n} - k \log \left(1 + \frac{z_n}{p\sqrt{n}}\right) - (n-k) \log \left(1 - \frac{z_n}{(1-p)\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

using a Taylor expansion of \log given by $\log(1 - z) = -[z + z^2/2 + O(|z|^3)]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi n \frac{k}{n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) - k \log \left(1 + \frac{z_n}{p\sqrt{n}}\right) - (n - k) \log \left(1 - \frac{z_n}{(1-p)\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi np(1-p) \left(1 + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right)\right) - k \left(\frac{z_n}{p\sqrt{n}} - \frac{z_n^2}{2p^2n} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)\right) + (n - k) \left(\frac{z_n}{(1-p)\sqrt{n}} + \frac{z_n^2}{2(1-p)^2n} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi np(1-p) + \frac{z_n}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{n-k}{1-p} - \frac{k}{n}\right) + \frac{z_n^2}{2n} \left(\frac{k}{p^2} + \frac{n-k}{(1-p)^2}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi np(1-p) + \frac{z_n}{\sqrt{n}} \left(-\frac{z_n\sqrt{n}}{p(1-p)}\right) + \frac{z_n^2}{2n} \left(\frac{n}{p(1-p)} + O_p(\sqrt{n})\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi np(1-p) - \frac{z_n^2}{2p(1-p)} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi np(1-p) - \frac{(k - np)^2}{2np(1-p)} + O_p\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

When $X_n \sim \text{binomial}(n, p)$, define $Z_n = (X_n - np)/\sqrt{np(1-p)}$. Then for any $a < b$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(a < Z_n < b) &= P(np + a\sqrt{np(1-p)} < X_n < np + b\sqrt{np(1-p)}) \\
&= \sum_{k: np + a\sqrt{np(1-p)} < k < np + b\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\
&\approx \sum_{k: np + a\sqrt{np(1-p)} < k < np + b\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi np(1-p)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(k - np)^2}{2np(1-p)}\right) \\
&\approx \int_{np + a\sqrt{np(1-p)}}^{np + b\sqrt{np(1-p)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi np(1-p)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(k - np)^2}{2np(1-p)}\right) dk
\end{aligned}$$

let $z = (k - np)/\sqrt{np(1-p)}$

$$= \int_a^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) dz.$$

Hence $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ which is an earliest version of central limit theorem. Actually this proof showed a sequence of densities converges to the standard normal density which is stronger than convergence in distribution.

Theorem 61 (Lévy's Central Limit Theorem). Let X_n be i.i.d. with $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X_n)$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_n)$. Then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $Y_j = (X_j - \mu)/\sigma$ so that Y_n are i.i.d. with mean zero and variance 1. The characteristic function

of Y_j satisfies

$$\text{chf}_{Y_j}(t) = 1 + i \cdot 0 \cdot t - 1^2 \cdot t^2/2 + o(t^2) = 1 - t^2/2 + o(t^2).$$

Let $Z_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma = \sqrt{n}\bar{Y}_n$ and its characteristic function is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{chf}_{Z_n}(t) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{itZ_n}] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(it\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}_n)] = \{\mathbb{E}[\exp(itY_1/\sqrt{n})]\}^n = \{\text{chf}_{Y_1}(t/\sqrt{n})\}^n = \left\{1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right\}^n \\ &= \exp\left[n \log\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right)\right] = \exp\left[-n\left\{\frac{t^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right)^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{t^2}{n} + o\left(\frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right)^3\right)\right\}\right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + o(t^2)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$. □

Example 73. Let $X_n \sim i.i.d.$ $\text{Poisson}(\mu)$. Then $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu$ and $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}(X_n) = \mu$. The Lévy's central limit theorem implies $(x_1 + \dots + X_n = n\mu)/\sqrt{n\mu} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$. Generally, if $Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_n)$ with $\mu_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(Y_n - \mu_n)/\sqrt{\mu_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$. For a sequence of independent Poisson random variables $Z_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_n)$. If $s_n^2 = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n - s_n^2)/s_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Theorem 62 (Lindeberg-Feller Central Limit Theorem). Let X_n be independent random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(X_n^2) < \infty$. Let $s_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(X_1^2) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(X_n^2)$. The Lindeberg condition

$$\text{"} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_k^2 1(X_k^2 > \epsilon s_n^2)] \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0 \text{"}$$

holds if and only if

$$(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \max(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2)/s_n^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Lindeberg proved that Lindeberg's condition is sufficient while William Feller showed necessity. A proof is beyond our scope so is skipped.

Exercise 25. Show that Lindeberg condition implies $\max(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2)/s_n^2 \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 63 (Lyapounov's condition). Let X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and finite variance satisfying Lyapounov's condition

$$\text{"} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_k|^{2+\delta}] = 0 \text{"} \tag{1}$$

Then Lindeberg's condition holds. Hence $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/s_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[X_k^2 1(|X_k|^2 > \epsilon^2 s_n^2) \right] \leq \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[|X_k|^2 1(|X_k|^2 > \epsilon^2 s_n^2) \frac{|X_k|^\delta}{\epsilon^\delta s_n^\delta} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^\delta} \frac{1}{s_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|X_k|^{2+\delta}] \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Example 74. Let $X_n \sim \text{Exponential}(1/\mu_n)$ be independent random variables. Note that $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mu_n$, $\text{Var}(X_n) = \mu_n^2$ and $\mathbb{E}[|X_n - \mu_n|^4] = \mathbb{E}[X_n^4] - 4\mu_n \mathbb{E}[X_n^3] + 6\mu_n^2 \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - 4\mu_n^3 \mathbb{E}[X_n] + \mu_n^4 = 24\mu_n^4 - 24\mu_n^4 + 12\mu_n^4 - 4\mu_n^4 + \mu_n^4 = 9\mu_n^4$. Let $s_n^2 = \mu_1^2 + \cdots + \mu_n^2$.

Also suppose $\max(\mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_n^2)/s_n^2 \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{s_n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_n - \mu_n|^4] = \frac{1}{s_n^4} \sum_{k=1}^n 9\mu_k^4 \leq \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k^2 \times 9 \frac{\max(\mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_n^2)}{s_n^2} = 9 \frac{\max(\mu_1^2, \dots, \mu_n^2)}{s_n^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lyapounov's condition, $[(X_1 + \cdots + X_n) - (\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n)]/s_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Exercise 26. Let $X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu_n)$ be independent random variables. Show that if $\max(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)/s_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ where $s_n^2 = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_n$, then $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n - s_n^2)/s_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Theorem 64 (δ -method). Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots is a sequence of random variables and a_n is a sequence of positive real numbers diverging to infinity. If $a_n(X_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} Z$ for some random variable Z and a constant μ , then for any continuously differentiable function g , $a_n(g(X_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\mu)Z$.

Proof. Note that $a_n(X_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} Z$ implies $X_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$. By Taylor expansion, $g(X_n) - g(\mu) = g'(\mu)(X_n - \mu) + o(|X_n - \mu|)$. Hence $a_n(g(X_n) - g(\mu)) = g'(\mu)a_n(X_n - \mu) + o(|a_n(X_n - \mu)|) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\mu)Z$. □

Example 75. Let $X_n \sim i.i.d. \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 1/\lambda$ and $\text{Var}(X_n) = 1/\lambda^2$. Using the strong law of large numbers, $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1/\lambda$. By the central limit theorem, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - 1/\lambda)/(1/\lambda^2)^{1/2} = \lambda\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - 1/\lambda) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$. Apply δ -method for $g(x) = 1/x$ to obtain

$$\lambda\sqrt{n}(1/\bar{X}_n - \lambda) \xrightarrow{d} -\lambda^2 N(0, 1) \sim N(0, \lambda^4).$$

Finally $\sqrt{n}(1/\bar{X}_n - \lambda) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \lambda^2)$ by Slutsky's lemma.

Example 76. Let $X_n \sim i.i.d. \text{uniform}(0, \theta)$. Then $P(n(\theta - X_{(n)}) > x) = P(X_{(n)} \leq \theta - x/n) = [P(X_1 \leq \theta - x/n)]^n = ((\theta - x/n)/\theta)^n = (1 - x/(n\theta))^n \rightarrow \exp(-x/\theta)$. Hence $n(\theta - X_{(n)}) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Exponential}(1/\theta)$. Since the limit distribution is a Gaussian distribution, it is called a *non-central limit theorem*.

Exercise 27. Two independent and identically distributed random variables X and Y satisfies that $(X + Y)/\sqrt{2}$ and X have the same distribution. Assume X has variance 1. Show that X has a normal distribution. Find the mean of X . [Hint: central limit theorem.]

Exercise 28. Assume $X_1, X_2, \dots \sim i.i.d.$ $\text{uniform}(-\theta, \theta)$ for some $\theta > 0$. Show that $X_{(n)} = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ converges to θ almost surely. Prove that $X_{(1)} = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ converges to $-\theta$ almost surely. Show that $n(X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})$ converges in distribution. Specify the convergent distribution.

Exercises. (Ri) 2.78, 2.81, 2.82, 2.83, 2.87, 3.77, 3.81, 3.82, 3.89, 3.90, 3.94, 3.98, 3.99; (RM) 5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.4, 5.4.6, 5.4.7, 5.4.11, 5.4.13, 5.4.19, 5.4.21, 5.4.28, 5.4.29.