

Solution 1

- (a) (i), response is y and $X_{n \times 3}$ has rows $(1, 1/x, 1/x^2)$.
 (b) (ii), response is y and $X_{n \times 1}$ has rows $1/(1 + \beta_1 x)$, with β_1 fixed.
 (c) (iii), response is $1/y$ and $X_{n \times 2}$ has rows $(1, x)$.
 (d) (ii), response is y and $X_{n \times 2}$ has rows $(1, x^{\beta_2})$, with β_2 fixed.
 (e) Can't be done.

Solution 2

- (a) Here

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_{11} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x_{12} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_{21} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_{22} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) With

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_{21} & 1 & x_{21} \\ 1 & x_{22} & 1 & x_{22} \\ 1 & x_{23} & 1 & x_{23} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have model (i) with columns 1, 2, 3, model (ii) with columns 1, 2, 4, and model (iii) with columns 1 and 2.

Solution 3

- (a) The first and second derivatives of

$$\|y - X\beta\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - x_j^T \beta)^2,$$

with respect to β (and β^T for the second) are

$$-2 \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - x_j^T \beta) x_j = -2X^T(y - X\beta), \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j x_j^T = 2X^T X.$$

If X has rank p then so too does $X^T X$ and hence its inverse exists, and a little algebra after setting $-2X^T(y - X\beta) = 0$ yields $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$. This gives the unique minimum because the second derivative matrix is positive definite.

(b) Symmetry and idempotency of H are simple to check. Note also that

$$(I - H)^2 = (I - H)(I - H) = I - 2H + H^2 = I - 2H + H = I - H,$$

so $I - H$ is also symmetric and idempotent. If v is an eigenvector of H , then $H^2v = H(\lambda v) = \lambda^2v$, but as $H^2v = Hv = \lambda v$, the eigenvalues must satisfy $\lambda^2 = \lambda$, which implies that $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = 0$. But the trace of H is the sum of its eigenvalues, and this equals the trace of $\text{tr}\{(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X\} = \text{tr}(I_p) = p$, so H has p eigenvalues equal to 1 and $n - p$ equal to 0.

(c) H' is a projection onto the vector subspace \mathcal{V}' of \mathbb{R}^n spanned by the columns of X' , and this is a subspace of the space \mathcal{V} generated by the columns of X , i.e., $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Clearly $(I - H)H = H - H^2 = H - H = 0$, and likewise for H' , and H and H' are projection matrices onto \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' .

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and note that $H'y \in \mathcal{V}'$, so $H'y \in \mathcal{V}$, so $HH'y = H'y$, which implies that $HH' = H'$, because y was arbitrary. Hence

$$HH' = H' = (H')^T = (HH')^T = (H')^T H^T = H'H,$$

rearrangement of which gives the required

$$H'(H - H') = H'(I - H) = H'(I - H') = H(I - H) = 0.$$

Solution 4

(a) Let Q have spectral decomposition VDV^T , where the columns of the orthogonal matrix V are the eigenvectors of Q and the diagonal matrix D contains its eigenvalues (which are all positive). Recall that $VV^T = V^T V = I_n$. Then we can write

$$Q^{-1} = VD^{-1}V^T = W, \quad W^{1/2} = VD^{-1/2}V^T,$$

say, where $W^{1/2}$ is symmetric, and hence

$$y_* = W^{1/2}y \sim (W^{1/2}X\beta, \sigma^2 W^{1/2}Q(W^{1/2})^T) \sim (X_*\beta, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

say, because $W^{1/2}Q(W^{1/2})^T = W^{1/2}W^{-1}W^{1/2} = I_n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (X_*^T X_*)^{-1} X_*^T y_* \\ &= \{X^T (W^{1/2})^T W^{1/2} X\}^{-1} X^T (W^{1/2})^T W^{1/2} y \\ &= (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W y, \end{aligned}$$

the hat matrix is

$$H = X_*(X_*^T X_*)^{-1} X_*^T = W^{1/2} X (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W^{1/2},$$

and the residual sum of squares is

$$\begin{aligned} y_*^T (I_n - H) y_* &= y^T \{W - W X (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W\} y \\ &= y^T W^{1/2} \{I_n - W^{1/2} X (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W^{1/2}\} W^{1/2} y. \end{aligned}$$

(b) When Q is diagonal we have $\text{var}(y_j) \propto q_{jj} = 1/w_j$, and then we can write

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W y = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_j x_j^T \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_j^T y_j,$$

so we see that the contribution to $\hat{\beta}$ from the j th case, (x_j, y_j) , is given weight w_j , where the weight w_j is large if the corresponding variance q_{jj} is small.