

4. $f(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$, $x \in [0, 1]$

(a) $(\text{cond} f)(x) = \left\| \frac{x f'(x)}{f(x)} \right\| = \left\| \frac{x e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} \right\| = \left\| \frac{x}{e^x - 1} \right\|$

Now, $e^x - 1 = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$

So, $(\text{cond} f)(x) = \left\| \frac{x}{1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots - 1} \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots} \right\|$
(for $x \neq 0$)

Now, $1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \frac{x^2}{3!} + \dots \geq 1$
for $x \in [0, 1]$

and so, $\left\| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{(n+1)!} + \dots} \right\| \leq 1$ for $x \in [0, 1]$

However, in the previous step, we have cancelled x in numerator and denominator
 $\Rightarrow x \in (0, 1]$

$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots} \right\| < 1$ (always less than 1 in our domain of interest).

(b) $f_l(1 - e^{-x}) = f_l(1 - f_l(\exp(-f_l(x))))$
 $= f_l(1 - f_l(\exp(-x)))$ (as x is a machine # and negation of x does not produce any error)
 $= f_l(1 - \exp(-x)(1 + \epsilon_e))$
 $= \{1 - \exp(-x)(1 + \epsilon_e)\}(1 + \epsilon_s)$

$\Rightarrow (1 - e^{-x}(1 + \epsilon_e))(1 + \epsilon_s)$
 $\Rightarrow 1 - e^{-x} - \epsilon_e e^{-x} + \epsilon_s - \epsilon_s e^{-x} + O(\epsilon^2)$
 $\Rightarrow (1 - e^{-x}) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\epsilon_s - e^{-x}(\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s)}{1 - e^{-x}} \right\}$
 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \epsilon_e = \text{due to exponential} \\ \epsilon_s = \text{due to subtraction} \end{array} \right\}$

So, $f_A(x) = (1 - e^{-x})(1 + \epsilon_A)$

where $\epsilon_A = \frac{\epsilon_s - e^{-x}(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_e)}{1 - e^{-x}}$

and, $f(x_A) = 1 - e^{-x_A}$

Now, $f(x_A) = f_A(x)$ (the equality to get x_A).

$\therefore 1 - e^{-x_A} = (1 - e^{-x})(1 + \epsilon_A)$

$\Rightarrow 1 + e^{-x_A} = 1 + e^{-x} + \epsilon_A + \epsilon_A e^{-x}$

$\Rightarrow e^{-x_A} = e^{-x} + \epsilon_A e^{-x} - \epsilon_A$

$\Rightarrow e^{-(x_A - x)} = 1 + \epsilon_A - \epsilon_A e^x$

$\Rightarrow -(x_A - x) = \ln(1 + \epsilon_A - \epsilon_A e^x)$

$\Rightarrow x_A - x = -\ln(1 + \epsilon_A - \epsilon_A e^x)$

So, $x_A - x = \epsilon_A - \{ \epsilon_A e^x \}$ (1st order in ϵ_A).
 $[\ln(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots]$

So, $x_A - x = \epsilon_A e^x - \epsilon_A$

$\Rightarrow x_A - x = \epsilon_A (1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots - 1)$

So, $\frac{x_A - x}{x} = \epsilon_A \left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots \right)$

~~$(\text{cond } A)(x) = \left\| \frac{x_A - x}{x} \right\| / \epsilon$~~

~~$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\epsilon_A}{\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots \right) \right\|$~~

Now, $\epsilon_A = \frac{\epsilon_s - e^{-x}(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_e)}{1 - e^{-x}} = \frac{\epsilon_s - e^{-x}\epsilon_s - e^{-x}\epsilon_e}{1 - e^{-x}}$

$$\text{So, } \left\| \frac{e^{-x} - x}{x} \right\| / \epsilon = (\text{cond } A)(x) = \left\| \frac{\epsilon_A}{\epsilon} \left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots \right) \right\|$$

$$\text{Now, } \left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \frac{x^2}{3!} + \dots \right) \geq 1 \text{ for } x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\text{and } \epsilon_A = \frac{\epsilon_s - e^{-x} (\epsilon_s + \epsilon_e)}{1 - e^{-x}}$$

$$\text{Now, } \epsilon_s = \text{error propagation due to subtraction} \\ = \frac{x \epsilon_x - y \epsilon_y}{x - y} \text{ and here, } x \equiv 1 \text{ and } y \equiv e^{-x},$$

In our domain, $1 - e^{-x}$ very small.

~~So, it is~~ Also, we are computing e^{-x} using in-built routine \Rightarrow very small error ϵ_e . So, it is fair to assume that in our domain, $\epsilon_e \gg \epsilon_e$.

So, $\epsilon_A \approx \epsilon_s$ and if ϵ is machine precision; then $\epsilon_s = \epsilon_A \sim \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}}$ which is large in $x \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow \frac{\epsilon_A}{\epsilon} > 1$ (another fair assumption)

$$\text{So, } (\text{cond } A)(x) = \left\| \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon_A}{\epsilon}}_{>1} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots \right)}_{>1} \right\| > 1 \Rightarrow \text{is greater than } 1 \text{ every where in our domain}$$

4. (c) So, the root cause of $(\text{cond } A)(x) > 1$ in our domain is ~~that~~ two fold:-

- \Rightarrow we have subtraction operations that cause ϵ_A to be large ~~at~~ in our domain due to a factor of $(1 - e^{-x})$ in the denominator.
- \Rightarrow We can set an upper bound to $\left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots \right)$ by approximating it as $\frac{e^x - 1}{x}$ whose upper bound in $x \in [0, 1]$ is $\frac{e-1}{2}$ and so; as $x \rightarrow 0$ the upper bound of $(\text{cond } A)(x)$ increases drastically.

(d) $f(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ in the operation $(x-y)$

so, the loss of significant bits can be between b and a why. $2^{-a} < 1 - \frac{y}{x} < 2^{-b}$ $2^{-a} \leq 1 - y/x \leq 2^{-b}$

Now, $x \equiv 1$ and $y \equiv e^{-x}$ in our problem

$$\text{so, } 2^{-a} \leq 1 - e^{-x} \leq 2^{-b}$$

$$\text{Now, } 1 - e^{-x} \geq 2^{-a}$$

$$\Rightarrow -e^{-x} \geq 2^{-a} - 1 \Rightarrow e^{-x} \leq 1 - 2^{-a}$$

$$\text{so, } -x \leq \ln(1 - 2^{-a})$$

$$\text{or, } \boxed{x \geq -\ln(1 - 2^{-a})}$$

so, to ensure at most 1 bit of significance is lost;
 $a = 1$.

$$\text{so, } x \geq -\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Rightarrow \boxed{x \geq \ln 2}$$

$$\text{so, least value of } x = \underline{\underline{0.6931}}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } a = 2 \rightarrow x_{\min}^2 = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.2876}}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } a = 3 \rightarrow x_{\min}^3 = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{8}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{8}{7}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.1335}}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{for } a = 4 \rightarrow x_{\min}^4 = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{16}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{16}{15}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.0645}}$$

(e) so, we need to compute:-

$$(cond f)(x) = \left| \frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \dots} \right|$$

so putting ~~upper~~ lower bounds in x would mean putting upper bounds in $(cond f)(x)$.

$$\text{we can also write: } (cond f)(x) \leq \left| \frac{x}{e^x - 1} \right|_{x_{\text{lower}}}$$

$$\text{So; } (\text{cond } f) (\alpha_{\text{lower bound}} = \ln 2) = \frac{\ln 2}{2-1} = \ln 2 = \underline{\underline{0.693}}$$

$$(\text{cond } f) (\alpha_{\text{lower bound}} = \ln \frac{4}{3}) = \frac{\ln(4/3)}{\frac{4}{3}-1} = 3 \ln\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.8628}}$$

$$(\text{cond } f) (\alpha_{\text{lower bound}} = \ln\left(\frac{8}{7}\right)) = \frac{\ln(8/7)}{\frac{8}{7}-1} = 7 \ln\left(\frac{8}{7}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.9345}}$$

$$(\text{cond } f) (\alpha_{\text{lower bound}} = \ln\left(\frac{16}{15}\right)) = \frac{\ln(16/15)}{\frac{16}{15}-1} = 15 \ln\left(\frac{16}{15}\right) = \underline{\underline{0.9675}}$$

So; indeed although we end up losing more and more significant bits; the (cond f) is not ill-posed and so; the underlying math problem is well posed. so; the problem ~~might be~~ of ill-conditioned algorithm might be solved by choosing a better algorithm.

(f) The major problem in the algorithm was the subtraction operation and the fact that $e_{x-y} \sim \frac{x e_x - y e_y}{x-y}$. Here; x and y became ~~at~~ very similar $x-y$ as $x \rightarrow 0$.

So; changing the algorithm to get rid of subtraction operations would be the key:-

$$1 - e^{-x} = \frac{e^x - 1}{e^x} = \frac{x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots}{e^x} = f_A(x)$$

This algorithm involves only additions and division. Neither of these operations ~~sub~~ suffer from the error blowing up as $x \rightarrow 0$. So; this can be an algorithm to mitigate error propagation and make the algorithm well conditioned.