## Exercises from Chapter 3

## Wesley Basener

May 24, 2025

**Problem 1.** Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space and  $\mu$  is a non-negative set function that is finitely additive and such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(B)$  is finite for some non-empty  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that whenever  $A_i$  is an increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mu(\cup_i A_i) = \lim_i \to \infty \mu(A_i)$ . Show that  $\mu$  is a measure.

*Proof.* We are given the first requirement for  $\mu$  to be a measure, namely that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . So, we need only show countable additivity. Let  $B = \{B_0, B_1, ...\}$  be a countably infinite collection of pairwise disjoint sets in A. Define  $A = \{A_0, A_1, ...\}$  to be the set where  $A_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i$ . Clearly,  $A_i$  is an increasing sequence of sets.

Since  $\mu$  is finitely additive, we have that  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mu(B_i)$ . And, since  $\bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i = A_n$ , we can conclude

$$\mu(\cup_i B_i) = \mu(\cup_i A_i) = \lim_i \to \infty \mu(A_i) = \lim_n \to \infty \sum_{i=0}^n \mu(B_i) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \mu(B_i)$$

**Problem 3.** Let X be an uncountable set and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of subsets A of X such that either A or  $A^c$  is countable. Define  $\mu(A) = 0$  if A is countable and  $\mu(A) = 1$  if A is uncountable. Prove that  $\mu$  is a measure.

*Proof.* Although this would need to be proven, we take it as given that A is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X because this was demonstrated in the book and we are lazy.

Since  $\emptyset^c = X$  is uncountable,  $\emptyset$  is countable and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Hence, we have the first part of the definition covered.

For countable additivity, let  $A = \{A_0, A_1, ...\}$  be any collection of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If some  $A_i$  in A is uncountable, say  $A_0$ , then  $A_0^c$  must be countable. And, because A is pairwise disjoint,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq A_0^c$ . So must  $\{A_1, A_2...\}$  also be countable. Therefore, at most one of the sets in A can be uncountable.

Thus, if A contains only countable sets,  $\mu(\cup_i A_i) = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ . Otherwise,  $\mu(\cup_i A_i) = 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ . Therefore,  $\mu$  is countably additive and is a measure.

**Problem 5.** Prove that if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, ...$  are measures on a measurable space and  $a_1, a_2, ... \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mu_n$  is also a measure.

*Proof.* First, we show the measure of the empty set is zero  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mu_n(\emptyset) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0$ . Next, let  $A_i$  be a countable collection of sets, in the given measurable space. Then,

$$\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n\mu_n(\cup_i A_i) = \Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty}a_n\mu_n(A_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty}\Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n\mu_n(A_i)$$

Therefore, the given function has countable additivity and is thus a measure.

**Problem 5.** Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2, ...$  are measures on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\mu_n(A) \uparrow$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\mu(A) = \lim \mu_n(A)$ . Is  $\mu$  necessarily a measure? If not, give a counterexample. What if  $\mu_n(A) \downarrow$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu_1(X) < \infty$ ?