# Exercises from Dummit and Foote Chapter 14 on Galois Theory

# Wesley Basener

July 13, 2025

- **Problem 1.1.** (a) Show that if the field K is generated over F by the elements  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$  then an automorphism  $\sigma$  of K fixing F is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha_1), ..., \sigma(\alpha_n)$ . In particular show that an automorphism fixes K if and only if it fixes a set of generators for K.
- (b) Let  $G \leq \operatorname{Gal}(K/F)$  be a subgroup of the Galois group of the extension K/F and suppose  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k$  are generators for G. Show that the subfield E/F is fixed by G if and only if it is fixed by the generators  $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k$ .

Proof. (a) Let  $\sigma$  be any automorphism on K fixing F. Then, for any  $k = a_0 + a_1\alpha_1 + ... + a_n\alpha_n$  in K,  $\sigma(k) = \sigma(a_0) + \sigma(a_1)\sigma(\alpha_1) + ... + \sigma(a_n)\sigma(\alpha_n)$ . Using the fact that  $\sigma$  fixes F, we have  $\sigma(k) = a_0 + a_1\sigma(\alpha_1) + ... + a_n\sigma(\alpha_n)$ . Hence the image of any  $k \in K$  on  $\sigma$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha_1), ..., \sigma(\alpha_n)$ . From this, it is obvious that  $\sigma$  fixes K if it fixes the generators for K.

(b). Denote the generators of E over F by  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m$ . Suppose G fixes E/F. From part (a), this is true if and only if  $\sigma_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j$  for all  $i \in [1, k], j \in [1, m]$ . Hence, any element of a E/F is fixed by any element of G.

#### **Problem 1.3.** Determine the fixed field of complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Complex conjugation is the function  $\sigma: a+bi \mapsto a-bi$ , which obviously fixes a. Hence, the fixed field of complex conjugation is  $\mathbb{R}$  the real numbers.

**Problem 1.5.** Determine the automorphisms of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  explicitly.

*Proof.* There is only one basis element to this extension, namely  $\sqrt[4]{2}$ . Since  $-\sqrt[4]{2} \neq \sqrt[4]{2}$ , the automorphism  $\sigma: a+b\sqrt[4]{2} \mapsto a-b\sqrt[4]{2}$  is not the identity. Hence, the automorphisms of this extension are  $\{1,\sigma\}$ .

### **Problem 1.7.** This problem determines $Aut(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

- (a) Prove that any  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$  takes squares to squares and takes positive reals to positive reals. Conclude that a < b implies  $\sigma a < \sigma b$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  Conclude that a < b implies  $\sigma a < \sigma b$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $-\frac{1}{m} < a b < \frac{1}{m}$  implies  $-\frac{1}{m} < \sigma a \sigma b < \frac{1}{m}$  for every positive integer m. Conclude that  $\sigma$  is a continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (c) Prove that any continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is the identity on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the identity map, hence  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose x is a real square. Then,  $x = p^2$  for real number p. Hence, we have  $\sigma(x) = \sigma(p^2) = \sigma(p)\sigma(p)$ . Thus,  $\sigma$  sends squares to squares.

Let y be any positive real number. Since y is positive,  $\sqrt{y}$  is real. From the first part of this proof, we know that  $\sigma(y) = \sigma(\sqrt{y}\sqrt{y}) = q^2$  for some real number q. Since we are limited to the real numbers,  $q^2$  is positive. Hence,  $\sigma(y)$  is positive.

For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , a < b implies 0 < b - a. Hence, from the prior paragraph,  $0 < \sigma(b) - \sigma(a)$ . Adding  $\sigma(a)$  to both sides yields  $\sigma(a) < \sigma(b)$ . Note that setting b = 0 proves that  $\sigma$  sends negatives to negatives.

(b). Suppose a,b are real numbers such that  $-\frac{1}{m} < a-b < \frac{1}{m}$  for some positive integer m. Since m is an integer, it can be rewritten as  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} 1$ . Hence,  $\sigma(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sigma(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} 1 = m$ .

We can rewrite the above inequality as -1 < m(a-b) < 1. Which is the same as having m(a-b)-1 is negative and m(a-b)+1 is positive. From part (a), we know  $\sigma$  sends positives to positives and negatives to negatives. Hence,  $\sigma(m(a-b)-1) = m(\sigma(a)-\sigma(b))-1$  is negative and  $\sigma(m(a-b)-1) = m(\sigma(a)-\sigma(b))+1$  is positive. Which of course implies  $-\frac{1}{m} < \sigma(a) - \sigma(b) < \frac{1}{m}$ . To show that  $\sigma$  is continuous, let x be any real number and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We can find a natural number N

To show that  $\sigma$  is continuous, let x be any real number and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We can find a natural number N such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . Then, for any  $x_0$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \frac{1}{N}$ , we have  $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(x_0)| < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  is continuous.

(c). Suppose  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let x be any real number. Then by the density of the rationals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|x - q| < \epsilon$  Hence,  $|\sigma(x - q)| = |\sigma(x) - q| < \epsilon$  which is only possible if  $\sigma(x) = x$ . Thus, any such  $\sigma$  mus be the identity function. Therefore,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ .

**Problem 1.9.** Determine the fixed field of the automorphism  $t \mapsto t+1$  of k(t).

Proof. Any element of k(t) will have the form  $\frac{\sum a_i t^i}{\sum b_i t^i}$  with  $\gcd(\sum a_i x^i, \sum b_i x^i) = 1$ . Suppose we have an element such that  $\frac{\sum a_i (t+1)^i}{\sum b_i (t+1)^i} = \frac{\sum a_i t^i}{\sum b_i t^i}$ . Then,  $\frac{\sum a_i (t+1)^i}{\sum b_i (t+1)^i} - \frac{\sum a_i t^i}{\sum b_i t^i} = 0$  and since both fractions remain irreducible, we would have  $\sum b_i (t+1)^i = \sum b_i t^i$ . Thus, we would also have  $\sum a_i (t+1)^i = \sum a_i (t)^i$ . Hence, the fixed field of k(t) is precisely the set of rational functions whose numerators and denominators are both fixed by the automorphism.

//TODO: finish this proof.  $\Box$ 

## **Problem 2.1.** Determine the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ for the element.

*Proof.* We have that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$ , which is the splitting field of  $(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 5)$ . Since this polynomial is separable,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$  is Galois.

We can therefore find the other roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{5})$  by considering the action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{5}))$  on  $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{5}$ . This yields  $\pm\sqrt{2}\pm\sqrt{5}$ , which are indeed distinct.

Hence, the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}$  is  $(x - \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})(x + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})(x - \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{5})(x + \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{5})$  which multiplies to  $x^4 - 14x^2 + 9$ .

**Remark 1.** The inverse of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})$  is  $\frac{\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{5}}{-3}$ . Hence, the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})$  contains  $\sqrt{5}$  and  $\sqrt{2}$ . Given that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$ , we have that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5})$ .

From this, I initially though that the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5}$  would be the same as the minimal polynomial with roots  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{5}$ . But this is obviously not the case since  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{5})$  is not a root of  $(x^2 - 5)(x^2 - 2)$ .

This is a case of being disillusioned of unjustified assumptions. Just because F(a) = F(b,c), does not mean that the minimal polynomial of a and the minimal polynomial with roots b, c are the same. In this case,  $(x^2 - 5)(x^2 - 2)$  is not reducible, so it is not a minimal polynomial for anything.

**Problem 2.3.** Determine the Galois group of  $(x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)(x^2 - 5)$ . Determine all the subfields of the splitting field of this polynomial.

*Proof.* This polynomial is separable with roots  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ , and  $\pm\sqrt{5}$ . Hence, its splitting field  $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3},\sqrt{5})$  is Galois.

Any automorphism in  $\operatorname{Aut}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  must fix  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This excludes any function sending  $\pm \sqrt{a}$  to  $\pm \sqrt{b}$  when  $a \neq b$ . To see this, let  $\phi$  be a function where  $\phi(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{3}$ . Then,  $\phi(2) = \phi(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}) = 3$ , meaning  $\phi$  does not fix  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The remaining possible set of non trivial automorphisms are those swapping the signs of any root. Let such automorphism be defined as  $\varphi$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\tau$  swapping the signs of  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{3}$ , and  $\sqrt{5}$  respectively, and 1 being the identity. These automorphisms fix  $\mathbb{Q}$  since  $\phi\sigma\tau(a^2) = (-a)^2 = a^2$  for a = 2, 3, 5.

The Galois group is therefore all combinations of these functions, namely the set  $\{1, \varphi, \sigma, \tau \varphi \sigma, \varphi \tau, \sigma \tau, \varphi \sigma \tau\}$ . The subgroups of this are those generated by  $\{\varphi\}, \{\sigma\}, \{\tau\}, \{\varphi, \sigma\}, \{\varphi, \tau\}, \{\varphi, \tau\}, \{\varphi\sigma\}, \{\varphi\tau\}, \{\sigma\tau\}, \{\tau, \varphi\sigma\}, \{\sigma, \varphi\tau\}, \{\varphi, \sigma\tau\}, \{\sigma, \tau\tau\}, \{\tau, \tau$ 

By the FTGT, there is a one to one correspondence between these subgroups and the subfields of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3},\sqrt{5})$ , given by the fixed field of the subgroup. The first six fixed fields are easily seen to be  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3},\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \text{ and } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}).$  The next six are given by considering the products of roots. For example,  $\varphi\sigma(\sqrt{6}) = \varphi\sigma(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}) = (-\sqrt{2})(-\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{6}$ . All together, we have  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5},\sqrt{6}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3},\sqrt{10}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{15}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15}).$  The final subfield is given by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6},\sqrt{10},\sqrt{15})$ 

**Problem 2.5.** Prove that the Galois group of  $x^p - 2$  for p a prime is isomorphic to the group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p, a \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The splitting field of this polynomial is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}, \zeta_p)$ , where  $\sqrt[p]{2}$  is any fixed pth root of 2 and  $\zeta_p$  is the primitive pth root of unity.

From section 13.6, we know that the dimension of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  is p-1. It is also easy to see that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2},\zeta_p):\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)]$  is p. Taken together, we have  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p,\sqrt[p]{2}):\mathbb{Q}]=[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p,\sqrt[p]{2}):\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}):\mathbb{Q}]=p(p-1)$ .

Since the polynomial  $x^p - 2$  is separable,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}, \zeta_p)$  is Galois. Hence,  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}, \zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p(p-1) = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}, \zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$  There are hence p(p-1) automorphism in  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{2}, \zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ .

The Galois group is determined by the action on the generators  $\sqrt[p]{2}$  and  $\zeta_p$ , lending possible automorphisms  $\sigma_{a,b}: \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^a, \sqrt[p]{2} \mapsto \zeta_p^b \sqrt[2]{p}$ , where 0 < a < p and  $0 \le b < p$ . (Letting a equal 0 would remove all primitive roots of unity from the field, so we can negate this option as not being an automorphism). We know the group is of order p(p-1); hence, each  $\sigma_{a,b}$  is distinct.

Now, consider the function  $\phi: \sigma_{a,b} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We have constrained a and b in such a way that this function is obviously a bijection. So we need only show that it is an isomorphism. Note that  $\sigma_{c,d}\sigma_{a,b}$  is the mapping  $\zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^c a$ ,  $\sqrt[p]{2} \mapsto \sigma_{a,b}(\zeta_p)^d \sigma_{a,b}(\sqrt[2]{p}) = \zeta^{ad+b} \sqrt[p]{2}$ . So we can write it as  $\sigma_{ac,bc+d}$  Now, for any  $\sigma_{a,b},\sigma_{c,d}$ , we have  $\phi(\sigma_{a,b})\phi(\sigma_{c,d}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & bc+d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \phi(\sigma_{ac,bc+1}) = \phi(\sigma_{c,d}\sigma_{a,b})$ . Hence, the function is an isomorphism, completing the proof.

**Remark 2.** This proof took a while because I am not used to working with roots of unity; I understand they are very important in some areas of math. What is ironic, is that I barely did anything with the actual field, relying instead on the fundamental theorem of Galois theory.

**Problem 2.7.** Determine all the subfields of the splitting field of  $x^8 - 2$  which are Galois.

*Proof.* From TFTGT, this is equivalent to finding the fixed fields of all normal subgroups of the Galois group of the splitting field for  $x^8 - 2$ .

We are given earlier in this chapter that the Galois group of this field is the quasihedral group defined by

$$\langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^8 = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma^3 \rangle$$

//TODO

**Problem 2.9.** Give an example of fields  $\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$  with  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{F}_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_2 \subset \mathbb{F}_3$ ,  $[\mathbb{F}_3 : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$  and each field is Galois over all its subfields with the exception that  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is not Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof. Consider  $\mathbb{F}_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ . Clearly, this collection satisfies the chain of subset inclusions. The fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  are degree 4 and 2 respectively. Since i and  $\sqrt[4]{2}$  are linearly independent,  $[\mathbb{F}_3 : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4 \cdot 2 = 8$ .  $\mathbb{F}_3$  is the splitting field of  $x^4 - 2$ ,  $x^2 + \sqrt{2}$ , and  $x^4 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_1$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_2$  respectively.  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is the splitting field of  $x^2 - \sqrt{2}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_1$  is not a splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since it does not contain  $\pm i\sqrt[4]{2}$ . Finally,  $\mathbb{F}_1$  is the splitting field of  $x^2 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This completes the proof.

**Problem 2.11.** Suppose  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is an irreducible quartic whose splitting field has Galois group  $S_4$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (there are many such quartics, cf. Section 6). Let  $\theta$  be a root of f(x) and set  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ . Prove that K is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree 4 which has no proper subfields. Are there any Galois extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree 4 with no proper subfields?

Proof. We write the polynomial in question as  $(x-\theta)(x-\theta_1)(x-\theta_2)(x-\theta_3)$ . The Galois subgroup associated with K is the subset of  $S_4$  fixing  $\theta$ , which is clearly  $S_3$ . If K has a nontrivial subfield, then their is a nontrivial subgroup of  $S_4$  containing  $S_3$ . Such a subgroup would be generated by  $S_3$  and some function  $\sigma$  swapping  $\theta$  for another root. But this pair would generate  $S_4$ . Hence, no such subgroup exists and K therefore has no proper subfields.

To see that K is degree 4, note that by the fundamental theorem,  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = |S_4:S_3| = 4$ 

If a Galois extension has degree 4, then its Galois group would either be the cyclic four-group, or the Klein four-group, both of which have nontrivial subgroups. Thus, //TODO

**Problem 2.13.** Prove that if the Galois group of the splitting field of a cubic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the cyclic group of order 3 then all the roots of the cubic are real.

*Proof.* Let p(x) be the polynomial in question. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that p(x) has at least one imaginary root. From calculus, we know p(x) must have at least one real root. By assumption, there is an automorphism  $\sigma$  sending an imaginary root to the real one. But such a function cannot be an automorphism because  $\sigma(i)^2 = -1$ , where  $\sigma(i)$  is real. Hence, all roots of p(x) are real.

Problem 2.15. content...