Exercises from Chapter 8

Wesley Basener

May 4, 2025

Problem 1.3. Let R be a Euclidean Domain. Let m be the minimum integer in the set of norms of nonzero elements of R. Prove that every nonzero element of R of norm m is a unit. Deduce that a nonzero element of norm zero (if such an element exists) is a unit.

Proof. Let x be the element of R with minimal norm m. By definition of a Euclidean Domain, there must be some elements q, r in R such that 1 = qx + r, where N(r) < N(x) or r = 0 and 1 is unity. Since x has minimal norm, r = 0. Hence, 1 = qx and x is a unit. Since the minimal possible norm is always 0, any nonzero element of norm 0 will hence be a unit.

Problem 1.4. Let R be a Euclidean Domain.

- (a) Prove that if (a,b) = 1 and a divides bc, then a divides c. More generally, prove that if a divides bc with nonzero a,b then $\frac{a}{(a,b)}$ divides c.
- (b) Consider the Diophantine equation ax + by = N where a, b and N are integers and a, b are nonzero. Suppose x_0, y_0 is a solution: $ax_0 + by_0 = N$. Prove that the full set of solutions to this equation is given by $x = x_0 + m \frac{b}{(a,b)}$, $y = y_0 m \frac{a}{(a,b)}$ as m ranges over the integers. [If x, y is a solution to ax + by = N, show that $a(x x_0) = b(y_0 y)$ and use (a).]

Proof. For part a, since a|bc, we must have some $q \in R$ such that qa = bc. By theorem 4, we have that xa + yb = 1 for some $x, y \in R$. Multiplying both sides by c, we get xac + ybc = xac + yqa = c. Factoring a from the left, we can see that a divides c a(xc + yq) = c.

For part b, if x, y are any solution, then $xa + yb = N = x_0a + y_0b$. Which can be factored as $a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y)$. By part a, $\frac{a}{((a,b))}|(y_0 - y)$, meaning there is some m such that $m\frac{a}{((a,b))} = y_0 - y$ which of course means $y = y_0 - m\frac{a}{(a,b)}$. Substituting for y, we find $a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y_0 + m\frac{a}{(a,b)}) = \frac{mba}{(a,b)}$ Solving for x, we have $x = x_0 + m\frac{b}{(a,b)}$. Therefore, every solution to the Diophantine equation is of the form $x = x_0 + m\frac{b}{(a,b)}$, $y = y_0 - m\frac{a}{(a,b)}$.

Problem 2.1. Prove that in a Principle Ideal Domain two ideals (a) and (b) are comaximal if and only if a greatest common divisor of a and b is 1.

Proof. Since we are in a PID, there must be some e in R such that (e) = (a) + (b). Suppose d be the gcd of a and b. Any element of (a) + (b) is also in (d) meaning d generates (a) + (b). Since d is the gcd of a and b, it follows that (d) is the smallest ideal containing (a) + (b). (d) cannot be larger than (e) for this reason. (d) also cannot be smaller than (e), otherwise (e) would contain elements outside of (a) + (b). Therefore, (d) = (e). It is now obvious that (a) + (b) = R if and only if (d) = 1.

Problem 2.2. Prove than any two nonzero elements of a PID have a least common multiple.

Proof. For any a and b in R, having an lcm is equivalent to there being a single element L generating the largest possible ideal contained in both (a) and (b). The intersection of (a) and (b) is the largest possible ideal contained in both (a) and (b). This intersection must have a single generator (L). Therefore, L is the lcm of a and b.

Problem 2.3. Prove that the quotient of a PID by a prime ideal is again a PID.

Proof. Let R be the PID and P be a prime ideal in R. Let I be an ideal in R/P then $I' = \{r : r + P \in I\}$ is an ideal in R. I' must have a single generator i, in R. Therefore, I = (i) + P and I is a principle ideal. \square

Problem 2.4. Let R be an integral domain. Prove that if the following two conditions hold, then R is a PID. (i) any two nonzero elements a and b of R have a greatest common divisor which can be written in the form ra + sb for some $r, s \in R$, and (ii) if $a_1, a_2, a_3, ...$ are nonzero elements of R such that $a_{i+2}|a_i$ for all i, then there is a positive integer N such that a_n is a unit for all $n \geq N$.

Proof. Let I be any ideal in R. Let a_1 be any element of I. If a_1 generates I, then I is principle. Otherwise, there must be some b_1 in I, which does not divide a_1 . Let a_2 be the gcd of a_1 and b_1 . If a_2 generates I, then I is, again, principle. Otherwise, we continue the process to find a sequence of elements a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots If this sequence terminates with a generator, I is ideal. Otherwise, since we have $a_{i+2}|a_i$ for all i, there must be some N such that $a_{n\geq N}$ are all units. This would mean that (1) is a generator of I and I is principle. Therefore, must be I principle.

Problem 2.5. Let R be the quadratic integer ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. Define the ideals $I_2 = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$, $I_3 = (3, 2 + \sqrt{-5})$, and $I_3' = (3, 2 - \sqrt{-5})$.

- (a) Prove that I_2 , I_3 and I_3' are nonprinciple ideals in R.
- (b) Prove that the product of two nonprinciple ideals can be principle by showing that $I_2^2 = (2)$.
- (c) Prove similarly that $I_2I_3 = (1 \sqrt{-5})$ and $I_2I_3' = (1 + \sqrt{-5})$ are principle. Conclude that the principle ideal (6) is the product of four ideals $I_2^2I_3I_3'$.

Proof. For (a), the ideals all have relatively prime generators. So, their single element generator would need to be 1. But 1 itself is not contained in any of the ideals. Therefore, none of them are principle. For (b) The generators for I_1^2 are 4, $2+2\sqrt{-5}$, and $4-2\sqrt{-5}$. These give the term $2(2+2\sqrt{-5})+(4-4\sqrt{-5})=2$. So 2 is in I_2^2 . Since 2 can generate all the generators, $(2)=I_2^2$. For c, ...

Problem 2.6. Let R be an integral domain and suppose that every prime ideal in R is principle. This exercise proves that every ideal of R is principle i.e. R is a PID.

- (a) Assume that the set of ideals of R that are not principle is nonempty and prove that this set has a maximal element under inclusion (which by hypothesis is not prime). [Use Zorn's Lemma.]
- (b) Let I be an ideal which is maximal with respect to being nonprinciple, and let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ but $a \notin I$ and $b \notin I$. Let $I_a = (I, a)$ be the ideal generated by I and a, let $I_b = (I, b)$ be the ideal generated by I and b, and define $J = \{r \in R | rI_a \subseteq I\}$. Prove that $I_a = (\alpha)$ and $J = (\beta)$ are principle ideals in R with $I \subseteq I_b \subseteq J$ and $I_aJ = (\alpha\beta) \subseteq I$.
- (c) If $x \in I$ show that $x = s\alpha$ for some $s \in J$. Deduce that $I = I_a J$ is principle, a contradiction, and conclude that R is a PID.

Proof. Let Σ be the set of all ideals in R that are not principle, and assume for the sake of contradiction that it is nonempty. We can define a binary relation on Σ where for any elements I_a, I_b in Σ , $I_a \leq I_b$ if $I_a \subseteq I_b$. It is clear that this relation is reflexive, anti-symmetric, and transitive. It is therefore a partial order. For any possibly infinite chain I_0, I_1, \ldots in Σ , the union of every element in the chain $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ is also an ideal. This can be seen by letting x be any element of this union and letting r be any element of R. rx is an element of every ideal that x is in, thus it is also an element of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$. Note also that if x generates the union, then x generates whatever ideal(s) it is in, which contradicts the ideals being nonprinciple. So, there is no x which generates the union and the union is therefore in Σ . By our partial order, the union is the upper bound of the chain and is in Σ . By Zorn's lemma, Σ has a maximal element on inclusion.

Since I is a subset of I_a and I is the maximal nonprinciple ideal, I_a must be principle and is hence generated

by some α (Note to self, never write a question where a and α represent different variables) Any element i of I, is in both I and R. So, i^2 is in rI. Hence, i is in J. Since J contains I, J must be principle as well. So, J is also generated by a single element β .

I is obviously a subset of I_b , with $b \notin I$. So, I is a proper subset of I_b .

ba is in I with $b \in R$ and $a \in I_a$. So, b is in J. Since I is also in J, I_b is a subset of J.

Since J is by definition the set of elements that when multiplied by I_a is in I, I_aJ is a subset of I.

Let x be in I, then x is in I_a , and $x = s\alpha$ for some s in R. Since $s\alpha$ is in I, then $sr\alpha = sI_a$ is in I for all r in R. Thus, s is in J. So, $I = I_aJ$ is principle, which contradicts our hypothesis and. Therefore, the set of nonprinciple ideal in R is empty.

Problem 2.7. An integral domain where every ideal generated by two elements is principle is called a Bezout domain.

- (a) prove that the integral domain R is a Bezout domain if and only if every pair of elements a, b of R has a gcd d in R that can be written as an R-linear combination of a and b (ie. d = xa + yb for some x and y in R).
- (b) Prove that every finitely generated ideal of a Bezout domain is principle.
- (c) Let F be the fraction field of the Bezout domain R. Prove that every element of F can be written in the form a/b where $a, b \in R$ and a and b relatively prime.

Proof. For part a, R is Bezout if and only if for any a and b in R, there exists a d in R such that (a, b) = (d). This implies that d divides both a and b. If there is another element c of R, which divides a and b, then (c) is a subset of (a, b) and is hence a subset of (d) meaning cx = d for some x in R. So d is the gcd of a and b. Since (d) = (a, b), it is obvious that there is some x and y in R such that ax + by = d.

For part b, let I be finitely generated. Then $I = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$. We can rewrite this as $(a_1, a_2) \cup (a_3, ..., a_n) = (a_{1,2}) \cup (a_3, ..., a_n) = (a_{1,2}, a_3, ..., a_n)$. By induction, we can continue rewriting the ideal until we have $I = (a_{1,2,...,n})$

For part c, let a and b be in R. From part a, we know a and b have a gcd, d. Now, let a = a'd and b = b'd. Then, a/b can be written as a'/b'.