

SI231b: Matrix Computations

Lecture 14: Eigenvalue Computations

Yue Qiu

qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn

School of Information Science and Technology
ShanghaiTech University

Oct. 29, 2020

QR Iteration:

```
A(0) = A
for k = 1, 2, ...
    Q(k)R(k) = A(k-1)   QR factorization of A(k-1)
    A(k) = R(k)Q(k)
end
```

Facts:

- ▶ $A^{(k)}$ is similar to A
- ▶ Eigenvalues of $A^{(k)}$ should be easier to compute than that of A .
- ▶ $A^{(k)}$ should converge in **finite steps** (expected) to a form whose eigenvalues are easily computed.
 - upper triangular form

- ▶ QR Iteration with Hessenberg Reduction
- ▶ QR Iteration with Shifts
- ▶ Subspace Iteration
- ▶ Brief Summary

For an $n \times n$ matrix A , each iteration requires $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ flops to compute the QR factorization.

- ▶ too computational expensive!

Improvement:

Perform a similarity transform A to obtain a form $A^{(0)} = (Q^{(0)})^H A Q^{(0)}$

- ▶ the QR decomposition of $A^{(0)}$ should be computationally cheap
- ▶ $A^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) should have similar structure with $A^{(0)}$ so that the QR decomposition at each iteration is computationally cheap

Motivation: perform similarity transform A to an upper Hessenberg form (zeros below the first subdiagonal), i.e., $Q^H A Q = H$ where

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Advantage: QR factorization of an upper Hessenberg matrix requires $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ flops (**how?**).

- by using Givens rotations

QR Iteration with Hessenberg Reduction:

```
A = QHHQ, A(0) = H
for k = 1, 2, ...
    Q(k)R(k) = A(k-1)   QR factorization of A(k-1)
    A(k) = R(k)Q(k)
end
```

Key: $A^{(k)}$ is of upper Hessenberg form (**how to preserve?**)

► by using Givens rotations to compute the QR factorization (**how to prove?**)

Benefit: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ flops for QR factorization.

Hessenberg Reduction

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$.

A Naive Try

Let Q_1 be the Householder reflection matrix that reflects a_1 to $\text{sign}(a_1(1))\|a_1\|_2 e_1$,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_1 A} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_1 A Q_1^H}$$

Mission failed!

Less Ambitious Try

Let $\tilde{a}_1 = A(2:n, 1)$ and Q_1 be the Householder reflection matrix that reflects \tilde{a}_1 to $\text{sign}(\tilde{a}_1(1))\|\tilde{a}_1\|_2 e_1$,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_1 A} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{Q_1 A Q_1^H}$$

Repeat the above procedure to the 2nd column of $Q_1 A Q_1^H \dots$

Hessenberg Reduction

Given an $n \times n$ matrix A , the following algorithm reduces A to an upper Hessenberg form.

Hessenberg Reduction:

```
for  $k = 1 : n - 2$ 
     $x = A(k+1:n, k)$ 
     $v_k = \text{sign}(x(1)) \|x\|_2 e_1 + x$ 
     $v_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|_2}$ 
     $A(k+1 : n, k : n) = A(k+1 : n, k : n) - 2v_k(v_k^H A(k+1 : n, k : n))$ 
     $A(1 : n, k+1 : n) = A(1 : n, k+1 : n) - 2(A(1 : n, k+1 : n)v_k)v_k^H$ 
end
```

Example:

Consider the following matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{Q^{(0)}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{R^{(0)}}$$

$$A^{(1)} = R^{(0)}Q^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A^{(0)}$$

No convergence of $A^{(k)}$ observed.

To make QR iteration converge, i.e., $A^{(k)}$ converge to a upper triangular matrix, **shift** is required.

Shifted QR Iteration:

$$A = Q^H H Q, \quad A^{(0)} = H$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)} - \mu_k I \quad \text{QR factorization of } A^{(k-1)} - \mu_k I$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu_k I$$

end

Facts:

- ▶ $A^{(k)}$ has same eigenvalues with A (requires a proof)
- ▶ shift μ_k may differ from iteration to iteration

Selection of Shift

- ▶ **Raleigh Quotient shift:** $\mu_k = A^{(k)}(n, n)$
 - no guarantee on convergence
 - if converged, order of convergence is cubic
- ▶ **Wilkinson shift**

Denote the lower-rightmost 2×2 matrix of $A^{(k)}$ by

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

The Wilkinson shift is chosen as the eigenvalue of B that is closer to d .

- always converge for Hermitian/real symmetric matrices with cubic convergence rate (quadratic convergence for the worst case)

References

1. J. H. Wilkinson. Global convergence of tridiagonal QR algorithm with origin shifts. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 1(3): 409 – 420, 1968.

Power Iterations for a Set of Vectors

From the Power Iteration, we know that

- ▶ $A^k q_0$ converges to the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue in magnitude.
- ▶ if we start with a set of linearly independent vectors $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$, then $A^k \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$ should converge (under suitable assumptions) to a subspace spanned by eigenvectors of A associated with r largest eigenvalues in magnitude.

Suppose there is a gap between the r largest eigenvalues in magnitude and λ_{r+1} , i.e., $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots |\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}|$

Subspace Iteration:

```
random selection  $Q^{(0)}$  with orthonormal columns
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ 
     $Z_k = A Q^{(k-1)}$ 
     $Z_k = Q^{(k)} R^{(k)}$     reduced QR factorization
end
```

- ▶ Z_k and $Q^{(k)}$ has the same column space
- ▶ equal to the column space of $A^k Q^{(0)}$

- ▶ $Q^{(k)}$ converge to the eigenvectors associated with r largest eigenvalues in magnitude (**dominant invariant subspace**).
- ▶ $\{\lambda_1^{(k)}, \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_r^{(k)}\} = \text{diag} \left(\left(Q^{(k)} \right)^H A Q^{(k)} \right) \rightarrow \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$
- ▶ $\|q_i^{(k)} - v_i\| = \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_{r+1}}{\lambda_i} \right| \right), i = 1, 2, \dots, r$
- ▶ $|\lambda_i^{(k)} - \lambda_i| = \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_{r+1}}{\lambda_i} \right| \right), i = 1, 2, \dots, r$
- ▶ also called **simultaneously iteration** or **orthogonal iteration**
- ▶ when $r = n$, it coincides with QR iteration

► Power iteration

- compute the largest eigenvalue in magnitude
- convergence may be slow if $|\lambda_2|$ is close to $|\lambda_1|$
- deflation technique (making a nonzero eigenvalue to zero) can be used to compute the second largest eigenvalue in magnitude
 - For real symmetric/Hermitian case, $A = A - \lambda_1 v_1 v_1^H$
 - complicated for unsymmetric/non-Hermitian case, investigate by yourself if interested.

► Inverse iteration (with shift)

- compute the smallest eigenvalue in magnitude
- when coming with shift μ , it computes the eigenvalues closest to μ

► Subspace iteration

- A block version of the power iteration, or power iteration applied to a subspace
- compute a few largest eigenpairs in magnitude
- inverse iteration can also be applied in the subspace iteration
- when starting with full space, it coincides with QR iteration.

► QR iteration

- compute all eigenvalues/eigenvectors
- to reduce computational complexity, Hessenberg reduction is required before the iteration
- shift is required to obtain convergence

You are supposed to read

- ▶ Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013. 1997.

Chapter 7.3, 7.4, 8.2, 8.3