

SI231b: Matrix Computations

Lecture 13: Eigenvalue Computations

Yue Qiu

qiuyue@shanghaitech.edu.cn

School of Information Science and Technology
ShanghaiTech University

Oct. 27, 2020

Recap: Eigenvalue Revealing Decomposition

Factorize a matrix to a form in which eigenvalues are explicitly displayed

- ▶ **Diagonalization**, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$, exists if and only if \mathbf{A} is nondefective.
- ▶ **Schur decomposition**, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Q}^H$ always exists.
- ▶ **Jordan canonical form**, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ always exists (**will not be introduced in our lecture**), where

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_1 & & & \\ & \mathbf{J}_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{J}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\mathbf{J}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & & & \\ & \lambda_i & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{J}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Facts About Eigenvalues
- ▶ Power Iteration
- ▶ Inverse Iteration
- ▶ QR Iteration
- ▶ QR Iteration with Hessenberg Reduction

Some Facts About Eigenvalues

- ▶ Eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices are real
- ▶ Eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices are real
- ▶ Eigenvectors of real symmetric matrices are also real
- ▶ Complex eigenvalues of real matrices appear in conjugate pair.
 - For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if (λ, \mathbf{v}) is an eigenpair, then also $(\lambda^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$
- ▶ Skew-Hermitian matrices ($\mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}^H$) have only pure imaginary eigenvalues
- ▶ Hermitian/real symmetric matrices are diagonalizable.

The Largest Eigenvalue and Associated Eigenvector

Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be diagonalizable, i.e., $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ with

$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \end{bmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Assume that

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n|.$$

The following iteration generates a sequence of $(\lambda^{(k)}, \mathbf{q}^{(k)})$ that converges to $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1)$.

Power Iteration:

```
random selection  $\mathbf{q}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ 
```

```
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ 
```

$$\mathbf{z}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}^{(k-1)}$$

$$\mathbf{q}^{(k)} = \frac{\mathbf{z}^{(k)}}{\|\mathbf{z}^{(k)}\|_2}$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (\mathbf{q}^{(k)})^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q}^{(k)}$$

```
end
```

Convergence of Power Iteration

The Power Iteration can only compute the largest eigenvalue and associated eigenvector with **convergence rate**

- ▶ $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| = \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right)$
- ▶ $\|\mathbf{q}^{(k)} - \mathbf{v}_1\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right)$
- ▶ can have slow convergence when λ_2 is close to λ_1 in magnitude, i.e., $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|$ is close to 1.
- ▶ convergence can be made faster by using a shift μ with

$$\left|\frac{\lambda_1 - \mu}{\mu - \lambda_j}\right| < \left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|,$$

together with **Inverse Iteration**. Here λ_1 and λ_j are the closest and second closest eigenvalues to μ .

Suppose μ is not an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , the inverse iteration is given by

Inverse Iteration:

```
random selection  $\mathbf{q}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}^n$   
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$   
     $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{A} - \mu\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{q}^{(k-1)}$     solve  $(\mathbf{A} - \mu\mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{q}^{(k-1)}$   
     $\mathbf{q}^{(k)} = \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{z}\|_2}$   
     $\lambda^{(k)} = (\mathbf{q}^{(k)})^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q}^{(k)}$   
end
```

- compute the eigenvalue closest to μ
- convergence rate

$$\left| \frac{\mu - \lambda_j}{\mu - \lambda_k} \right|$$

where λ_j and λ_k are the closest and second closest eigenvalues to μ .

Efficiency per iteration vs Number of iterations?

QR Iteration:

```
 $\mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{A}$   
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$   
     $\mathbf{Q}^{(k)}\mathbf{R}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}$    QR factorization of  $\mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}$   
     $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^{(k)}\mathbf{Q}^{(k)}$   
end
```

Facts:

- ▶ $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ is similar to \mathbf{A} (why?)
- ▶ Eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ should be easier to compute than that of \mathbf{A} .
- ▶ $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ should converge fast (expected) to a form whose eigenvalues are easily computed.

Challenges of QR Iteration

For an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , each iteration requires $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ flops to compute the QR factorization.

► too computational expensive!

Motivation: perform similarity transform \mathbf{A} to an upper Hessenberg form (zeros below the first subdiagonal), i.e., $\mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}$ where

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Advantage: QR factorization of an upper Hessenberg matrix requires $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ flops (why?).

QR Iteration with Hessenberg Reduction:

```
 $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}^H \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{A}^{(0)} = \mathbf{H}$   
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$   
     $\mathbf{Q}^{(k)} \mathbf{R}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}$    QR factorization of  $\mathbf{A}^{(k-1)}$   
     $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{R}^{(k)} \mathbf{Q}^{(k)}$   
end
```

Key: $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ is of upper Hessenberg form (**how to preserve?**)

► by using Givens rotations to compute the QR factorization (**how to prove?**)

Benefit: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ flops for QR factorization.

Hessenberg Reduction

For an $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$.

A Naive Try

Let \mathbf{Q}_1 be the Householder reflection matrix that reflects \mathbf{a}_1 to $-\text{sign}(\mathbf{a}_1(1))\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2\mathbf{e}_1$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_1^H}$$

Mission failed!

Less Ambitious Try

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1 = \mathbf{A}(2:n, 1)$ and \mathbf{Q}_1 be the Householder reflection matrix that reflects $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1$ to $-\text{sign}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1(1))\|\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1$,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{A}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1^H}$$

Repeat the above procedure to the 2nd column of $\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_1^H \dots$

Hessenberg Reduction

Given an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , the following algorithm reduces \mathbf{A} to an upper Hessenberg form.

Hessenberg Reduction:

```
for  $k = 1 : n - 2$ 
     $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}(k+1:n, k)$ 
     $\mathbf{v}_k = \text{sign}(\mathbf{x}(1)) \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{x}$ 
     $\mathbf{v}_k = \frac{\mathbf{v}_k}{\|\mathbf{v}_k\|_2}$ 
     $\mathbf{A}(k+1 : n, k : n) = \mathbf{A}(k+1 : n, k : n) - 2\mathbf{v}_k(\mathbf{v}_k^H \mathbf{A}(k+1 : n, k : n))$ 
     $\mathbf{A}(1 : n, k+1 : n) = \mathbf{A}(1 : n, k+1 : n) - 2(\mathbf{A}(1 : n, k+1 : n)\mathbf{v}_k)\mathbf{v}_k^H$ 
end
```

You are supposed to read

- ▶ Lloyd N. Trefethen and David Bau III. *Numerical Linear Algebra*, SIAM, 1997.

Lecture 25, 26