

MATH 2052 Notes

Kevin L

Winter Term 2 2022

Contents

1	Integration	2
1.1	Darboux Sums	2
1.2	Integration Formulas	6
1.3	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I	11
1.4	Integration by Parts	13
1.5	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II	15
1.6	Trig Substitution	21
1.7	Partial Fractions	23
2	Differential Equations	25
3	Series and Sequences of Functions	26
3.1	Power Series	27
3.1.1	Series not Centered at 0	28
3.2	Uniform Convergence	30
3.3	Cauchy Convergence	33
3.4	Series of Functions	34
3.5	Taylor Series	38

1 Integration

1.1 Darboux Sums

Remark. See OneNote for graphs and figures for this section.

Definition 1.1. Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$. Letting $S \subseteq [a, b]$, we let

$$M(f, S) = \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in S\}$$
$$m(f, S) = \inf\{f(x) \mid x \in S\}$$

Definition 1.2. A **partition** of the closed interval $[a, b]$ is a finite sequence (t_n) where $t_0 = a$ and $t_n = b$ with $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$.

Definition 1.3. The **upper Darboux sum** $U(f, P)$ of a function f with respect to a partition P is

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

and the **lower Darboux sum** $L(f, P)$ is

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

See Fig. 1 thru 4 in Note.

Remark. We see that the LDS is underestimating the area under the curve while the UDS is overestimating it.

Example. Let $f(x) = x - 1$ on $[0, 2]$ with partitions $t_0 = 0, t_1 = \frac{1}{2}, t_2 = \frac{3}{2}, t_3 = 2$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= M\left(f, \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} - 0\right) + M\left(f, \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + M\left(f, \left[\frac{3}{2}, 2\right]\right)\left(2 - \frac{3}{2}\right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1) + (1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Note that changing the partitions will change the result, for example:

Let $t_0 = 0, t_1 = \frac{3}{2}, t_2 = \frac{7}{4}, t_3 = 2$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= M\left(f, \left[0, \frac{3}{2}\right]\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} - 0\right) + M\left(f, \left[\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4}\right]\right)\left(\frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{2}\right) + M\left(f, \left[\frac{7}{4}, 2\right]\right)\left(2 - \frac{7}{4}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + (1)\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \\ &= \frac{19}{16} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.4. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) && \text{(Note that the second term is always greater than 0)} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, [a, b])(t_k - t_{k-1}) && \text{(if } A \subseteq B, \text{ then } \sup A \leq \sup B) \\
&= M(f, [a, b]) \sum_{k=1}^n (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&= M(f, [a, b]) ((t_1 - t_0) + (t_2 - t_1) + \cdots + (t_n - t_{n-1})) \\
&= M(f, [a, b]) (-t_0 + t_n) \\
&= M(f, [a, b]) (b - a)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $L(f, P) \geq m(f, [a, b])(b - a)$.

Proposition 1.5. Thus,

$$m(f, [a, b])(b - a) \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq M(f, [a, b])(b - a) \quad (1)$$

Definition 1.6. The **upper Darboux integral** $U(f)$ of f over $[a, b]$ is

$$U(f) = \inf\{U(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

and the **lower Darboux integral** $L(f)$ of f over $[a, b]$ is

$$L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$$

We are taking the “most accurate” Darboux sums to get the area under the curve.

Lemma 1.7. By (1), $U(f, P), L(f, P)$ are bounded and so $U(f), L(f)$ exists.

Remark. We will eventually prove $L(f) \leq U(f)$, but this is not obvious.

Definition 1.8. If $L(f) = U(f)$, then we say f is integrable on $[a, b]$, and write $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ or $\int_a^b f$.

Example. Let $f(x) = c$ on $[a, b]$. Then for all sub-intervals $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ of a partition P ,

$$M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = c = m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$$

and so for any partition P ,

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n c(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&= c \sum_{k=1}^n (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&= c(b - a) \\
&= L(f, P) && \text{(By similar argument)}
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, $U(f) = \inf\{c(b - a) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\} = c(b - a) = L(f)$. Thus, $\int_a^b c dx = c(b - a)$.

Remark. Recall:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Example. Let $f(x) = x$ on $[0, b]$ with $b > 0$.

For any sub-interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ of any partition P , $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = t_k$ and $m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = t_{k-1}$. Thus,

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{k-1}(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

How do we find the inf of an infinite number of possible partitions?

Consider the family of partitions P_n with $t_k = \frac{kb}{n}$. There will be n sub-intervals of the same width. For those P_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{kb}{n} \left(\frac{kb}{n} - \frac{(k-1)b}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k(k - (k-1)) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k(1) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{2} \left(\frac{n^2 + n}{n^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{n^2+n}{n^2} > \frac{n^2}{n^2} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2+n}{n^2} = 1$,

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{b^2}{2} \left(\frac{n^2 + n}{n^2} \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \frac{b^2}{2}$$

Thus $U(f) \leq \frac{b^2}{2}$ as U is the infimum over all partitions.

Similarly for these P_n ,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k-1)b}{n} \left(\frac{kb}{n} - \frac{(k-1)b}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k-1(k - (k-1)) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k-1 \\ &= \frac{b^2}{n^2} \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n \right) \\ &= \frac{b^2}{2} \left(\frac{n^2 - n}{n^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{n^2-n}{n^2} < \frac{n^2}{n^2} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2-n}{n^2} = 1$,

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{b^2}{2} \left(\frac{n^2 - n}{n^2} \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \frac{b^2}{2}$$

Thus $L(f) \geq \frac{b^2}{2}$ as L is the supremum over all partitions.

Thus we have $\frac{b^2}{2} \leq L(f) \leq U(f) \leq \frac{b^2}{2}$ and so $L(f) = U(f) = \frac{b^2}{2}$.

Thus $\int_b^a x \, dx = \frac{b^2}{2}$.

Example. Consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in Q \\ 0, & x \in \overline{Q} \end{cases}$$

Note that between any 2 distinct real numbers, there exists both a rational and irrational number between them.

Thus, $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = 1$ and $m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = 0$.

Thus for any P ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= b - a \\ L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (0)(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $U(f) = b - a$ and $L(f) = 0$, and so $U(f) \neq L(f)$, and thus $\int_b^a f(x) \, dx$ does not exist.

Lemma 32.2. Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$. If P, Q are partitions of $[a, b]$ with $P \subseteq Q$ (i.e., Q is a “finer” partition), then

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q) \leq U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$$

Proof. $L(f, Q) \leq U(f, Q)$ is clear by definition. We show $L(f, P) \leq L(f, Q)$ as the proof of the other case is similar. Assume that Q has 1 more point than P , for we could then apply this lemma repeatedly to get the general result. If P consists of $a = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n = b$, let Q consist of $a = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, u, t_k, \dots, t_n = b$ for some k with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then most terms in $L(f, P)$ and $L(f, Q)$ are the same. In particular, we have

$$L(f, Q) - L(f, P) = m(f, [t_{k-1}, u])(u - t_{k-1}) + m(f, [u, t_k])(t_k - u) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) \quad (2)$$

Since $[t_{k-1}, u] \subseteq [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, we have $\inf\{f(x) \mid x \in [t_{k-1}, u]\} \geq \inf\{f(x) \mid x \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]\}$ and so $m(f, [t_{k-1}, u]) \geq m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$, similarly $m(f, [u, t_k]) \geq m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$. Thus, by (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, Q) - L(f, P) &\geq m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])(u - t_{k-1} + t_k - u - (t_k - t_{k-1})) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L(f, Q) \geq L(f, P)$ as required. □

Lemma 32.3. Let f be bounded on $[a, b]$, and let P, Q be partitions of $[a, b]$. Then $L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$.

Proof. Note that $P \cup Q$ is a partition of $[a, b]$. Since $P \subseteq P \cup Q$ and $Q \subseteq P \cup Q$, by Lemma 32.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &\leq L(f, P \cup Q) \\ &\leq U(f, P \cup Q) \\ &\leq U(f, Q) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 32.4. Let f be bounded on $[a, b]$. Then $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Proof. Fix a partition P of $[a, b]$. By Lemma 32.3, $L(f, P)$ is a lower bound of the set $\{U(f, Q) \mid Q \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$. Thus for any P , $L(f, P) \leq U(f)$ since $U(f)$ is the infimum. This implies $U(f)$ is an upper bound of $\{L(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}$. Since $L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P)\}$, $L(f) \leq U(f)$. \square

1.2 Integration Formulas

Theorem 32.5. A bounded function f on $[a, b]$ is integrable iff

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists P \text{ of } [a, b] \text{ s.t. } U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$$

Proof. We prove the forwards direction:

Suppose f is integrable. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists partitions P_1, P_2 with $L(f, P_1) > L(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $U(f, P_2) < U(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Recall that $L(f)$ and $U(f)$ are the sup/inf of all the $L/U(f, P)$.

Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$. Then use Lemma 32.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &\leq U(f, P_2) - L(f, P_1) && (\text{Since } P_1, P_2 \subseteq P) \\ &< U(f) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \left(L(f) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\ &= U(f) - L(f) + \varepsilon \\ &= \varepsilon && (U(f) = L(f) \text{ in integrable functions}) \end{aligned}$$

We now show the reverse:

Suppose $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists P$ of $[a, b]$ s.t. $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ holds for some partition P . Then $U(f) \leq U(f, P)$ and $L(f) \geq L(f, P)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &= U(f, P) - L(f, P) + L(f, P) \\ &< \varepsilon + L(f, P) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + L(f) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $U(f) \leq L(f)$, for if $U(f) > L(f)$, we can set $\varepsilon = U(f) - L(f)$ and we will get $U(f) < U(f)$. But since $L(f) \leq U(f)$, we must have $U(f) = L(f)$. Thus f is integrable. \square

Definition 1.9. A function f is monotonic on an interval I if it is either increasing or decreasing on I . In other words,

$$x < y \implies f(x) \leq f(y)$$

(or \geq)

Definition 1.10. The **mesh** of a partition P is the maximum length of the sub-intervals comprising P .

Theorem 33.1. Every monotonic function f on $[a, b]$ is integrable.

Proof. We prove the increasing case.

We may assume that $b > a \implies f(b) > f(a)$, for otherwise f is constant. Since $f(a) < f(x) < f(b) \forall x \in [a, b]$, f is bounded on $[a, b]$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Take a partition of $[a, b]$ with mesh

$$\{t_k - t_{k-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{f(b) - f(a)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]))(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1}))(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&< \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{f(b) - f(a)} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{f(b) - f(a)} \right) \sum_{k=1}^n (f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})) \\
&= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{f(b) - f(a)} \right) (-f(a) + f(b)) \quad (\text{Telescoping Series}) \\
&= \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 32.5, f is integrable. □

Example. The following functions are integrable:

- \sqrt{x}
- $\frac{1}{x}$
- $\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n$
- $\ln x$
- e^x
- $\frac{1}{\ln x}$
- $\frac{1}{e^x}$
- $\lfloor x \rfloor$
- $\lceil x \rceil$
- $\tan x$

Theorem 33.2. Every continuous function f on $[a, b]$ is integrable.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since f is cts. on $[a, b]$, then by Theorem 19.2, f is uniformly cts. on $[a, b]$. Thus there is a

$$\delta > 0 \text{ such that } x, y \in [a, b] \text{ and } |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b - a}$$

Let P be a partition with mesh less than δ .

By Theorem 18.1, f assumes its max and min in each closed sub-interval. Thus the above implies

$$M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) < \frac{\varepsilon}{b - a}$$

and $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(x)$ for some $x \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ and the same for m with y .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]))(t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&< \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{b - a} (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{b - a} (b - a) \\
&= \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Thus f is integrable on $[a, b]$. □

Example. The following functions are integrable:

- $\sin x$
- $\cos x$
- $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$
- e^{-x^2}
- $\frac{\sin x \ln x}{x^2+1}$

Theorem 33.3. Let f, g be integrable on $[a, b]$ and let c be constant. Then,

1. cf is integrable with $\int_a^b cf = c \int_a^b f$
2. $f + g$ is integrable with $\int_a^b (f + g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$
3. One can show fg is integrable, but there is not a nice formula for this

Lemma 1.11. 1. If $c > 0$, then $\inf\{cs \mid s \in S\} = c \inf S$ and $\sup\{cs \mid s \in S\} = c \sup S$

2. $\inf\{-s \mid s \in S\} = -\sup S$ and $\sup\{-s \mid s \in S\} = -\inf S$

3. $\inf\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in S\} \geq \inf\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} + \inf\{g(x) \mid x \in S\}$ and $\sup\{f(x) + g(x) \mid x \in S\} \leq \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in S\} + \sup\{g(x) \mid x \in S\}$

Proof. Proof of (1):

If $c = 0$, the result is clear. First suppose $c > 0$, then for all sub-intervals we have $M(cf, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = cM(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$ and $m(cf, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = cm(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$. Thus for all partitions P , we have $U(cf, P) = cU(f, P)$ and $L(cf, P) = cL(f, P)$. Lemma (i) implies $U(cf) = cU(f)$ and $L(cf) = cL(f)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} L(cf) &= cL(f) \\ &= cU(f) && (f \text{ is integrable}) \\ &= U(cf) \end{aligned}$$

thus cf is integrable with integral $\int_a^b cf = U(cf) = cU(f) = c \int_a^b f$.

Now take $c = -1$. Then Lemma (ii) implies $M(-f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = -m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$ and $m(-f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = -M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$. Then $U(-f, P) = L(f, P)$ and $L(-f, P) = U(f, P)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} U(-f) &= \inf\{U(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\} \\ &= \inf\{-L(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\} \\ &= \sup\{L(f, P) \mid P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\} \\ &= -L(f) \end{aligned}$$

One can similarly show $L(-f) = -U(f)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} U(-f) &= -L(f) \\ &= -U(f) \\ &= L(-f) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $-f$ is integrable with integral $\int_a^b -f = U(-f) = -U(f) = -\int_a^b f$.

Finally suppose $c < 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_b^a cf &= -\int_a^b (-c)f \\ &= -(-c) \int_a^b f \\ &= c \int_a^b f\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. Proof of (2):

We use Theorem 32.5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exist partitions P_1, P_2 of $[a, b]$ with $U(f, P_1) = L(f, P_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $U(g, P_2) - L(g, P_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$, and using Lemma 32.2 yields $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $U(g, P) - L(g, P) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By Lemma (iii), we have $m(f + g, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) \geq m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) + m(g, [t_{k-1}, t_k])$ and so $L(f + g, P) \geq L(f, P) + L(g, P)$. Similarly, $U(f + g, P) \leq U(f, P) + U(g, P)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}U(f + g, P) - L(f + g, P) &\leq U(f, P) + U(g, P) - L(f, P) - L(g, P) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 32.5, $f + g$ is integrable. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_b^a (f + g) &= U(f + g) \leq U(f + g, P) \\ &\leq U(f, P) + U(g, P) \\ &< L(f, P) + L(g, P) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq L(f) + L(g) + \varepsilon \\ &= \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\int_b^a (f + g) \leq \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b (f + g) &= L(f + g) \geq L(f + g, P) \\ &\geq L(f, P) + L(g, P) \\ &> U(f, P) + U(g, P) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq U(f) + U(g) - \varepsilon \\ &= \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g - \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\int_a^b (f + g) \geq \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$. Thus $\int_a^b (f + g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$. □

Theorem 33.4. • If f, g are integrable on $[a, b]$ and $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in [a, b]$ then $\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b g$
• If g is a cts. non-negative function on $[a, b]$ with $\int_a^b g = 0$, then $g(x) = 0 \forall x \in [a, b]$

Proof. Theorem 33.3 implies that $h = g - f$ is integrable on $[a, b]$. Since $h(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [a, b]$, we have $L(h, P) \geq 0 \forall$ partitions P of $[a, b]$.

Thus $\int_a^b h = L(h) \geq 0$. Thus since $g = f + h$, we have

$$\int_a^b g = \int_a^b (f + h) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b h \geq \int_a^b f$$

□

Theorem 33.5. If f is integrable on $[a, b]$, then $|f|$ is integrable with

$$\left| \int_a^b f \right| \leq \int_a^b |f|$$

Proof. Since $-|f| \leq f \leq |f|$, Theorem 33.3, 33.4 implies $-\int_a^b |f| \leq \int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b |f|$ hence $\left| \int_a^b f \right| \leq \int_a^b |f|$. □

Theorem 33.6. Let f defined on $[a, b]$ and let $a < c < b$. If f is integrable on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$ with

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$$

Proof. Since f is bounded on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$, so f is bounded on $[a, b]$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Theorem 32.5 implies there exist partitions P_1 of $[a, c]$ and P_2 of $[c, b]$ with

$$U(f, P_1) - L(f, P_1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } U(f, P_2) - L(f, P_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Then $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ is a partition of $[a, b]$.

Thus,

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = U(f, P_1) + U(f, P_2) - L(f, P_1) - L(f, P_2) < \varepsilon$$

Thus f is integrable on $[a, b]$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f &\leq U(f, P) \\ &= U(f, P_1) + U(f, P_2) \\ &< L(f, P_1) + L(f, P_2) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and hence

$$\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f &\geq L(f, P) \\ &= L(f, P_1) + L(f, P_2) \\ &> U(f, P_1) + U(f, P_2) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f - \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and hence

$$\int_a^b f \geq \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$$

Thus

$$\int_a^b f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$$

□

Definition 1.12. A function f is **piecewise monotonic** on $[a, b]$ if there is a partition P such that f is monotonic on each open subinterval (t_{k-1}, t_k) .

Definition 1.13. A function f is **piecewise continuous** if f is uniformly continuous on each open subinterval (t_{k-1}, t_k) .

Theorem 33.8. If f is piecewise cts. or is a bounded piecewise monotonic function on $[a, b]$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$.

Proof. We first show in either case, if we consider f on the open subinterval (t_{k-1}, t_k) , we can extend it to an integrable function f_k defined on the closed interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$. If f is uniformly cts. on (t_{k-1}, t_k) , then f can be extended to a cts. function on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$. Since f_k is cts. on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$, by 33.2 it is integrable here.

If f is bounded and monotonic on (t_{k-1}, t_k) , say f is increasing, then we can set $f_k(t_{k-1}) = \inf\{f(x) \mid x \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)\}$ and $f_k(t_k) = \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)\}$ to yield an increasing function f_k on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$. A similar extension can be made for a decreasing function. Since the resulting function f_k is monotonic on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$, by 33.1 it is integrable there.

In either case, we have $f = f_k$ on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ except possibly at the endpoints, and f_k is integrable on the closed subinterval. By Exercise 32.7, f is integrable on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ since they differ at only finitely many points. 33.6 then implies that f is integrable over $[a, b]$. □

1.3 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I

FTC I. If g is cts on $[a, b]$, diff-able on (a, b) and if g' is integrable on $[a, b]$, then

$$\int_b^a g' = g(b) - g(a)$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since g' is integrable, by 32.5 there is a partition P of $[a, b]$ with

$$U(g', P) - L(g', P) < \varepsilon$$

Since g is cts and diff-able, we can apply MVT to g on each sub-interval t_{k-1}, t_k to get $x_k \in (t_{k-1}, t_k)$ with

$$g'(x_k) = \frac{g(t_k) - g(t_{k-1})}{t_k - t_{k-1}}$$

Thus,

$$g'(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) = g(t_k) - g(t_{k-1})$$

Summing this over k yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^n g'(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (g(t_k) - g(t_{k-1})) = g(b) - g(a)$$

Since $x_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, we have

$$m(g', [t_{k-1}, t_k]) \leq g'(x_k) \leq M(g', [t_{k-1}, t_k])$$

Multiplying by $t_k - t_{k-1} > 0$ yields

$$m(g', [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1}) \leq g'(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) \leq M(g', [t_{k-1}, t_k])(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

Summing this over k and using the above equality and definitions yields

$$L(g', P) \leq g(b) - g(a) \leq U(g', P)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$L(g', P) \leq \int_a^b g' \leq U(g', P)$$

Subtracting the first from the second yields

$$L(g', P) - U(g', P) \leq \int_a^b g' - (g(b) - g(a)) \leq U(g', P) - L(g', P)$$

However, recall that we have $U(g', P) - L(g', P) < \varepsilon$. Using this, we have

$$-\varepsilon < \int_a^b g' - (g(b) - g(a)) < \varepsilon$$

Thus

$$0 \leq \left| \int_a^b g' - (g(b) - g(a)) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and so

$$\left| \int_a^b g' - (g(b) - g(a)) \right| = 0$$

and so

$$\int_a^b g' = g(b) - g(a)$$

□

Remark. If we are trying to integrate an integrable function f , FTC(I) shows us that we should look for a function F such that $F' = f$. Then we'd have

$$\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$$

Definition 1.14. Let f be an integrable function. F is the function where $F' = f$, and is called the **antiderivative** of f . It is common to write

$$F(x) \Big|_a^b$$

for $F(b) - F(a)$.

Example. Consider $\int_0^b x^3 dx$. Can we find F such that $F'(x) = x^3$? Let $F(x) = \frac{1}{4}x^4$.

We thus have

$$\int_0^b x^3 dx = \frac{1}{4}x^4 \Big|_0^b = \frac{1}{4}b^4 - \frac{1}{4}0^4 = \frac{1}{4}b^4$$

Proposition 1.15. In general, if $n \neq -1$ and if in the case that $n < 0$, we have either $a, b > 0$ or $a, b < 0$, then

$$\int_a^b x^n dx = \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} \Big|_a^b = \frac{1}{n+1} b^{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+1} a^{n+1}$$

Example. Consider $\int_0^1 \sqrt{x} dx$ which is the area under $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ between $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. We have

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{x} dx = \int_0^1 x^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = \frac{2}{3} x^{\frac{3}{2}} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{2}{3}$$

Remark. We know how to integrate x^n for $n \neq -1$. What if $n = -1$? For $a, b > 0$ we have

$$\int_a^b x^{-1} dx = \int_a^b \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln x \Big|_a^b = \ln b - \ln a$$

Remark. Consider $\int_a^b f$. Suppose it is unknown if f is integrable, but there is a function F with $F' = f$. Does this imply f is integrable?

No! Consider

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

We have

$$f = F' = \begin{cases} 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) - \frac{2}{x} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

So if we were to start with f , then F is a diff-able function with $F' = f$. However, f is unbounded near 0 and hence not integrable.

Definition 1.16. We call the integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ the **definite integral**.

Remark. If 2 functions have the same derivative, they must differ by at most a constant. Thus if F is an antiderivative of f , so is $F(x) + c$ for any constant c , and these give all the antiderivatives for f .

Definition 1.17. $F(x) + c$ is called the **indefinite integral** of $f(x)$, and is written

$$\int f(x) dx$$

Example.

$$\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln|x| + c$$

$$\int x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + c$$

$$\int 2x^3 - 7x + 3 dx = 2 \int x^3 dx - 7 \int x dx + \int 3 dx = \frac{1}{2}x^4 - \frac{7}{2}x^2 + 3x + c$$

$$\int e^x dx = e^x + c$$

$$\int e^{2x} dx = \frac{1}{2}e^{2x} + c$$

$$\int e^{-x^2} dx$$

has no obvious antiderivative.

$$\int \sin x dx = -\cos x + c$$

$$\int \cos x dx = \sin x + c$$

Example. What is the area under one bump of the sine curve?

$$\int_0^\pi \sin x dx = -\cos x \Big|_0^\pi = -\cos \pi - (-\cos 0) = 2$$

What is the area between 0 and 2π ?

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin x dx = -\cos x \Big|_0^{2\pi} = -\cos 2\pi - (-\cos 0) = 0$$

1.4 Integration by Parts

Integration by Parts. Suppose u, v are cts. on $[a, b]$, diff-able on (a, b) , and suppose u', v' are integrable on $[a, b]$.

Then,

$$\int_a^b u(x)v'(x) dx = u(x)v(x)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b u'(x)v(x) dx$$

This is often written as

$$\int u dv = uv - \int v du$$

Proof. Let $g(x) = u(x)v(x)$, so that $g'(x) = u'(x)v(x) + u(x)v'(x)$. Since u, v are cts. then they are integrable. By assumption u', v' are integrable, so it follows that $u'v$ and uv' are integrable.

BY FTC I, we have

$$\int_a^b g'(x) dx = g(x)\Big|_a^b = u(x)v(x)\Big|_a^b$$

By 33.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b g'(x) dx &= \int_a^b u'(x)v(x) + u(x)v'(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b u'(x)v(x) dx + \int_a^b u(x)v'(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Equating yields

$$\int_a^b u'(x)v(x) dx + \int_a^b u(x)v'(x) dx = u(x)v(x)\Big|_a^b$$

and rearranging this yields the theorem. \square

Remark. To use integration by parts, we view the function that we are integrating as a product, ideally one where one factor simplifies after it is differentiated, and the other factor doesn't get too much more complicated when integrating it.

Example. Evaluate $\int_0^\pi x \sin x dx$.

Let's let $u(x) = x$, $v'(x) = \sin x$. Then $u'(x) = 1$ and $v(x) = -\cos x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi x \sin x dx &= -x \cos x\Big|_0^\pi - \int_0^\pi -\cos x dx \\ &= -\pi(-1) - 0 + \int_0^\pi \cos x dx \\ &= \pi + \sin x\Big|_0^\pi \\ &= \pi + 0 - 0 \\ &= \pi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int x \sin x dx &= -x \cos x - \int -\cos x dx \\ &= -x \cos x + \int \cos x dx \\ &= -x \cos x + \sin x + c \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find $\int \ln x dx$. The trick here is to view the integrand as $(1)(\ln x)$. So $u(x) = \ln x$, $v'(x) = 1$. Then $u'(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and $v(x) = x$. Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int \ln x dx &= x \ln x - \int (x) \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) dx \\ &= x \ln x - x + c \end{aligned}$$

Now let's find

$$\int_1^e \ln x \, dx = (x \ln x - x) \Big|_1^e = e \ln e - e - (\ln 1 - 1) = 1$$

Example. Find

$$\int x e^x \, dx$$

Let $u(x) = x$ and $v'(x) = e^x$. Then $u'(x) = 1$ and $v(x) = e^x$.

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int x e^x \, dx &= x e^x - \int e^x \, dx \\ &= x e^x - e^x + c \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find $\int \arctan x \, dx$.

Recall that $(\arctan x)' = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$. Let $u(x) = \arctan x$ and $v'(x) = 1$. Then $u'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ and $v(x) = x$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \arctan x \, dx &= x \arctan x - \int \frac{x}{x^2+1} \, dx \\ &= x \arctan x - \frac{1}{2} \ln(x^2+1) + c \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find $\int (\ln x)^2 \, dx$.

Let $u(x) = (\ln x)^2$ and $v'(x) = 1$. Then $u'(x) = 2 \ln x \cdot \frac{1}{x}$ and $v(x) = x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\ln x)^2 \, dx &= x(\ln x)^2 - 2 \int \ln x \, dx \\ &= x(\ln x)^2 - 2(x \ln x - x) + c \\ &= x((\ln x)^2 - 2 \ln x + 2) + c \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find $\int x^2 \cos(2x) \, dx$. Let $u(x) = x^2$, $v'(x) = \cos(2x)$. Thus $u'(x) = 2x$ and $v(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sin(2x)$. We have

$$\int x^2 \cos(2x) \, dx = \frac{x^2}{2} \sin(2x) - \int x \sin(2x) \, dx$$

For the integral on the right side, we can use integration by parts again with $u(x) = x$ and $v'(x) = \sin(2x)$. Then we have $u'(x) = 1$ and $v(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \cos(2x)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int x^2 \cos(2x) \, dx &= \frac{x^2}{2} \sin(2x) - \left(-\frac{x}{2} \cos(2x) - \int \left(\frac{-1}{2} \cos(2x) \right) dx \right) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \sin(2x) + \frac{x}{2} \cos(2x) - \frac{1}{2} \int \cos(2x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \sin(2x) + \frac{x}{2} \cos(2x) - \frac{1}{4} \sin(2x) + c \end{aligned}$$

1.5 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II

Definition 1.18. If $b > a$, define

$$\int_a^b f = - \int_b^a f$$

Corollary 1.19. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^a f(x) dx &= \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_b^a f(x) dx \\ &= \int_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

FTC II. Let f be integrable on $[a, b]$. For a point $x \in [a, b]$, define $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$. Then f is continuous on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, if f is continuous at $x_0 \in [a, b]$, then F is diff-able at x_0 and $F'(x_0) = f(x_0)$.

In Leibniz notation,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x f(t) dt = f(x)$$

Proof. Since f is integrable on $I := [a, b]$, it is bounded there, thus $\exists B > 0$ with $|f(x)| \leq B \forall x \in I$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, and suppose $x, y \in I$ with $|x - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{B}$. WLOG, suppose $x < y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|F(y) - F(x)| &= \left| \int_a^y f(t) dt - \int_a^x f(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_a^y f(t) dt + \int_x^a f(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_x^y f(t) dt \right| && \text{(Theorem 33.6)} \\ &\leq \int_x^y |f(t)| dt && \text{(Theorem 33.5)} \\ &\leq \int_x^y B dt \\ &= B(y - x) \\ &< B \frac{\varepsilon}{B} \\ &= \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Thus f is uniformly continuous on I .

For $x = x_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F(x) - F(x_0) &= \int_a^x f(t) dt - \int_a^{x_0} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^x f(t) dt + \int_{x_0}^a f(t) dt \\ &= \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} = \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt$$

as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} = F'(x_0)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{x-x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt &= \frac{f(x_0)}{x-x_0} \int_{x_0}^x 1 dt \\ &= \frac{f(x_0)}{x-x_0} (x-x_0) \\ &= f(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\frac{F(x)-F(x_0)}{x-x_0} - F(x_0) = \frac{1}{x-x_0} \int_{x_0}^x (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since f is continuous at x_0 , then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $t \in (a, b)$ and $|t - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(t) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$.

In the case $x > x_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} - F(x_0) \right| &= \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt && \text{(Theorem 33.5)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x \varepsilon dt && \text{(Theorem 33.4 (i))} \\ &= \varepsilon(x - x_0) \left(\frac{1}{x - x_0} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

In the other case

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} - F(x_0) \right| &= \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x_0 - x} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt && \text{(Theorem 33.5)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x_0 - x} \int_{x_0}^x \varepsilon dt && \text{(Theorem 33.4 (i))} \\ &= \frac{-1}{x_0 - x} \int_x^{x_0} \varepsilon dt \\ &= \varepsilon(x_0 - x) \left(\frac{1}{x_0 - x} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Thus by a definition of a limit, we've shown that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} = f(x_0)$$

which implies $F'(x_0) = f(x_0)$. □

Example. Let

$$g(x) = \int_1^x t^2 dt$$

By FTC II, $g'(x) = x^2$.

Example. Let

$$p(x) = \int_1^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

By FTC II, $p'(x) = e^{-x^2}$.

Example. Let $F(x) = \int_{2x}^{x^2} e^{-t^2} dt$. Find F' .

Let $p(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$, then by FTC II $p'(x) = e^{-x^2}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \int_{2x}^0 e^{-t^2} dt + \int_0^{x^2} e^{-t^2} dt \\ &= -\int_0^{2x} e^{-t^2} dt + \int_0^{x^2} e^{-t^2} dt \\ &= -p(2x) + p(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F'(x) = -2p'(x) + 2xp'(x^2)$. Since $2x, x^2, p(x)$ are diff-able, we have

$$F'(x) = -2e^{-4x^2} 2xe^{-x^4}$$

Example. Let $Li(x) = \int_2^x \frac{1}{\ln t} dt$. Then $Li'(x) = \frac{1}{\ln x}$.

Change of Variables. Let u be a diff-able function on an open interval J with u' continuous. Let I be an open interval with $u(x) \in I$ for $x \in J$. If f is continuous on I , then $f \circ u$ is continuous on J with

$$\int_a^b (f \circ u)(x) u'(x) dx = \int_{u(a)}^{u(b)} f(u) du$$

for $a, b \in J$.

Proof. $f \circ u$ is continuous by Theorem 17.5. Let $c \in I$, define

$$F(u) = \int_c^u f(t) dt$$

By FTC II, $F'(u) = f(u)$ for all $u \in I$. Let $g = f \circ u$, then $g'(x) = F'(u(x))u'(x) = f(u(x))u'(x)$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (f \circ u)(x) u'(x) dx &= \int_a^b g'(x) dx \\ &= g(b) - g(a) \\ &= F(u(b)) - F(u(a)) \\ &= \int_c^{u(b)} f(t) dt - \int_c^{u(a)} f(t) dt \\ &= \int_c^{u(b)} f(t) dt + \int_{u(a)}^c f(t) dt \\ &= \int_{u(a)}^{u(b)} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. Look for integrals in the form

$$\int f(u(x))u'(x) dx$$

Example. Find

$$\int_0^2 xe^{-x^2} dx$$

We have $u(x) = -x^2$, so $u'(x) = -2x$. Then $f(u) = -\frac{1}{2}e^u$, this yields $f(u(x))u'(x) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-x^2} \cdot -2x = xe^{-x^2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u(0)=0}^{u(2)=-4} -\frac{1}{2}e^u du &= -\frac{1}{2}e^u \Big|_0^{-4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(e^{-4} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

We often write this as $u = -x^2$, $du = -2x dx$ so $-\frac{1}{2}du = x dx$

Example. Find

$$\int_0^2 \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^3+1}} dx$$

Let $u = x^3 + 1$, $du = 3x^2 dx$ and $\frac{1}{3}du = x^2 dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^2 \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^3+1}} dx &= \frac{1}{3} \int_1^9 \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} du \\ &= \frac{2}{3} u^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_1^9 \\ &= \frac{2}{3} (3 - 1) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int x e^{-x^2} dx$$

Let $u = -x^2 \implies du = -2x dx \implies \frac{1}{2}du = x dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int x e^{-x^2} dx &= \int -\frac{1}{2} e^u du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} e^u + c \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{-x^2} + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int x \sqrt{4-x} dx$$

Let $u = 4 - x \implies du = -1 dx$ and $x = 4 - u$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \sqrt{4-x} dx &= - \int 4 - u du \\ &= - \int 4u^{0.5} - u^{1.5} du \\ &= - \left(4 \cdot \frac{2}{3} u^{1.5} - \frac{2}{5} u^{\frac{5}{2}} \right) + c \\ &= -\frac{8}{3} u^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{2}{5} u^{\frac{5}{2}} + c \\ &= -\frac{8}{3} (4-x)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{2}{5} (4-x)^{\frac{5}{2}} + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int \frac{x+1}{x^2+1} dx$$

Let $u = x^2 + 1 \implies du = 2x \, dx \implies \frac{1}{2}du = x \, dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{x+1}{x^2+1} \, dx &= \int \left(\frac{x}{x^2+1} + \frac{1}{x^2+1} \right) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{u} \, du + \arctan x \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln |u| + \arctan x \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln |x^2 + 1| + \arctan x + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int \frac{1}{1+e^x} \, dx$$

Let $u = e^{-x+1} \implies du = -e^{-x} \, dx \implies -du = e^{-x} \, dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{1}{1+e^x} \, dx &= \int \frac{e^{-x}}{e^{-x}+1} \, dx \\ &= \int \frac{-1}{u} \, du \\ &= -\ln |u| + c \\ &= -\ln |e^{-x} + 1| + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int \sec x \, dx$$

Note that $\sec' x = \sec x \tan x$ and $\tan' x = \sec^2 x$ so $\sec' x + \tan' x = \sec x(\tan x + \sec x)$. Let $u = \sec x + \tan x \implies du = \sec x(\tan x + \sec x) \, dx$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \sec x \, dx &= \int \frac{\sec x(\sec x + \tan x)}{\sec x + \tan x} \\ &= \int \frac{1}{u} \, du \\ &= \ln |u| + c \\ &= \ln |\sec x + \tan x| + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int \cos(\ln x) \, dx$$

Let $t = \ln x \implies x = e^t \implies dt = \frac{1}{x} \, dx \implies dx = x \, dt = e^t \, dt$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \cos(\ln x) \, dx &= \int e^t \cos t \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^t (\cos t + \sin t) + c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x (\cos \ln x + \sin \ln x) + c\end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int x^5 e^{x^2} \, dx$$

Let $t = x^2 \implies dt = 2x \, dx \implies x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} dt$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int x^5 e^{x^2} dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int t^2 e^t dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(t^2 e^t - 2 \int t e^t dt \right) && \text{(Integration by parts)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 e^t - \left(t e^t - \int e^t dt \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} e^{x^2} (x^4 - 2x^2 + 2) + c
 \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Trig Substitution

Trig substitution. For factors like $\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}$, $\sqrt{a^2 + x^2}$, $\sqrt{x^2 - a^2}$ for some constant a .

Recall that $\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta = 1$, which yields $1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$, and $\tan^2 \theta + 1 = \sec^2 \theta$. We use these identities to remove square roots.

Example. Find

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$$

Let $x = \sin \theta \implies dx = \cos \theta d\theta$, and $1 - x^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta = \cos^2 \theta$ and so $\sqrt{1-x^2} = \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta} = |\cos \theta| = \cos \theta$ since $\cos \theta$ is positive for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and for these θ , $-1 < x < 1$, which is our domain.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx &= \int \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int 1 d\theta \\
 &= \theta + c \\
 &= \arcsin x + c
 \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int_2^{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{x^2 - 4} dx$$

Let $x = 2 \sec \theta \implies x^2 - 4 = 4(\sec^2 \theta - 1) = 4 \tan^2 \theta$ and $dx = 2 \sec \theta \tan \theta d\theta$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{x^2 - 4} &= \sqrt{4 \tan^2 \theta} \\
 &= 2|\tan \theta|
 \end{aligned}$$

and we can drop the absolute value if θ is in the 1st or 3rd quadrant.

Also,

$$\frac{\pi}{2} = \sec \theta = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \implies \cos \theta = \frac{2}{x}$$

When $x = 2$, $\cos \theta = 1 \implies \theta = 0$.

When $x = 2\sqrt{2}$, $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \implies \theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

The integral becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_2^{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{x^2 - 4} \, dx &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} (2 \tan \theta)(2 \sec \theta \tan \theta) \, d\theta \\
 &= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sec \theta \tan^2 \theta \, d\theta \\
 &= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sec \theta (\sec^2 \theta - 1) \, d\theta \\
 &= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \sec^3 \theta - \sec \theta \, d\theta \\
 &= 4 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sec \theta \tan \theta + \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta| \right) \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \\
 &= 2(\sec \theta \tan \theta - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta|) \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \\
 &= 2(\sqrt{2} - \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1))
 \end{aligned}$$

Say we want $\int \sqrt{x^2 - 4} \, dx$. By above, this would be

$$2(\sec \theta \tan \theta - \ln |\sec \theta + \tan \theta|) + c$$

Our substitution was $\sec \theta = \frac{x}{2}$. We also have $\tan^2 \theta = \sec^2 \theta - 1 = \frac{x^2 - 4}{4} \implies \tan \theta = \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \sqrt{x^2 - 4} \, dx &= 2 \left(\frac{x}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} - \ln \left| \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} \right| \right) + c \\
 &= \frac{x}{2} \sqrt{x^2 - 4} - 2 \ln |x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}| + 2 \ln 2 + c \\
 &= \frac{x}{2} \sqrt{x^2 - 4} - 2 \ln |x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}| + c \quad (\text{constant can be absorbed into } c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let's find the area of a circle, $x^2 + y^2 = r^2 \implies y^2 = r^2 - x^2 \implies y = \pm \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$.

Integrate $y = \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$ from $0 \rightarrow r$ and multiply this by 4 to get the area of the whole circle.

Let $x = r \sin \theta \implies dx = r \cos \theta \, d\theta$ and $r^2 - x^2 = r^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta = r^2(1 - \sin^2 \theta) = r^2 \cos^2 \theta$. Thus $\sqrt{r^2 - x^2} = r \cos \theta$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 4 \int_0^r \sqrt{r^2 - x^2} \, dx \\
 &= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} r \cos \theta r \cos \theta \, d\theta \quad (x = 0 \implies \theta = 0, x = r \implies \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}) \\
 &= 4r^2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^2 \theta \, d\theta \\
 &= 4r^2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1 + \cos 2\theta}{2} \, d\theta \\
 &= 2r^2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} 1 + \cos 2\theta \, d\theta \\
 &= 2r^2 \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta \right) \Big|_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\
 &= 2r^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0 - 0 \right) \\
 &= \pi r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Example. Find

$$\int \frac{x^3}{(4x^2 + 9)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \, dx$$

We use $\tan^2 \theta + 1 = \sec^2 \theta$.

Let $x = \frac{3}{2} \tan \theta$, $\implies dx = \frac{3}{2} \sec^2 \theta d\theta$, so $4x^2 + 9 = 9 \tan^2 \theta + 9 = 9(\tan^2 \theta + 1) = 9 \sec^2 \theta$.

We have $(4x^2 + 9)^{\frac{3}{2}} = (9 \sec^2 \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 27 \sec^3 \theta$. So $x^3 = \frac{27}{8} \tan^3 \theta$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int \frac{x^3}{(4x^2 + 9)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dx &= \frac{27}{8} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{27} \int \frac{\tan^3 \theta \sec^2 \theta}{\sec^3 \theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \int \frac{\tan^3 \theta}{\sec \theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \int \frac{\sin^3 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \int \frac{\sin^2 \theta (1 - \cos^2 \theta)}{\cos^2 \theta} d\theta && (\text{Let } u = \cos \theta \implies du = -\sin \theta d\theta) \\
 &= -\frac{3}{16} \int \frac{1 - u^2}{u^2} du \\
 &= -\frac{3}{16} \int \frac{1}{u^2} - 1 du \\
 &= -\frac{3}{16} \left(-\frac{1}{u} - u \right) + c \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \left(\frac{1}{u} + u \right) + c \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \left(\frac{u^2 + 1}{u} \right) + c \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \left(\frac{\cos^2 \theta + 1}{\cos \theta} \right) + c && (\tan \theta = \frac{2x}{3}) \\
 &= \frac{3}{16} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{4x^2 + 9}} \right)^2 + 1}{\frac{3}{\sqrt{4x^2 + 9}}} \right) + c
 \end{aligned}$$

1.7 Partial Fractions

Remark. Let's find A, B with

$$\frac{1}{x^2 - 1} = \frac{A}{x - 1} + \frac{B}{x + 1}$$

We multiply by the denominator which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= A(x + 1) + B(x - 1) \\
 &= Ax + A + Bx - B \\
 &= (A + B)x + (A - B)
 \end{aligned}$$

so $A + B = 0$ and $A - B = 1$. We can solve this using linear algebra techniques, or we can substitute values for x .

Let $x = 1$, then $1 = A(x + 1) + B(x - 1) \implies 1 = A(2) + B(0) \implies A = \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, setting $x = -1$ yields $B = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Note that the above method assumes a unique solution exists.

Partial Fraction Decomposition. Consider a ratio of polynomials $f(x)/g(x)$. If $\deg f \geq \deg g$, we may use long division to write the ratio as $h(x) + \frac{f_1(x)}{g(x)}$, with h a polynomial and $\deg f_1 < \deg g$.

Thus we may assume $\deg f < \deg g$. Suppose g factors over \mathbb{R} as follows,

$$g(x) = p_1(x)^{n_1} \dots p_k(x)^{n_k}$$

where each p_i is co-prime and irreducible and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, each p_i will either

be degree 1 or 2. We may then write

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^k T_i(x)$$

where T_i is as follows:

1. If $\deg p_i = 1$, then $T_i(x) = \frac{A_1}{p_i(x)} + \dots + \frac{A_{n_i}}{p_i(x)^{n_i}}$ for $A_j \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. If $\deg p_i = 2$, then $T_i(x) = \frac{A_1x+B_1}{p_i(x)} + \dots + \frac{A_{n_i}x+B_{n_i}}{p_i(x)^{n_i}}$ for $A_j, B_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example. Find the PFD of

$$\frac{2x-1}{x^2+x-2} = \frac{2x-1}{(x-1)(x+2)} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x+2}$$

We multiply by the denominator and get

$$2x+1 = A(x+2) + B(x-1)$$

Let $x = 1$, this yields $3 = 3A \implies A = 1$.

Let $x = -2$, this yields $-3 = -3B \implies B = 1$.

Thus

$$\frac{2x-1}{x^2+x-2} = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x+2}$$

and

$$\int \frac{2x-1}{x^2+x-2} dx = \int \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{1}{x+2} dx = \ln|x-1| + \ln|x+2| + c$$

Example. Find the PFD of

$$\frac{4}{x^3+x^2-x-1} = \frac{4}{(x-1)(x+1)^2} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x+1} + \frac{C}{(x+1)^2}$$

Note we get the above by factoring by grouping.

We multiply by the denominator and get

$$4 = A(x+1)^2 + B(x-1)(x+1) + C(x-1)$$

Let $x = -1$, this yields $4 = 4A \implies A = 1$.

Let $x = 1$, this yields $4 = -2C \implies C = -2$.

Let $x = 0$, this yields $4 = 1 - B + 2 \implies B = -1$.

Thus

$$\frac{4}{x^3+x^2-x-1} = \frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{2}{(x+1)^2}$$

and

$$\int \frac{4}{x^3+x^2-x-1} dx = \int \frac{1}{x-1} - \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{2}{(x+1)^2} dx = \ln|x-1| + \ln|x+1| + \frac{2}{x+1}$$

Example. Find the PFD of

$$\frac{6x-3}{x^3-1} = \frac{6x-3}{(x-1)(x^2+x+1)} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{Bx+C}{x^2+x+1}$$

We multiply by the denominator and get

$$6x-3 = A(x^2+x+1) + (Bx+C)(x-1)$$

Let $x = 1$, this yields $3 = 3A \implies A = 1$.

Let $x = 0$, this yields $-3 = (1)(1) - C \implies C = 4$.

Let $x = 2$, this yields $9 = 7 + (2B+4)(1) \implies B = -1$.

Thus

$$\frac{6x-3}{x^3-1} = \frac{1}{x-1} + \frac{4-x}{x^2+x+1}$$

How do we integrate $-\int \frac{x-4}{x^2+x+1} dx$?

The ideal substitution would be $u = x^2 + x + 1 \implies du = 2x + 1 \, dx$. Thus we will try to get this to appear.

$$\begin{aligned} - \int \frac{x-4}{x^2+x+1} \, dx &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{2x+1-9}{x^2+x+1} \, dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1} \, dx + \frac{9}{2} \int \frac{1}{x^2+x+1} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Let's integrate the first term for now:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{2x+1}{x^2+x+1} \, dx &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{u} \, du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln |u| \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(x^2+x+1) \end{aligned}$$

Now for the second term, we complete the square: Note that

$$x^2+x+1 = \left(x^2+x+\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{3}{4} = \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{9}{2} \int \frac{1}{x^2+x+1} \, dx &= \frac{9}{2} \int \frac{1}{\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{9}{2} \int \frac{1}{\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{4}{3} \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 1\right)} \, dx \\ &= 6 \int \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^2 + 1} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \implies du = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} dx \implies dx = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \int \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^2 + 1} \, dx &= 6 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int \frac{1}{u^2+1} \, du \\ &= 3\sqrt{3} \arctan u + c \\ &= 3\sqrt{3} \arctan \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) + c \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$- \int \frac{x-4}{x^2+x+1} \, dx = -\frac{1}{2} \ln |x^2+x+1| + 3\sqrt{3} \arctan \left(\frac{2x+1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + c$$

and so

$$\int \frac{6x-3}{x^3-1} \, dx = \ln |x-1| - \frac{1}{2} \ln |x^2+x+1| + 3\sqrt{3} \arctan \left(\frac{2x+1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) + c$$

2 Differential Equations

Definition 2.1. A **differential equation** is an equation that relates a function to its derivative.

Example. Solve $y' = ky$ for some fixed $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that $y(x) = 0$ is a solution, and for non-zero y we can divide it out. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{y} y' &= k \\
 \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{y} y' dx &= \int k dx \\
 \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{u} du &= kx + c_1 && (\text{with } u = y \Rightarrow du = y' dx) \\
 \Rightarrow \ln |u| + c_2 &= kx + c_1 \\
 \Rightarrow \ln |y| + c_2 &= kx + c_1 \\
 \Rightarrow \ln |y| &= kx + c_3 && (\text{where } c_3 = c_1 - c_2) \\
 \Rightarrow |y| &= e^{kx+c_3} \\
 &= e^{kx} \cdot e^{c_3} \\
 \Rightarrow y &= \pm e^{kx} \cdot e^{c_3} \\
 &= ce^{kx} && (\text{where } c = \pm e^{c_3}, \text{ and since } 0 \text{ is a solution, we have } c \in \mathbb{R})
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark. This works because we could rearrange the differential equation to the form

$$F(y)y' = G(x)$$

Such an equation is called **separable**. Integration wrt x yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int F(y)y' dx &= \int G(x) dx \\
 \Rightarrow \int F(y) dy &= \int G(x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Example. Solve $y' = \frac{-x}{y}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 yy' &= -x \\
 \Rightarrow \int yy' dx &= \int -x dx \\
 \Rightarrow \int y dy &= \int -x dx \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}y^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + c \\
 \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 &= 2c
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a circle with radius $\sqrt{2c}$. So, the slope of the tangent lines on a circle are $-\frac{x}{y}$

3 Series and Sequences of Functions

Integral Test. Let f be a continuous, non-negative, and decreasing function on $[k, \infty)$ and let $f(n) = a_n$, then

$$\int_k^\infty f(x) dx \text{ is convergent} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=k}^\infty a_n \text{ converges}$$

3.1 Power Series

Definition 3.1. Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is a **power series** centered at 0 with coefficients a_n and x is a variable. This series may converge for some values of x and diverge for others. Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots$$

the series converges to a_0 when $x = 0$.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^n x^n$$

so

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ n^n & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let's fix x and use the root test. Have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^n x^n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n|x|$. We need this < 1 to converge, which only happens when $x = 0$. Thus the series converges only at $x = 0$.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$$

so $a_n = 1$ is a power series. This is the geometric series! Proved in 1052 this converges for $|x| < 1$ and diverges for $|x| > 1$. Know that it converges to $\frac{1}{1-x}$ when it converges.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n$$

so $a_n = \frac{1}{n!}$. Let's fix x and use the ratio test.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1}}{\frac{1}{n!} x^n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} |x| = |x| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} = 0 < 1$$

Thus this power series converges absolutely for all x .

Theorem 3.2. For the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, let

$$R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$$

Then the power series converges absolutely for $|x| < R$ and diverges for $|x| > R$. R is called the **radius of convergence**.

Proof. We use the ratio test on $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$. Have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{a_n x^n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| |x|$$

Case 1: $0 < R < \infty$

Then by Theorem 9.6 implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}} \right| = \frac{1}{R}$ and so the limit above is $\frac{|x|}{R}$.

By the ratio test, the series converges absolutely if $\frac{|x|}{R} < 1 \Leftrightarrow |x| < R$, and diverges if $|x| > R$.

Case 2: $R = \infty$

Theorem 9.10 implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}} \right| = 0$ and so the limit above is $0 < 1 \forall x$, so the series converges absolutely for all x .

Case 3: $R = 0$. Theorem 9.10 implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|} = \infty$. Thus the limit above is ∞ for all x and so the series converges only for $x = 0$. \square

Example.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} x^n$$

$$\text{so } a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ \frac{1}{n} & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = 1$.

This power series converges absolutely for $|x| < 1$ and diverges for $|x| > 1$.

What about for $x = \pm 1$? When $x = 1$, the series is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ which is the harmonic series and diverges.

When $x = -1$, the series is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ which converges conditionally. Thus the series converges for $x \in [-1, 1)$.

Remark. In general, the endpoints must be checked separately.

Definition 3.3. The interval on which a power series converges is called the **interval of convergence**.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} x^n$$

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^2}{n^2} = 1$. Thus it converges for $|x| < 1$. At $x = 1$, the series is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ and at $x = -1$, the series is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2}$ which both converge.

The interval of convergence is $[-1, 1]$.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^n x^{2n}$$

so $a_{2n} = 3^n$ and $a_{n+1} = 0$ (the series is $3^0 + 3x^2 + 3^2x^4 + \dots$)

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|$ which doesn't exist as every second term is 0. Let's fix x and use the ratio test, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{3^{n+1} x^{2(n+1)}}{3^n x^{2n}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3x^2 = 3x^2$. So, we must have $3x^2 < 1 \implies |x| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ for it to converge. At $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, series is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 3^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1$ which diverges, at $x = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1$ which diverges.

Thus the radius of convergence is $\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)$.

An alternative method to solve is let $y = x^2$, so series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^n y^n$. Then $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3^n}{3^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{3}$. Then series converges for $|y| < \frac{1}{3} \implies |x^2| < \frac{1}{3} \implies |x| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ as before.

3.1.1 Series not Centered at 0

Definition 3.4. The power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x - x_0)$ centered at x_0 will still have a radius of convergence of R and will converge for $|x - x_0| < R$. That is, for $x_0 - R < x < x_0 + R$. It for diverge for $|x - x_0| > R$. Convergent of endpoints needs to be checked separately.

Example.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (x - 1)^n$$

$$\text{so } a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 1 \\ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} & n \geq 1 \end{cases} \text{ and } x_0 = 1.$$

Have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}}{\frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{n+2}} \right| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n+1}{n} \right| \\ &= 1 \\ &= R\end{aligned}$$

and so this converges for $|x - 1| < 1$ or $0 < x < 2$.

At $x = 0$, series is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (-1)^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{2n+1}}{n} \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\end{aligned}$$

which diverges.

At $x = 2$, series is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (1)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$$

which converges conditionally.

Thus the interval of convergence is $(0, 2]$.

Remark. A power series is a function of x with domain its interval of convergence. Want to know is it continuous, differentiable, integrable.

The partial sums of a power series are polynomials, which are continuous. If the series converges, its sum is the limit of the sequence of partial sums.

Is the limit of a convergent sequence of continuous functions necessarily continuous?

The answer is no.

Example. Let $f_n(x) = x^n$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. These are the functions x, x^2, x^3, \dots . Each of these functions is continuous on $[0, 1]$. For $x < 1$, have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = 0$, but at $x = 1$, have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1^n = 1$. Thus the sequence of continuous functions

$$f_n(x) \text{ converges to the discontinuous function } f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

We are essentially fixing a point x and looking at the sequence $f_n(x)$ separately for each value of x . This is called point-wise convergence.

Definition 3.5. The sequence of functions f_n on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ converges **pointwise** to a function f on S if for all $x \in S$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$. Formally,

$$\forall x \in S, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Here, N may depend on ε and/or x . That is, can choose N differently for different x .

Example. In the previous example, given a fixed $\varepsilon = 0.1$, increasingly large N are needed for x near 1.

At $x = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n &< 0.1 \\ \implies 2^n &> 10 \\ \implies n &> 4\end{aligned}$$

so take $N = 4$ for this x and ε .

Now with the same ε take $x = \frac{9}{10}$. Have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n &< 0.1 \\ \implies \left(\frac{10}{9}\right)^n &> 10 \\ \implies n \ln \frac{10}{9} &> \ln 10 \\ \implies n &> \frac{\ln 10}{\ln \frac{10}{9}} \approx 21.8\end{aligned}$$

so take $N = 22$ for this x and ε .

Now with the same ε take $x = \frac{99}{100}$. Have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{99}{100}\right)^n &< 0.1 \\ \implies n &> \frac{\ln 10}{\ln \frac{100}{99}} \approx 229.1\end{aligned}$$

so take $N = 230$ for this x and ε .

At $x = 1$, sequence is $1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$. It seems that N will not change wrt x . We can strengthen the definition so that one N must work for all x .

3.2 Uniform Convergence

Definition 3.6. The sequence of functions f_n on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ **converges uniformly** to a function f on S if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall x \in S, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Remark. If f_n converges to f uniformly, it also does pointwise as well.

Example. Let's return to our example.

$$\text{We have } f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Does this converge uniformly?

Suppose so for contradiction. Take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. The definition implies we have

$$\exists N, \forall x \in [0, 1], n > N \implies |x^n - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2}$$

Take $x \in [0, 1)$ so we can simplify $f(x)$ as it is always 0. Take $n = N + 1$. We then have $x^{N+1} < \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $x_1 = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$, and thus have $0 < x_1 < 1$, but $x_1^{N+1} = \frac{1}{2}$, so we have $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$ from the $\varepsilon - N$ definition, which is a contradiction. Thus these functions do not converge uniformly.

Corollary 3.7. Any uniformly convergent sequence is pointwise convergent. (trivial)

Example. Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx)$ on \mathbb{R} .

Have $f_1(x) = \sin x$, $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2x$, and so on. The period and the amplitude are decreasing.

Looks like $f(x)$ approaches the constant zero function. We can show this is convergent uniformly on \mathbb{R} . Have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - 0| &= \frac{1}{n} |\sin nx| \\ &< \frac{1}{n} (1) \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = N$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $N = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n > N$ implies

$$|f_n(x) - 0| < \varepsilon$$

as required.

Theorem 24.3. The uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous. In other words, let $f_n \rightarrow f$ be uniformly convergent on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ where f is a function on S . If each f_n is continuous at $x_0 \in S$, then f is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. We want $|f(x) - f(x_0)|$ small when x is near x_0 . Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, can make $|f_n(x) - f(x)|$ and $|f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)|$ small for large enough n . Since each f_n is continuous, $|f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)|$ is small provided x close to x_0 .

Have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &= |f(x) - f_n(x) + f_n(x) - f_n(x_0) + f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since f_n converges uniformly to f , $\exists N$ such that $\forall x \in S$, including x_0 , $n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. In particular,

$$|f_{N+1}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (1)$$

Since f_{N+1} is continuous at x_0 , $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $x \in S$ and

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f_{N+1}(x) - f_{N+1}(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (2)$$

Thus $x \in S$ and $|x - x_0| < \delta \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &= |f(x) - f_{N+1}(x)| + |f_{N+1}(x) - f_{N+1}(x_0)| + |f_{N+1}(x_0) - f(x_0)| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} && \text{(From 1 and 2 above)} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.8. If f is discontinuous, and f_n is continuous, then f_n does not uniformly converge.

Example. Let $f_n = (1 - |x|)^n$ on $(-1, 1)$.

If $x = 0$, then $(1 - |x|) = 1$ and so $f_n = 1^n = 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If $x \neq 0$, then $(1 - |x|) < 1$ and so $f_n = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, $f_n \rightarrow f$ where $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 \\ 1 & x = 0 \end{cases}$

Since f is not continuous, it does not uniformly converge.

Example. Consider $f_n(x) = x^n$ on $[0, 1]$.

The pointwise limit is $f(x) = 0$ which is continuous.

However, this does not imply that f_n converges uniformly.

This is the same example as before.

Example. Let $0 < b < 1$ be fixed and consider $f_n = x^n$ on $[0, b]$. Then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Find N first.

Have

$$\begin{aligned} |x^n - 0| &= x^n \\ &< b^n \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (\text{We want } b^n < \varepsilon)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b^n &< \varepsilon \\ n \ln b &< \ln \varepsilon \\ n &> \frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\ln b} \end{aligned} \quad (\ln b < 0 \text{ since } b < 1 < e)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Take $N = \frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\ln b}$. Then $\forall x \in [0, b], n > N \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} |x^n - 0| &= x^n \\ &\leq b^n \\ &< b^N \\ &= b^{\frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\ln b}} \\ &= e^{\ln \varepsilon} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (b < 1, \text{ so lower powers are higher})$$

Thus, let $f_n(x) = x^n$, so $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$

On $[0, 1]$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise only.

On $[0, 1)$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise only.

On $[0, b]$, where $b < 1$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise uniformly.

Theorem 25.2. Let (f_n) be a sequence of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ that converges uniformly to f on $[a, b]$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$

Proof. Theorem 24.3 implies that f is continuous. Thus $f_n \rightarrow f$ is continuous and integrable on $[a, b]$ for all n .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, then $\exists N, \forall x \in [a, b], n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a}$.

Thus, have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_a^b f_n(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_a^b f_n(x) - f(x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \\
 &< \int_a^b \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} dx \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} \int_a^b 1 dx \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} b - a \\
 &= \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Example. Consider $f_n(x) = x^n$ on $[0, b]$ for $b < 1$. By 25.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b f_n(x) dx &= \int_0^b f(x) dx \\
 &= \int_0^b 0 dx \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Let's check.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b f_n(x) dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} \Big|_0^b \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^{n+1}}{n+1} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

($0 < b < 1$)

3.3 Cauchy Convergence

Definition 3.9. Let (f_n) be a sequence of functions $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The sequence is **uniformly Cauchy** on S if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall x \in S, m, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon$$

Theorem 3.10. Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on S . Then f_n is uniformly Cauchy on S .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then $\exists N, x \in S, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Then $m, n > N$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| &= |f_n(x) - f(x) + f(x) - f_m(x)| \\
 &\leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_m(x)| \\
 &\leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f_m(x) - f(x)| \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
 &= \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 25.4. Let (f_n) be a uniformly convergent sequence of functions on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then $\exists f$ on S such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Proof. We must first find f . Since (f_n) is uniformly Cauchy, given $\varepsilon > 0$, have $\exists N, \forall x \in S, m, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Fix $x_0 \in S$. Then the above for $x = x_0$, the sequence $(f_n(x_0))$ is a Cauchy sequence of numbers that converge. Thus $\exists \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0)$. We define $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for each $x \in S$. Thus, $f_n \rightarrow f$ converges pointwise. We now show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on S . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since (f_n) is uniformly Cauchy, $\exists N, \forall x \in S, m, n > N \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $n > N$ and $x \in S$, the above implies

$$f_n(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < f_m(x) < f_n(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $m > N$ and

$$f_n(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < f(x) < f_n(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x) = f$. Thus, have $|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in S$ and $n > N$. Thus, it converges uniformly. \square

3.4 Series of Functions

Definition 3.11. We say that the series of functions $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ converges to a function g if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n g_k(x) = g$.

If the sequence of partial sums converges uniformly on S , then we say the series converges uniformly on S . If the sequence of partial sums diverges to $\pm\infty$, then we say the series diverges to $\pm\infty$. Otherwise the series has no meaning.

Remark. A power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ is a series of functions with $g_k(x) = a_k x^k$. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{1+x^k}$ is a series of functions that is not a power series as written.

Theorem 25.5. Let $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ be a series on $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If then $g_k(x)$ is continuous on S and the series converges uniformly on S , then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ is continuous on S .

Proof. The partial sum $\sum_{k=0}^n g_k(x)$ is a finite sum of continuous functions and are continuous. Thus the sequence of partial sums is a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions. Then 24.3 implies that the limit $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ is continuous. \square

Corollary 3.12. Let's write the Cauchy criterion for the sequence of partial sums of the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ is uniformly convergent on S if and only if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N, \forall x \in S, n \geq m > N$ (WLOG) $\implies |\sum_{k=m}^n g_k(x)| < \varepsilon$.

Weierstrass M-test for uniform convergence. Let (M_k) be a sequence of non-negative numbers with $\sum M_k < \infty$. If $|g_k(x)| \leq M_k \forall x \in S$, then $\sum g_k(x)$ converges uniformly on S .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\sum M_k$ converges, the sequence of partial sums $\sum_{k=0}^n M_k$ is Cauchy. Then, $\exists N$ such that $n \geq m > N \implies \sum_{k=m}^n M_k < \varepsilon$. Thus, if $n \geq m > N$ and $x \in S$, have

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^n g_k(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=m}^n |g_k(x)| \leq \sum_{k=m}^n M_k < \varepsilon$$

Thus $\sum g_k$ is Cauchy and thus uniformly convergent on S . \square

Lemma 3.13. If $\sum g_k(x)$ converges uniformly on S , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in S} \{|g_n(x)| \mid x \in S\} = 0$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $\sum g_k(x)$ is Cauchy, $\exists N$ such that $\forall x \in S, n \geq m \implies |\sum_{k=m}^n g_k(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $m = n$, have that $\forall x \in S, n > N \implies |g_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then $n > N \implies \sup_{x \in S} \{|g_n(x)| \mid x \in S\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N$ such that $n > N \implies 0 \leq \sup_{x \in S} \{|g_n(x)| \mid x \in S\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$, and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in S} \{|g_n(x)| \mid x \in S\}) = 0$. \square

Example. Consider $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n} x^n$. Have $R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{3^{-n}}{3^{-(n+1)}} \right| = 3$. At $x = \pm 3$, the series diverges by n -th term test. Thus, the interval of pointwise convergence is $(-3, 3)$.

Let $0 < b < 3$. For $x \in [-b, b]$, we have $|3^{-n} x^n| \leq 3^{-n} b^n = \left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^n$. Note that $\sum \left(\frac{b}{3}\right)^n$ converges since it is a geometric

series with $\left|\frac{b}{3}\right| < \frac{3}{3} < 1$. Thus, the Weierstrass M-test implies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n}x^n$ converges uniformly on $[-b, b]$. By Theorem 25.5, since $3^{-n}x^n$ is continuous on all x for each n , the sum is continuous on $[-b, b]$. Since this holds for all $b < 3$, given any $-3 < x_0 < 3$ we can find b with $x_0 < b < 3$ and so $x_0 \in [-b, b]$. Thus, the sum is continuous on $(-3, 3)$.

However, we note that $\sup_{x \in (-3, 3)} \{3^{-n}x^n \mid x \in (-3, 3)\} = 1 \forall n$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in (-3, 3)} \{3^{-n}x^n \mid x \in (-3, 3)\}) = 1 \neq 0$. By previous lemma, the power series does not converge uniformly on $(-3, 3)$. In summary, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n}x^n$ converge pointwise $(-3, 3)$ to a continuous function. It converges uniformly on $[-b, b]$ for any $0 < b < 3$, but does not converge uniformly on $(-3, 3)$. In fact, since we know that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$ for $|x| < 1$, we can replace x with $\frac{x}{3}$ to get $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 3^{-n}x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{3}\right)^n = \frac{1}{1-\frac{x}{3}} = \frac{3}{3-x}$ for $\left|\frac{x}{3}\right| < 1$.

Theorem 26.1 + Corollary 26.2. Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a power series with $R > 0$ (possibly ∞). If $0 < R_1 < R$, then the power series converges uniformly on $[-R_1, R_1]$ and converges to a continuous function on $(-R, R)$.

Proof. The series $\sum a_n x^n$ and $\sum |a_n| x^n$ have the same R of convergence (23.1). Since $|R_1| < R$, R_1 is in the interval of convergence and so $\sum |a_n| R_1^n < \infty$.

Since $\forall x \in [-R_1, R_1]$, we have $|a_n x^n| \leq |a_n| R_1^n$, the W-M test implies $\sum a_n x^n$ converges uniformly on $[-R_1, R_1]$. By 25.5, the limit function is continuous on this interval. If $x_0 \in (-R, R)$, then $x_0 \in [-R_1, R_1]$ for some $R_1 < R$. Thus, the above implies the limit function is continuous at x_0 . \square

Lemma 26.3. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ has radius of convergence R , then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ and $\sum_{n=\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ also have radius of convergence R .

Note that the interval of convergence may change.

Proof. Note that $\sum n a_n x^{n-1}$ and $\sum n a_n x^n$ have the same R as do $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ and $\sum \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^n$.

We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n a_n}{(n+1)(a_{n+1})} \right| = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n+1} \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| \right) \\ = 1 \cdot R$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{a_n}{n+1}}{\frac{a_{n+1}}{n+2}} \right| = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+2}{n+1} \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right| \right) = 1(R)$$

Note if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}$ does not exist, the ratio test can be used to complete the proof. \square

Theorem 26.4. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ has radius of convergence $R > 0$. Then

$$\int_0^x f(t) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

Proof. Fix $|x| < R$. We prove the case where $x > 0$. By 26.1, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$ converges uniformly to $f(t)$ on $[0, x]$ and

so the sequence of partial sums $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k t^k$ with $t \in [0, x]$ converges uniformly to $f(t)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^x f(t) dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x \sum_{k=0}^n a_k t^k \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \int_0^x t^k dt \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(\frac{x^{k+1} - 0^{k+1}}{k+1} \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k}{k+1} x^{k+1} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k+1} x^{k+1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{33.3}$$

□

Example. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$ for $|x| < R$. Integrate term by term from $0 \rightarrow x$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} &= \int_0^x \frac{1}{1-t} dt \\
 &= -\ln|1-t| \Big|_0^x \\
 &= -\ln|1-x| - (-\ln|1|) \\
 &= -\ln(1-x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{Since $-1 < x < 1$ }$$

Thus, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} = -\ln(1-x)$ for $|x| < 1$. If we let $x = \frac{1}{2}$, we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n2^n} = \ln 2$.

Remark. We can integrate term-by-term, but can we also differentiate?

E.g.,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx) = \cos(nx)$$

The first function converges but the second one does not.

Theorem 26.5. Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ has radius of convergence $R > 0$. Then f is differentiable on $(-R, R)$ with $f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$

Proof. Consider $g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ which will converge for $|x| < R$ (Lemma 26.5). By 26.4, can integrate G term by term. $\int_0^x g(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n = f(x) - a_0$ for $|x| < R$. For R_1 with $0 < R_1 < R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-R_1}^x g(t) dt &= \int_{-R_1}^0 g(t) dt + \int_0^x g(t) dt \\
 &= \int_{-R_1}^0 g(t) dt + f(x) - a_0
 \end{aligned}$$

These are both constants, so $f(x) = \int_{-R_1}^x g(t) dt + k$. By 26.1, $g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ is continuous. f is differentiable on $(-R_1, R_1)$ with $f'(x) = g(x)$. Since $R_1 < R$ is arbitrary, $f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ for $|x| < R$. □

Theorem 26.6 - Abel's Theorem. Let f be a power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with radius of convergence $R < \infty$. If the series converges at $x = \pm R$, then f is continuous there.

Example. We saw that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$ for $|x| < 1$. Differentiating yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} = -\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$$

for the same R . Differentiating again,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)x^{n-2} = \frac{2}{(1-x)^3} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)x^n$$

We can multiply the first equation by x ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$$

for $|x| < 1$.

Let $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{(1-\frac{1}{2})^2} = 2$.

Now we integrate the series term by term

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} = \int_0^x \frac{1}{t} dt = -\ln|1-x|$$

Hence, $\ln(1-x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$. R is still 1, but now the series converges at $x = -1$. By Abel's Theorem, $-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$ is continuous at $x = -1$, as is $\ln(1-x)$. Thus they must be equal at $x = -1$.

That is,

$$\ln(1-(-1)) = \ln 2 = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = \ln 2$$

Example. Consider $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n$. This converges $\forall x$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} x^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have $f'(x) = f(x)$. This can only happen if $f(x) = ce^x$. Letting $x = 0$ yields $\frac{1}{0!} = ce^0 \implies c = 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n = e^x$$

For example, when $x = 1$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = e$.

Example. Consider the Fibonacci numbers $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 1, F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 3$. Let's form the power series with coefficients F_n , called a generating series for F_n .

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n x^n$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= F_1x + F_2x^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (F_{n-1} + F_{n-2})x^n \\
 &= x + x^2 + x \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} F_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^2 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} F_{n-2}x^{n-2} \\
 &= x + x \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_nx^n + x^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n = 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n \\
 &= x + x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n + x^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n = 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n \\
 &= x + xg(x) = x^2g(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$g(x) = \frac{x}{1-x-x^2} = \frac{-x}{x^2-x-1}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{-x}{x^2-x-1} &= \frac{-x}{(x+r_1)(x+r_2)} \\
 &= \frac{A}{x+r_1} + \frac{B}{x+r_2} \\
 \implies A &= -\frac{r_1}{\sqrt{5}}, B = \frac{r_2}{\sqrt{5}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $r_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{r_2}{x+r_2} - \frac{r_1}{x+r_1} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{x}{r_2}} - \frac{1}{1+\frac{x}{r_1}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1}{1-r_1x} - \frac{1}{1-r_2x} \right) && \text{(Using } r_1r_2 = -1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r_1x)^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r_2x)^n \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r_1^n - r_2^n)x^n \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_nx^n$, we have

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right)$$

3.5 Taylor Series

Remark. Suppose have power series centered at c . $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-c)^n$ for $|x-c| < R$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= a_0 + a_1(x-c) + a_2(x-c)^2 + a_3(x-c)^3 + \dots &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-c)^n \\
 f'(x) &= a_1 + 2a_2(x-c) + 3a_3(x-c)^2 + \dots &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n(x-c)^{n-1} \\
 f''(x) &= 2a_2 + 6a_3(x-c) + \dots &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n(x-c)^{n-2} \\
 f'''(x) &= 6a_3 + \dots &= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) a_n(x-c)^{n-3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = c$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(c) &= a_0 \\
 f'(c) &= a_1 \\
 f''(c) &= 2a_2 \\
 f'''(c) &= 6a_3
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus starting with the power series, there is a formula for its coefficients $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}$.

What if we start with $f(x)$, not necessarily a power series and form the power series $\sum a_n(x-c)^n$ with $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!}$.

Will it converge to f ?

Definition 3.14. Let f be defined on (a, b) with $c \in (a, b)$ and suppose all order of derivatives of f exist at c . Then the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x-c)^k$$

is the **Taylor Series** for f centered at c .

A Taylor Series centered at 0 is called a **Maclaurin Series**.

For $n \geq 1$, the remainder is $R_n(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x-c)^k$.

Thus, $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)}{k!} (x-c)^k \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 0$.

Example. Find the Taylor Series of $\sin x$ centered at 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \sin x &\Rightarrow f(0) &= 0 \\
 f'(x) &= \cos x &\Rightarrow f'(0) &= 1 \\
 f''(x) &= -\sin x &\Rightarrow f''(0) &= 0 \\
 f'''(x) &= -\cos x &\Rightarrow f'''(0) &= -1
 \end{aligned}$$

and this pattern repeats.

Thus,

$$f^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & k=2n \\ 1 & k=4n+1 \\ -1 & k=4n+3 \end{cases}$$

Thus, the Taylor series centered at 0 is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(2n+1)}(0)}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}$$

where $n = 2k + 1$.

We then have

$$f^{(2n+1)}(0) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ is even} \\ -1 & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} = (-1)^n$$

Thus the series becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \dots$$

Let's find the radius of convergence. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n+3)!} x^{2n+3}}{\frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}} \right| &= x^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(2n+1)!}{(2n+3)!} \right| \\ &= x^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(2n+2)(2n+3)} \right| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This converges for all x .

Remark. If we know that a function f is equal to a power series, then we saw that $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}}{n!}$ and so that power series is the Taylor Series centered at c .

Example. We saw that $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ on \mathbb{R} so the Taylor series for e^x centered at 0 is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ and converges.

Find the Taylor series for e^{-x^2} centered at 0 and for e^x centered at 2.

By above, we have $e^{-x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} x^{2n}$. Also, $e^{x-2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (x-2)^n = e^x e^{-2}$. So $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^2}{n!} (x-2)^n$.

Taylor's Theorem (31.3). If f is defined in (a, b) with $a < c < b$ not necessarily finite. Suppose the n th derivative $f^{(n)}(x)$ exists on the interval. Then $\forall x \in (a, b) \neq c$, there is some y in between c and x such that $R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n)}(y)}{n!} (x-c)^n$.

Lemma 3.15. Let $b > 0$ be constant. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} = 0$$

Corollary 3.16. Let f be defined on (a, b) with $a < c < b$. If all derivatives $f^{(n)}(x)$ exist on (a, b) and are bounded by a single constant B , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in (a, b)$ where R_n is the remainder for the Taylor Series centered at c .

Example. Recall that we found the Taylor series for $\sin x$ which converges everywhere. Since all derivatives of $\sin x$ are bounded by $1 \in \mathbb{R}$, by corollary $R_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the Taylor series converges to $\sin x$ on \mathbb{R} .

Example. Find the Taylor series for $\cos x$ centered at 0.

We can use the same technique for \sin , but we can just differentiate instead.

$$\cos x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} x^{2n}$$

Example. Show that the Taylor series for e^x converges to e^x (centered at 0).

We have $f(x) = e^x \implies f^{(n)}(x) = e^x \implies f^{(n)}(0) = e^0 = 1$. Thus, the Taylor series is $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n$. By usual formula for R , we have $R = \infty$. On $(-b, b)$, $|f^{(n)}(x)| < e^b$ so by corollary, the Taylor series converges to e^x on $(-b, b)$. Since b is arbitrary in \mathbb{R} , it converges pointwise to e^x for all x .

Example. This example is of a Taylor series for a function f centered at 0 that does not converge to f on any interval $(-b, b)$.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{x}} & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

We will show that $f^{(n)}(0) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This implies that the Taylor series for f centered at 0 is $\sum \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^n = 0$. However, in any interval $(-b, b)$, $\exists x$ for $f(x) \neq 0$. It is clear that f has derivatives of all orders for $x \neq 0$, namely

$$f'(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \frac{1}{x^2}$$

and

$$f''(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \left(\frac{1}{x^4} - \frac{2}{x^3} \right)$$

We claim that for each n , there is a polynomial P_n of degree $2n$ such that $f^{(n)}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} P_n(\frac{1}{x})$. For example, $P_1(t) = t^2$ and $P_2(t) = t^4 - 2t^3$. Suppose for $x > 0$, the n -th derivative of f is $f^{(n)}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} P_n(\frac{1}{x})$ where $P_n(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{2n} t^{2n}$ and $a_{2n} \neq 0$. Then, $f^{(n)}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_k \cdot \frac{1}{x^k}$. Differentiating, $f^{(n+1)}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \cdot -\frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_k \frac{1}{x^k} + e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_k \frac{-k}{x^{k+1}}$ so $p_{n+1} = t^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_k t_k - \sum_{k=0}^{2n} k a_k t^{k+1}$.

We now show that $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$. Suppose $f^{(n)}(0) \neq 0$. Want to prove $f^{(n+1)}(0) = 0$. Have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(n+1)}(0) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(0)}{x - 0} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{x} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We also show that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} q(\frac{1}{x}) = 0$ for all polynomials q . Since $f^{(n+1)}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} p(\frac{1}{x})$. This is a polynomial evaluated at $\frac{1}{x}$. This implies $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{x} = 0$. Since $q(\frac{1}{x})$ is finite sum of form $\frac{b_k}{x^k}$. We show $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}}{x^k} = 0$. Let $g = \frac{1}{x}$. As $x \rightarrow 0$, $g \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x}}}{x^k} = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-g}}{g^k} = \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^k}{e^g}$. Apply L'Hopital's rule k times to get 0.