



Project Concept and Design/Data Analysis report (20%)

[FIT3161 - FIT3162 - MUM S1 2024](#) / Project Concept and Design/Data Analysis report (20%)



Information

Teaching for the unit has now been completed. Learning Captures are no longer available. The Lectures and Unit Coordinators will no longer be accessing or monitoring this site. This Moodle site will remain available to you as resource until you have completed your studies at Monash. Please note the materials presented here were accurate as of time of Teaching and may be superseded at any time.



✓ **DONE: MAKE A SUBMISSION**

Due: Friday, 3 May 2024, 11:55 PM

Generative AI tools can be used for all assessments in this unit

In this unit, you can use generative artificial intelligence (AI) to assist you in any way. Any use of generative AI must be appropriately acknowledged (see [Learn HQ](#))




This is a team-based submission.

-  [Data Analysis Report FIT3163 04042024.pdf](#) 15 April 2024, 8:19 AM
-  [Marking Rubric - Project Initial Concept and Design Data Analysis Report 04042024 .pdf](#) 15 April 2024, 8:19 AM
-  [Marking Rubric -Project Initial Concept and Design Presentation 04042924.pdf](#) 15 April 2024, 8:19 AM
-  [Project Initial Concept and Design Data Analysis report Presentation 04042024.pdf](#) 15 April 2024, 8:19 AM
-  [Project Initial Concept and Design Data Analysis report \(Team member contribution template\).xlsx](#) 15 April 2024, 8:19 AM

Submission status

Group	MDS02
Attempt number	This is attempt 1.
Submission status	Submitted for grading
Grading status	Graded
Time remaining	Assignment was submitted 5 hours 36 mins early



Last modified	Friday, 3 May 2024, 6:18 PM
File submissions	<div><div> MDS2 - Project Initial Concept and Design, Data Analysis Report.docx.pdf</div><div> Turnitin ID: 2369718012</div><div> 6%</div></div> <div>3 May 2024, 4:38 PM</div>
Submission comments	<div>▶ Comments (0)</div>

Feedback

Grade	80.50 / 100.00
Graded on	Friday, 31 May 2024, 3:20 PM
Graded by	<div>SW</div> Soo Wooi King
Feedback comments	<div><div>—</div><div>Good work overall on the assessment but some places of improvement do exist. Your written introduction and project goals are clear however many of the statements are duplicated in nature (e.g. points 3,4,5 refer to the same thing basically) but these are minor issues. With the assumption that figure 1 is your design representation, you have delivered what looks somewhat like a deployment/component diagram which shows where each module/component would be deployed in the final system. More clarity could have been made to determine which actual representation you are trying to present here because your written description reads more like a flow chart instead that lists the steps in the entire process. The EDA activity has been done well and you have shown the work attempted into getting a working handwriting recognition model - attempts to further the work here into domain specific text recognition would be a recommendation here. A search online can direct you to datasets for handwritten medical prescriptions and terminologies which can possibly get you better results in the end Presentation wise, the report is written and formatted well aside from minor issues - figures and tables are best labeled using the section/chapter-index notation (e.g. third diagram in fourth chapter maybe labeled "Figure 4-3 XXXXX") to allow for easy referencing.</div></div>