Faculty of Information Technology

FIT3162 Computer Science Project Part 2
FIT3164 Data Science Project Part 2

Marking rubric for final project report

Front Cover Sheet **2**	
HD 80-100	Excellent presentation and layout. Shows project titles, team member's name, possible acknowledgement and detailed table of contents. Includes word count.
D 70 – <80	Good presentation. Shows project titles, team member's name, possible acknowledgement and table of contents. Includes word count.
C 60 – <70	Good presentation. Shows most of the project titles, team member's name, possible acknowledgement and table of contents. Includes word count.
P 50 – <60	Shows project titles, and team members' names. Includes word count.
F <50	Not included or missing most of the above.

Introduction **8**	
HD 80-100	The introduction is clear and succinct and includes a brief of student project motivation and main aims. Introduce the content of the whole document. Well written and presented. The reader is clear about what the document is about and what to expect.
D 70 – <80	The introduction is clear and includes a brief of student project motivation and main aims. Introduce the content of the whole document. Well written and presented. The reader is reasonably clear about what the document is about. Relates reasonably well to assignment specification.
C 60 – <70	The introduction contains half to 2/3 of the content for D or HD criteria. The reader is not very clear about what to expect.
P 50 – <60	The introduction contains up to half for D or HD criteria. The reader is not clear about what to expect.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Project background **10**	
HD 80-100	Clear, cohesive and succinct coverage of project background, clearly setting the context for the project and its execution, including relevant and comprehensive updates of literature review or background material discussed in the Project Proposal assignment (in the previous semester). Relates well to the document as a whole. Excellent presentation and excellent structure. Well-written and reads well.
D 70 - <80	Clear and succinct coverage of project background, including an update of the literature review or background material discussed in the Project Proposal assignment (in the previous semester). Relates well to the document as a whole. Good presentation and excellent structure. Well-written and reads well.
C 60 - <70	Adequate coverage of project background, including some updates of literature review or background material discussed in the Project Proposal assignment (in the previous semester). Relates well to the document as a whole. Good presentation and excellent structure. Reasonably well-written and reads well.
P 50 - <60	Provides some background. No clear update of the literature review or background material. Requires more work and content.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Outcomes **20**	
HD 80-100	Includes a thorough and complete report of all of the results achieved, user requirements satisfied, products delivered or services delivered with justifications of all key decisions made. Includes a thorough discussion of the degree of success of the outcome achieved, limitations, possible improvements and any other matter of relevance. The report reads well and is well presented.
D 70 - <80	Includes a good report of nearly all of the results achieved, user requirements satisfied, products delivered or services delivered with justifications of all key decisions made. Includes a discussion of the degree of success of the outcome achieved, limitations, and possible improvements. The report reads well and is well presented.
C 60 - <70	Includes a report of most of the results achieved, user requirements satisfied, products delivered or services delivered with justifications of all key decisions made. Includes a discussion of or attempt to discuss the degree of success of most of the outcomes achieved, limitations, and possible improvements. The report reads well.

P 50 - <60	Includes a report of some of the results achieved, user requirements satisfied, products delivered or services delivered with justifications of all key decisions made. The report reads reasonably well.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – marks based on a perceived effort by the student.

Methodology **15**	
HD 80-100	An excellent and detailed explanation of software design, how it was built, including toolset used, algorithm used if any, software libraries programming language etc. The methodology section provides a clear and complete description of the process undertaken so that someone else can easily and confidently repeat the same process and reach the same outcome.
D 70 - <80	Good explanation of how the software was designed, and how it was built, including toolset used, algorithm used if any, software libraries programming language etc. The methodology section provides a clear description of the process undertaken so that someone else can repeat the same process and reach the same outcome.
C 60 - <70	A reasonable explanation of how the software was built and designed, including most of toolset used, algorithm used if any, software libraries programming language etc. The methodology section provides a reasonable description of the process undertaken so that someone else can repeat the same process and reach the same outcome, but with some information not clearly provided.
P 50 - <60	Some explanation of how the software was designed and how it was built, including some of toolset used, algorithm used if any, software libraries programming language etc. The methodology section provides some descriptions of the process undertaken.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Software Deliverables **15**	
HD 80-100	A clear and comprehensive description of all deliverables, fully meeting the client's expectation or project sponsor's expectation, including project product and any project management deliverables. Deliverables are well organised, with good documentation. Excellent presentation and proper use of technical language where appropriate.

D 70 – <80	A good description of all or most deliverables, meeting the client's or project sponsor's expectation, including project product and any project management deliverables. Deliverables are well organised, with good documentation. Good presentation.
C 60 – <70	Includes descriptions of some key deliverables, meeting client's or project sponsor's expectation, including project product and any project management deliverables. Good presentation but can be improved.
P 50 – <60	Includes some description of some deliverables, partly meeting client's or project sponsor's expectation, including project product and some project management deliverables. Deliverables are well organised, with good documentation.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Software and Project Critique **10**	
HD 80-100	A clear, cohesive and thorough discussion of any matter relating to the project as a whole including project overall success, project management topics such as risk management, schedule, scope, team management, stakeholder relationship etc, project management methodology, development methodology and anything thing else that may be relevant. Well presented, persuasive and relevant to the project execution as a whole.
D 70 – <80	Good and cohesive discussion of any matter relating to the project as a whole including most of project's overall success, project management topics such as risk management, schedule, scope, team management, stakeholder relationship etc, project management methodology, development methodology and anything thing else that may be relevant. Good presentation and relevant to the project execution as a whole.
C 60 – <70	Includes a discussion of any matter relating to the project as a whole including some of the project's overall success, project management topics such as risk management, schedule, scope, team management, stakeholder relationship etc, project management methodology, development methodology and anything thing else that may be relevant. Good presentation.
P 50 – <60	Includes some discussion of any matter relating to the project as a whole including a few of project's overall success, project management topics such as risk management, schedule, scope, team management, stakeholder relationship etc, project management methodology, development methodology and anything thing else that may be relevant.

F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Conclusion **5**	
HD 80-100	Cohesive and excellent presentation and well-written conclusion, including a concise summary/recap of all topics discussed above, highlighting key outcomes and evaluation of success or otherwise.
D 70 - <80	Good conclusion, including a brief summary/recap of all topics discussed above, key outcome and evaluation of success or otherwise.
C 60 - <70	Conclusion section that includes a brief summary/recap of all or most of the topics discussed above.
P 50 - <60	Conclusion section that includes a brief summary/recap of some of the topics discussed above.
F <50	Does not meet P standard – no clear relation to project or assignment specification.

Style and Presentation **(5)** and References **(5)**	
HD 80-100	Excellent consistent formatting (fonts, appropriate indentation, line and paragraph spacing, excellent use of white space), section headings and subheadings and consistent numbering, relate well to ToC. Excellent English (spelling and grammar), easy to read. Diagrams are clear, labelled and numbered and referred correctly in the main text. The whole content reads well and is very easy to understand. The front cover includes all required info: Assignment title, Project name, list of authors, submission date, and word count. References are to be provided in the correct APA format. Citation for all references must be included.
D 70 - <80	Consistent formatting (fonts, line and paragraph spacing, good use of white space), section heading and consistent numbering relate to ToC. Good English, easy to read. Diagrams are clear, labelled numbered and referred to in the main text. The front cover includes all required info: Assignment title, Project name, list of authors, submission date, and word count. References in correct formatting.
C 60 - <70	Reasonably consistent formatting, with some imperfections (fonts, line and paragraph spacing, use of white space), section heading and consistent numbering, relates ToC. Good English, few mistakes. Diagrams are labelled and numbered. The front cover includes all required info: Assignment title,

	Project name, list of authors, submission date, and word count. References in correct (APA) or near correct formatting.
P 50 – <60	Plain formatting, some use of section heading and section numbering. Reasonably good English, although improvements are needed. The front cover includes the Assignment title, Project name, list of authors, submission date, word count. References are provided but have formatting issues.
F <50	Not meeting P or higher above.

Use of Generative AI tools in Projects and Assignments
See this assessment's Moodle module for the policy regarding use of GenAI in this unit.