



FIT3162 - FIT3164 COMPUTER & DATA SCIENCE PROJECT 2 - MUM S2 2024: View: User report

Information

In accordance with Monash [policy](#), no grade is final until confirmed by the Board of Examiners.



KF **Kai Yan Foo**

Grade item	Grade	Range	Feedback
------------	-------	-------	----------

FIT3162 - FIT3164 COMPUTER & DATA SCIENCE PROJECT 2 - MUM S2 2024

Grade item	Grade	Range	Feedback
ASSIGNMENT Reflection Entry 1(2.5%)	4.25	0–5	Good reflection, and insights. To further enhance your reflection, consider exploring how these experiences have shaped your understanding of project management and how you will approach these challenges in future projects. For example, reflect on how the communication breakdowns with your supervisor have changed your approach to communication. Did you develop new strategies for ensuring clear communication? Did you learn to be more proactive in seeking clarification or feedback? Additionally, how has the emphasis on accountability impacted your own work ethic and your interactions with your team members? How will you approach communication and accountability in future projects to ensure efficient collaboration and prevent similar challenges?
ASSIGNMENT Project Management Report (10%)	81.36	0–100	Good effort , and most areas described very well. For resource, it will be good to see some measurable outcome i.e how many mandays, how many licences or cost of subscription etc
ASSIGNMENT Interim Project Presentation slides Submission (5%)	51.00	0–60	Team presentation comments Focus on front end completion is obvious however a major portion of the project with the HTR component progress is slow so a recommendation on checking on the possible risks that might arise and worst case scenario event handling is suggested here. Presentation handovers are handled well but team energy and presentation speed/style fluctuates between presenters and can be improved upon. Q&A is handled competently Individual comments Overall good presentation but do practise more to ensure delivery is smoother - you tend to get stuck midway in a sentence, think, before continuing the train of thought.
ASSIGNMENT Progress Status Summary Report (5%)	20.00	0–20	This report shows good overall progress and sufficient evidence is provided - minor nitpick is the document is rather long. Task allocation between team members is balanced overall, and all team members have been contributing steadily and have completed their tasks successfully. Difficulties faced were explained clearly and circumventing methods were implemented. Well done! Finalised meeting marks entered by supervisor = 10
ASSIGNMENT User Guides (5%)	90.00	0–100	A very good writeup for the user guide (UG). Limitation and constraints added on are good to inform the user beforehand on anything the system is not meant to handle. Tech guide (TG) is concise and detailed and the additional troubleshooting section is a good addon to the document for administrators to have.
ASSIGNMENT Test Report (10%)	80.00	0–100	Good intro to testing but details could have been included into the document including what was done and who was responsible and provide some idea of when each test was carried out. You could have been more detailed on the scheduling - for example you could have included a Gantt chart showing specifically details of testing process. BB testing alongside with integration testing is well executed and documented. Usability testing is done however more test users should have been used to validate the data collected.
ASSIGNMENT Team Management report (5%)	89.00	0–100	Your team overall managed the project well, with a clear and organized structure. Reference to Agile/Waterfall methodology was mentioned but no further elaboration on whether tools were used to keep track of progress in the methodology. Examples (i.e. screenshots, task reminders, emails) in the report of how you used management tools would have been a useful addition to prove your execution of team management. Weekly meeting minutes do manage to show the progress of goal tracking

Grade item	Grade	Range	Feedback
ASSIGNMENT Final Project Presentation slides Submission (10%)	46.50	0–60	<p><u>Summary of comments on team presentation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall a good presentation that shows the final outcome of the project. Handovers and QA are handled well with equal division of responsibility between team members Overall good presentation, keep it up <p><u>Summary of comments on your presentation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A good presentation that shows you know your project content well Well presented
ASSIGNMENT Software Demonstration (10%)	69.00	0–100	<p>Moderators combined comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A good presentation with limitations clearly presented. Well designed website interface for demo. Suggest to implement the validation function for input
ASSIGNMENT Final Project Report (35%)	87.70	0–100	<p>Your final report has been marked by multiple markers INCLUDING your team supervisor (at least 3) with the marks averaged between them. Where available, comments and/or feedback from markers are shown below for your reference and self-reflection. Comments/feedback that were too short (e.g. Good, No problems found, etc) or shows no constructive comments (e.g.NA, No comment, etc) are not included for brevity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good job, I would appreciate more detail in the introduction, specifically highlighting the aim of the project and clarifying your unique contributions. Currently, the work does not present anything novel. The report is well-drafted, but it would benefit from additional details on how your approach contributes to or differs from existing automated entry methods, particularly as there are already handwriting-based methods with similar capabilities. Introduction is clear and project background is comprehensive, well done. Project outcome highlights important outcome of the project and methodology section is very detailed and well written. Overall, a very good report.
ASSIGNMENT Reflection Entry 2 (2.5%)	4.75	0–5	<p>Your final reflection has been marked by the teaching team over at Monash University, Clayton and where available, the marks have been averaged out against the multiple markers for the assessment. The following comments are from the staff over at Clayton for your reference and there is no need to respond to questions asked:</p> <p><i>A thorough and well-articulated reflection; the structure could be improved by streamlining some details and incorporating more critical analysis rather than descriptive</i></p>

Student contribution (non-graded)