



Project Proposal including background research summary (40%)

[FIT3161 - FIT3162 - MUM S1 2024](#) / Project Proposal including background research summary (40%)



Information

Teaching for the unit has now been completed. Learning Captures are no longer available. The Lectures and Unit Coordinators will no longer be accessing or monitoring this site. This Moodle site will remain available to you as resource until you have completed your studies at Monash. Please note the materials presented here were accurate as of time of Teaching and may be superseded at any time.



✓ **DONE: MAKE A SUBMISSION**




Opened: Friday, 5 April 2024, 9:19 AM

Due: Monday, 3 June 2024, 11:55 PM

Generative AI tools can be used for all assessments in this unit

In this unit, you can use generative artificial intelligence (AI) to assist you in any way. Any use of generative AI must be appropriately acknowledged (see [Learn HQ](#))



This is a team-based submission

-  [Project proposal including background research summary \(Team_member_contribution template\).xlsx](#) 6 May 2024, 11:12 AM
-  [Project proposal including background research summary 30042024.docx.pdf](#) 6 May 2024, 11:12 AM
-  [Project proposal including background research summary marking rubric 30042024.pdf](#) 6 May 2024, 11:12 AM

Submission status

Group	MDS02
Attempt number	This is attempt 1.
Submission status	Submitted for grading
Grading status	Graded
Time remaining	Assignment was submitted 2 hours 47 mins early



Last modified	Monday, 3 June 2024, 9:07 PM
File submissions	<div><div> MDS2 - Project Proposal Literature Review.docx.pdf 3 June 2024, 9:06 PM</div><div> Turnitin ID: 2394641065</div><div>11%</div></div>
Submission comments	▶ Comments (0)

Feedback

Grade	84.00 / 100.00
Graded on	Thursday, 20 June 2024, 4:55 PM
Graded by	<div>SW</div> Soo Wooi King

Feedback comments	<p>— (Reviewer #1) Good scoping. Suggest to write assumptions and limitations with more visibility and clarity, as this needs to be understood and verified. RTM should have a source, so that you can follow up for testing and other important milestones. Good WBS and product backlog. Agile is explained and justified. Roles and responsibilities covered well. Team has done a good SWOT and Risk Register. Stakeholder analysis and comms plan is provided. A matrix for stakeholders would be recommended. Can list milestones from monitoring. Resource mgmt can include project team man-days. Schedule is covered well with Gantt chart. (Reveiwer #2) Very lengthy document ... possibly too long for a proposal but it does show that portions are well covered. Your background research is well written and indicates the dis/advantages of each implementation discussed. External design is used to discuss UI content but it could have included a proposed method of deployment (e.g. tool used, language, framework implemented etc.) which can then have a follow-up discussion in methodology with examples of how the UI is coded in the selected method. Similarly you mentioned the use of database of which you can further the discussion with an example of the database platform proposed and then show the example implementation in methodology (i.e. sample sql statements, select queries used etc.). Use this external design to describe existing software/libraries/tools that assist in your development later. The testing section reads like a textbook when you could have included more details in relation to your project - number of tests, when do you plan (approximately) to execute the tests, what is required to test (input/output/test cases etc.), what metrics you expect from which function etc. There are some questionable references included which are best avoided for integrity. Some minor report formatting issues (label positionings) that can be easily fixed also exist.</p>
-------------------	---