

# Math Journal

Andrew Ha

## Combinatorial Proof

09/10/2024

**Proposition 1.** For positive integers  $n$  and  $k$  with  $n = 2k$ ,  $\frac{n!}{2!^k}$  is an integer.

*Proof.* Consider the  $n$  symbols:  $x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_k$ . The number of arrangements of all these  $n = 2k$  symbols is an integer that equals

$$\frac{n!}{\underbrace{2!2! \cdots 2!}_{k \text{ factors of } 2!}} = \frac{n!}{2!^k}$$

I learned this from the first day of class in MACM 101. This is an example of proving that a value is an integer by obtaining that value from counting something. Researching further, I also found out about double counting. It is based on the idea that counting the same objects in two different ways results in two different expressions, which must be equal to each other.

**Identity 1.**

$$2^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$$

For example, this identity can be proved by counting the number of subsets of a set  $A$  with  $n$  elements. One way to count this is noticing how you can either include or exclude each element, giving 2 choices for each of the  $n$  elements. This gives  $2^n$  subsets. Second way to count the number of subsets is summing up the number of subsets with  $k$  elements where  $k$  can be any integer from 0 to  $n$ . For each case, you would be choosing  $k$  elements out of  $n$  elements, so there are  $\binom{n}{k}$  subsets. Summing up, the total number of subsets is  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ . Two expressions,  $2^n$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}$ , must be equal since they represent the same thing.

# Putnam 2002 B1

09/11/2024

Here is a fun problem I wanted to share from the 2002 William Lowell Putnam Mathematics Competition.

B1. Shanille O'Keal shoots free throws on a basketball court. She hits the first and misses the second, and thereafter the probability that she hits the next shot is equal to the proportion of shots she has hit so far. What is the probability she hits exactly 50 of her first 100 shots?

This problem doesn't require much of advanced mathematics, yet it is simple and tricky. Here's a solution I like:

*Solution.* The probability of  $n$ th success is the proportion of shots she has hit so far, which is  $\frac{n-1}{\# \text{ of shots so far}}$ . On the other hand, the probability of  $n$ th miss is  $1 - \frac{\# \text{ of successes so far}}{\# \text{ of shots so far}} = \frac{\# \text{ of shots so far} - \# \text{ of successes so far}}{\# \text{ of shots so far}} = \frac{\# \text{ of shots so far} - (n-1)}{\# \text{ of shots so far}} = \frac{n-1}{\# \text{ of shots so far}}$ . For her to hit exactly 50 of her first 100 shots, she has to hit exactly 49 shots and miss exactly 49 shots. Consider the probability of one case where she hits 49 shots consecutively then misses 49 shots consecutively:

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{4}{5} \times \cdots \times \frac{49}{50}}_{49 \text{ successes}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{51} \times \frac{2}{52} \times \frac{3}{53} \times \frac{4}{54} \cdots \times \frac{49}{99}}_{49 \text{ misses}} = \frac{49!^2}{99!}$$

Here, the arrangement of shots does not affect the overall probability since the numerator will always be  $49!^2$  as long as she hits 49 shots and misses 49 shots, and the denominator will always be  $99!$ . Therefore, multiplying the probability of single case by the number of arrangements will give us the answer. The number of arrangements of 49 shots and 49 misses is  $\frac{98!}{49!^2}$  since there are 49 duplicates of 2 cases. Multiplying two numbers yields

$$\frac{49!^2}{99!} \cdot \frac{98!}{49!^2} = \frac{1}{99}$$

The probability she hits exactly 50 of her first 100 shots is  $\frac{1}{99}$ .

## Two Random Distributions

09/16/2024

This is from a [YouTube video](#) I watched recently. It talks about a surprising fact that choosing a random number between 0 and 1 and calculating its square root is actually the same as choosing two random numbers between 0 and 1 and taking the larger one. To see why, we look at the distribution of two functions,  $\max(X, Y)$  and  $\sqrt{X}$ .  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent and random numbers between 0 and 1. Since two distributions are continuous, the probability of getting a specific number is 0. Instead, we look at the probability of getting a number less than or equal to  $n$ . For  $\max(X, Y)$ , both  $X$  and  $Y$  must be less than or equal to  $n$ . Notice that the probability of getting a number less than or equal to  $n$  is  $n$  since it is between 0 and 1.

$$P(\max(X, Y) \leq n) = P(X \leq n) \cdot P(Y \leq n) = n^2$$

Now, for  $\sqrt{X}$ ,  $X$  has to be less than or equal to  $n^2$  for  $\sqrt{X}$  to be less than or equal to  $n$ .

$$P(\sqrt{X} \leq n) = P(X \leq n^2) = n^2$$

This can actually be generalized that choosing a max value of  $m$  random numbers between 0 and 1 and taking the  $m$ th root of a random number between 0 and 1 are identical.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \leq n) &= P(X_1 \leq n)P(X_2 \leq n) \cdots P(X_m \leq n) = n^m \\ P(\sqrt[m]{X} \leq n) &= P(X \leq n^m) = n^m \end{aligned}$$

This reminds me of what I learned in statistics about probabilities.

^

09/17/2024

I had a funny thought while walking to school today. I imagined two bicycles coming from opposite sides of a road that narrows suddenly in the middle of them. There would be two types of people, people who slow down for the other person to pass first (call them D) and people who speed up to pass faster than the other person (call them U). If D and D meet, or if U and D meet, there will be no accident. However, if U and U meet, there will be an accident. I thought of the truth table for AND ( $\wedge$ ).

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Asking "accident?", D say no (F), and U say yes (T). Two meeting in the road is the AND operation, so the result comes out as the truth table suggests.

## Intro Puzzle from Jane Street

09/21/2024

Last school year, I got an email from the Mathematical Association of America leading me to the [puzzles page](#) in Jane Street. This is the intro puzzle:

### Why Puzzles

What's a company like Jane Street doing with a page about puzzles? The act of solving puzzles, though that might seem abstract, is intrinsic to the work we do at Jane Street.

Only by identifying new problems in the financial markets and figuring out (potentially novel) ways to solve them can Jane Street continue to thrive. Even though we have established strategies, we're humble enough to know that if we have a good idea, others may soon have it as well. Prime among the skills necessary to stay successful, then, is creative problem solving, or puzzling.

Number puzzles, logic puzzles, puzzles with and without clear answers, puzzles with and without clearly defined rules — these are all part of day-to-day life at Jane Street, which is why we've chosen to devote this section of our website to puzzles. Plus, solving puzzles just feels great.

One last thing — this is a puzzle!

At first, I spent about 30 minutes just staring at the text. Eventually I found the way to decode it; I just needed to look at the capitalized words. Bringing out all the capitalized words, it reads "What's The Only Even Prime Number Plus One", so the answer is  $2 + 1 = 3$ .

Jane Street has been positing a puzzle every month since 2014, and some are challenging while some are doable (especially the older ones). I tried the [second oldest](#)

[puzzle](#) where you need to enter nine 9's in the outermost hook, eight 8's in the next hook, then seven 7's, six 6's, and so on, down to the one 1 (already entered), so that the row and column sums match the values given along the border.

	31	19	45	16	5	47	28	49	45
26	1								
42									
11									
22									
42									
36									
29									
32									
45									

It was easy to solve given enough time because I could fill out the grid from the bottom right corner one by one. I figured that it would be easy to manipulate the answer (eg. add/multiply certain squares) so I can get the number I want with puzzles similar to this.

## A Counting Problem

**09/23/2024**

This is a counting problem I struggled to solve without any hints.

For  $n \geq 4$ , consider the strings made up of  $n$  bits — that is, a total of  $n$  0's and 1's. In particular, consider those strings where there are (exactly) two occurrences of 01. How many such strings are there?

I wrote down the small cases to find a pattern.

$n \backslash \# \text{ of } 01$	0	1	2	3
4	5	10	1	0
5	6	20	6	0
6	7	35	21	1
7	8	56	56	8

I counted the small cases with hand, and I used that the sum of the numbers in a row must add up to  $2^n$  to fill the rest of the table. After completing the third row, I noticed that all the numbers in  $n$ th row are from  $(n+1)$ th row of Pascal's triangle, so I used this fact to complete the fourth row. I eventually guessed the formula for the number of binary strings of length  $n$  with  $k$  01s to be  $\binom{n+1}{2k+1}$ . However, I could not figure out how choosing  $2k+1$  out of  $n+1$  objects can represent a binary string of length  $n$  with  $k$  01s. I examined the specific case of  $n=6$ ,  $k=2$  and  $\binom{n+1}{2k+1} = \binom{7}{5}$ . I counted how many times 0 switches to 1 or 1 to 0 for the string to have 2 occurrences of 01, and there were 4 cases if I shortened any repeating digits to just one of them.

- 1) 0101      Switches 3 times
- 2) 01010    Switches 4 times
- 3) 10101    Switches 4 times
- 4) 101010   Switches 5 times

I realized that you can merge all four cases by putting 1 at the front and 0 at the end.

- 1) 0101       $\rightarrow$  101010
- 2) 01010     $\rightarrow$  101010
- 3) 10101     $\rightarrow$  101010
- 4) 101010    $\rightarrow$  101010

With extra 1 and 0, there are now 8 letters and thus 7 places (between each letter) where 0 and 1 can be switched, and they are always switched 5 times. We now choose 5 out of 7 places to have exactly 2 occurrences of 01, so we obtain the desired number,  $\binom{7}{5}$ . To generalize, there are  $\binom{n+1}{2k+1}$  strings with exactly  $k$  occurrences of 01 and length  $n$ . Thus, the answer we are looking for is  $\binom{n+1}{5}$ .

## Putnam 2013 A1

09/24/2024

Here's another simple problem from the Putnam competition.

Recall that a regular icosahedron is a convex polyhedron having 12 vertices and 20 faces; the faces are congruent equilateral triangles. On each face of a regular icosahedron is written a nonnegative integer such that the sum of all 20 integers is 39. Show that there are two faces that share a vertex and have the same integer written on them.

When I tried this problem, I tried to figure out the maximum number of same integer that can be written by drawing out the icosahedron and trying to put in single number as many as possible. I was able to put 4 without a sharing vertex, but I wasn't able to find a way to put 5. I figured 4 was the maximum although I couldn't think of a way to prove it. To minimize the sum, I needed to put 5 smallest nonnegative integers (0, 1, 2, 3, 4) 4 times each which gives the sum of  $4 \cdot (0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4) = 40$ . Thus, the sum of 39 cannot be achieved without two faces sharing a vertex and having the same integer written on them. This solution is valid if I could prove that 4 is the maximum number of same integer that can be written.

It turned out that there was a simple and beautiful proof for it. If the same integer is written on 5 different triangular faces, and none of the vertices are shared, then there are 15 different vertices in an icosahedron. Since there are only 12 vertices in an icosahedron, the maximum number of same integer that can be written is 4.

Asking what the minimum sum of the numbers with no two faces that share a vertex and have the same integer instead of proof questions will allow this problem to be used in Lockbox. The conditions can also be adjusted to get a number we want.

## Linear Recurrences

**09/29/2024**

When a term  $a_n$  in a sequence  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \dots$  is defined by its preceding terms, it is a recurrence. It is a linear homogenous recurrence of degree  $k$  if the recurrence relation is in a form

$$a_n = c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2} + c_3 a_{n-3} + \dots + c_k a_{n-k}$$

We can obtain an explicit formula for  $a_n$  given the relation and the first  $k$  terms of the sequence.

First, we try to find any  $a_n = x^n$  with  $x \neq 0$  that satisfies the relation.

$$\begin{aligned} x^n &= c_1 x^{n-1} + c_2 x^{n-2} + c_3 x^{n-3} + \dots + c_k x^{n-k} \\ x^{n-k}(x^k &= c_1 x^{k-1} + c_2 x^{k-2} + c_3 x^{k-3} + \dots + c_k) \\ x^k &= c_1 x^{k-1} + c_2 x^{k-2} + c_3 x^{k-3} + \dots + c_k \text{ since } r \neq 0 \\ x^k - c_1 x^{k-1} - c_2 x^{k-2} - c_3 x^{k-3} - \dots - c_k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is called the *characteristic equation*, and we can obtain the roots  $x = r_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  where each  $a_n = r_i^n$  satisfies the initial relation. We will first consider the case where all the roots are distinct.

If  $r_1^n$  and  $r_2^n$  satisfy the relation,  $\alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n$  also satisfy the relation.

$$\begin{aligned} r^n &= c_1 r^{n-1} + c_2 r^{n-2} + \dots + c_k r^{n-k} \\ \Leftrightarrow \alpha r^n &= c_1 \alpha r^{n-1} + c_2 \alpha r^{n-2} + \dots + c_k \alpha r^{n-k} \\ (\alpha_1 r_1^n + \alpha_2 r_2^n) &= c_1 (\alpha_1 r_1^{n-1} + \alpha_2 r_2^{n-1}) + c_2 (\alpha_1 r_1^{n-2} + \alpha_2 r_2^{n-2}) + \dots + c_k (\alpha_1 r_1^{n-k} + \alpha_2 r_2^{n-k}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can combine all the  $r_i^n$  to find  $a_n$  that not only satisfies the relation but also matches the first  $k$  terms.

Here's an example for better understanding. This is a problem from the Canadian Lynx Mathematical Competition 2023.

**#13:** You are given a biased coin, where Heads comes up with probability  $\frac{2}{3}$  and Tails comes up with probability  $\frac{1}{3}$ .

You play a game where you start with 0 points. Each time you flip Heads, you add 2 points to your score. Each time you flip Tails, you add 1 point to your score.

If you reach *exactly*  $n$  points, then you win. However, if you *go over*  $n$  points, then you lose.

Let  $P_n$  be the probability that you win the game with a target score of  $n$  points.

Determine the value of  $P_8$ .

First,  $P_0 = 1$  and  $P_1 = \frac{1}{3}$  trivially. For  $n \geq 2$ , we can reach exactly  $n$  points by flipping Heads with  $n - 2$  points or flipping Tails with  $n - 1$  points. This is a linear



homogenous recurrence relation:

$$P_n = \frac{1}{3}P_{n-1} + \frac{2}{3}P_{n-2}$$

With its characteristic equation, I can find two solutions that satisfy the recurrence.

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3} \\ 3x^2 &= x + 2 \\ 3x^2 - x - 2 &= 0 \\ (3x + 2)(x - 1) &= 0 \\ x &= -\frac{2}{3}, 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since we know  $(-\frac{2}{3})^n$  and  $1^n$  satisfy the recurrence, we try to find  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  so  $P_n = \alpha_1(-\frac{2}{3})^n + \alpha_2(1)^n$  matches  $P_0 = 1$  and  $P_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ .

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^0 + \alpha_2(1)^0 = 1 \\ \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^1 + \alpha_2(1)^1 = \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1 \\ -\frac{2}{3}\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = \frac{2}{5} \\ \alpha_2 = \frac{3}{5} \end{cases}$$

We find that  $P_n = \frac{2}{5} \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{3}{5}(1)^n = \frac{2}{5} \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{3}{5}$ . We can see that this is valid

by substituting:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_n &= \frac{1}{3}P_{n-1} + \frac{2}{3}P_{n-2} \\
\frac{2}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{3}{5} &= \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{2}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} + \frac{3}{5}\right] + \frac{2}{3}\left[\frac{2}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-2} + \frac{3}{5}\right] \\
&= \frac{2}{15}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{15}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-2} + \frac{2}{5} \\
&= \frac{2}{15}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-1}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{15}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-2}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{2}{5} \\
&= -\frac{1}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{3}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{2}{5} \\
&= \frac{2}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + \frac{3}{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P_8 = \frac{2}{5}\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^8 + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{4039}{6561}$ .

Like this example, given numeric values of two terms ( $P_{m_1} = c_1, P_{m_2} = c_2$  where  $m_1 \neq m_2$ ) and a linear homogenous recurrence of degree 2 with its characteristic equation having 2 distinct roots  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we can always find the explicit formula for the general term  $a_n$ . It is equivalent to finding a solution to this system of equations with two equations and two unknown variables,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ .

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 r_1^{m_1} + \alpha_2 r_2^{m_1} = c_1 \\ \alpha_1 r_1^{m_2} + \alpha_2 r_2^{m_2} = c_2 \end{cases}$$

This always has a solution if two slopes of each equation drawn on a plane are different. In a slope-intercept form ( $\alpha_1$  as  $x$  and  $\alpha_2$  as  $y$ ):

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_2 = -\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{m_1} \alpha_1 + \frac{c_1}{r_2^{m_1}} \\ \alpha_2 = -\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{m_2} \alpha_1 + \frac{c_2}{r_2^{m_2}} \end{cases}$$

The slopes are:  $-\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{m_1}$ ,  $-\left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{m_2}$ , which must be different since  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $m_1 \neq m_2$ . Therefore, we can always find  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and thus the explicit formula for

$a_n$ . This generalizes to linear homogenous recurrences of higher degrees where all the roots of their characteristic equations are distinct.

Now, let's consider the case where some of the roots are identical. If the characteristic equation  $x^2 - c_1x - c_2 = 0$  of  $a_n = c_1a_{n-1} + c_2a_{n-2}$  has a double root  $r$ , then  $nr^n$  as well as  $r^n$  satisfies the recurrence. This is because  $r$  is also a root of the derivative of the characteristic equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= c_1a_{n-1} + c_2a_{n-2} \\
nx^n &= c_1(n-1)x^{n-1} + c_2(n-2)x^{n-2} \\
nx^n - c_1(n-1)x^{n-1} - c_2(n-2)x^{n-2} &= 0 \\
x(nx^{n-1} - c_1(n-1)x^{n-2} - c_2(n-2)x^{n-3}) &= 0 \\
x \cdot \frac{d}{dx} (x^n - c_1x^{n-1} - c_2x^{n-2}) &= 0 \\
x \cdot \frac{d}{dx} (x^{n-2}(x-r)^2) &= 0 \\
x[(n-2)x^{n-3}(x-r)^2 + x^{n-2} \cdot 2(x-r)] &= 0 \\
x^{n-2}(x-r)[(n-2)(x-r) + 2x] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore x$  can be  $r$ , and  $nr^n$  satisfies the recurrence.

By similar reasoning, if a root of a characteristic equation  $r$  has a multiplicity of  $k$ , then  $\frac{n!}{(n-i+1)!} \cdot r^n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  satisfy the recurrence relation. Then the general form includes  $\alpha_1 r^n + \alpha_2 nr^n + \alpha_3 n(n-1)r^n + \dots + \alpha_k \frac{n!}{(n-i+1)!} r^n$  which can be written as  $\alpha_1 r^n + \alpha_2 nr^n + \alpha_3 n^2 r^n + \dots + \alpha_k n^k r^n$  since  $\alpha_i$ 's are variables. Now, we can find the explicit formula for the general term of any linear homogenous recurrence relationships.

To summarize, given a linear homogenous recurrence relationship in form

$$a_n = c_1a_{n-1} + c_2a_{n-2} + c_3a_{n-3} + \dots + c_ka_{n-k},$$

and  $k$  terms, first find the roots of its characteristic equation,


$$x^k - c_1x^{k-1} - c_2x^{k-2} - c_3x^{k-3} - \dots - c_k = 0.$$

For each root  $r$ , if it has a multiplicity of  $m$ , then  $n^i r^n$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  satisfy the recurrence relation. We can find  $k$  terms in total, then we obtain find the explicit formula for general term  $a_n$  composed of each of those  $k$  terms multiplied by an unknown constant. We find the value of each constant by solving a system of equations acquired from substituting the given  $k$  terms into  $a_n$ .

# Sudoku Variant


10/01/2024

I finally solved this variant of sudoku I found from this [video](#). The grid is on the left, and the rule is written on the right.



### Unique Values by Mesmer

Normal sudoku rules apply. Digits cannot repeat in a cage. The value of a cage is the sum of the digits it contains. The value of any cage must appear only ONCE on the board. Eg, if a cage value is determined to be 15, there is not another cage with the value 15. The > sign is pointing to the smaller digit of the two cells. The cells on the diagonal pointed to by the arrow sum to double the value of the cage marked with X. Digits can repeat on the diagonal.



There are 3 cages of 2 cells, 19 cages of 3 cells, and 4 cages of 4 cells. The range of possible values are:

# of Cells	Minimum Value	Maximum Value
2	$1 + 2 = 3$	$8 + 9 = 17$
3	$1 + 2 + 3 = 6$	$7 + 8 + 9 = 24$
4	$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10$	$6 + 7 + 8 + 9 = 30$


There are 19 possible values for 19 cages of 3 cells, so every single value must be used. Then, after excluding 6 to 24 from the range of values of cages of 2 cells, there

are 3 possible values for 3 cages of 2 cells, so all of these must be used, too. Now the minimum value of the sum of all cages is sum of all integers from 3 to 28 which is  $\frac{(3+28)(28-3+1)}{2} = 403$  when there are two cells in the entire grid that is not caged, and the sum of all numbers in a grid must be  $\frac{9(1+9)}{2} \cdot 9 = 405$ . The sum of uncaged two cells cannot be less than 2, so the value of 4 cages of 4 cells must be 25 to 28 while the two uncaged cells are both 1. From here, I just eliminated possibilities and filled out the determined digits one by one until it was finished. Here's the completed version:

8	7	5	2	1	4	6	9	3
9	4	2	6	3	7	5	1	8
1	3	6	5	8	9	4	7	2
4	8	9	3	2	6	7	5	1
6	2	1	7	9	5	8	3	4
7	5	3	1	4	8	9	2	6
2	6	4	9	5	1	3	8	7
5	1	7	8	6	3	2	4	9
3	9	8	4	7	2	1	6	5

**Unique Values  
by Mesmer**

Normal sudoku rules apply. Digits cannot repeat in a cage. The value of a cage is the sum of the digits it contains. The value of any cage must appear only ONCE on the board. Eg. if a cage value is determined to be 15, there is not another cage with the value 15. The > sign is pointing to the smaller digit of the two cells. The cells on the diagonal pointed to by the arrow sum to double the value of the cage marked with X. Digits can repeat on the diagonal.



2x

I have solved a total of three variants of sudoku introduced by the same YouTube channel, and they were all really fun. I will keep trying the fun-looking ones when I have spare time.

$$\frac{\pi}{n} \sim \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)$$

**10/02/2024**

In an introductory assignment to Python turtle graphics, a drawing tool, I first made a function that draws a regular polygon with  $n$  sides with each side length  $l$ . Then, I was told to make a function that draws a circle using the polygon function to draw a regular polygon with a lot of sides. However, I was only given a radius  $r$  when I


needed the length of each sides. I figured dividing the circumference of a circle by the number of sides will give me the length of each side, giving me this formula:

$$l = 2 \cdot r \cdot \pi/n.$$

However, the instruction told me to use this formula:

$$l = 2 \cdot r \cdot \sin(\pi/n).$$

I eventually figured out how to derive this formula.



Draw a right triangle with its hypotenuse the diameter of the circle and one side being the side of the regular polygon. The angle opposed to the side  $\theta$  is  $\frac{\pi}{n}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{interior angle of regular polygon}) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot n - 2 \cdot \pi}{n} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{n} \end{aligned}$$

From this,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(\pi/n) &= \frac{l}{2r} \\ \Leftrightarrow l &= 2 \cdot r \cdot \sin(\pi/n). \end{aligned}$$

Here, I couldn't find any flaw in logic for both formulas, so I wondered if  $\sin(\pi/n)$  and  $\pi/n$  were equal. However, I knew that cannot be the case, then I realized this is

a special case where  $n$  is supposed to be as big as possible.  $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{\pi}{n}$  holds true

when  $n$  approaches infinity. If  $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{\pi}{n}$ , then  $\frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)}{\frac{\pi}{n}} = 1$ . We can write:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)}{\frac{\pi}{n}} = 1$$

Substitute  $x = \frac{\pi}{n}$  which approaches 0 as  $n$  approaches  $\infty$ .

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1$$

Now, this was a familiar limit I had learned previously. It was cool to see how this limit can be shown geometrically.

## Sum of Divisors

10/04/2024

If sum of the divisors of  $n$  (including  $n$ ) is greater than  $4n$ , what is the lowest number of  $n$ 's prime divisors?

Write  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$  with each  $p_i$  being a distinct prime factor of  $n$ . Say  $S(n)$  represent the sum of all divisors of  $n$ , then

$$S(n) = \left( \frac{p_1^{e_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \right) \left( \frac{p_2^{e_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{p_k^{e_k+1} - 1}{p_k - 1} \right).$$

Since  $S(n) > 4n$ , it follows that  $\frac{S(n)}{n} > 4$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S(n)}{n} &= \frac{\left(\frac{p_1^{e_1+1}-1}{p_1-1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2^{e_2+1}-1}{p_2-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k^{e_k+1}-1}{p_k-1}\right)}{p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}} \\
&= \left(\frac{p_1^{e_1+1}-1}{p_1^{e_1}(p_1-1)}\right) \left(\frac{p_2^{e_2+1}-1}{p_2^{e_2}(p_2-1)}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k^{e_k+1}-1}{p_k^{e_k}(p_k-1)}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{p_1 - \frac{1}{p_1^{e_1}}}{p_1-1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2 - \frac{1}{p_2^{e_2}}}{p_2-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k - \frac{1}{p_k^{e_k}}}{p_k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We can maximize the exponent of each prime factor to maximize  $\frac{S(n)}{n}$ :

$$\left(\frac{p_1 - \frac{1}{p_1^{e_1}}}{p_1-1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2 - \frac{1}{p_2^{e_2}}}{p_2-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k - \frac{1}{p_k^{e_k}}}{p_k-1}\right) < \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1-1}\right) \left(\frac{p_2}{p_2-1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_k}{p_k-1}\right)$$

The left side can be arbitrarily close to the right side since maximizing the exponents will cause  $\frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}$  to approach 0. Notice that  $\frac{p_i}{p_i-1}$  decreases as  $p_i$  increases, so we want each prime factor to be as small as possible. If the lowest number of  $n$ 's prime divisors is 3, then  $S(n)/n$  is maximized when the prime divisors are 2, 3 and 5:

$$\frac{S(n)}{n} < \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} = \frac{15}{4} < 4$$

$S(n)/n$  can never reach 4. If the lowest number of  $n$ 's prime divisors is 4, then  $S(n)/n$  is maximized when the prime divisors are 2, 3, 5 and 7:

$$\frac{S(n)}{n} < \frac{2}{1} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} = \frac{35}{8} > 4$$

This means  $S(n)/n$  can get arbitrarily close to  $\frac{35}{8}$ , which is bigger than 4. Thus, the lowest number of  $n$ 's prime divisors for the sum of the divisors of  $n$  to be greater than  $4n$  is 4.



## Necessity and Sufficiency

10/08/2024

While learning about logic, I came across how necessity and sufficiency can describe a conditional or implicational relationships. I kept confusing the two, so I am just reviewing them to make sure I understand.

Say  $p, q$  are two arbitrary statements. A conditional statement made of them, "If  $p$ , then  $q$ " can be written as  $p \rightarrow q$ , and the truth table looks like the following:

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

If we say  $p$  is necessary for  $q$ , that means if  $q$  is true, then  $p$  must be true. This corresponds to "if  $q$ , then  $p$ ".

$$p \text{ is necessary for } q \Leftrightarrow q \rightarrow p$$

If we say  $p$  is sufficient for  $q$ , that means if  $p$  is true, then  $q$  will be true. This corresponds to "if  $p$ , then  $q$ ".

$$p \text{ is sufficient for } q \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow q$$

## Counting Multiples

10/11/2024

How many positive multiples of 3 or 5 or 134 are there that are less than 2015?

I solved this problem while preparing for the next week's Math Challengers meeting. At first, I simply counted using the inclusion-exclusion principle which generalizes the method of counting the size of union of multiple sets. Here's the principle:

To find the cardinality of the union of  $n$  sets:

1. Include the cardinalities of the sets.

2. Exclude the cardinalities of the pairwise intersections.
3. Include the cardinalities of the triple-wise intersections.
4. Continue, until the cardinality of the  $n$ -tuple-wise intersection is included (if  $n$  is odd) or excluded ( $n$  even).

Cardinality refers to the size of a set, or the number of elements in a set. I applied this principle to the problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(\# \text{ of multiples of } 3) + (\# \text{ of multiples of } 5) + (\# \text{ of multiples of } 134)] \\
& - [(\# \text{ of multiples of } 3 \cdot 5) + (\# \text{ of multiples of } 3 \cdot 134) + (\# \text{ of multiples of } 5 \cdot 134)] \\
& + (\# \text{ of multiples of } 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 134) \\
& = (671 + 402 + 15) \\
& - (134 + 5 + 3) \\
& + 1 \\
& = 947
\end{aligned}$$

However, this method required too much calculations and took too long for a competition. I felt like there should be a better way. Then, I thought of the Euler's totient function  $\phi(n)$  which counts the numbers less than  $n$  that are co-prime with  $n$ .

For each  $p_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  being distinct prime factors of  $n$ ,

$$\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)$$

Using a similar method, I counted the numbers less than 2010 that are not multiples of 3 or 5 or 134:

$$2010 \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{133}{134} = 1064.$$

Subtracting from 2010, I get the number of multiples of 3 or 5 or 134 less than or equal to 2010:

$$2010 - 1064 = 946.$$

Now, only 2013 from 2011 to 2014 is a multiple of 3 or 5 or 134, so add one, getting the same answer of 947.

To generalize when and how to use this method, say the question asks you to find how many positive multiples of  $m_1, m_2, \dots$ , or  $m_k$  ( $m_i \leq n$ ) are there that are less than  $n$ . You can use this method If 1 is the only common positive divisor of all of

$m_i$ , and if the product of all  $m_i$ , say  $M$ , is smaller than  $n$ . Then, find  $x$ , a multiple of  $M$  that is smaller than but is the closest to  $n$ . Calculate

$$x \left( \frac{m_1 - 1}{m_1} \right) \left( \frac{m_2 - 1}{m_2} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{m_k - 1}{m_k} \right)$$

to count the numbers that are not multiple of any  $m_i$ , then subtract from  $x$  to count only the multiples. Now, count how many multiples are in the range from  $x + 1$  to  $n - 1$  using any methods. This can be quite useful.

## Lockbox Submission

10/13/2024

I submitted this problem with a little change for the lockbox:

$a, b, c$  are positive integers such that

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} < 1.$$

Prove that

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{41}{42}.$$

This is from the problem of the week for the last year's COMC.

First, I assume  $\frac{1}{a} \geq \frac{1}{b} \geq \frac{1}{c}$  ( $a \leq b \leq c$ ) without loss of generality because of complete symmetry (You can always switch their order to satisfy the condition). I simply consider all the cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= 1 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \geq 1 \\
a &= 2 \\
&b < 3 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \geq 1 \\
&b = 3 \\
&c < 7 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \geq 1 \\
&c \geq 7 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{41}{42} \leq \frac{41}{42} \\
b &= 4 \\
&c < 5 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \geq 1 \\
&c \geq 5 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{19}{20} \leq \frac{41}{42} \\
b &\geq 5 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} = \frac{9}{10} \leq \frac{41}{42} \\
a &= 3 \\
&b = 3 \\
&c < 4 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \geq 1 \\
&c \geq 4 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{11}{12} \leq \frac{41}{42} \\
b &\geq 4 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{5}{6} \leq \frac{41}{42} \\
a &\geq 4 \\
&\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \leq \frac{41}{42}
\end{aligned}$$

You can see that the inequality we are trying to prove is true or the initial condition is false in every case, so it is proven.