

Binary Commutative Polymorphisms of Core Triads

Michael Wernthaler

January 29, 2021

Contents

1	TODO Abstract	2
2	TODO Introduction	2
3	TODO Task 1: Lemma	3
3.1	Proof	3
3.2	Notes	4
4	DONE Task 2: Arc-Consistency Procedure	4
4.1	Notes	4
4.2	Benchmarks	5
5	DONE Task 3: Core Triads	6
5.1	Algorithm	6
5.2	Notes	6
6	TODO Task 4: Commutative Polymorphisms	8
6.1	Notes	9
7	Notes	9
7.1	Deprecated	9
7.2	Todo	10
7.3	Questions	10
7.4	Tasks	10
7.5	Idee Micha	10

1 TODO Abstract

It has been known for a while that for a given graph H the complexity of $\text{CSP}(H)$ also known as the H -colouring problem only depends on the set of polymorphisms of H . It follows from the results of Bulatov [4] and of Zhuk [6] from 2017 that H -colouring problem is in P if H has a so-called (4-ary) Siggers polymorphism. In this paper we focus on the case where H is a so-called *triad*, i.e., an orientation of a tree which has a single vertex of degree 3 and otherwise only vertices of degree 2 and 1. (...) We describe an efficient algorithm that checks the existence of Siggers polymorphisms for triads up to a certain number/size of vertices/armlength?.

2 TODO Introduction

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a finite directed graph. The H -colouring problem (also called the *constraint satisfaction problem for H*) is the problem of deciding for a given finite graph G whether there exists a homomorphism from G to H . Note that if $H = K_k$, the clique with k vertices, then the H -colouring problem equals the famous k -colouring problem, which is NP-hard for $k \geq 3$ and which can be solved in polynomial time if $k \leq 2$.

It has been known for a while that the complexity of the H -colouring problem only depends on the set of *polymorphisms* of H . It follows from results of Bulatov [4] and of Zhuk [6] from 2017 that the H -colouring problem is in P if H has a so-called (*4-ary*) Siggers polymorphism, i.e., an operation $s : V^4 \rightarrow V$ which satisfies for all $a, e, r \in V$

$$s(a, r, e, a) = s(r, a, r, e)$$

Before these results, the complexity of $\text{CSP}(H)$ was open even if H is an orientation of a tree. It is not obvious at all how an orientation of a tree looks like if it has a Siggers polymorphism. In fact, this question is already open if H is a *triad*, i.e., an orientation of a tree which has a single vertex of degree 3 and otherwise only vertices of degree 2 and 1. Jakob Bulin claims that the following triad with 22 vertices has no Siggers polymorphism.

01001111, 0110000, 101000

Here, 0 stands for forward edge, 1 stands for backward edge, and the three words stand for the three paths that leave the vertex of degree 3 of the triad. He also claims that all smaller triads do have a Siggers polymorphism,

and conjectures that an orientation of a tree has a Siggers polymorphism if and only if it has a binary polymorphism f satisfying $f(u, y) = f(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in V$. Jakub Bulin conjectures that in this case the Path-Consistency algorithm can solve the H -colouring problem.

3 TODO Task 1: Lemma

To ensure that our proposed algorithm runs correctly we are first going to prove the following lemma

Lemma 1. *Let \mathbb{T} be a finite tree. The following are equivalent*

1. \mathbb{T} is a core
2. $End(\mathbb{T}) = \{id\}$
3. $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ terminates with $L(v) = v$ for all vertices v of \mathbb{T}

3.1 Proof

$1 \Rightarrow 2$: Let \mathbb{T} be a core. We assume there is another homomorphism $f \in End(\mathbb{T})$ with $f \neq id$.

Note, that every unique shortest path from v to w maps to the unique shortest path from $f(v)$ to $f(w)$, since f is an automorphism of a tree. It follows, that there must be a leaf u on which f is not the identity, because otherwise f would map \mathbb{T} to itself.

We then take the orbit of u and the paths in between, which gives us a subtree \mathbb{T}' . Because each vertex $v \in \mathbb{T}'$ lies on a path from $x \in Orb(u)$ to $y \in Orb(u)$ that maps to the path from $f(x) \in Orb(u)$ to $f(y) \in Orb(u)$, we can assume $f|_{\mathbb{T}'} \subseteq \mathbb{T}'$.

... we know that every automorphism of a tree fixes either a vertex or an edge. Since we don't allow double-edges there must be a an inner ? vertex $v \in \mathbb{T}'$ for which $f(v) = v$.

Now let $\mathbb{T} = v(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ with $\xi_a \rightarrow \xi_b$ for at least one pair ξ_a, ξ_b , where ξ_a contains u and ξ_b contains $f(u)$. We then construct a nonbijective endomorphism h of \mathbb{T} by taking f on ξ_a . For every other component we define h as id . But then \mathbb{T} can't be a core, which means our assumption was wrong and $End(\mathbb{T})$ cannot contain such a f , but only id .

$2. \Rightarrow 1$: If $End(\mathbb{T}) = id$, then the only homomorphism $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is id , which is an automorphism. Hence \mathbb{T} must be a core.

2. \Rightarrow 3: Suppose that $End(\mathbb{T}) = \{id\}$. To prove that $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ terminates with $L(v) = \{v\}$ for all vertices v of \mathbb{T} we use a modified version of the prove of implication 4 \Rightarrow 2 of Theorem 2.7 in the script of Graph-Homomorphisms ?.

Obviously, $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ cannot derive the empty list. By choosing a vertex u from the list of each node v we can construct a sequence f_0, \dots, f_n for $n = |V(\mathbb{T})|$, where f_i is a homomorphism from the subgraph of \mathbb{T} induced by the vertices at distance at most i to an arbitrary vertex \tilde{v} in \mathbb{T} , and f_{i+1} is an extension of f_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We start by defining f_0 to map \tilde{v} to an arbitrary vertex $u \in L(\tilde{v})$.

Suppose inductively, that we have already defined f_i . Let w be a vertex at distance $i + 1$ from \tilde{v} in \mathbb{T} . Since \mathbb{T} is an orientation of a tree, there is a unique $w' \in V(\mathbb{T})$ of distance i from \tilde{v} in \mathbb{T} such that $(w, w') \in E(\mathbb{T})$ or $(w', w) \in E(\mathbb{T})$. Note that $x = f_i(w')$ is already defined. In case that $(w', w) \in E(\mathbb{T})$, there must be a vertex y in $L(w)$ such that $(x, y) \in E(\mathbb{T})$, since otherwise the arc-consistency procedure would have removed x from $L(w')$. We then set $f_{i+1}(w) = y$. In case that $(w, w') \in E(\mathbb{T})$ we can proceed analogously. By construction, the mapping f_n is an endomorphism of \mathbb{T} .

Knowing that id is the only endomorphism of \mathbb{T} we get $v = f_n(v) = u$ for all vertices v of \mathbb{T} . Hence $L(v) = \{v\}$.

3. \Rightarrow 2: It's obvious, that always $\{id\} \subseteq End(\mathbb{T})$. Since $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ derived $L(v) = v$ for all vertices v of \mathbb{T} we know there can't be another homomorphism h for which $h(v) \neq v$, hence $End(\mathbb{T}) = \{id\}$.

3.2 Notes

4 DONE Task 2: Arc-Consistency Procedure

Implement the arc-consistency procedure such that your algorithm runs in linear time in the size of the input.

Algorithm 1: $AC_{\mathbb{T}}$ (\mathbb{T} is a triad)
1 Input: digraph \mathbb{G} , initial lists $L : G \mapsto P(T)$ Output: Is there a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{G} \mapsto \mathbb{T}$ such that $h(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in G$

4.1 Notes

- Can we optimize AC for paths?

- Done by implementing AC-3 for graphs

4.2 Benchmarks

Algorithm 2: Algorithm for finding core triads

Input: An unsigned integer m
Output: A list of all core triads whose arms each have a length $\leq m$

```

// Finding a list of RCAs
armlist  $\leftarrow []$ ;
foreach arm  $p$  with  $\text{length}(p) \leq m$  do
    if  $ACR_p(p)$  didn't derive  $L(v) \neq v$  for any vertex  $v$  then
         $\perp$  put  $p$  in armlist

// Assembling the RCAs to core triads
triadlist  $\leftarrow []$ ;
foreach  $\{p_1, p_2\}$  in armlist do
    if  $ACR_{p_1 p_2}(p_1 p_2)$  derived  $L(v) \neq v$  for some vertex  $v$  then
         $\perp$  Drop the pair and cache the two indices;

foreach triad  $\mathbb{T} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  do
    if  $\mathbb{T}$  contains a cached index pair then
         $\perp$  Drop  $\mathbb{T}$  and continue;
    if  $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$  didn't derive  $L(v) \neq v$  for some vertex  $v$  then
         $\perp$  Put  $\mathbb{T}$  in triadlist;

return triadlist

```

5 DONE Task 3: Core Triads

Write an algorithm that enumerates all core triads up to a fixed path-length/node number.

5.1 Algorithm

Algorithm 2 displays the pseudo-code of the entire core triad generation.

5.2 Notes

5.2.1 Observations

- Let n be the maximal arm length
- Then the number of possible paths is $p = \sum_{i=1}^n 2^i$ and there are p^3 possible triads.

- To reduce the number of cases to look at we consider only triads that are cores, i.e., not homomorphically equivalent to smaller triads. Thus we pose/have to answer? the following question
- **Question 1.** *When is a triad homomorphically equivalent to a smaller triad?*
- A method to answer this question has already been presented in Lemma 1: We simply run $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ and see if it derives $L(v) = \{v\}$ for every vertex v
- Not efficient! and our algorithm will build up triads from arms
- Obvious case: A triad with two identical arms is obviously not a core triad
- We introduce some further definitions:
- We consider a *partial triad* θ to be a triad of the form $(p_1p_2p_3)$ where at least one $p_i = \varepsilon$. If $p_j \neq \varepsilon$ for only one j then we call θ an *arm*. Each partial triad can be completed to form a triad by adding arms to it.
- We cannot be certain about later restrictions on the root node after adding arms. Thus running $AC_{\theta}(\theta)$ on a partial triad, does not let us make a statement about a triad derived from it.
- Because of this we define:
- $ACR_{\mathbb{T}}$ names a modification of $AC_{\mathbb{T}}$ that colours the root r that has degree 3 with $L(r) = \{r\}$
- *Rooted core* (RC) names a partial triad θ for which $ACR_{\theta}(\theta)$ did derive $L(v) = \{v\}$ for every vertex v
- A triad with an arm that is not a RC cannot be a core triad.
- Every partial triad that is not a RC cannot be completed to form a core triad.

5.2.2 Algorithm

$AC \rightarrow id$	no statement
$AC \nrightarrow id$	no statement
$ACR \rightarrow id$	no statement
$ACR \nrightarrow id$	triad cannot be a core

- Consider the arm “100” serves as an example for an arm, on which AC doesn’t derive only id . Yet, (“100”, “11”, “00”) is still a core.
- Only if ACR does not derive only id , we can drop the arm

5.2.3 Optimizations

- Let $H = (V, E)$ be a graph and let $\bar{H} = (V, \bar{E})$ be the graph where $\bar{E}(\bar{H}) = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in E(H)\}$
- A mapping $f : V(H)^k \rightarrow V(H)$ is a polymorphism of H if and only if $(f(u_1, \dots, u_k), f(v_1, \dots, v_k)) \in E(H)$ whenever $(u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_k, v_k)$ are arcs in $E(H)$.
- Now let f be a polymorphism of H . It follows that $(f(v_1, \dots, v_k), f(u_1, \dots, u_k)) \in E(\bar{H})$ whenever $(v_1, u_1), \dots, (v_k, u_k)$ are arcs in $\bar{E}(\bar{H})$, which makes f a polymorphism of \bar{H} .
- We have to generate only have of all triads e.g. ~~(“01”, “0”, “11”)~~ because (“10”, “1”, “00”)

1. Numbers of triads

armlength	4	5	6	7	8
number of triads	27	265	2667	22547	189681
		9,81	10,06	8,5	8,4

6 TODO Task 4: Commutative Polymorphisms

Write an algorithm that enumerates all core triads that do not have a commutative polymorphism up to a fixed path-length. For every triad \mathbb{T} there is a unique homomorphism

6.1 Notes

- If conjecture is true, then singleton-arc-consistency can be used to check commutative polymorphisms in the same way like path-consistency can be used to check majority polymorphisms
- Singleton-arc-consistency receives the following graph as its input:
 - Calculate the productgraph of \mathbb{T} with itself
 - Merge every pair of vertices (x, y) and (y, x) to one vertex
- $Thascomm. \Rightarrow Thassiggers$

7 Notes

7.1 Deprecated

7.1.1 Task 1

- ⊠ “3. \implies 1.” If $AC_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T})$ terminates with $L(v) = v$ for all vertices v of \mathbb{T} , we know that, if there was a homomorphism $h : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, h would map each vertex v to itself. We see that h is obviously an automorphism, hence \mathbb{T} must be a core.
- ⊠ “1. \implies 2.” We consider p to be the unique path from u to $f(u)$, which maps to the unique path p' from $f(u)$ to $f(f(u))$. Our claim is that there has to be a vertex v on p for which $f(v) = v$. To show this we take the orbit of u and the paths in between.

In the simple case we suppose that $f(f(u)) = u$. This implies $f(u_i) = u_{l-i}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$. Since no double-edges are allowed, we conclude that $l = 2m$, which gives us $f(u_m) = u_m$.

For the general case, we consider the orbit of u to be of size $n \geq 3$. Because of $f(u_0) = u_l$ there is a greatest $m \leq l$ such that $f(u_i) = u_{l-i}$, for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ from which follows that there must be a cyclic path from u_m to $f^n(u_m) = u_m$ of length $n(l - 2m)$. Since \mathbb{T} is a tree, we require that $n(l - 2m) = 0$. The latter equation can only be satisfied for $l = 2m$, and again we get $f(u_m) = u_m$.

7.2 Todo

7.2.1 TODO Parallelize triad generation

7.2.2 TODO Use `with_capacity` for vectors

7.2.3 TODO Parallelize pruning-search

7.2.4 TODO Add verbose flag

7.2.5 TODO Explain

- ☐ notation in context of triads e.g. $(p_1p_2p_3)$
- ☐ triads

7.2.6 TODO Add a `--conservative` flag

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$$

7.3 Questions

- Are empty arms allowed?

7.4 Tasks

- Verify the claims of Jakub Bulin: is it correct that the triad given above does not have a Siggers polymorphism? This can be checked by a computer.
- Write a computer program that generates all triads up to a certain number of vertices. Actually, we are only interested in those triads that are *cores*, i.e., not homomorphically equivalent to smaller triads - this greatly reduces the number of cases to look at.
- Write a program that verifies Bulin's conjecture on those triads.

7.5 Idee Micha

- Vertex ID = (Arm ID, ArmLocalVertexID)
- Triad = Vec<Arm>
- Arm = Len: usize edges: Bitfield