

# Abstract Algebra

## Solution to selected problems

Animesh Renanse

December 31, 2020

**Answer I.2.2:** Let  $S$  be a *finite semigroup* and cancellation law holds for it's elements, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y = x \cdot z &\implies y = z \\ y \cdot x = z \cdot x &\implies y = z \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since  $S$  is a semigroup, hence it is already associative. Consider  $x^n = x \cdot x \cdot \dots \cdot x \in S$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . But since  $S$  is finite, thus  $\exists m \neq n$  such that  $x^n = x^m$ . Now by cancellation law, we get (assuming WLOG that  $n > m$ )

$$x^{n-m} = 1.$$

Since  $n - m > 0$ , hence  $x^{n-m} = 1 \in S$ . Now, consider  $y \in S$  such that  $y \cdot x^n = 1$ , hence,

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot x^n &= 1 \\ y \cdot x^n &= x^{n-m} \\ y \cdot x^m &= 1 = y \cdot x^n \text{ (Cancellation Law)} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Hence,  $y$  is the inverse element of  $x^n$ . Thus we finally have  $S$  as a group. ■

---

**Answer I.3.14:** Let  $G$  be a group with the two left cosets of  $H \leq G$  and  $K \leq G$ . We need to show that intersection of these left cosets is either empty or an another left coset of  $H \cap K$ . Let  $x, y \in G$ . Consider the left coset of  $H$  as  $x \cdot H$  and left coset of  $K$  as  $y \cdot K$ . Moreover, consider now the intersection of  $x \cdot H$  and  $y \cdot K$ ,  $x \cdot H \cap y \cdot K$ . Let  $z \in x \cdot H \cap y \cdot K$ . Therefore  $z \in x \cdot H$  and  $z \in y \cdot K$ . This implies that  $z = x \cdot h$  for some  $h \in H$ , similarly,  $z = y \cdot k$  for some  $k \in K$ . Hence  $x \cdot h = y \cdot k \implies h^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot y \cdot k = (h^{-1} \cdot k) \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot y) = 1 = (y^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot (k^{-1} \cdot h)$ . Since  $x, y \in G \implies x^{-1} \cdot y$  and  $y^{-1} \cdot x$  is in  $G$ , therefore either  $z = \Phi$  or if  $H \cap K \neq \Phi$  then since  $H \cap K$  is again a subgroup which implies  $h \cdot k^{-1} \in H \cap K$  where  $h, k \in H \cap K$ , we get that  $z$  is in left coset of  $H \cap K$ . ■

---

**Answer I.5.1:** Given :  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B, \psi : A \rightarrow C$  are homomorphisms on groups.

Let  $\psi$  be surjective and  $\text{Ker } \psi \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ . With this, we need to show the following (for some unique homomorphism  $\sigma : C \rightarrow B$ ):

$$1 : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & C \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \sigma \\ & & B \end{array}$$

We know that  $K = \text{Ker } \psi \trianglelefteq A$  (Proposition 19) and  $K \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Now by Factorization (Theorem 1) we have that  $\varphi$  can be factored via the canonical map uniquely as  $\varphi = \rho \circ \pi$  as :

$$2 : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A/\text{Ker } \psi \\ \downarrow \psi & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \rho \\ C & & B \end{array}$$

Now using Homomorphism theorem (Theorem 2), we see that  $A/\text{Ker } \psi \cong \text{Im } \psi = C$  as  $\psi$  is surjective where this isomorphism is unique. We hence complete the diagram as follows:

$$3 : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A/\text{Ker } \psi \\ \downarrow \psi & \swarrow \tau & \downarrow \rho \\ C & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1} \circ \rho} & B \end{array}$$

That is, since  $\tau$  is a unique isomorphism by Theorem 2 and  $\rho$  is a unique homomorphism by Theorem 1, we get that  $\sigma = \tau^{-1} \circ \rho$  would again be a unique homomorphism (Proposition 21).

Conversely, we have the Diagram 1 with us, and the fact that  $\psi$  is surjective with  $\varphi = \sigma \circ \psi$ , we hence need to show that  $\text{Ker } \psi \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ . First note that  $\text{Ker } \psi = \psi^{-1}(1_C)$  and  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(1_B)$ . Let  $a_\psi \in \text{Ker } \psi$  and  $a_\varphi \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi = \sigma \circ \psi$ , hence  $\varphi^{-1} = \psi^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \psi^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_B)$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism, hence  $1_C \subseteq \text{Ker } \sigma = \sigma^{-1}(1_B)$ . Hence,  $\text{Ker } \psi = \psi^{-1}(1_C) \subseteq \psi^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_B) = \varphi^{-1}(1_B) = \text{Ker } \varphi$ . ■

**Answer I.5.2:** Given :  $1_{2\mathbb{Z}} : 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$  is a homomorphism and  $\iota : 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is also a homomorphism. Groups  $2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}$  are under addition.

Assume that  $1_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  can be factored via the inclusion map  $\iota$ . That means that there is a homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1_{2\mathbb{Z}} = \varphi \circ \iota$ , represented as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1_{2\mathbb{Z}}} & 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow \iota & \nearrow \varphi & \\ \mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

By Homomorphism (Theorem 2), we have that  $2\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker } 1_{2\mathbb{Z}} \cong \text{Im } 1_{2\mathbb{Z}} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . But since  $\text{Ker } 1_{2\mathbb{Z}} = \{0\}$ , hence it implies that  $A/\text{Ker } 1_{2\mathbb{Z}} = 2\mathbb{Z}/\{0\} = \{0\}$ . Which leads to the contradiction that  $\{0\} \cong 2\mathbb{Z}$ . ■

**Answer I.5.3:** Given :  $\varphi : A \rightarrow C$  and  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  are homomorphisms. Also  $\psi$  is injective.

Let  $\varphi = \psi \circ \chi$ , that is,  $\varphi$  is factored via  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  where  $\chi : A \rightarrow B$  is a unique homomorphism. This means that we have the following situation:

$$1 : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\chi} & B \\ \downarrow \varphi & \nearrow \psi & \\ C & & \end{array}$$

Using Homomorphism (Theorem 2) on  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , we get that  $\varphi = \iota_1 \circ \theta_1 \circ \pi_1$  and  $\psi = \iota_2 \circ \theta_2 \circ \pi_2$  as follows:

$$2 : \begin{array}{ccccc} A/\text{Ker } \varphi & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\chi} & B \\ \downarrow \theta_1 & & \downarrow \varphi & \nearrow \psi & \searrow \pi_2 \\ \text{Im } \varphi & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & C & & B/\text{Ker } \psi \\ & & \nwarrow \iota_2 & \nearrow \theta_2 & \\ & & \text{Im } \psi & & \end{array}$$

Take any  $c \in \text{Im } \varphi$  which is also in  $C$ . Assume that  $c \notin \text{Im } \psi$ . Since  $\theta_1$  is an isomorphism (so a bijection), hence  $\theta_1^{-1}(c) \in A/\text{Ker } \varphi$ . Since  $\pi_1$  is a canonical projection which is surjective homomorphism (Proposition 23), hence  $\pi_1^{-1} \circ \theta_1^{-1} \circ \iota_1^{-1}(c) = \varphi^{-1}(c) = a_1 \in A$ . Now  $\chi(a_1) \in B$ . But since  $\psi$  is injective, hence for all  $b \in B$ , there exists unique element in  $C$ . Hence  $\psi(\chi(a_1)) = \psi \circ \chi(a_1) = \varphi(a_1) = \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}(c) = c \in \text{Im } \psi$  which is a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that  $\text{Im } \varphi \subseteq \text{Im } \psi$  with  $\psi$  being injective. We need to show that Diagram 1 is true. Theorem 2 leads us to Diagram 2 above without the homomorphism  $\chi : A \rightarrow B$ . Since  $\text{Im } \varphi \subseteq \text{Im } \psi$ , hence for any  $c \in \text{Im } \varphi$ ,  $c \in \text{Im } \psi$ , which implies that there exists a inclusion homomorphism  $\tau : \text{Im } \varphi \rightarrow \text{Im } \psi$  because both  $\text{Im } \varphi, \text{Im } \psi \subseteq C$ . Since  $\psi$  is injective, it means that for each  $b \in B$ , we have a distinct  $\psi(b) \in C$ . Hence,  $\text{Ker } \psi = \psi^{-1}(1_C) = 1_B$ . Therefore  $B/\text{Ker } \psi = B/1_B = B$ . Therefore,  $\pi_2$  becomes a identity mapping from  $B$  to  $B$ . We can now form the homomorphism  $\chi$  as the following composition,  $\chi = \theta_2^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \theta_1 \circ \pi_1 : A \rightarrow B$ . Note that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are unique isomorphisms, courtesy of Theorem 2. Hence  $\chi$  is also unique. ■

**Answer I.5.4:** Consider the homomorphism (as  $\mathbb{R}$  is an additive group)  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\psi(\theta) = e^{i2\pi\theta}$ . Clearly,  $|\psi(\theta)| = 1$  so  $\psi$  maps to complex numbers of modulus 1. Note that  $\text{Ker } \psi = \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence by Homomorphism (Theorem 2) we get that  $\mathbb{R}/\text{Ker } \psi = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{C}_1$  where  $\mathbb{C}_1$  is the multiplicative group of all complex numbers of modulus 1. ■

**Answer II.3.10:** We have  $x \in G$  and its centralizer  $C_G(x)$  in  $G$ . From this, we need to prove that in the set of all subgroups  $H$  whose center contains  $x$ ,  $C_G(x)$  is the largest of them.

We begin by expanding the  $Z(C_G(x)) = \{g' \in C_G(x) \mid g' \cdot y = y \cdot g' \ \forall y \in C_G(x)\}$ . This clearly is the set of all those elements of centralizer  $C_G(x)$  which commute with each other. First, it's trivial to see that  $C_G(x)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Second, we take a larger subgroup  $J \leq G$  such that  $x \in Z(J)$  and  $C_G(x) \subseteq J$ . Now since  $x \in Z(J)$ , this implies that  $x \cdot z = z \cdot x$  for all  $z \in J$ . But this implies that  $Z(J) \subseteq C_G(x)$  and since  $J \subseteq Z(J)$ , hence  $J \subseteq C_G(x)$ . Hence  $J = C_G(x)$ . ■

---

**Answer II.3.13:** We have a group  $G$ , Group of automorphic functions from  $G$  to  $G$ ,  $\mathbf{Aut}(G)$  and the center  $Z(G)$ . Clearly,  $Z(G)$  is a subgroup itself. Now by definition, any  $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathbf{Aut}(G)$  is a bijective homomorphism. Hence, we can write  $\alpha(Z(G)) = \{\alpha(y) \mid y \in Z(G)\}$ . Now since  $\alpha$  is homomorphic, hence for  $y \in Z(G)$ ,  $\alpha(y) = \alpha(x \cdot y \cdot x^{-1}) = \alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y) \cdot (\alpha(x))^{-1}$  for all  $x \in G$ . Rearranging this leads to the equation that  $\alpha(y) \cdot \alpha(x) = \alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $y \in Z(G)$ . This implies that  $\alpha(y) \in Z(G)$  because  $\alpha(x) \in G$  is and  $\alpha$  is bijective. Therefore we have  $\alpha(Z(G)) = Z(G)$ , that is, center of a group is a characteristic subgroup. ■

---

**Answer II.3.14:** For first part, consider  $C \leq N \leq G$  where  $C$  is a characteristic subgroup. Now note that action of  $G$  by inner automorphism on  $N$ . Considering the center of  $N$  as  $Z(N) = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot N \cdot g^{-1} = N\}$ , which is also normal. Since  $C \leq N \trianglelefteq Z(N)$  and by Proposition 34, we have that  $C \trianglelefteq G$ .

Second part can be seen easily by noting that the following relation is transitive :  $x \leq_C y$  if  $\alpha(x) = x \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{Aut}(y)$ , where  $x \leq_C y$  means  $x$  is the characteristic subgroup of  $y$ . ■

---

**Answer II.3.15:** We have that  $N \leq_C G$ ,  $N \leq K \leq G$  with  $K/N \leq_C G/N$ . We need to prove that  $K \leq_C G$ . To show this, simply take any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Aut}(G)$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Aut}(G/N) \subseteq \mathbf{Aut}(G)$ . Therefore for any pair of  $k_1 \cdot N, k_2 \cdot N \in K/N$ , we have  $\alpha(k_1 \cdot N \cdot k_2 \cdot N) = \alpha(k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot N) = \alpha(k_1 \cdot k_2) \cdot \alpha(N) = \alpha(k) \cdot N \in K/N$  where  $\alpha(k) \in K$ . Since  $\alpha$  is bijective, therefore  $\alpha(K) = K$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Aut}(G)$ . Hence  $K \leq_C G$ . ■

---

**Answer III.1.3:** Assume a  $w \in R$  such that  $w \cdot u = u \cdot w = 1_R$  on top of the given fact that  $\exists u \in R$  such that  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u = 1_R$  for some  $v \in R$ . Now we simply assume that  $w - v \neq 0_R$ . Note that if  $u = 0_R$ , then  $R = \{0_R, 1_R\}$  under given conditions (one would get  $0_R = 1_R$ ) and then only such pair would be  $1_R \cdot 1_R = 1_R$ . For the other non-trivial case, we have  $u \neq 0_R$ . Therefore by distributive property, we have  $0_R = u \cdot 0_R \neq u \cdot (w - v) = u \cdot w - u \cdot v = 1_R - 1_R = 0_R$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $w - v = 0 \implies w = v$  so that any unit  $v$  is unique for ring  $R$  with identity.

Showing that the set  $U_R = \{u \in R \mid u \cdot v = v \cdot u = 1_R \text{ for some } v \in R\}$  is a group is trivial because associativity and identity are ensured by ring structure and by the fact that  $1_R \in U_R$ . Moreover, existence of inverse elements of  $U_R$  is inbuilt of its definition. ■

---

**Answer III.1.4:** If  $u$  is unit of  $R$  with identity, then  $\exists v \in R$  such that  $v \cdot u = u \cdot v = 1_R$ . So the second statement follows trivially for  $y = x = v$ .

Conversely, we have  $x \cdot u = u \cdot y = 1_R$  for some  $x, y \in R$ . Assume that  $\nexists z \in R$  such that  $z \cdot u = u \cdot z = 1_R \implies z \cdot (u \cdot z) = u \cdot z^2 \implies z \cdot 1_R = z = u \cdot z^2$ . Now note that  $(x \cdot u) \cdot y = u \cdot y^2 = y \implies u \cdot y^2 = y$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\exists z \in R$  such that  $z \cdot u = u \cdot z = 1_R$ , so  $u$  is unit of  $R$ . ■

---