

Numerical Analysis homework # 2

Wang Hengning 3230104148 *

Computational mathematics 2301, Zhejiang University

Due time: October 20, 2025

I. Analysis of the Linear Interpolation for $\frac{1}{x}$

i) Determining $\xi(x)$ Explicitly

The function values at the nodes are $f(x_0) = f(1) = 1$ and $f(x_1) = f(2) = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$p_1(f; x) = f(x_0) \frac{x - x_1}{x_0 - x_1} + f(x_1) \frac{x - x_0}{x_1 - x_0}$$

$$p_1(f; x) = -(x - 2) + \frac{1}{2}(x - 1) = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{2}$$

$$R_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \left(-\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{(x - 1)(x - 2)}{2x}$$

$$f''(x) = 2x^{-3} = \frac{2}{x^3}$$

$$\frac{(x - 1)(x - 2)}{2x} = \frac{f''(\xi(x))}{2}(x - 1)(x - 2)$$

Since $x \in (1, 2)$, the term $(x - 1)(x - 2)$ is non-zero, allowing us to simplify the equation:

$$\frac{1}{2x} = \frac{f''(\xi(x))}{2} \implies f''(\xi(x)) = \frac{1}{x}$$

Substituting the expression for the second derivative, $f''(\xi(x)) = \frac{2}{(\xi(x))^3}$:

$$\frac{2}{(\xi(x))^3} = \frac{1}{x} \implies (\xi(x))^3 = 2x$$

Thus:

$$\xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2x}, \quad x \in (1, 2)$$

ii) Extension and Calculation of Extrema

Since $\xi(x)$ is a **monotonically** increasing function on $[1, 2]$, its minimum and maximum values occur at the endpoints, its maximum value occurs at the left endpoint $x = 1$:

$$\min_{x \in [1, 2]} \xi(x) = \xi(1) = \sqrt[3]{2 \cdot 1} = \sqrt[3]{2}$$

$$\max_{x \in [1, 2]} \xi(x) = \xi(2) = \sqrt[3]{2 \cdot 2} = \sqrt[3]{4}$$

$$\max_{x \in [1, 2]} f''(\xi(x)) = \max_{x \in [1, 2]} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{1}{1} = 1$$

*Electronic address: whning@zju.edu.cn

II. Construction of a Non-negative Interpolating Polynomial

To find a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n}^+$ such that it interpolates the given data points (x_i, f_i) for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $f_i \geq 0$.
 Let $l_k(x)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ be the **Lagrange basis polynomials** corresponding to the distinct nodes $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^n$. These polynomials satisfy the property $l_k(x_j) = \delta_{kj}$.

Construction A

Consider the polynomial defined as a sum of squares:

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k l_k^2(x)$$

The degree of each basis polynomial $l_k(x)$ is n , which implies the degree of $l_k^2(x)$ is $2n$. Consequently, the degree of $p(x)$ is at most $2n$.

Given that $f_k \geq 0$ and $l_k^2(x) \geq 0$ for any real x , the sum $p(x)$ is non-negative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{2n}^+$.
 Verifying the interpolation conditions at the nodes x_i :

$$p(x_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k l_k^2(x_i) = f_i l_i^2(x_i) + \sum_{k \neq i} f_k l_k^2(x_i) = f_i (1)^2 + \sum_{k \neq i} f_k (0)^2 = f_i$$

This construction satisfies all requirements.

Construction B

Alternatively, consider the polynomial formed by squaring a sum:

$$p(x) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sqrt{f_k} \times l_k(x) \right)^2$$

Let $S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sqrt{f_k} l_k(x)$. Since each $l_k(x)$ is of degree n , the degree of $S(x)$ is at most n . Therefore, the degree of $p(x) = [S(x)]^2$ is at most $2n$.

The squared form inherently ensures that $p(x) \geq 0$ for all real x , so $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{2n}^+$.
 Evaluating at the interpolation nodes x_i :

$$p(x_i) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \sqrt{f_k} l_k(x_i) \right)^2 = \left(\sqrt{f_i} l_i(x_i) \right)^2 = \left(\sqrt{f_i} \cdot 1 \right)^2 = f_i$$

This alternative also fulfills all the problem's conditions.

III. Analysis of Divided Differences for $f(x) = e^x$

Proof by Induction

Let the statement be $P(n) : f[t, t+1, \dots, t+n] = \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} e^t$. The base case $n = 0$ is trivial, as $f[t] = e^t = \frac{(e-1)^0}{0!} e^t$. Assume $P(n)$ holds for some $n \geq 0$. For $n+1$, the recursive definition of divided differences is:

$$f[t, \dots, t+n+1] = \frac{f[t+1, \dots, t+n+1] - f[t, \dots, t+n]}{n+1}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, the numerator is:

$$\frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} e^{t+1} - \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} e^t = \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} e^t (e-1) = \frac{(e-1)^{n+1}}{n!} e^t$$

Substituting this back confirms $P(n+1)$:

$$f[t, \dots, t+n+1] = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{(e-1)^{n+1}}{n!} e^t \right) = \frac{(e-1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} e^t$$

Thus, the formula holds for all integers $n \geq 0$.

Determination of ξ

From the result above, setting $t = 0$ yields:

$$f[0, 1, \dots, n] = \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}$$

Corollary 2.22 provides an alternative expression for some $\xi \in (0, n)$:

$$f[0, 1, \dots, n] = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!}$$

For $f(x) = e^x$, the n -th derivative is $f^{(n)}(x) = e^x$. Equating the two expressions gives:

$$\frac{(e-1)^n}{n!} = \frac{e^\xi}{n!}$$

Solving for ξ directly gives:

$$\xi = n \ln(e-1) > n \ln(1.7) = \frac{n}{2} \ln(2.89) > \frac{n}{2} \ln(e) = \frac{n}{2}$$

The point ξ is located to the right of the midpoint of the interval $(0, n)$.

IV. Newton Interpolation and Minimum Approximation

Newton Form of the Interpolating Polynomial

The given data points are $(0, 5)$, $(1, 3)$, $(3, 5)$, and $(4, 12)$. The divided differences are computed and organized in the following table:

i	x_i	$f[x_i]$	$f[x_{i-1}, x_i]$	$f[x_{i-2}, \dots, x_i]$	$f[x_{i-3}, \dots, x_i]$
0	0	5			
1	1	3	-2		
2	3	5	1	1	
3	4	12	7	2	1/4

The Newton form of the interpolating polynomial $p_3(x)$ is constructed using the top diagonal of the table.

$$p_3(x) = f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2](x - x_0)(x - x_1) + f[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3](x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2)$$

Substituting the coefficients and nodes gives:

$$p_3(x) = 5 - 2x + 1 \cdot x(x - 1) + \frac{1}{4}x(x - 1)(x - 3)$$

Approximation of the Minimum

To find the minimum, we first find the derivative of the polynomial $p_3(x)$. Expanding the polynomial simplifies differentiation:

$$p_3(x) = 5 - 2x + (x^2 - x) + \frac{1}{4}(x^3 - 4x^2 + 3x) = \frac{1}{4}x^3 - \frac{9}{4}x + 5$$

The derivative is:

$$p'_3(x) = \frac{3}{4}x^2 - \frac{9}{4} = \frac{3}{4}(x^2 - 3)$$

Setting the derivative to zero, $p'_3(x) = 0$, yields the critical points.

$$x^2 - 3 = 0 \implies x = \pm\sqrt{3}$$

To confirm it is a minimum, we check the second derivative:

$$p''_3(x) = \frac{3}{2}x$$

At $x = \sqrt{3}$, we have $p''_3(\sqrt{3}) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} > 0$, which confirms a local minimum. Thus, the approximate location of the minimum is:

$$x_{\min} \approx \sqrt{3}$$

V. Divided Differences with Repeated Nodes for $f(x) = x^7$

Computation of the Divided Difference

To handle the repeated nodes, we require the derivatives of $f(x) = x^7$.

$$f'(x) = 7x^6, \quad f''(x) = 42x^5$$

The values needed for the divided difference table are:

$$f'(1) = 7, \quad f''(1) = 42, \quad f'(2) = 448$$

We construct the divided difference table for the nodes $z = \{0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2\}$. For repeated nodes $z_i = z_{i+k}$, the higher-order differences are defined using derivatives, such as $f[z_i, \dots, z_{i+k}] = f^{(k)}(z_i)/k!$.

i	z_i	$f[z_i]$	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th
0	0	0					
1	1	1	1				
2	1	1	7	6			
3	1	1	7	21	15		
4	2	128	127	120	99	42	
5	2	128	448	321	201	102	30

The final entry in the table gives the desired value.

$$f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2] = 30$$

Determination of ξ

The Mean Value Theorem for divided differences relates the divided difference to a derivative.

$$f[z_0, \dots, z_n] = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} \quad \text{for some } \xi \in (\min(z_i), \max(z_i))$$

For this problem, we have $n = 5$ and the nodes are within the interval $(0, 2)$. The 5th derivative of $f(x)$ is calculated as:

$$f^{(5)}(x) = 2520x^2$$

By substituting the computed divided difference and $n = 5$ into the theorem, we get an equation for ξ .

$$30 = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} = \frac{2520\xi^2}{120}$$

We solve this equation for ξ :

$$\xi^2 = \frac{30 \cdot 120}{2520} = \frac{3600}{2520} = \frac{10}{7}$$

Since ξ must be in $(0, 2)$, we take the positive square root.

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}} = \frac{\sqrt{70}}{7}$$

VI. Hermite Interpolation and Error Analysis

Estimate of $f(2)$

The Hermite interpolation problem is defined by the nodes $z = \{0, 1, 1, 3, 3\}$. We use the divided difference method to find the interpolating polynomial $H_4(x)$. The required derivative values are $f'(1) = -1$ and $f'(3) = 0$. The divided difference table is constructed as follows:

i	z_i	$f[z_i]$	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
0	0	1				
1	1	2	1			
2	1	2	-1	-2		
3	3	0	-1	0	2/3	
4	3	0	0	1/2	1/4	-5/36

The coefficients from the top diagonal are $1, 1, -2, 2/3, -5/36$. The Newton form of the polynomial is:

$$H_4(x) = 1 + x - 2x(x-1) + \frac{2}{3}x(x-1)^2 - \frac{5}{36}x(x-1)^2(x-3)$$

$$H_4(2) = -1 + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{10}{36} = -1 + \frac{24}{18} + \frac{5}{18} = \frac{-18 + 24 + 5}{18} = \frac{11}{18}$$

Maximum Possible Error

The error in Hermite interpolation for a function $f \in C^5[0, 3]$ is given by the formula:

$$E_4(x) = f(x) - H_4(x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} \prod_{i=0}^4 (x - z_i)$$

where ξ is in the interval spanned by the nodes, $(0, 3)$. We want to bound the error at $x = 2$. The node product at this point is:

$$\Psi(2) = (2-0)(2-1)(2-1)(2-3)(2-3) = (2)(1)(1)(-1)(-1) = 2$$

The error at $x = 2$ is therefore:

$$|E_4(2)| = \left| \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} \cdot \Psi(2) \right| = \left| \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{120} \cdot 2 \right| = \frac{|f^{(5)}(\xi)|}{60}$$

Given that $|f^{(5)}(x)| \leq M$ on $[0, 3]$, we can bound the error.

$$|E_4(2)| \leq \frac{M}{60}$$

The maximum possible error for the estimate $f(2) \approx 11/18$ is $M/60$.

VII. Relation Between Finite and Divided Differences

Forward Difference

We prove the identity $\Delta^k f(x) = k!h^k f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k]$ by induction on k , where $x_j = x + jh$. For the base case $k = 1$, we use the definitions of the operators.

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) = f(x_1) - f(x_0)$$

The right-hand side is:

$$1!h^1 f[x_0, x_1] = h \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} = h \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{h} = f(x_1) - f(x_0)$$

The identity holds for $k = 1$. Now, assume the proposition is true for an integer $k \geq 1$. We examine the case for $k + 1$.

$$\Delta^{k+1} f(x) = \Delta^k f(x+h) - \Delta^k f(x)$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis to both terms on the right-hand side yields:

$$\Delta^{k+1} f(x) = k!h^k f[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] - k!h^k f[x_0, \dots, x_k] = k!h^k (f[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] - f[x_0, \dots, x_k])$$

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}] = \frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] - f[x_0, \dots, x_k]}{x_{k+1} - x_0}$$

$$\Delta^{k+1} f(x) = k!h^k ((x_{k+1} - x_0)f[x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}]) = k!h^k (k+1)hf[x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}]$$

This simplifies to the desired result for $k + 1$.

$$\Delta^{k+1} f(x) = (k+1)!h^{k+1} f[x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}]$$

By the principle of induction, the formula is proven for all $k \geq 1$.

Backward Difference

A similar proof by induction can be constructed. Alternatively, we can use the established relationship between the backward and forward difference operators, $\nabla f(x) = \Delta f(x - h)$. By repeated application, this leads to the identity $\nabla^k f(x) = \Delta^k f(x - kh)$. We apply the proven forward difference formula to the function evaluated at the point $z = x - kh$:

$$\Delta^k f(z) = k!h^k f[z, z + h, \dots, z + kh]$$

$$\{x - kh, (x - kh) + h, \dots, (x - kh) + kh\} = \{x - kh, x - (k - 1)h, \dots, x\}$$

This set of nodes is precisely $\{x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0\}$. Therefore, we have:

$$\nabla^k f(x) = \Delta^k f(x - kh) = k!h^k f[x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \dots, x_0]$$

$$\nabla^k f(x) = k!h^k f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-k}]$$

This completes the proof.

VIII. Derivative of Divided Differences

Partial Derivative with respect to x_0

By the definition of the partial derivative, we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f[x_0 + h, x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{h}$$

$$f[x_0 + h, x_1, \dots, x_n] = f[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h]$$

$$\frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_0 + h) - x_0}$$

This is precisely the recursive definition for a higher-order divided difference over the nodes $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h\}$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h]$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h] = f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, \dots, x_n] = f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

For other variables

The divided difference $f[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a symmetric function of its arguments. This allows the result to be generalized to the partial derivative with respect to any other variable x_i .

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n] = f[x_i, x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$$

Let Z be the set of all other variables $\{x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f[x_i, Z] = f[x_i, x_i, Z]$$

Substituting the set Z back gives the general formula for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f[x_0, \dots, x_n] = f[x_i, x_i, x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$$

This result represents the divided difference over the original set of nodes with the node x_i repeated.

IX. A Min-Max Polynomial Problem

Let $P_n(x) = a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$. The problem is to determine the value of $\min_{a_1, \dots, a_n} \max_{x \in [a, b]} |P_n(x)|$. We can factor out the fixed leading coefficient $|a_0|$:

$$\max_{x \in [a, b]} |P_n(x)| = |a_0| \max_{x \in [a, b]} \left| x^n + \frac{a_1}{a_0}x^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{a_0} \right|$$

Minimizing over a_1, \dots, a_n is equivalent to minimizing over the coefficients of the resulting monic polynomial. Let \mathcal{P}_n^M be the set of all monic polynomials of degree n . The problem is transformed into:

$$|a_0| \min_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n^M} \max_{x \in [a, b]} |p(x)|$$

We use the linear transformation $x = \frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{a+b}{2}$ to map the interval $t \in [-1, 1]$ onto $x \in [a, b]$. A monic polynomial $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n^M$ can be expressed in terms of t :

$$p(x) = \left(\frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^n + \dots = \left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n t^n + \text{lower degree terms in } t$$

This implies that $p(x)$ can be written as $\left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n q(t)$, where $q(t)$ is a monic polynomial in t of degree n . The min-max problem over $[a, b]$ becomes a corresponding problem over $[-1, 1]$.

$$\min_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n^M} \max_{x \in [a, b]} |p(x)| = \min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n^M} \max_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left| \left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n q(t) \right| = \left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n \min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n^M} \max_{t \in [-1, 1]} |q(t)|$$

A fundamental theorem of approximation theory states that the minimum value of the maximum absolute value for a monic polynomial of degree n on $[-1, 1]$ is achieved by the monic Chebyshev polynomial $\tilde{T}_n(t) = T_n(t)/2^{n-1}$.

$$\min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_n^M} \max_{t \in [-1, 1]} |q(t)| = \max_{t \in [-1, 1]} |\tilde{T}_n(t)| = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

$$\min_{a_1, \dots, a_n} \max_{x \in [a, b]} |P_n(x)| = |a_0| \left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right)^n \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = |a_0| \frac{(b-a)^n}{2^{2n-1}}$$

X. A Minimization Property of Scaled Chebyshev Polynomials

We proceed by contradiction. Assume there exists a polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n^a$ such that $\|p\|_\infty < \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty$. Define a new polynomial $Q(x) = \hat{p}_n(x) - p(x)$. Since \hat{p}_n and p are both polynomials of degree n , $Q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n .

The Chebyshev polynomial $T_n(x)$ attains its extrema on $[-1, 1]$ at the $n+1$ points $x_k = \cos(k\pi/n)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $T_n(x_k) = (-1)^k$. The polynomial $\hat{p}_n(x) = T_n(x)/T_n(a)$ therefore satisfies:

$$\hat{p}_n(x_k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{T_n(a)}, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n, x_k = \cos\left(\frac{k}{n}\pi\right).$$

Since $a > 1$, $T_n(a) > 0$. The maximum absolute value of $\hat{p}_n(x)$ on $[-1, 1]$ is thus $\|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty = 1/T_n(a)$.

At these points x_k , we evaluate $Q(x_k)$:

$$Q(x_k) = \hat{p}_n(x_k) - p(x_k)$$

From our initial assumption, $|p(x_k)| \leq \|p\|_\infty < \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty = |\hat{p}_n(x_k)|$. This implies that $p(x_k)$ cannot change the sign of $\hat{p}_n(x_k)$. Thus, for $k = 0, \dots, n$:

$$\text{sign}(Q(x_k)) = \text{sign}(\hat{p}_n(x_k)) = \text{sign}((-1)^k)$$

Since $Q(x)$ alternates in sign across the $n+1$ points x_k , by the Intermediate Value Theorem, $Q(x)$ must have at least n distinct roots in the interval $(-1, 1)$.

Furthermore, both $\hat{p}_n(x)$ and $p(x)$ are in \mathbb{P}_n^a , which means $\hat{p}_n(a) = 1$ and $p(a) = 1$. Therefore, $Q(x)$ has an additional root at $x = a$:

$$Q(a) = \hat{p}_n(a) - p(a) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

Since $a > 1$, this root is distinct from the n roots found within $(-1, 1)$. This gives $Q(x)$ at least $n+1$ distinct roots.

We have established that $Q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n with at least $n+1$ roots. This is only possible if $Q(x)$ is identically zero. If $Q(x) \equiv 0$, then $p(x) \equiv \hat{p}_n(x)$, which implies $\|p\|_\infty = \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty$. This contradicts our initial assumption that $\|p\|_\infty < \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty$. The assumption must be false, and therefore, for any $p \in \mathbb{P}_n^a$, we must have $\|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty \leq \|p\|_\infty$.

XI. Proof of the Degree Elevation Property for Bernstein Polynomials

The proof begins by expanding the right-hand side (RHS) of the identity using the definition of a Bernstein basis polynomial, $b_{n,k}(t) = \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \frac{n-k}{n} b_{n,k}(t) + \frac{k+1}{n} b_{n,k+1}(t) \\ \text{RHS} &= \frac{n-k}{n} \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} + \frac{k+1}{n} \binom{n}{k+1} t^{k+1} (1-t)^{n-k-1} \end{aligned}$$

For the first term's coefficient:

$$\frac{n-k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n-k}{n} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n-k}{n} \frac{n(n-1)!}{k!(n-k)(n-k-1)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-k-1)!} = \binom{n-1}{k}$$

For the second term's coefficient:

$$\frac{k+1}{n} \binom{n}{k+1} = \frac{k+1}{n} \frac{n!}{(k+1)!(n-k-1)!} = \frac{k+1}{n} \frac{n(n-1)!}{(k+1)k!(n-k-1)!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-k-1)!} = \binom{n-1}{k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} + \binom{n-1}{k} t^{k+1} (1-t)^{n-k-1} \\ \text{RHS} &= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k-1} [(1-t) + t] \\ \text{RHS} &= \binom{n-1}{k} t^k (1-t)^{(n-1)-k} = b_{n-1,k}(t) = \text{LHS} \end{aligned}$$

The final expression is the definition of $b_{n-1,k}(t)$, which is the left-hand side (LHS) of the identity. This completes the proof.

XII. Proof of the Integral Property of Bernstein Basis Polynomials

Let $I_{n,k}$ denote the integral of the Bernstein basis polynomial $b_{n,k}(t)$ over the interval $[0, 1]$.

$$I_{n,k} = \int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t) dt = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dt$$

We apply integration by parts to the integral, with $u = (1-t)^{n-k}$ and $dv = t^k dt$. This gives $du = -(n-k)(1-t)^{n-k-1} dt$ and $v = t^{k+1}/(k+1)$.

$$I_{n,k} = \binom{n}{k} \left[\frac{t^{k+1}(1-t)^{n-k}}{k+1} \Big|_0^1 + \frac{n-k}{k+1} \int_0^1 t^{k+1}(1-t)^{n-k-1} dt \right]$$

The boundary term evaluates to zero for $k < n$. Thus, the expression simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,k} &= \frac{n-k}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^{k+1}(1-t)^{n-(k+1)} dt \\ \frac{n-k}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} &= \frac{n-k}{k+1} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n!}{(k+1)!(n-k-1)!} = \binom{n}{k+1} \\ I_{n,k} &= \binom{n}{k+1} \int_0^1 t^{k+1}(1-t)^{n-(k+1)} dt = I_{n,k+1} \end{aligned}$$

This relation holds for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, implying that the value of the integral $I_{n,k}$ is constant for all k . To find this constant value, we use the partition of unity property of Bernstein basis polynomials:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(t) = 1$$

Integrating this identity over $[0, 1]$ yields:

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(t) dt = \sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t) dt = \int_0^1 1 dt = 1$$

Since all $n + 1$ integrals in the sum are equal, we have:

$$(n + 1)I_{n,k} = 1$$

This leads to the final result, which is independent of k .

$$\int_0^1 b_{n,k}(t) dt = \frac{1}{n + 1}$$

Acknowledgement

Honestly, the **translation** was done using LLM tools, but the answers and solutions were written by myself. This version is edited out on 19th Oct.