Contents

1	Tas		•	
	1.1	Extending token	2	
	1.2	Adding keywords to the scanner	2	
	1.3	Adding new command to AST	4	
	1.4	Keyword parser recognision		
	1.5	Pretty print	2	
2	Tas	k 2	•	
	2.1	Token		
	2.2	AST	:	
	2.3	Scanner		
	2.4		:	
	2.5	Pretty print		
3	Task 3			
	3.1	AST	4	
	3.2	PPAST		
	3.3		4	
	3.4	Scanner	_	
	0.1	Parcer	/	

1 Task 1

1.1 Extending token

First step is to extend the Token data type found in Token.hs file.

1.2 Adding keywords to the scanner

Second step is to have new keywords be picked up by our scanner, to do this we have to update mkIdOrKwd function in Scanner.hs file.

```
mkIdOrKwd "repeat" = Repeat
mkIdOrKwd "until" = Until
```

1.3 Adding new command to AST

We now have defined the token as well as we are able to recognize it using the scanner. The next step is to be able to represent it in out abstract syntax tree. To do so, we update Command data type inside AST.hs.

```
-- repeat until
| CmdRepeat {
    crCmd :: Command, -- ^ Action
    crCond :: Expression, -- ^ Condition
    cmdSrcPos :: SrcPos
}
```

1.4 Keyword parser recognision

The next step is to update our parser to support this new syntax (all modification will be done to Parser.y file). First we modify the token to contain our new defined syntax

```
REPEAT { (Repeat, $$) }
UNTIL { (Until, $$) }
```

Next step is to add our command definition to the command function:

```
| REPEAT command UNTIL expression
{ CmdRepeat {crCmd = $2, crCond = $4, cmdSrcPos = $1} }
```

At this point our command will be picked up by the parser and the relative AST will be generated.

1.5 Pretty print

To allow debugging of the new syntax by printing, we have to add our command defined in AST.hs to PPAST.hs file. We add one more pattern match to ppCommand

```
ppCommand n (CmdRepeat {crCmd = cmd, crCond = cond, cmdSrcPos = sp}) =
  indent n . showString "CmdRepeat" . spc . ppSrcPos sp . nl
  . ppCommand (n+1) cmd
  . ppExpression (n+1) cond
```

We take our indentation level, the command and output stringified result. Show command name and print out inner command as well as expression at further indentation.

2 Task 2

2.1 Token

First step is to add question mark to Token data type in Token.hs

2.2 AST

Next step is to update AST by adding new expression to Expression data type.

This allows to store our condition, left and right choises in AST

2.3 Scanner

We also need to make sure that question mark (?) is picked up as a proper token. Do this by adding another pattern match for scan function

```
scan l c ('?' : s) = retTkn QMark l c (c + 1) s
```

2.4 Parser

Next we need to make sure that parser picks up this new syntax by first adding new token:

```
'?' { (QMark, $$) }
```

Now we can use it to define the expression in the parser

It can now be picked up by the parser. We save first expression as condition, second expression as left evaluation and third epxression as right evaluation.

2.5 Pretty print

Last step is to make sure we can debug by logging out the parsed expression. Add new pattern match for our newly defined ExpCond type

```
pExpression n (ExpCond {ecCond = c, ecLeft = 1, ecRight = r, expSrcPos = sp}) =
  indent n . showString "ExpCond" . spc . ppSrcPos sp . nl
  . ppExpression (n+1) c
  . ppExpression (n+1) 1
  . ppExpression (n+1) r
```

This will print the name and postion of our type as well as on the rest of new lines with further indentation: condition on first line, left evaluation on second and the right evaluation on third line.

3 Task 3

3.1 AST

First step is to make the else branch optional by modifying the ciElse type signiture to:

```
| CmdIf {
    ciCond :: Expression, -- ^ Condition
    ciThen :: Command, -- ^ Then-branch
    ciElse :: Maybe Command, -- ^ Else-branch
    cmdSrcPos :: SrcPos
}
```

This also serves additional funcitonality of being to set ciElse to another CmdIf as it's a command. This will be usefull for elsif command

3.2 PPAST

Now that the branch is optional it needs to be printed differently. We modify ppCommand pattern match for CmdIf:

```
ppCommand n (CmdIf {ciCond = e, ciThen = c1, ciElse = c2, cmdSrcPos = sp}) =
   indent n . showString "CmdIf" . spc . ppSrcPos sp . nl
   . ppExpression (n+1) e
   . ppCommand (n+1) c1
   . ppOpt (n+1) ppCommand c2
```

We are now using ppOpt (n+1) ppCommand c2 instead of . ppCommand (n+1) c2

3.3 Token

Next step is to modify Token data type for matching elsif

3.4 Scanner

Next step is to update the scanner to pick up our new syntax. Can do that by adding extra pattern match to mkIdOrKwd function

```
mkIdOrKwd "elsif" = ElseIf
```

3.5 Parser

Now we need the parser to be able to recognise our new syntax. Begin by adding new token type

```
ELSEIF { (ElseIf, $$) }
```

Next we have to modify current command parsing for if statements, we change it to

We use Maybe data type here in order to allow for optional branching. This uses the idea that any elseif statement is essentially an if statement inside else statement. For example:

```
if (cond) {} elsif (cond2) {}
// Is essentially the same as
if (cond) {} else { if(cond2) {} }
```

ifElseBranch has to be made into a seperate function, else you would be able to do elsif (cond) without prior if statement

Reference section

placeholder