# Web 2.0 Lecture 7: Security in REST

#### doc. Ing. Tomáš Vitvar, Ph.D.

tomas@vitvar.com • @TomasVitvar • http://vitvar.com



Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Information Technologies • Software and Web Engineering • http://vitvar.com/courses/w20






### **Overview**


- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
- OpenID

# Web Service Security Concepts

- Securing the client-server communication
  - Message-level security
  - Transport-level security
- Ensure
  - Authentication verify a client's identity
  - Authorizaton rights to access resources
  - Message Confidentiality keep message content secret
  - Message Integrity message content does not change during transmission
  - Non-repudiation proof of integrity and origin of data



### Data on the Web




### **Overview**

- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
- OpenID

### **Authentication and Authorization**

- Authentication
  - verification of user's identity
- Authorization
  - verification that a user has rights to access a resource
- Standard: HTTP authentication
  - HTTP defines two options
    - → Basic Access Authentication
    - → Digest Access Authentication
  - They are defined in
    - → RFC 2616: Hypertext Transfer Protocol HTTP/1.1
    - → RFC 2617: HTTP Authentication: Basic and Digest Access Authentication
- Custom/proprietary: use of cookies

#### **Basic Access Authentication**



#### Realm

- an identifier of the space on the server (~ a collection of resources and their sub-resources)
- A client may associate a valid credentials with realms such that it copies authorization information in requests for which server requires authentication (by WWW-Authenticate header)

### **Basic Access Authentication – Credentials**

#### Credentials

- credentials are base64 encoded
- the format is: username:password

#### Comments

- When SSL is not used, the password can be read
- An attacker can repeat interactions

### **Digest Access Authentication**

- RFC 2617 Basic and Digest Access Authentication
  - No password between a client and a server but a hash value
  - Simple and advanced mechanisms (only server-generated nonce value replayattacks or with client-generated nonce value)
- Basic Steps
  - 1. Client accesses a protected area
  - 2. Server requests authentication with WWW-Authenticate
  - 3. Client calculates a response hash by using the realm, his/her username, the password, and the quality of protection (QoP) and requests the resource with authorization header

### Nonce and QoP

#### Nonce


- A value to identify an interaction that should occur only once
- − nonce − generated by the server
  - $\rightarrow$  may have a time period for which the nonce is valid
  - $\rightarrow$  may be computed using client IP, ETag of the resource, etc.
  - $\rightarrow$  this limits chances for the replay attack.
- **−** cnonce − *generated by the client*
- QoP quality of protection
  - Further improvements to prevent replay attacks and enables non-repudiation

### **Algorithms**

- Algorithm for response value of authorization header
  - No quality of protection (qop is missing or qop=none)
    - → *limits chances of replay-attacks*
  - with quality of protection (qop=auth)
  - with quality of protection for message integrity (qop=auth-int)
    - → enables non-repudiation (i.e., proof of integrity and origin of data)


### Replay Attack

- Replay Attack Scenario (quality of protection is none)
  - The communication is not encrypted (i.e., no use of HTTPS)
  - Eve listens to the Alice's communication (e.g. on a proxy server)
  - Eve resends requests with headers from Alice's requests



### Replay Attack (Cont.)

- Replay Attack Scenario (quality of protection is auth or auth-int)
  - nonceCount should be incremented in every request to a response of the nonce value from the server



### **Overview**


- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
  - Client-side Web Apps
  - Server-side Web Apps
  - OAuth 2.0 vs. OAuth 1.0
- OpenID

#### **Motivation**

- Cloud Computing Software as a Service
  - Users utilize apps in clouds
    - → they access **resources** via Web browsers
    - → they store their data in the cloud
    - → Google Docs, PicasaWeb, etc.
  - The trend is that SaaS are open
    - $\rightarrow$  can be extended by 3rd-party developers through APIs
    - $\rightarrow$  attract more users  $\Rightarrow$  increases value of apps
  - Apps extensions need to have an access to users' data
- Need for a new mechanism to access resources
  - Users can grant access to third-party apps without exposing their users' credentials

#### When there is no OAuth





- Users must share their credentials with the 3rd-party app
- Users cannot control what and how long the app can access resources
- Users must trust the app
  - In case of misuse, users can only change their passwords

#### OAuth 2.0 Protocol

### OAuth Objectives

- users can grant access to third-party applications
- users can revoke access any time
- supports:
  - → client-side web apps (implicit grant),
  - $\rightarrow$  server-side apps (authorization code), and
  - → native (desktop) apps (authorization code)

### History

- Initiated by Google, Twitter, Yahoo!
- Different, non-standard protocols first: ClientLogin, AuthSub
- − *OAuth* 1.0 − *first standard, security problems, quite complex*
- − OAuth 2.0 − new version, not backward compatibile with 1.0

### • Specifications and adoption

- OAuth 2.0 Protocol №
- OAuth 2.0 Google Support 丞

### **Terminology**

#### Client

- a third-party app accessing resources owned by **resource owner**
- Resource Owner (also user)
  - a person that owns a resource stored in the **resource server**

#### Authorization and Token Endpoints

 endpoints provided by an authorization server through which a resource owner authorizes requests.

#### Resource Server

- an app that stores resources owned by a **resource owner** (e.g., pictures in Google PicasaWeb)

#### Authorization Code

- a code that a **client** uses to request **access tokens** to access resources

#### Access Token

- a code that a **client** uses to access resources

### **Overview**

- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
  - Client-side Web Apps
  - Server-side Web Apps
  - OAuth 2.0 vs. OAuth 1.0
- OpenID

### Client-side Web Apps

### • Simplified version of OAuth 2.0 protocol

- JavaScript/AJAX apps running in a browser
- Apps that cannot easily "remember" app state
- limited number of interactions

#### Architecture

- User-agent processes a javascript/HTML code from the client
- No need of authorization code


### • Basic Steps

- A client redirects a user agent to the authorization endpoint
- A resource owner grants an access to the client or rejects the request
- Authorization server provides an access\_token to the client
- Client access the resource with the access\_token
- When the token expires, client requests new token

#### **Demo – List of Contacts**

- Display your Google contacts
  - this demo requests authorization from you to access your Google contacts using client-side OAuth 2.0 protocol and then displays the contacts below. In order to transfer access\_token from authorization window, it stores the access\_token in a cookie.
  - access\_token
  - Show contacts or revoke access

### Client-side Web Apps Protocol



### **Redirection – Step 1**

- Methods and Parameters
  - Methods: GET or POST
  - example authorazation endpoint url (Google):
    https://accounts.google.com/o/oauth2/auth
  - query string parameters or application/x-www-form-urlencoded
    - $\rightarrow$  client\_id *id* of the client that was previously registered
    - → redirect\_uri an URI that auth. server will redirect to when user grants/rejects
    - → scope string identifying resources/services to be accessed
    - $\rightarrow$  response\_type type of the response (token or code)
    - → state (optional) state between request and redirect
  - Example

### Callback – steps 4 and 5

- Resource owner grants the access
  - authorization server calls back redirect\_uri
  - client parses URL in JavaScript (Step 5)
    - → extracts access\_token and expires\_in (by using window.location.hash)
  - Example:
- Resource owner rejects the access
  - authorization server calls back redirect\_uri with query string parameter error=access\_denied
  - Example:

### Accessing Resources – Step 6


#### Request

- client can access resources defined by scope
- resources' URIs defined in a particular documentation
- Example Google Contacts
  - → to access all users' contacts stored in Google
  - $\rightarrow$  scope *is* https://www.google.com/m8/feeds
- Query string parameter oauth\_token
- HTTP Header Authorization
- The client can do any allowed operations on the resource

#### Response

- -Success-200 OK
- Error **401** Unauthorized when token expires or the client hasn't performed the authorization request.

# **Cross-Origin Resource Sharing**



- see Same Origin and Cross-Origin for details

### **Example Application Registration**

#### Google apis



#### **API Access**

To prevent abuse, Google places limits on API requests. Using a valid OAuth token or API key allows you to exceed anonymous limits by connecting requests back to your project.

#### Authorized API Access

OAuth allows users to share specific data with you (for example, contact lists) while keeping their usernames, passwords, and other information private. Learn more

#### Branding information

The following information is shown to users whenever you request access to their private data.

Product name: w20-test

Google account: t.vitvar@gmail.com

Edit branding information...

#### Client ID for web applications

Client ID: 621535099260.apps.googleusercontent.com

Client secret: RxWM917Sv-7cyfWMW7KhNV9R

Redirect URIs: http://vitvar.com/examples/oauth/callback.html

JavaScript origins: http://example.org

Create another client ID...

Edit settings...

Reset client secret...

### **Overview**

- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
  - Client-side Web Apps
  - Server-side Web Apps
  - OAuth 2.0 vs. OAuth 1.0
- OpenID

### Server-side Web Apps

#### Additional interactions

- server-side code (any language), the app can maintain the state
- additional interactions, authorization code

#### Architecture

- Client at a server requests, remembers and refresh access tokens


#### Basic steps

- Client redirects user agent to the authorization endpoint
- Resource owner grants access to the client or rejects the request
- Authorization server provides authorization code to the client
- Client requests access and refresh tokens from the auth. server
- Client access the resource with the access token
- When the token expires, client refreshes a token with refresh token

#### Advantages

- Access tokens not visible to clients, they are stored at the server
- more secure, clients need to authenticate before they can get tokens

### Server-side Web Apps Protocol



# **Redirection – Step 1**

- Methods and Parameters
  - same as for client-side app, except response\_type must be code
- Example

# Callback + Access Token Request – steps 4, 5

#### Callback

- authorization server calls back redirect\_uri
- client gets the code and requests access\_token
- example (resource owner grants access):
  http://humla.vitvar.com/slides/w20/examples/oauth/callback.html?
  code=4/P7...
- when user rejects  $\rightarrow$  same as client-side access
- Access token request
  - POST request to token endpoint
    - → example Google token endpoint:

https://accounts.google.com/o/oauth2/token

### Access Token (cont.)

- Access token response
  - Token endpoint responds with access\_token and refresh\_token
- Refreshing a token
  - POST request to the token endpoint with grant\_type=refresh\_token and the previously obtained value of refresh\_token
- Accessing a resource is the same as in the client-side app

### **Overview**

- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
  - Client-side Web Apps
  - Server-side Web Apps
  - OAuth 2.0 vs. OAuth 1.0
- OpenID

# Why new version?

#### • OAuth 1.0 in brief

- security not based on SSL
- client must sign every request using a defined algorithm
  - $\rightarrow$  e.g., public-private key signatures by RSA
- More complex to be implemented by clients
  - → although client libraries exist
- not suitable for JavaScript-based clients

### • OAuth 2.0 simplifies the process

- SSL is required for all communications to generate the token
- Signatures are not required for the actual API calls once the token has been generated
  - → SSL is also strongly recommended here
- supports various clients including JavaScript and mobile


### **Overview**

- Security Concepts
- Authentication and Authorization
- OAuth 2.0
- OpenID

### **OpenID Protocol**

- Motivation many user accounts
  - users need to maintain many accounts to access various services
  - multiple passwords problem
- Objectives
  - allows apps to utilize an OpenID provider
    - → a third-party authentication service
    - $\rightarrow$  federated login
  - users have one account with the OpenID provider and use it for apps that support the provider
- OpenID providers
  - it is a protocol, anybody can build a provider
  - Google, Yahoo!, Seznam.cz, etc.
- Specification
  - OpenID Protocol 丞

### **Interaction Sequence**



- Discovery discovery of a service associated with a resource
- XRDS eXtensible Resource Descriptor Sequence
  - format for discovery result
  - developed to serve resource discovery for OpenID
  - Web app retrieves endpoint to send login authentication requests

# **Login Authentication Request – Step 5**

- Example Google OpenID provider
- Parameters
  - − ns − protocol version (obtained from the XRDS)
  - mode type of message or additional semantics (checkid\_setup indicates that interaction between the provider and the user is allowed during authentication)
  - − return\_to − callback page the provider sends the result
  - realm domain the user will trust, consistent with return\_to
  - assoc\_handle "log in" for web app with openid provider
  - \* Not all fields shown, check the OpenID spec for the full list of fields and their values

# **Login Authentication Response – Step 8**

- User logins successfully
  - Web app will use identity to identify user in the application
  - response is also signed using a list of fields in the response (not shown in the listing)
- User cancels

\* Not all fields shown, check the OpenID spec for the full list of fields and their values