

# Durrett 5th Chapter1 Solutions

htao

November 8, 2025

## 1 Probability Spaces

**1.1.1.** Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  =all subsets so that  $A$  or  $A^c$  is countable,  $P(A) = 0$  in the first case and  $= 1$  in the second. Show that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space.

**Solution.** We firstly prove  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field. Let  $\{A_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . It is obvious  $A_i^c \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $A_i$  or  $A_j$  is countable, then  $A_i \cap A_j$  is countable and hence contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Otherwise  $A_i^c$  and  $A_j^c$  is countable, and then  $(A_i \cap A_j)^c = A_i^c \cup A_j^c$  is countable, which concludes  $A_i \cap A_j$  is always in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Finally,  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$  is countable if every  $A_i$  is countable, Otherwise  $(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^c \subseteq A_0^c$  is countable since  $A_0^c$  is countable, which concludes  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

$P$  is well-defined since  $A \cup A^c = \Omega = \mathbb{R}$  is uncountable, whence  $A$  and  $A^c$  can not be countable simultaneously. Finally we prove  $P$  is a probability. It is trivial  $P(\Omega) = 1$ . For disjoint sets,  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $A_i$  are all countable,  $P(A_i) = 0$  and then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is countable,  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$ . Otherwise  $A_k^c$  is countable, and then  $A_i \subseteq A_k^c, i \neq k$  is countable since they are disjoint. And  $(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^c \subseteq A_k^c$  is countable. So  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = 1 = P(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)$ , when  $P$  is a probability.  $\square$

**1.1.2.** Recall the definition of  $S_d$  from Example 1.1.5. Show that  $\sigma(S_d) = \mathcal{R}^d$ , the Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* The definition of  $S_d$  is

$$S_d = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i] \mid -\infty \leq a_i, b_i \leq +\infty \right\}$$

It is obvious  $S_d \subseteq \mathcal{R}^d$  whence  $\sigma(S_d) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^d$ . Then for any  $\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$ ,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \left( a_i, b_i - \frac{1}{n} \right] \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$$

The desired result follows from  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of the right.

**1.1.3.** A  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be countably generated if there is a countable collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  so that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{R}^d$  is countably generated.

**Solution.** Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i) \mid a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is countable since  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}^{2d}|$ . And  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{R}^d$  since for each  $\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$ , there are  $a_{i,k}, b_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a_{i,k} \rightarrow a_i + 0$  and  $b_{i,k} \rightarrow b_i - 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^d (a_{i,k}, b_{i,k}) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$$

$\square$

#### 1.1.4.

- (i) Show that if  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots$  are  $\sigma$ -algebras, then  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$  is an algebra.
- (ii) Give an example to show that  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$  need not be a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution.**

- (i) For  $A, B \in \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ , there is  $F_k$  such that  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_k$ , and then  $A \cup B, A^c \in \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ , whence  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$  is an algebra.
- (ii) Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i = \{U \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid U \in \mathcal{R}^i\}$ . While  $[0, 1]^i \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , but

$$[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]^i \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \notin \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$$

□

**1.1.5.** A set  $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is said to have asymptotic density  $\theta$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|}{n} = \theta$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of sets for which the asymptotic density exists. Is  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra? an algebra?

**Solution.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an algebra. The counterexample is shown in the following.

Let  $A = \{2n\}$  and  $B = \{b_n\}, b_n \in \{2n-1, 2n\}$ . Then  $A, B$  have asymptotic densities  $1/2$ . And then let

$$S_n = |A \cap B \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}| = \sum_{k=1}^n |A \cap B \cap \{2k-1, 2k\}| = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$$

where

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & b_k = 2k-1 \\ 1 & b_k = 2k \end{cases}$$

It means for any series  $\{x_i\}, x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , there exists  $B$  with asymptotic density  $1/2$  such that  $c_i = x_i$ . Hence let

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = [3^{2k} + 1, 3^{2k+1}] \\ 0 & i = [3^{2k+1} + 1, 3^{2k+2}] \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then for  $n = 3^{2k+1}$ ,  $S_n \geq \sum_{i=3^{2k}+1}^{3^{2k+1}} c_i = 2 \times 3^{2k} = \frac{2}{3}n$  and for  $n = 3^{2k+2}$ ,  $S_n = S_{3^{2k+1}} \leq 3^{2k+1} = \frac{1}{3}n$ . So  $L_n := |A \cap B \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n \geq 1/3$  when  $n = 2 \times 3^{2k+1}$  and  $L_n \leq \frac{1}{6}$  when  $n = 2 \times 3^{2k+2}$ , whence  $L_n$  diverges and then  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an algebra.

□

## A Related Theorem Details