

Durrett 5th Chapter1 Solutions

htao

November 9, 2025

1 Probability Spaces

1.1.1. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{F} = all subsets so that A or A^c is countable, $P(A) = 0$ in the first case and $= 1$ in the second. Show that (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a probability space.

Solution. We firstly prove \mathcal{F} is a σ -field. Let $\{A_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. It is obvious $A_i^c \in \mathcal{F}$. If A_i or A_j is countable, then $A_i \cap A_j$ is countable and hence contained in \mathcal{F} . Otherwise A_i^c and A_j^c is countable, and then $(A_i \cap A_j)^c = A_i^c \cup A_j^c$ is countable, which concludes $A_i \cap A_j$ is always in \mathcal{F} . Finally, $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ is countable if every A_i is countable, Otherwise $(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^c \subseteq A_0^c$ is countable since A_0^c is countable, which concludes $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence \mathcal{F} is a σ -field.

P is well-defined since $A \cup A^c = \Omega = \mathbb{R}$ is uncountable, whence A and A^c can not be countable simultaneously. Finally we prove P is a probability. It is trivial $P(\Omega) = 1$. For disjoint sets, $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$, if A_i are all countable, $P(A_i) = 0$ and then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is countable, $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$. Otherwise A_k^c is countable, and then $A_i \subseteq A_k^c, i \neq k$ is countable since they are disjoint. And $(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)^c = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^c \subseteq A_k^c$ is countable. So $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) = 1 = P(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)$, when P is a probability. \square

1.1.2. Recall the definition of S_d from Example 1.1.5. Show that $\sigma(S_d) = \mathcal{R}^d$, the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. The definition of S_d is

$$S_d = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i] \mid -\infty \leq a, b \leq +\infty \right\}$$

It is obvious $S_d \subseteq \mathcal{R}^d$ whence $\sigma(S_d) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^d$. Then for any $\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i - \frac{1}{n}] \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$$

The desired result follows from \mathcal{R}^d is the σ -field of the right.

1.1.3. A σ -field \mathcal{F} is said to be countably generated if there is a countable collection $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ so that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}$. Show that \mathcal{R}^d is countably generated.

Solution. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i) \mid a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

Then \mathcal{U} is countable since $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathbb{Q}^{2d}|$. And $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{R}^d$ since for each $\prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$, there are $a_{i,k}, b_{i,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a_{i,k} \rightarrow a_i + 0$ and $b_{i,k} \rightarrow b_i - 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d (a_{i,k}, b_{i,k}) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i, b_i)$$

\square

1.1.4.

- (i) Show that if $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots$ are σ -algebras, then $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ is an algebra.
- (ii) Give an example to show that $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ need not be a σ -algebra.

Solution.

- (i) For $A, B \in \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$, there is F_k such that $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_k$, and then $A \cup B, A^c \in \mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$, whence $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$ is an algebra.
- (ii) Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $F_i = \{U \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid U \in \mathcal{R}^i\}$. While $[0, 1]^i \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}_i$, but

$$[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]^i \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \notin \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$$

□

1.1.5. A set $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots\}$ is said to have asymptotic density θ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|}{n} = \theta$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the collection of sets for which the asymptotic density exists. Is \mathcal{A} a σ -algebra? an algebra?

Solution. \mathcal{A} is not an algebra. The counterexample is shown in the following.

Let $A = \{2n\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}, b_n \in \{2n-1, 2n\}$. Then A, B have asymptotic densities $1/2$. And then let

$$S_n = |A \cap B \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}| = \sum_{k=1}^n |A \cap B \cap \{2k-1, 2k\}| = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k$$

where

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & b_k = 2k-1 \\ 1 & b_k = 2k \end{cases}$$

It means for any series $\{x_i\}, x_i \in \{0, 1\}$, there exists B with asymptotic density $1/2$ such that $c_i = x_i$. Hence let

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = [3^{2k} + 1, 3^{2k+1}] \\ 0 & i = [3^{2k+1} + 1, 3^{2k+2}] \end{cases} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then for $n = 3^{2k+1}$, $S_n \geq \sum_{3^{2k+1}}^{3^{2k+1}} c_i = 2 \times 3^{2k} = \frac{2}{3}n$ and for $n = 3^{2k+2}$, $S_n = S_{3^{2k+1}} \leq 3^{2k+1} = \frac{1}{3}n$. So $L_n := |A \cap B \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|/n \geq 1/3$ when $n = 2 \times 3^{2k+1}$ and $L_n \leq \frac{1}{6}$ when $n = 2 \times 3^{2k+2}$, whence L_n diverges and then \mathcal{A} is not an algebra.

(The motivation here is for $0 < S_n/n < 1$ we could add a lot of 1's to making $S_{n+m}/n+m > 1-\epsilon$ for any epsilon; and a lot of 0's to making $S_{n+m}/n+m < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Thus the quotient could fluctuates and then not converge.) □

2 Distributions

1.2.1. Suppose X and Y are random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and let $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Show that if we let $Z(\omega) = X(\omega)$ for $\omega \in A$ and $Z(\omega) = Y(\omega)$ for $\omega \in A^c$, then Z is a random variable.

Solution. $Z = X \cdot 1_A + Y \cdot 1_{A^c}$ is a random variable. □

1.2.2. Let χ have the standard normal distribution. Use Theorem 1.2.6 to get upper and lower bounds on $P(\chi \leq 4)$.

Solution. Theorem 1.2.6 is listed at Theorem 1.3.2.

$$P(\chi \leq 4) = \int_{-\infty}^4 e^{x^2/2} dx = 1 - \int_x^{+\infty} e^{x^2/2} dx$$

Applying the theorem gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{64}\right)e^{-8} \leq \int_x^{+\infty} e^{x^2/2} dx \leq \frac{1}{4}e^{-8}$$

So

$$1 - \frac{1}{4}e^{-8} \leq P(\chi \leq 4) \leq 1 - \frac{15e^{-8}}{64}$$

□

1.2.3. Show that a distribution function has at most countably many discontinuities.

Solution. This is a common conclusion in real analysis. Let f be a distribution function, then f is monotonic increasing. Let P be the set of discontinuities. For each $x_0 \in P$, $f_-(x_0)$, $f_+(x_0)$ exist and $f_-(x_0) < f_+(x_0)$. Then letting

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(f_-(a), f_+(a)) \mid a \in P\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : P &\rightarrow \mathcal{I} \\ x_0 &\mapsto (f_-(x_0), f_+(x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

intervals in \mathcal{I} are disjoint and φ is bijective and in since for any $x < y$,

$$f_-(x) < f_+(x) \leq f_-(y) < f_+(y)$$

The desired result follows from \mathcal{I} , a collection of disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} , is at most countable since $\exists q_{a,b} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q_{a,b} \in (a, b) \in \mathcal{I}$, and then $(a, b) \mapsto q_{a,b}$ is an injection to \mathbb{Q} . □

A Related Theorem Details

2

Theorem 1.3.1. For $x > 0$, letting

$$I(x) := \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy$$

then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I(x) = I_n(x) + R_n(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(x) &:= e^{-x^2/2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} + \frac{3}{x^5} - \frac{15}{x^7} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2n-3)!!}{x^{2n-1}} \right) \\ &= e^{-x^2/2} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(2k-3)!!}{x^{2k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_n(x) := (-1)^n (2n-1)!! \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{y^{2n}} dy$$

by setting $(-1)!! = 1$. Then $I_{2k}(x) < I(x) < I_{2k+1}(x)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Use induction on n . It's trivial for $n = 0$ and assume it holds for $n = k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{R_k(x)}{(-1)^k(2k-1)!!} &= \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{y^{2k}} dy = \int_x^{+\infty} -\frac{1}{y^{2k+1}} de^{-y^2/2} \\
&= \left. \frac{-e^{-y^2/2}}{y^{2k+1}} \right|_x^{+\infty} - \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{(2k+1)e^{-y^2/2}}{y^{2k+2}} dy \\
&= \frac{-e^{-x^2/2}}{x^{2k+1}} - (2k+1) \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{y^{2k+2}} dy \\
&= \frac{-e^{-x^2/2}}{x^{2k+1}} + \frac{R_{k+1}(x)}{(-1)^k(2k-1)!!}
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= I_k + R_k = I_k + (-1)^k(2k-1)!! \frac{-e^{-x^2/2}}{x^{2k+1}} + R_{k+1}(x) \\
&= I_{k+1}(x) + R_{k+1}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

The last conclusion is obvious since $R_{2k} > 0$ and $R_{2k+1} < 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

Theorem 1.3.2 (Theorem 1.2.6 in PTE5th). *For $x > 0$,*

$$(x^{-1} - x^{-3})e^{-x^2/2} \leq \int_x^{+\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy \leq x^{-1}e^{-x^2/2}$$

Proof. We give a stronger conclusion and a more general proof in Theorem 1.3.1. The desired result directly follows from the cases of $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.