

# Comparison of GNU General Public License and Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike International License

Elliott Lewandowski

December 3, 2024

## 1 Licenses

### 1.1 GNU General Public License

The GNU General Public License (GPL) was released in 1989 and is maintained by the Free Software Foundation. This license allows for the distribution of any executable or object code under the condition that the source code is also made available. Anyone can distribute new copies of the program at whatever price they desire given that a conspicuous notice that this license applies is provided. The program may be modified under the conditions that a prominent notice stating the work has been modified is provided. Additionally the new work must be distributed under this same license. This license does not provide any form of warranty and places limitations on the liability of the distributors. A clause is provided to prohibit restrictions on software modification enforced through hardware. [\[Fou07\]](#)

### 1.2 Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike International License

The Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike International License (CC BY-SA) is maintained by the Creative Commons. This license allows for the distribution of programs without the release of source code although it places no prohibitions on releasing source code. It includes clauses to allow for modification and redistribution of the program under the condition that the new program is distributed under the same license with notice that it has been modified. Any modified versions must retain, among other things, identification of the creator and a notice that this license applies if provided. Additionally redistribution of anything under this license does not indicate endorsement by the licensor and no part of the license may be construed as such. This license offers no warranty and contains a limitation of liability. [\[Coma\]](#)

## 2 Comparison

Both GPL and CC BY-SA allow for the distribution of object code and source code. A major difference is that GPL requires the release of source code while CC BY-SA does not. Both can be used for commercial products although both allow for free redistribution of the program once a licensee has access. Both allow for the modification and redistribution of the program on the condition that the same license is used for the adapted/modified work and a notice that the work is modified is provided. Neither license offers any form of warranty and both place limitations on liability. Both licenses provide methods for the author to require modified work to preserve author attribution, in both cases this is optional for the author to include. CC BY-SA includes a clause stating that use/distribution of a program does not indicate endorsement from the original author, GPL does not have an equivalent clause[Coma][Fou07]. The Creative Commons have created a list of licenses compatible with CC BY-SA, when an adaptation is being distributed any license on this list can replace CC BY-SA, GPL is on this list. There is no similar conversion from GPL to CC BY-SA so this conversion is one way.[Comb]

## References

- [Coma] Creative Commons. Attribution-sharealike 4.0 international. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode.en>. Accessed: 2024-12-03.
- [Comb] Creative Commons. Compatible licenses. <https://creativecommons.org/share-your-work/licensing-considerations/compatible-licenses/>. Accessed: 2024-12-03.
- [Fou07] Free Software Foundation. Gnu general public license. <https://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html>, 2007. Accessed: 2024-12-03.