

Homework #8: Brief solutions

1. (20points) This problem is based on Problem 4 of Homework #3. Let the unperturbed Hamiltonian be the “second quantized” Hamiltonian for identical non-interacting particles in 1D harmonic potential, $\hat{H}_0 = \int dx \widehat{\psi(x)}^\dagger \cdot \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \partial_x^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 x^2}{2}\right) \cdot \widehat{\psi(x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,1\text{-body}} \widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_n$. Here $E_{n,1\text{-body}} = \hbar\omega \cdot (n + \frac{1}{2})$ is the single-particle eigenvalue, $\widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger$ is the creation operator for the n th single-particle eigenstate of harmonic oscillator, $\widehat{\psi(x)}^\dagger$ is the creation operator for the position basis $|x\rangle$. Let the perturbation term be the “second quantized” 2-body interaction, $\hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \int dx' \widehat{\psi(x)}^\dagger \widehat{\psi(x')}^\dagger \cdot (x - x')^2 \cdot \widehat{\psi(x')} \widehat{\psi(x)}$. You can use the result of Problem 4(c) of Homework #3 to rewrite \hat{V} in terms of $\widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger$ and $\widehat{\psi}_n$. The full Hamiltonian is $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \lambda \hat{V}$, where λ is a small real parameter.

(a) (7pts) For N identical bosons, solve the ground state energy of \hat{H} up to λ^2 order by perturbation theory. Here integer $N \geq 2$.

(b) (7pts) For N identical fermions, solve the ground state energy of \hat{H} up to λ^2 order by perturbation theory. Here integer $N \geq 2$.

(c) (6pts)(*) When particle number $N = 2$, eigenvalues and eigenstates of \hat{H} can be solved exactly. Use the “first quantized” form, $\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} [(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})^2] + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \lambda(x_1 - x_2)^2$. Then $\hat{H}\psi(x_1, x_2) = E\psi(x_1, x_2)$ can be solved by changing variables to the “center of mass” position $x_{\text{COM}} \equiv \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$ and the relative position $X \equiv x_1 - x_2$. Solve the exact ground state energy for two-boson and two-fermion cases respectively. Compare with the results of (a)(b) for $N = 2$. [Note: $\psi(x_1, x_2)$ has different symmetry for boson and fermion cases.]

Solution:

Use the result of Problem 4(c) of Homework #3, $\hat{V} = \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \left[2\sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m \widehat{\psi}_{n+2} - 2\sqrt{(m+1)(n+1)} \widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_{m+1} \widehat{\psi}_{n+1} + 2\sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \widehat{\psi}_{n+2}^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m \widehat{\psi}_n - 2\sqrt{(m+1)(n+1)} \widehat{\psi}_{n+1}^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_{m+1}^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m \widehat{\psi}_n + 2(2n+1) \widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m \widehat{\psi}_n - 2\sqrt{(m+1)(n+1)} (\widehat{\psi}_n^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_{m+1}^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m \widehat{\psi}_{n+1} + \widehat{\psi}_{n+1}^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_m^\dagger \widehat{\psi}_{m+1} \widehat{\psi}_n) \right]$.

Note that the terms in 1st line decrease \hat{H}_0 eigenvalue by $2\hbar\omega$, the terms in 2nd line increase \hat{H}_0 eigenvalue by $2\hbar\omega$, the terms in last line do not change \hat{H}_0 eigenvalue.

(a). The unperturbed ground state of \hat{H}_0 for N bosons is the N -fold occupied single-particle ground state, $|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}(\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^N|\text{vac}\rangle$, with energy $E_0^{(0)} = N \cdot E_{0,1\text{-body}} = \frac{N}{2}\hbar\omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \left[0 - 0 \right. \\ &+ 2\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \cdot N(N-1) \cdot \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger(\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^{N-1} \quad \{\text{note: } n=m=0\} \\ &- 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \cdot N(N-1) \cdot (\hat{\psi}_1^\dagger)^2(\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^{N-2} \quad \{\text{note: } n=m=0\} \\ &+ 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \cdot N(N-1) \cdot (\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^N \quad \{\text{note: } n=m=0\} \\ &\left. - 0 - 0 \right] |\text{vac}\rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \left[2N(N-1)|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle + 2\sqrt{2N(N-1)}|\psi_{2,1}^{(0)}\rangle - 2\sqrt{2N(N-1)}|\psi_{2,2}^{(0)}\rangle \right].\end{aligned}$$

Here $|\psi_{2,1}^{(0)}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{(N-1)!}}\hat{\psi}_2^\dagger(\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^{N-1}|\text{vac}\rangle$, $|\psi_{2,2}^{(0)}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2!(N-2)!}}(\hat{\psi}_1^\dagger)^2(\hat{\psi}_0^\dagger)^{N-2}|\text{vac}\rangle$ are degenerate 2nd excited states of \hat{H}_0 with eigenvalue $E_2^{(0)} = E_0^{(0)} + 2\hbar\omega$.

The ground state energy of \hat{H} upto λ^2 order is

$$\begin{aligned}E_0 &\approx \frac{N}{2}\hbar\omega + \lambda \cdot \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \cdot 2N(N-1) + \lambda^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar}{4m\omega}\right)^2 \frac{|2\sqrt{2N(N-1)}|^2 + |-2\sqrt{2N(N-1)}|^2}{-2\hbar\omega} \\ &= \frac{N}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{\lambda\hbar N(N-1)}{2m\omega} - \frac{\lambda^2\hbar N^2(N-1)}{4m^2\omega^3}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) The unperturbed ground state of \hat{H}_0 for N fermion is, $|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle \equiv (\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)|\text{vac}\rangle$, with energy $E_0^{(0)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} E_{i,1\text{-body}} = \frac{N^2}{2}\hbar\omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V}|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \left[0 - 0 \right. \\ &+ (2\sqrt{(N+1)N}(N-1)(\prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)\hat{\psi}_{N+1}^\dagger \quad \{\text{note: } n=N-1; m=1, \dots, N-2\} \\ &+ 2\sqrt{N(N-1)}(N-1)(\prod_{i=1}^{N-3} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)\hat{\psi}_N^\dagger\hat{\psi}_{N-1}^\dagger \quad \{\text{note: } n=N-2; m=1, \dots, N-3, N-1\} \\ &- 2 \cdot 2\sqrt{N(N-1)}(\prod_{i=1}^{N-3} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)\hat{\psi}_{N-1}^\dagger\hat{\psi}_N^\dagger \quad \{\text{note: } n=N-1; m=N-2; \text{ or } n=N-2; m=N-1\} \\ &+ (N-1)(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 2(2n+1))(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger) \quad \{\text{note: } n, m=1, \dots, N-1, \text{ and } n \neq m\} \\ &+ (\sum_{n=0}^{N-2} 2(n+1))(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger) \quad \{\text{note: } n=m=1, \dots, N-2\} \\ &\left. + (\sum_{n=0}^{N-2} 2(n+1))(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger) \quad \{\text{note: } n=m=1, \dots, N-2\} \right] |\text{vac}\rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \left[2(N+1)N(N-1)|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle + 2\sqrt{N(N+1)}(N-1)|\psi_{2,1}^{(0)}\rangle - 2\sqrt{N(N-1)}(N+1)|\psi_{2,2}^{(0)}\rangle \right].\end{aligned}$$

Here $|\psi_{2,1}^{(0)}\rangle \equiv (\prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)\hat{\psi}_{N+1}^\dagger|\text{vac}\rangle$, $|\psi_{2,2}^{(0)}\rangle \equiv (\prod_{i=1}^{N-3} \hat{\psi}_i^\dagger)\hat{\psi}_{N-1}^\dagger\hat{\psi}_N^\dagger|\text{vac}\rangle$ are degenerate 2nd excited states of \hat{H}_0 with eigenvalue $E_2^{(0)} = E_0^{(0)} + 2\hbar\omega$.

The ground state energy of \hat{H} upto λ^2 order is

$$\begin{aligned}E_0 &\approx \frac{N^2}{2}\hbar\omega + \lambda \cdot \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \cdot 2(N+1)N(N-1) + \lambda^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar}{4m\omega}\right)^2 \frac{|2\sqrt{N(N+1)}(N-1)|^2 + |-2\sqrt{N(N-1)}(N+1)|^2}{-2\hbar\omega} \\ &= \frac{N^2}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{\lambda\hbar(N+1)N(N-1)}{2m\omega} - \frac{\lambda^2\hbar(N+1)N^2(N-1)}{4m^2\omega^3}.\end{aligned}$$

(c) Define $\hat{p}_{\text{COM}} = -i\hbar\partial_{x_{\text{COM}}} = \hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2$ and $\hat{P} \equiv -i\hbar\partial_X = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{2}$, then $[\hat{x}_{\text{COM}}, \hat{p}_{\text{COM}}] = i\hbar$,

$$[\hat{x}_{\text{COM}}, \hat{P}] = 0, [\hat{X}, \hat{p}_{\text{COM}}] = 0, [\hat{X}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar,$$

The two-body Hamiltonian is $\hat{H} = (\frac{\hat{p}_{\text{COM}}^2}{2(2m)} + \frac{(2m)\omega^2}{2}\hat{x}_{\text{COM}}^2) + (\frac{\hat{P}^2}{2(m/2)} + \frac{(m/2)(\omega^2 + \frac{4\lambda}{m})}{2}\hat{X}^2)$.

This looks like two decoupled harmonic oscillators.

Denote the normalized n th eigenstate for harmonic oscillator with mass m and frequency ω by $\psi_n^{(m,\omega)}(x)$.

For bosons $\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi(x_2, x_1)$, so wavefunction for X must be even. The ground state for two bosons is $\psi_0^{(2m,\omega)}(x_{\text{COM}}) \cdot \psi_0^{(m/2, \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{4\lambda}{m}})}(X)$, with energy

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{4\lambda}{m}} \approx \hbar\omega + \frac{\lambda\hbar}{m\omega} - \frac{\lambda^2\hbar}{m\omega^3}.$$

For fermions $\psi(x_1, x_2) = -\psi(x_2, x_1)$, so wavefunction for X must be odd. The ground state for two fermions is $\psi_0^{(2m,\omega)}(x_{\text{COM}}) \cdot \psi_1^{(m/2, \sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{4\lambda}{m}})}(X)$, with energy

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega + \frac{3}{2}\hbar\sqrt{\omega^2 + \frac{4\lambda}{m}} \approx 2\hbar\omega + \frac{3\lambda\hbar}{m\omega} - \frac{3\lambda^2\hbar}{m\omega^3}.$$

2. (15points) Consider three fermion modes, denote their annihilation operators as $\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2, \hat{f}_3$. They satisfy $\{\hat{f}_i, \hat{f}_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{i,j}$. The unperturbed Hamiltonian is $\hat{H}_0 = E_0 \cdot (\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2) + E_1 \cdot \hat{n}_3$. Here $\hat{n}_i = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_i$ is the occupation number operator, $E_1 > E_0$ are real parameters. The occupation basis $|n_1, n_2, n_3\rangle$ are eigenstates of \hat{H}_0 with eigenvalue $E_0 \cdot (n_1 + n_2) + E_1 \cdot n_3$, where $n_{1,2,3} = 0$ or 1 are eigenvalues of $\hat{n}_{1,2,3}$ respectively.

Add a time-independent perturbation, $\hat{V} = -t \cdot (\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_3 + \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_3 - \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_2)$. Here t is a real “small parameter”. The perturbed Hamiltonian is $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$.

(a) (9pts) *Use one of the two approaches (formal series expansion, or unitary transformations) to compute all the energy eigenvalues of \hat{H} in the 2-particle subspace to 3rd order of small parameter t . [Hint: higher order degenerate perturbation theory can be avoided by changing to eigenbasis of 1st order secular equation; certain symmetry may help]*

(b) (6pts) *Exactly diagonalize the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$ in the 2-particle subspace, expand the exact energy formula to 3rd order of t , compare with the perturbation theory result in (a).*

Solution:

Choose the occupation basis for the 2-particle space, $(\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle, \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_3^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle, \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_3^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle)$. Here $|\text{vac}\rangle$ is the fermion vacuum annihilated by all \hat{f}_i . Under this basis, \hat{H}_0 is diagonal,

$$\hat{H}_0 + \hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 2E_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_0 + E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 + E_1 \end{pmatrix} + t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Method #1: use series expansion directly,

$$\text{For the } 2E_0 \text{ level, } \hat{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{Q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{E-(E_0+E_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{E-(E_0+E_1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\hat{P}|\psi\rangle \equiv |\psi^{(0)}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ At cubic order of series expansion,}$$

$$E - 2E_0 = \langle \psi^{(0)} | \left(\hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V} \right) | \psi^{(0)} \rangle = 0 + \frac{2t^2}{E-(E_0+E_1)} - \frac{2t^3}{[E-(E_0+E_1)]^2}.$$

Plug in the 1st order approximation $E \approx 2E_0 + 0 \cdot t$, we have

$$E \approx 2E_0 + 0 \cdot t + \frac{2t^2}{(E_0-E_1)} - \frac{2t^3}{(E_0-E_1)^2}.$$

$$\text{For the degenerate } E_0 + E_1 \text{ levels, } \hat{P} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{Q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \hat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{E-2E_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\hat{P}|\psi\rangle \equiv |\psi^{(0)}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ At cubic order of series expansion, the secular equation is}$$

$$[E - (E_0 + E_1)] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\hat{P}\hat{V}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V}\hat{G}\hat{V}\hat{P} \right] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0} & -t + \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0} \\ 0 & -t + \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0} & \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fortunately this secular equation can be solved analytically. The formal solution is $E - (E_0 + E_1) = \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0} \pm (-t + \frac{t^2}{E-2E_0})$.

For the + sign solution, the 1st order approximation is $E \approx (E_0 + E_1) - t$, plug this into the other terms on the right-hand-side, and keep terms up to t^3 , we have

$$E \approx (E_0 + E_1) - t + \frac{2t^2}{(E_0+E_1)-t-2E_0} \approx (E_0 + E_1) - t + \frac{2t^2}{E_1-E_0} + \frac{2t^3}{(E_1-E_0)^2}.$$

For the $-$ sign solution, the 1st order approximation is $E \approx (E_0 + E_1) + t$, plug this into the other terms on the right-hand-side, and keep terms up to t^3 , we have $E \approx (E_0 + E_1) + t$.

Method #2: use unitary transformation directly,

Let $\hat{V} = \hat{V}_0 + \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}$, where $\hat{V}_{+1} = t \cdot (-\hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_2)$, $\hat{V}_{-1} = (\hat{V}_{+1})^\dagger = t \cdot (-\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_3 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_3)$, $\hat{V}_0 = t \cdot (-\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 - \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1)$. Then $[\hat{V}_m, \hat{H}_0] = -m \cdot (E_1 - E_0) \hat{V}_m$, for $m = -1, 0, +1$.

Define $\hat{H}^{(1)} = \exp(i\hat{S}) \cdot \hat{H} \cdot \exp(-i\hat{S})$. Demand that $[i\hat{S}, \hat{H}_0] + \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1} = 0$, then $i\hat{S} = \frac{1}{E_1 - E_0}(\hat{V}_{+1} - \hat{V}_{-1})$. Expand $\hat{H}^{(1)}$ to 3rd order,
 $\hat{H}^{(1)} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}_0 + [i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_0] + (1 - \frac{1}{2})[i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}] + \frac{1}{2}[i\hat{S}, [i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_0]] + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6})[i\hat{S}, [i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}]] + \dots$

Compute the commutators order by order, for this problem using the fact that $[\sum_{i,j} \hat{f}_i^\dagger P_{ij} \hat{f}_j, \sum_{k,\ell} \hat{f}_k^\dagger Q_{k\ell} \hat{f}_\ell] = \sum_{i,\ell} \hat{f}_i^\dagger (P \cdot Q - Q \cdot P)_{i\ell} \hat{f}_\ell$.

$$[i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_0] = (\hat{f}_1^\dagger, \hat{f}_2^\dagger, \hat{f}_3^\dagger) \left[\frac{1}{E_1 - E_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 & -t \\ -t & t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t & 0 \\ -t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \hat{f}_1 \\ \hat{f}_2 \\ \hat{f}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} (-\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_3 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_3 - \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_2) = \frac{t}{E_1 - E_0} \cdot (\hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}), \text{ off-diagonal, order } t^2.$$

$$[i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}] = \frac{2}{E_1 - E_0} [\hat{V}_{+1}, \hat{V}_{-1}] = \frac{2t^2}{E_1 - E_0} (-\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2 + 2\hat{n}_3 + \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1), \text{ diagonal, order } t^2.$$

$$\text{Then } [i\hat{S}, [i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_0]] = [i\hat{S}, \frac{t}{E_1 - E_0} \cdot (\hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1})] = \frac{2t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} (-\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2 + 2\hat{n}_3 + \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1).$$

$$[i\hat{S}, [i\hat{S}, \hat{V}_{+1} + \hat{V}_{-1}]] = \frac{8t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} (\hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_3 - \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_3 + \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_3^\dagger \hat{f}_2), \text{ off-diagonal, order } t^3.$$

The off-diagonal terms in $\hat{H}^{(1)}$ are at least of order t^2 , they can be removed by:

$$\hat{H}^{(2)} = \exp(i\hat{S}_1) \cdot \hat{H}^{(1)} \cdot \exp(-i\hat{S}_1), \text{ and } [i\hat{S}_1, \hat{H}_0] + (\text{order } t^2 \text{ off-diagonal terms in } \hat{H}^{(1)}) = 0.$$

But this will generate corrections to diagonal terms at t^4 or higher order.

$$\text{So up to } t^3 \text{ order, the diagonal terms are } \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}_0 + \frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} (-\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2 + 2\hat{n}_3 + \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1) + \frac{t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} (-\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2 + 2\hat{n}_3 + \hat{f}_1^\dagger \hat{f}_2 + \hat{f}_2^\dagger \hat{f}_1). \text{ In the 2-particle space, this is}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2E_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_0 + E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 + E_1 \end{pmatrix} + \left(\frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} + \frac{t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \left(t - \frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} - \frac{t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further diagonalize this matrix (the bottom-right 2×2 block), the eigenvalues are

$$2E_0 - \frac{2t^2}{E_1 - E_0} - \frac{2t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2},$$

$$E_0 + E_1 + \frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} + \frac{t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} \mp \left(t - \frac{t^2}{E_1 - E_0} - \frac{t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2} \right) = \begin{cases} E_0 + E_1 - t + \frac{2t^2}{E_1 - E_0} + \frac{2t^3}{(E_1 - E_0)^2}, \\ E_0 + E_1 + t. \end{cases}$$

Method #3: diagonalization by symmetry first.

Consider the following unitary transform, $\sigma : \hat{f}_1 \mapsto -\hat{f}_2, \hat{f}_2 \mapsto -\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_3 \mapsto \hat{f}_3$. It is easy to see that \hat{H} is invariant under the action of σ , and σ^2 is identity operator.

Change basis to $\hat{f}'_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{f}_1 + \hat{f}_2), \hat{f}'_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{f}_1 - \hat{f}_2), \hat{f}'_3 = \hat{f}_3$.

Then the action of symmetry generator σ is diagonal, $\sigma : \hat{f}'_{2,3} \mapsto \hat{f}'_{2,3}, \hat{f}'_1 \mapsto -\hat{f}'_1$.

Under the new basis, $\hat{H} = E_0 \cdot (\hat{n}'_1 + \hat{n}'_2) + E_1 \cdot \hat{n}'_3 + t \cdot (\hat{n}'_2 - \hat{n}'_1 - \sqrt{2}\hat{f}'_2^\dagger \hat{f}'_3 - \sqrt{2}\hat{f}'_3^\dagger \hat{f}'_2)$.

One can then proceed like Method #1 or #2. Under the occupation basis of \hat{f}' fermions, the Hamiltonian in the 2-particle space is
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2E_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_0 + E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 + E_1 \end{pmatrix} + t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The third basis is decoupled from the others, with exact eigenvalue $E_0 + E_1 + t$. We only need to solve non-degenerate perturbation for the first and second basis.

(b) Use the result of Method #3 above, the exact eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3E_0+E_1}{2} - \frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(E_1 - E_0 - t)^2 + 8t^2} &\approx 2E_0 - \frac{2t^2}{E_1-E_0} - \frac{2t^3}{(E_1-E_0)^2}, \\ \frac{3E_0+E_1}{2} - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(E_1 - E_0 - t)^2 + 8t^2} &\approx E_0 + E_1 - t + \frac{2t^2}{E_1-E_0} + \frac{2t^3}{(E_1-E_0)^2}, \\ E_0 + E_1 + t. \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: as a consistency check, sum of the three approximate eigenvalues should be equal to the trace of the Hamiltonian, $(2E_0) + (E_0 + E_1) + (E_0 + E_1)$, up to cubic order.

3. (15points) Consider a 2-level system, $\hat{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_1 \end{pmatrix}$ under basis $(|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle, |\psi_1^{(0)}\rangle)$

with $E_1 > E_0$. Add a time-dependent perturbation $\hat{V}(t) = V \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\omega t} \\ e^{i\omega t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ under the above basis, where $V > 0$ is a “small parameter”, ω is real. Denote the time-evolution operator in Schrödinger picture of perturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}(t)$ as $\hat{U}_S(t)$, then $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{U}_S(t) = \hat{H}(t) \cdot \hat{U}_S(t)$.

(a) (5pts) Compute the transition probability $|\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}_S(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2$ by perturbative expansion to lowest non-trivial order of V . [Hint: use the interaction picture.]

(b) (5pts) Compute $|\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_S(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2$ to cubic order of V . [Hint: you need to compute $\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle$ up to appropriate order of V]

(c) (5pts) An exact solution of $|\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}_S(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2$ is possible (Rabi oscillation). Assume $|\psi(t)\rangle = c_0(t)e^{-iE_0t/\hbar}|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle + c_1(t)e^{-iE_1t/\hbar}|\psi_1^{(0)}\rangle$ is the solution of $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle$ with initial condition $|\psi(t=0)\rangle = |\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle$. Derive and solve differential equations for coefficients $c_0(t)$ and $c_1(t)$. Then $|\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}_S(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 = |c_1(t)|^2$. Check that the exact result and approximate result in (a) are consistent for small V .

Solution:

(a) use the interaction picture, define $\hat{U}_I(t) = e^{i\hat{H}_0t/\hbar}\hat{U}(t)$, then $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\hat{U}_I(t) = \hat{V}_I(t)\hat{U}_I(t)$.

$$\text{Here } \hat{V}_I(t) = e^{i\hat{H}_0t/\hbar}\hat{V}(t)e^{-i\hat{H}_0t/\hbar} = V \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i(\omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar})t} \\ e^{i(\omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar})t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$|\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 = |\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2$, because final state $|\psi_1^{(0)}\rangle$ is eigenstate of \hat{H}_0 .

To lowest order approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} U_I(t) &\approx \mathbb{1} + \frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \hat{V}_I(t_1) dt_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{V}{E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega} (e^{-i(\omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar})t} - 1) \\ \frac{-V}{E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega} (e^{i(\omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar})t} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ |\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 &\approx \left| \frac{-V}{E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega} (e^{i(\omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar})t} - 1) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{V^2}{(E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega)^2} \cdot 4 \cdot \left[\sin\left(\frac{E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega}{2\hbar}t\right) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $|\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 = |\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2$, because final state $|\psi_0^{(0)}\rangle$ is eigenstate of \hat{H}_0 .

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{We need to keep } \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle \text{ upto cubic order of } V, \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle \\ &= 1 + \frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt_1 \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle + \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) \hat{V}_I(t_2) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle \\ &+ \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^3 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_3 \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) \hat{V}_I(t_2) \hat{V}_I(t_3) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle + O(V^4). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle = 0$, $\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) \hat{V}_I(t_2) \hat{V}_I(t_3) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle = 0$,

$\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{V}_I(t_1) \hat{V}_I(t_2) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle = V^2 e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t_1} e^{i\tilde{\omega}t_2}$. Here for simplicity we define $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \frac{E_1-E_0}{\hbar}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}_I(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle &\approx 1 - \frac{V^2}{\hbar^2} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t_1} e^{i\tilde{\omega}t_2} = 1 - \frac{V^2}{\hbar^2} \int_0^t dt_1 e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t_1} \frac{e^{i\tilde{\omega}t_1}-1}{i\tilde{\omega}} \\ &= 1 + i \frac{V^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{t}{\tilde{\omega}} + \frac{V^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t}-1}{\tilde{\omega}^2} = \left(1 - \frac{2V^2}{\hbar^2 \tilde{\omega}^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}t}{2}\right)\right) + i \frac{V^2}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{t}{\tilde{\omega}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}^2} \sin(\tilde{\omega}t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{So up to } V^3, |\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 \approx \left(1 - \frac{2V^2}{\hbar^2 \tilde{\omega}^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}t}{2}\right)\right)^2 + O(V^4) \\ &\approx 1 - 2 \cdot \frac{2V^2}{\hbar^2 \tilde{\omega}^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}t}{2}\right) + O(V^4) \approx 1 - \frac{V^2}{(E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega)^2} \cdot 4 \cdot \left[\sin\left(\frac{E_1-E_0+\hbar\omega}{2\hbar}t\right) \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\langle \psi_0^{(0)} | \hat{U}(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 + |\langle \psi_1^{(0)} | \hat{U}(t) | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle|^2 = 1$.

(c) Plug the ansatz for $|\psi(t)\rangle$ into the Schrödinger equation.

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(t) \\ c_1(t) \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\tilde{\omega}t} \\ e^{i\tilde{\omega}t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_0(t) \\ c_1(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Define } \tilde{c}_0(t) = e^{i\tilde{\omega}t} c_0(t), \text{ then } i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0(t) \\ c_1(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar\tilde{\omega} & V \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0(t) \\ c_1(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Therefore } \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0(t) \\ c_1(t) \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar\tilde{\omega} & V \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t\right] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0(t=0) \\ c_1(t=0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -\hbar\tilde{\omega} & V \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2}\sigma_0 - \frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2}\sigma_3 + V\sigma_1 = -\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2}\sigma_0 + \sqrt{(\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2})^2 + V^2}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, where $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2})^2 + V^2}}(V, 0, -\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2})$ is a unit-length vector. Use the result of Homework #1 Problem 6(b), $\exp(-i\theta \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \cos \theta \sigma_0 - i \sin(\theta) \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

Then $\exp[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar\tilde{\omega} & V \\ V & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot t] = e^{i\tilde{\omega}t/2} [\cos(\theta t) \sigma_0 - i \sin(\theta t) \cdot (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})]$ where $\theta = \sqrt{(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{2})^2 + (\frac{V}{\hbar})^2}$.

Further use $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_0(t=0) \\ c_1(t=0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $c_1(t) = e^{i\tilde{\omega}t/2} \left(\frac{-iV}{\sqrt{(\frac{\hbar\tilde{\omega}}{2})^2 + V^2}} \right) \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{\omega}^2 + (\frac{2V}{\hbar})^2} \cdot t\right)$.

The transition probability is

$$|c_1(t)|^2 = \frac{4V^2}{(\hbar\tilde{\omega})^2 + 4V^2} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}^2 + 4V^2/\hbar^2}}{2} \cdot t\right) \right]^2 = \frac{4V^2}{(E_1 - E_0 + \hbar\omega)^2 + 4V^2} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\omega + \frac{E_1 - E_0}{\hbar})^2 + 4V^2/\hbar^2}}{2} \cdot t\right) \right]^2.$$

When V is small, one only needs to keep the V in the numerator of prefactor, the result is the same as the 1st order perturbation theory.