GOODS ASPECTS. (Programming)

- It has certain structure.
- Keeps the Regular size equal in all paragraphs.
- It is responsive.
- They are taking in consideration the Flesh-Kincaid recommendation about no more than lines/sentences per paragraphs.

BAD ASPECTS. (Programming)

- They are not using the <H1> element.
- They are using a <H2> as the main headline, not following the rules for the use of headlines.
- The article structure is not right, it has long paragraphs and small font-sizes.
- The Banner or main pictures is not cited.
- They are not using the elements <figure> neither <figcaption> in the IMG section.
- The <h2> is working with an <a> anchor element, there is no need for it because you are already in the article, why you should click again in the title?
- There is no contrast or clear differentiation between the headline, the lead paragraph and the regular text. (WCAG) (Content must be perceivable).
- Is not possible to navigate through the article via keyboard. (WCAG) (Interface must be operable).
- The font-sizes are not the most accurate, too small sizes in the regular text. (Readability issues).
- It is not recommended to use thin fonts on light backgrounds.
- Not using byline.
- Not using Date of publication.
- Don't apply the **Flesh-Kincaid** recommendation about 15 word per sentences, in this case is 17.66 average words per sentence.
- Readability score: 30.6.
- Don't have a print Version.
- They use **
** to add space between paragraphs, this is a bad practice according to the W3C.
- There is not support of the information, it looks like the writer's opinion more than an article, maybe it should has a quote, external links, etc.

They use one big Paragraph to wrap all the paragraphs splitting them in .
(Bad practice).