Evolution of galaxy dynamics over the last 10 Gyrs with MUSE/VLT

Master Thesis



Author: Mercier Wilfried

Supervisor: Contini Thierry

Co-Supervisor: Epinat Benoît

Observatoire de Paris Institut de Recherche en Astronomie et Planétologie

April 23, 2019







Abstract

Mercier Wilfried CONTENTS

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
|---------|------------------|---|
| | 1.1 MUSE-VLT | 1 |
| 2 | Sample selection | 3 |
| ${f A}$ | Appendix | 4 |

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

1.1 MUSE-VLT

Photometric and spectroscopic data have been widely used in the past years to study questions related to the evolution of galaxies both at low and high redshifts (insert citation here). Such techniques present both advantages and drawbacks, generally yielding different, complementary information.

Assuming the galaxies are resolved enough, photometry gives us information about the morphology of the galaxies and their stellar distribution, allowing one to derive parameters such as the galaxy light profile, its Sérsic index or its half-light radius (cite paper here). Combinations of parameters can then be used to classify the galaxies as elliptical or spiral (respectively early and late type galaxies). If many observations have been carried out on the same sky patch in multiple bands, SED fitting techniques can also be used to derive other useful estimates such as the stellar mass, mean metallicity or star formation rate. Scaling relations between these parameters can then yield new information on the formation scenarios and physical processes at work, as well as constraining the current models of galaxy formation. However, such methods require long observations of the same sky area with different telescopes probing different wavelength ranges. Moreover, the derived parameters are quite sensitive to the redshift of the observed structure which, for photometric redshifts, can have large error bars.

On the other hand, astronomers have also been using spectroscopy to study galaxy formation models. Contrary to photometry, this technique does not yield an image of the galaxy but a spectrum of the pointed area. This gives us in return useful information about both the kinematics of the gas we observe and its chemistry. From such spectra, assuming we unambiguously detect a ray, we can infer a much more precise value for the galaxy redshift than in the photometry case as well as the gas velocity and dispersion values. Such data gives us information about how the gas moves inside the galaxies and allows one to classify the galaxies based on their kinematics (rotationally supported or dispersion dominated, see insert citation here).

In this context, it has become clear that a combination of these techniques would be necessary to better constrain the models of galaxy formation and to study in more details the origin of the observed scaling laws (SFR-mass, mass-size, luminosity-mass relations, etc.). In recent years, this has become possible with the advent of Integral Field Spectroscopy (IFS) astronomy. This technique

combines the advantages of both photometry and spectroscopy by measuring the spectrum of each pixel in an image, yielding a 3D cube with two spatial and one spectral dimensions. Such instruments lacked for the most part a good spatial resolution until recently, but have now filled the gap.

MUSE is an IFS instrument mounted on the VLT in Chile which comprises X Integral Field Units (IFU) on a $x \times y$ deg² area.

2 Sample selection

The point of the analysis is to perform a joint study of the morphology and the kinematics of field galaxies in the COSMOS field using respectively HST ACS images and MUSE data. A set of Guaranteed Time Observations (GTO runs) was performed. Around a dozen MUSE fields were taken, focusing on galaxy clusters and groups in the COSMOS field. A Friend of Friends algorithm (FoF) was run previous to my arrival on the galaxies in the different clusters in order to separate them in two categories: cluster and field galaxies.

Generally speaking, galaxies detected by MUSE are also detected with HST imaging because of its much better resolution (0.03" for HST and 0.15" for MUSE). Nevertheless, the MUSE pipeline allows in some cases the detection of sources in regions where there is no HST counterpart. In addition to that, studying the kinematics of the galaxies require them to be resolved enough in the MUSE OII flux maps, which means they must have both a size large enough and a mean Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) high enough as well. Thus, it is mandatory to perform a selection in our sample of galaxies before performing any study on their parameters.

The total number of galaxies detected with MUSE in the COSMOS field is around. The data returned by the MUSE pipeline which was run on the galaxies was cross-matched with the information given in $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$, which is the most state of the cosmos $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$, which is the most state of the cosmos $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$, which is the most state of the cosmos $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$, which is the most state of the cosmos $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$, which is the cosmos $laigle_cosmos2015_2016catalogue$.

As a first step before the selection, we combined all the data from the different MUSE fields into two master catalogs, the first one with

 $A \quad APPENDIX \qquad \qquad \text{Mercier Wilfried}$

A Appendix

. . .

Mercier Wilfried A APPENDIX