Evolution of galaxy dynamics over the last 10 Gyrs with MUSE/VLT

de Paris

Author: Mercier Wilfried

Supervisor: Contini Thierry (IRAP) Co-Supervisor: Epinat Benoit (LAM)

June 12, 2019



Galaxy evolution through time



Kinematics in the current context?

Explain evolution of morphology with kinematics

- > main processes in disc formation
- ▷ impact of merging, inflows, outflows can be measured
- \triangleright kinematics \rightarrow rotation curve \rightarrow dark matter distribution


Integral Field Spectroscopy & MUSE

IFS:

- > 3D cubes (2D spatial + 1D spectral)
- \triangleright photometry + kinematics


MUSE:

- $\triangleright 1 \times 1 \operatorname{arcmin}^2 \text{ FoV}$
- \triangleright 0.2 arcsec spatial sampling
- ightharpoonup spectral range $[4650 \, \text{Å}, 9300 \, \text{Å}]$
- > seeing-limited or AO observations



MUSE instrument. Credit: Contini Thierry (IRAP)

Our sample



HST image of COSMOS group CGr30

- 16 MUSE fields in COSMOS area
- exposures from 1 to 10 hr
- (FWHM $\lesssim 0.7$ ") or AO $(\text{FWHM} \leq 0.5\text{"})$
 - \cdot deep and best seeing observations
- $\triangleright \sim 500$ field galaxies with [OII] detection
 - · HST-ACS counterparts
 - $\cdot 0.4 < z < 1.4$


Methodology

- I. Morphological information
 - \triangleright half-light radius $R_{1/2}$ to select resolved galaxies
 - · Cassata, Tasca and Zurich catalogues
 - > ellipticitiy to compute the inclination
 - \cdot fixed input for the kinematical model

- II. Kinematical modelling
 - \triangleright recover $V_{\rm max}$ and $\sigma_{\rm v}$

III. Tully-Fisher relation

Checking morphological parameters Half-light radius




GALFIT run by V. Abril-Melgajero (LAM) on structure galaxies


> GALFIT radius used as a reference

spheroidal disk-like irregulars

Checking morphological parameters **Ellipticity**




values are consistent between catalogues



> scatter is due to bulge dominated (spherically symmetric) systems

Characteristics of our sample Redshift distribution




The total number corresponds to galaxies with photometric data in Cassata and/or Zurich catalogues.

ightharpoonup sample of 103 galaxies with $R_{1/2} > 0.35$ " and SNR > 5


- > we loose galaxies at $z \approx 1.4$
- > redshift distribution is not drastically changed

Characteristics of our sample Mass-SFR relation




massive quiescent (low [OII]) and very low mass galaxies


are lost



Kinematical modelling Cleaning galaxies



Kinematical modelling Fitting a model



First results $V_{
m max}/\sigma_{
m v}$ distribution

13/15 Mercier Wilfried Evolution of galaxy dynamics over the last 10 Gyrs...

First results
Tully-Fisher relation

Perspectives

Short term: Long term: