

PHRM7203 Peer Assessment Rubric for workshop case study

During each workshop you will be presented with a case study to work through in a group of about six students. After approximately 60 – 70 minutes, you will present your findings to another group. Use the questions provided with the case study and the marking rubric to guide your presentation content. No slides are needed.

The rubric will be used by another group to evaluate and mark your case study presentation. All members of the group will receive the same mark.

Please hand your peer assessment rubric into a facilitator by the end of the workshop.

Please provide names, contributions and signatures of your group members.

[illegible]

This page is intentionally left blank

Criteria	Excellent (4 points)	Advanced (3 points)	Proficient (2 points)	Functional (1 point)	Unsatisfactory (0 points)
Summary of the clinical therapeutics relevant to the patient including: - appropriateness and rationale, - any adverse effects, - any drug interactions - any recommendations	<p>Demonstrates an excellent understanding of clinical therapeutics. Comprehensive summary of appropriateness and rationale, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - appropriateness and rationale, - any adverse effects, - any drug interactions - any recommendations <p>Presented information is highly relevant demonstrating good judgement regarding detail included</p>	<p>Demonstrates a very good understanding of clinical therapeutics. Very good summary of appropriateness and rationale with most of the following included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - appropriateness and rationale, - any adverse effects, - any drug interactions - any recommendations <p>Some judgement evident regarding the relevance of presented information</p>	<p>Demonstrates some degree of understanding of clinical therapeutics. Good summary of appropriateness and rationale but with some of the following included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - appropriateness and rationale, - any adverse effects, - any drug interactions - any recommendations 	<p>Demonstrates limited understanding of clinical therapeutics. No summary of appropriateness and rationale and none of the following included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - appropriateness and rationale, - any adverse effects, - any drug interactions - any recommendations 	<p>Does not demonstrate an understanding of clinical therapeutics. Information provided is missing key details or is incorrect.</p>
Summary of PK/PD implications including: - mechanism of action (PD) - any relevant ADME principles (PK)	<p>Demonstrates an excellent understanding, and application of key concepts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Comprehensive summary of both PK and PD principles 	<p>Demonstrates a very good understanding, application of key concepts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very good summary of either PK or PD principles 	<p>Demonstrates some degree of degree of understanding and application of key concepts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good summary of either PK or PD principles (minimal details) 	<p>Demonstrates limited understanding of key concepts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No summary of either PK or PD principles 	<p>Does not demonstrate an understanding of PK or PD principles or information is incorrect.</p>
Summary of medication administration principles relevant to the case, including: - Any medication safety principles	<p>Demonstrates an excellent understanding, and application of medication administration and safety principle:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Comprehensive summary of any medication safety issues and recommendations 	<p>Demonstrates a very good understanding, and application of medication administration and safety principle:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very good summary of any medication safety issues but no recommendations 	<p>Demonstrates some degree of understanding and application of medication administration and safety principle:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good summary of any medication safety issues but some details missing and no direct recommendations 	<p>Demonstrates limited understanding and application of medication administration and safety principle:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Some summary of any medication safety issues but no direct recommendations 	<p>No demonstration of understanding and application of medication administration and safety principles or details provided are incorrect</p>

Criteria	Excellent (4 points)	Advanced (3 points)	Proficient (2 points)	Functional (1 point)	Unsatisfactory (0 points)
Summary of any legal, ethical and/or professional requirements associated with quality use of medicine as part of safe nursing and midwifery practice	Demonstrates an excellent understanding, and application of legal, ethical and professional principles relevant to safe nursing and midwifery practice: - Comprehensive summary with all aspects identified	Demonstrates a very good understanding, and application of legal, ethical and professional principles relevant to safe nursing and midwifery practice: - Very good summary with most aspects identified	Demonstrates some degree of understanding and application of legal, ethical and professional principles relevant to safe nursing and midwifery practice: - Good summary but with key with aspects omitted	Demonstrates limited understanding and application of legal, ethical and professional principles relevant to safe nursing and midwifery practice: - No summary	Legal, ethical and professional principles are understood or applied incorrectly.
Justification of response and recommendations	Justification is comprehensive and cohesive. Recognises complexity in the application of recommendations. Judgements are supported by appropriate references which are critically applied (i.e. clinical guideline, professional guideline, ethical guideline)	Justification mostly adequate. Recognises some of the complexity regarding the application of recommendations. Judgements are supported by appropriate references (i.e. clinical guideline, professional guideline, ethical guideline)	Reasonable justification provided. Judgements are supported by appropriate references (i.e. clinical guideline, professional guideline, ethical guideline)	Justification provided lacks cohesion. Judgements are supported by mostly appropriate references (i.e. clinical guideline, professional guideline, ethical guideline)	No justification provided. Inappropriate references used to support judgements (i.e. generative AI)
Evidence of a collaborative team approach to researching, identifying issues and presenting the case	Demonstrates excellent collaborative team approach to the task: - All team members actively involved in research and presentation	Demonstrates very good collaborative team approach to the task: - Most team members actively involved in research and presentation	Demonstrates good collaborative team approach to the task: - Some team members actively involved in research and presentation	Demonstrates some collaborative team approach to the task: - One or two team members actively involved in research and presentation	Demonstrates limited collaborative team approach to the task: - No team approach evident

Total Score: ____/24

Feedback Comments: