

第二章：重新认识 IoC

小马哥 • mercyblitz

重新认识 IoC

1. IoC 发展简介
2. IoC 主要实现策略
3. IoC 容器的职责
4. IoC 容器的实现
5. 传统 IoC 容器实现
6. 轻量级 IoC 容器
7. 依赖查找 VS. 依赖注入
8. 构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入
9. 面试题精选



IoC 发展简介

- 什么是 IoC ?

In software engineering, inversion of control (IoC) is a programming principle. IoC inverts the flow of control as compared to traditional control flow. In IoC, custom-written portions of a computer program receive the flow of control from a generic framework. A software architecture with this design inverts control as compared to traditional procedural programming: in traditional programming, the custom code that expresses the purpose of the program calls into reusable libraries to take care of generic tasks, but with inversion of control, it is the framework that calls into the custom, or task-specific, code.

来源: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inversion_of_control

IoC 发展简介

- IoC 的简史
 - 1983年, Richard E. Sweet 在《The Mesa Programming Environment》中提出 “Hollywood Principle” (好莱坞原则)
 - 1988年, Ralph E. Johnson & Brian Foote 在《Designing Reusable Classes》中提出 “Inversion of control” (控制反转)
 - 1996年, Michael Mattsson 在《Object-Oriented Frameworks, A survey of methodological issues》中将 “Inversion of control” 命名为 “Hollywood principle”
 - 2004年, Martin Fowler 在《Inversion of Control Containers and the Dependency Injection pattern》中提出了自己对 IoC 以及 DI 的理解
 - 2005年, Martin Fowler 在《InversionOfControl》对 IoC 做出进一步的说明

IoC 主要实现策略

- 维基百科 (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inversion_of_control)

Implementation techniques 小节的定义:

“In object-oriented programming, there are several basic techniques to implement inversion of control. These are:

- *Using a service locator pattern*
- *Using dependency injection, for example*
 - *Constructor injection*
 - *Parameter injection*
 - *Setter injection*
 - *Interface injection*
- *Using a contextualized lookup*
- *Using template method design pattern*
- *Using strategy design pattern”*

IoC 主要实现策略

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》提到的主要实现策略：
“IoC is a broad concept that can be implemented in different ways. There are two main types:
- *Dependency Lookup: The container provides callbacks to components, and a lookup context. This is the EJB and Apache Avalon approach. It leaves the onus on each component to use container APIs to look up resources and collaborators. The Inversion of Control is limited to the container invoking callback methods that application code can use to obtain resources.*
- *Dependency Injection: Components do no look up; they provide plain Java methods enabling the container to resolve dependencies. The container is wholly responsible for wiring up components, passing resolved objects in to JavaBean properties or constructors. Use of JavaBean properties is called Setter Injection; use of constructor arguments is called Constructor Injection.”*

IoC 容器的职责

- 维基百科 (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inversion_of_control)

在 Overview 小节中提到:

“Inversion of control serves the following design purposes:

- *To decouple the execution of a task from implementation.*
- *To focus a module on the task it is designed for.*
- *To free modules from assumptions about how other systems do what they do and instead rely on contracts.*
- *To prevent side effects when replacing a module.*

Inversion of control is sometimes facetiously referred to as the “Hollywood Principle: Don’t call us, we’ll call you”.”

IoC 容器的职责

- 通用职责
- 依赖处理
 - 依赖查找
 - 依赖注入
- 生命周期管理
 - 容器
 - 托管的资源（Java Beans 或其他资源）
- 配置
 - 容器
 - 外部化配置
 - 托管的资源（Java Beans 或其他资源）

IoC 容器的实现

- 主要实现
- Java SE
 - Java Beans
 - Java ServiceLoader SPI
 - JNDI (Java Naming and Directory Interface)
- Java EE
 - EJB (Enterprise Java Beans)
 - Servlet
- 开源
 - Apache Avalon (<http://avalon.apache.org/closed.html>)
 - PicoContainer (<http://picocontainer.com/>)
 - Google Guice (<https://github.com/google/guice>)
 - Spring Framework (<https://spring.io/projects/spring-framework>)

传统 IoC 容器的实现

- Java Beans 作为 IoC 容器
- 特性
 - 依赖查找
 - 生命周期管理
 - 配置元信息
 - 事件
 - 自定义
 - 资源管理
 - 持久化
- 规范
 - JavaBeans: <https://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/javase/tech/index-jsp-138795.html>
 - BeanContext: <https://docs.oracle.com/javase/8/docs/technotes/guides/beans/spec/beancontext.html>

轻量级 IoC 容器

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为轻量级容器的特征：“
- A container that can manage application code.
- A container that is quick to start up.
- A container that doesn't require any special deployment steps to deploy objects within it.
- A container that has such a light footprint and minimal API dependencies that it can be run in a variety of environments.
- A container that sets the bar for adding a managed object so low in terms of deployment effort and performance overhead that it's possible to deploy and manage fine-grained objects, as well as coarse-grained components.”

轻量级 IoC 容器

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为轻量级容器的好处:
- *Escaping the monolithic container*
- *Maximizing code reusability*
- *Greater object orientation*
- *Greater productivity*
- *Better testability*

依赖查找 VS. 依赖注入

- 优劣对比

类型	依赖处理	实现便利性	代码侵入性	API 依赖性	可读性
依赖查找	主动获取	相对繁琐	侵入业务逻辑	依赖容器 API	良好
依赖注入	被动提供	相对便利	低侵入性	不依赖容器 API	一般

构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入

- Spring Framework 对构造器注入与 Setter 的论点:

*“The Spring team generally **advocates constructor injection**, as it lets you implement application components as immutable objects and ensures that required dependencies are not null. Furthermore, constructor-injected components are always returned to the client (calling) code in a fully initialized state. As a side note, a large number of constructor arguments is a bad code smell, implying that the class likely has too many responsibilities and should be refactored to better address proper separation of concerns.*

***Setter injection should primarily only be used for optional dependencies** that can be assigned reasonable default values within the class. Otherwise, not-null checks must be performed everywhere the code uses the dependency. One benefit of setter injection is that setter methods make objects of that class amenable to reconfiguration or re-injection later. Management through JMX MBeans is therefore a compelling use case for setter injection.”*

构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为 Setter 注入的优点：

“Advantages of Setter Injection include:

- *JavaBean properties are well supported in IDEs.*
- *JavaBean properties are self-documenting.*
- *JavaBean properties are inherited by subclasses without the need for any code.*
- *It's possible to use the standard JavaBeans property-editor machinery for type conversions if necessary.*
- *Many existing JavaBeans can be used within a JavaBean-oriented IoC container without modification.*
- *If there is a corresponding getter for each setter (making the property readable, as well as writable), it is possible to ask the component for its current configuration state. This is particularly useful if we want to persist that state: for example, in an XML form or in a database. With Constructor Injection, there's no way to find the current state.*
- *Setter Injection works well for objects that have default values, meaning that not all properties need to be supplied at runtime.”*

构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为 Setter 注入的缺点：

“Disadvantages include:

The order in which setters are called is not expressed in any contract. Thus, we sometimes need to invoke a method after the last setter has been called to initialize the component. Spring provides the `org.springframework.beans.factory.InitializingBean` interface for this; it also provides the ability to invoke an arbitrary init method. However, this contract must be documented to ensure correct use outside a container.

Not all the necessary setters may have been called before use. The object can thus be left partially configured.”

构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为构造器注入的优点：

“Advantages of Constructor Injection include:

Each managed object is guaranteed to be in a consistent state—fully configured—before it can be invoked in any business methods. This is the primary motivation of Constructor Injection. (However, it is possible to achieve the same result with JavaBeans via dependency checking, as Spring can optionally perform.) There’s no need for initialization methods.

There may be slightly less code than results from the use of multiple JavaBean methods, although will be no difference in complexity.”

构造器注入 VS. Setter 注入

- 《Expert One-on-One™ J2EE™ Development without EJB™》认为构造器注入的缺点：

“*Disadvantages include:*

- *Although also a Java-language feature, multi-argument constructors are probably less common in existing code than use of JavaBean properties.*
- *Java constructor arguments don't have names visible by introspection.*
- *Constructor argument lists are less well supported by IDEs than JavaBean setter methods.*
- *Long constructor argument lists and large constructor bodies can become unwieldy.*
- *Concrete inheritance can become problematic.*
- *Poor support for optional properties, compared to JavaBeans*
- *Unit testing can be slightly more difficult*
- *When collaborators are passed in on object construction, it becomes impossible to change the reference held in the object. ”*

面试题

沙雕面试题 - 什么是 IoC ?


答：简单地说，IoC 是反转控制，类似于好莱坞原则，主要有依赖查找和依赖注入实现



我真的没笑

面试题

996 面试题 – 依赖查找和依赖注入的区别？



答：依赖查找是主动或手动的依赖查找方式，通常需要依赖容器或标准 API 实现。而依赖注入则是手动或自动依赖绑定的方式，无需依赖特定的容器和 API

面试题

劝退面试题 - Spring 作为 IoC 容器有什么优势？



答：

典型的 IoC 管理，依赖查找和依赖注入

AOP 抽象

事务抽象

事件机制

SPI 扩展

强大的第三方整合

易测试性

更好的面向对象