```
"a proposition is a statement"
 Conjuction (A): And, but, plus, as well, too: TFFF
 Disjunction (V): or : TTTF
  \text{Conditional implication } (\rightarrow) \text{: if, in the case that, given that, provided that, so long as, when, where, whenever, should: } \\ \text{TFTT} 
"if": "We will contact you if there is an issue with the payment" is I→P
 "only if": "We will contact you only if the case that there is an issue with the payment" is C \rightarrow I
 Biconditional Implication (↔): if and only if: TFFT
 "Sufficient": "Matching fingerprints are a sufficient condition to establish the presence of the suspect in the room." is F \rightarrow R
 "Necessary": "Being plugged in is a necessary condition for the device to be on." O \rightarrow P
"Necessary and Sufficient": \leftrightarrow
 A formula of propositional logic is a contradiction if and only if no truth assignment satisfies it.

A formula of propositional logic is satisfiable if and only if there is at least one truth assignment that satisfies it.

A formula of propositional logic is a tautology if and only if it is satisfied by every truth assignment. To prove that a formula is a tautology using tables,
 you must give every row of the table.

A formula of propositional logic that has at least one satisfying assignment and at least one non-satisfying assignment is called a contingency. To prove that a formula is a contingency, you must give two truth assignments: one satisfying, and one not satisfying. To prove that a formula is not a contingency using tables, you must give an entire table, showing either that every assignment satisfies the formula or no assignment does.
A set of formulas is consistent if and only if there exists at least one truth assignment that satisfies all of the formulas in the set. An argument is valid if and only if every truth assignment that satisfies all the premises also satisfies the conclusion.
\begin{array}{l} {\rm DeMorgan's\ Laws} \\ \neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q \\ \neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                        Distributive Laws p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             \begin{array}{c} \text{Idempotence Laws} \\ p \land p \equiv p \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            \begin{array}{c} Absorption \\ p \land (q \lor p) \equiv p \end{array}
                                                                             Association Laws
                                                                          p \land (q \land r) \equiv (p \land q) \land rp \lor (q \lor r) \equiv (p \lor q) \lor r
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         p \lor p \equiv p
  \begin{array}{ll} \text{Material Implication} & \text{Bi-Implication} \\ p \! \to \! q \equiv \neg p \! \vee q & p \! \leftrightarrow \! q \equiv (p \! \wedge \! q) \! \vee (\neg p \! \wedge \! \neg q) \\ \end{array} 
                                                                                                              Exclusive Disjunction p \oplus q \equiv (p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg p \land q)
          Setlist Notation
 A = {10.11233}
\frac{\text{Set builder notation}}{S = \{n^2 \mid n \text{ is an integer } \land n \ge 20 \land n \le 500\}}
 Rational Numbers: \mathbb{Q} = \{ \frac{p}{-} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z} \land q \in \mathbb{Z} \land q \neq 0 \}
 = any \# that can be written as a ratio/fraction/quotiant of integers
          The universal set (or the universe) is the set of all the things we currently care about. We often use a cursive capital \mathbb U for the universe.
          Equivalence Laws for FOL
 \neg \forall x p(x) \equiv \exists x \neg p(x) \text{ (Universal negation - DeMorgan)}\neg \exists p(x) \equiv \forall x \neg p(x) \text{ (Existential negation - DeMorgan)}
 Quantifier movement
\frac{\text{Quantifier Independence}}{\forall x \forall v p(x, y) \equiv \forall y \forall x p(x, y)}
 \forall x \forall y p(x, y) \equiv \forall y \forall x p(x, y)

\exists x \exists y p(x, y) \equiv \exists y \exists x p(x, y)
 Distribution

\frac{\exists x (p(x) \land q(x))}{\forall x (p(x) \land q(x))} \equiv \forall x p(x) \land \forall x q(x) 

\exists x (p(x) \lor q(x)) \equiv \exists x p(x) \lor \exists x q(x)

 Null Quantification

\frac{\forall x p(y) \equiv p(y) \text{ where } x \text{ is not free in } p(y)}{\exists x p(y) \equiv p(y) \text{ where } x \text{ is not free in } p(y)}

Universal Proofs (for sets)
Claim: For all sets A, B, and C, A \cap B \subseteq B \cup C
Proof: Choose sets A, B, and C.
-C
hoose x \in A \cap B
-S
o x \in A and x \in B
      Since x \in B, we know x \in B \cup C
 Therefore A \cap B \subseteq B \cup C \square
Claim: For all sets A, B, and C, if A⊆B then C\B⊆C\A

Proof: Choose sets A, B, and C, and assume A⊆B

— Choose x∈C\B

— So x∈C and x∉B

    Suppose towards a contradiction taht x∈A

— Then we can apply A\subseteq B to show that x\in B
— But this contradicts our earlier deduction that x\notin B, so x must not be a member of A— This, together with x\in C allows us to conclude that x\in C\setminus A— Therefore C\setminus B\subseteq C\setminus A—
Claim: For all sets A, B, and C, if A \subseteq C, then A \cup B \subseteq C \cup B

Proof: Choose sets A, B, and C, and assume A \subseteq C

— Choose x \in A \cup B

— So x \in A or x \in B

— Case 1: Suppose x \in A

    Case 1: Suppose x∈A
    In this case, we can apply A⊆C to get x∈C
    And weaken to get x∈C∪B
    Case 2: Suppose x∈B
    From this x∈C∪B

 — In either case, we've proven x \in C \cup B
Therefore A \cup B \subset C \cup B
 Existential Claims
 Def: x is odd if there exists an integer n that x = 2n + 1
Def: x is divisible by y if there exists an integer n that x = n * y
Def: x is rational if there exists an integer p and q that x = p/q and q \neq 0
```

Claim: 10 times any interger is even Proof: Choose an integer n. — let m = 5n. since 5 and n are integers so is m — because 10n = 2*5n = 2m we know 10n is even — therefore 10 times any integer is even \square

Reflexive Proof

Claim: E is reflexive $(E = \{(n, m) \mid n+m \text{ is even}\}$ <u>Proof:</u> Choose an integer n.

 $\overline{n+n}=2n$ Since n is an integer, this means n+n is even, hence $E(n, n)\square$

Claim: E is symmetric (E = $\{(n, m) \mid n = m \text{ is even}\}$ Proof: Choose intefgers n and m and assume E(n, m) So n - m is even — so there exists an integer k such that n - m = 2k —m - n = -(n - m) = -2k = 2 * (-k) — Since k is an int so is -k — therefore m - n is even so E(m, n)

Transitive Proof

Praof: Claim: E is transitive $(E = \{(n, m) \mid n - m \text{ is even}\}\)$ Proof: Choose integers x, y, and z and assume E(x, y) and E(y, z)So 1 - m and m - n are both even

so ı - m and m - n are both even Thus there exist integers j and k such that l - m = 2j and m - n = 2k (l-m)+(m - n) = 2j + 2k l - n = 2(j+k) Since j and k are integers, j + k is too Therefore l - n is even, hence $E(l,\,n)\square$

Anti-symmetric Proof Claim: P is antisymme

Claim: P is antisymmetric where p = s, t s is a prefix of tProof: Choose strings s and t and assume P(s, t) and P(t, s) - s is prefix of t and t is prefix of s — exists strings u and v that t = s + u and s = t + v — thus t = (t + v) + u — v and u must be empty otherwise length longer than length of t — so t = s + u = s

Example 5.26. Prove that for every $n \ge 4$, $2^n > 3n$.

Proof. (induction on n) (Base step, n=4): $2^4=16>6=3\cdot 4$

 $\begin{aligned} &\text{(Induction step):}\\ &\text{Assume that } 2^k > 3k \text{ for some } k \geq 4.\\ &\text{(Goal: } 2^{k+1} > 3(k+1)) \end{aligned}$

 $2^{k+1}=2^k\cdot 2^1$ $= 2^k \cdot 2$

(by the induction hypothesis) Because k > 3, we know that k + 1 > 2. (k + 1 > 4 is also true, but we this is all we need.) = 3k + 3k

Since $k \geq 4$, we know that $3k \geq 12$, and more importantly, 3k > 3. so:

3k + 3k > 3k + 3 = 3(k + 1)Therefore $2^{k+1} > 3(k+1)$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(Induction Step):} \\ \text{Assume that for some } k>3,\, 2^k < k!. \\ \text{(I will show: } 2^{k+1} < (k+1)!.) \end{array}$

 $< k! \cdot 2$

 $k! \cdot 2 < k! \cdot (k+1)$

= (k + 1)!

Example 5.35. Prove that for any n > 0, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i-1) = n^2$

(by IH) Proof. (Induct on n) (Base step n = 1): $\sum_{i=1}^{1} (2i - 1) = 2 \cdot 1 - 1 = 1 = 1^{2}$

(Induction step):

(because k+1>2) Assume $\sum_{i=1}^k (2i-1)=k^2$ for some k>0. $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (2i-1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} (2i-1)\right) + 2(k+1) - 1 = k^2 + 2(k+1) - 1 \text{ (by the }$ i=1 i=1 / induction hypothesis) $k^2 + 2(k+1) - 1 = k^2 + 2k + 2 - 1 = k^2 + 2k + 1 = (k+1)^2$

Example 5.28. Prove that for any n > 0, $1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Proof. (induction on n) (base step, n = 1): $1 = \frac{2}{2} = \frac{1(1+1)}{2}$

(induction step):

Suppose that $1+2+3+\cdots+k=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ for some k>0. (will prove: $1+2+3+\cdots+(k+1)=\frac{(k+1)(k+1+1)}{2}$)

 $1+2+3+\cdots+(k+1)=1+2+3+\cdots+k+(k+1)$ $=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}+(k+1)$ $= \frac{1}{2} + (k+1)$ $= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \frac{2(k+1)}{2}$ $= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ $= \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$ $= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$ (factoring out (k+1)) $==\frac{(k+1)(k+1+1)}{2}$

Therefore $1+2+3+\cdots+k+(k+1)=\frac{(k+1)(k+1+1)}{2}.$

A = $\{(x, y) \mid 3x = 2y + 1 \text{ and } g(x) = 7x - 2$ *Proof.* First Requirement:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Choose } x \in \mathbb{R}.\\ \text{Let } y = \frac{3x-3}{2}.\\ \text{Since } 2,\,3,\,\text{and } x \text{ are real numbers, so is } y. \end{array}$

 $2y+1 = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{3x-3}{2}\right) + 1$ = (3x - 3) + 1= 3x - 2

Claim. g is one-to-one.

Proof. Choose $x_1,x_2\in\mathbb{R}$ and assume $g(x_1)=g(x_2).$ So $7x_1-2=7x_2-2.$ $7x_1=7x_2$

... ... Hence g(x) = y.