

## 2/17/15: Divide & Conquer

1. divide into sub-problems (barely overlapping)
2. combine together

### MergeSort

	Merge
1	<i>input</i> : $c_1, \dots, c_m, d_1, \dots, d_n$
2	<i>init</i> : $i = 1, j = 1$
3	<i>begin</i>
4	<i>while</i> $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$ :
5	<i>if</i> $c_i \leq d_j$ :
6	append $c_i$ to $b$
7	$i = i + 1$
8	<i>else</i>
9	append $d_j$ to $b$
10	$j = j + 1$
11	<i>end while</i>
12	
13	<i>if</i> $i = m + 1$ :
14	append $d_j, \dots, d_n$ to $b$
15	<i>else</i>
16	append $c_i, \dots, c_m$ to $b$
17	<i>end</i>

---

	MergeSort
1	<i>input</i> : $a_1, \dots, a_n$
2	<i>begin</i>
3	<i>if</i> $n = 2$ :
4	<i>return</i> $\text{sort}(a_1, a_2)$
5	<i>else</i> :
6	$c_1, \dots, c_{\frac{n}{2}} = \text{MergeSort}(a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}})$
7	$d_1, \dots, d_{\frac{n}{2}} = \text{MergeSort}(a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \dots, a_n)$
8	<i>return</i> $\text{Merge}(c, d)$
9	<i>end</i>

**Time:**  $O(n + m)$

**Prove by induction that**  $T(n) \leq cn \log_2(n)$

- Base Case:  $n = 2$ 
  - we know  $T(2) \leq c \leq c 2 \log_2(2) = c$
  - $\checkmark$
- Induction Step: assume True for  $\frac{n}{2}$

$$T(n) \leq 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn$$

1.  $\leq 2c(\frac{n}{2})\log_2(\frac{n}{2}) + cn$  (by induction)
2.  $= cn(\log_2(n) - 1) + cn$
3.  $= cn\log_2(n) - cn + cn = cn\log_2(n)$
4.  $\checkmark$

### Example: Inversions

- **def:** an *inversion* is a pair  $i < j$  s.t.  $a_i > a_j$
- **def:** a *cross-inversion* is an  $i \leq \frac{n}{2}, j > \frac{n}{2}$  with  $a_i > a_j$

---

My rank	d	a	c	b	e
James	c	a	e	d	b

---

- count # of inversions - pairs out of order: 5 *inversions*
- rename s.t. my order is 1, 2, 3, ... and other order is  $a_{\{1\}}, a_{\{2\}}$
- yields:

---

My rank	1	2	3	4	5
James	3	2	5	1	4

---

- $w = \text{CountInversions}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$
- $x = \text{CountInversions}(a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}})$
- $y = \text{CountInversions}(a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \dots, a_n)$
- $z = \text{CountCrossInversions}(a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}}, a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \dots, a_n)$

$$w = x + y + z$$

- here, we are going to compute more than we need to make our problem easier  $\rightarrow$  *Modified Merge Sort*
- sort and count number of inversions

---

$(b_1, \dots, b_n)$	$w = \text{CountInversions}(a_1, a_n)$
$(c_1, \dots, c_{\frac{n}{2}})$	$x = \text{CountInversions}(a_1, \dots, a_{\frac{n}{2}})$
$(d_1, \dots, d_{\frac{n}{2}})$	$y = \text{CountInversions}(a_{\frac{n}{2}}, \dots, a_n)$
$(b_1, \dots, b_n)$	$y = \text{CountInversions}(c_1, \dots, c_{\frac{n}{2}}, d_1, \dots, d_{\frac{n}{2}})$

---

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n), 2$$

---

### CountCrossInversions

---

```

1  input:  $c_1, \dots, c_m, d_1, \dots, d_n$ 
2  init:  $i = j = 1, z = 0$ 
3  begin
4    while  $i \leq m \wedge j \leq n$ :
5      if  $c_i \leq d_j$ 
6        append  $c_i$  to  $b$ 
7         $i = i + 1$ 
8      else
9        append  $d_j$  to  $b$ 
10        $j = j + 1$ 
11        $z = z + m - i + 1$ 
12    endwhile
13
14    if  $i = m + 1$ 
15      append  $d_j, \dots, d_n$  to  $b$ 
16    else
17      append  $c_i, \dots, c_m$  to  $b$ 
18
19    return  $b, z$ 
20  end

```

---

## Closest Pair

- **input:**  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ 
  - assume  $x_i$ 's are distinct
- **init:**  $i = 1, j = 1$
- **strategy**
  - find  $i$  and  $j$  s.t.  $\text{dist}((x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j))$  is as small as possible
  - can compare all pairs, pick smallest in  $O(n^2)$
  - we will do this in  $O(n \log n)$

## Divide plane into right ( $R$ ) and left ( $L$ )

1. sort so  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$
2. set  $L = \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$ ,  $R = \{\frac{n}{2} + 1, \dots, n\}$
3. Find closest pair in  $L$ . Let  $\delta_L$  be its distance.
4. Find closest pair in  $R$ . Let  $\delta_R$  be its distance.
5.  $\delta = \min(\delta_L, \delta_R)$ 
  - only care about closest pair bridging  $L$  and  $R$
6. will find closes  $L/R$  pair if its distance is  $< \delta$ 
  - only need to look at points within  $\delta$  of center line
  - only need to compare  $\frac{L}{R}$  pairs within  $\delta$  of  $y$ -coordinate

- sort points on  $y$ -coordinates
- for each point in  $L$ , only need to compare to the 10 points in  $R$  with closest  $y$  components
- linear time to compare  $L, R$
- b/c each point compared to only 10 others

### Idea

- we only need to look at points withing  $\delta$  of the center line.
- we only need to compare  $L/R$  pairs within  $\delta$  of  $y$ -coordinate.
- Sort points on  $y$ -coordinates.
- For each point in  $L$ , only need to compare to the 10 points in  $R$  with closest  $y$ -coordinates
- So, takes linear time to compare  $L$  and  $R$ .

### Explanation

- at most 1 point per box.
- For every point on left, are at most 10 boxes on right with points at distance  $\leq \delta$
- after sort, linear time to scan 10 proximal boxes, but sort take  $\log(n)$
- $T(n) \leq 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn\log_2(n)$
- $T(n) \leq cn(\log_2(n))^2$
- but wait! can sort on  $y$ -coordinates up front (pay  $n\log n$  once), and then keep track of order when split.
- $T(n) \leq 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + cn \leq cn\log_2 n$

### Test on 2/24/15

- test will be split into 2 rooms
- content
  - memorization-heavy
  - 3 problems, pretty much straight out of class or PSETS
  - memorize problems from PSETS and class
  - follow directions carefully, test is one thing where no name is on every page. only first.