

Homework 8

Collaborators: Jay Hou, Thomas Weng, David Marcano

Will Childs-Klein

[wdc22](#)

April 23, 2015

Problem 1

Algorithm

3CG-Min-Cost-Approx

```
1  input:  $G = (V, E, w), w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ 
2  init:  $c = \emptyset, n = |V|$ 
3  begin
4      sort  $V$  such that  $\sum_{(u, v_1) \in E} w(u, v_1) > \dots > \sum_{(u, v_n) \in E} w(u, v_n)$ 
5      for  $i = 1 \dots n$ :
6          let  $N(i) = \{(i, u) \in E : u \in V\}$ 
7          for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :
8               $\text{cost}(i, j) = \sum_{p \in N(i) : c(p)=j} w(p)$ 
9          end for
10          $c(i) = \underset{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}}{\text{argmin}} \text{cost}(i, j)$ 
11     end for
12     return  $c$ 
13 end
```

Complexity

$$O(n + n \log n)$$

Proof of Complexity

lorem ipsum dolor sit amet

Correctness

Theorem 8.1

3CG-Min-Cost-Approx will always find a color assignment c whose total cost is at most

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(\sum_{(u,v) \in E} w(u,v) \right)$$

Proof of Theorem 8.1

Problem 2

Problem 3

Problem 4

Recall 3SAT

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \\ C &= \{C_1, \dots, C_k\} \\ C_i &= (x_j^i \vee x_h^i \vee x_l^i) \end{aligned}$$

Where X is a list of n boolean variables, C is a list of k conjoined clauses of disjoint $x \in X$, and $1 \leq j, h, l \leq k$. In other words, each clause consists of at least 1 and at most 3 unique $x \in X$.

Integer Linear Program for 3SAT

When constructing our Integer Linear Program (ILP) to solve 3SAT, we can think of the process as similar to reducing a suspected NP-Complete problem to a known NP-Complete problem. Specifically, we will *transform* the inputs to 3SAT into inputs for our ILP, which we will call 3SAT-ILP, such that the total number of clauses satisfied (by assignments for X found by 3SAT-ILP) is maximized.

Let us refer to X^* as the assignment found by 3SAT-ILP which is optimal in maximizing the number of clauses satisfied. The challenge in finding this “reduction” is twofold:

1. map 3SAT clause primitives $(x_i, \bar{x}_i, \text{OR})$ to linear combinations of
2. maximize the number of clauses satisfied

We will satisfy (1.) by representing OR as addition, x_i as x_i , and \bar{x}_i as $(1 - x_i)$ in our linear combination to feed into 3SAT-ILP. We will satisfy (2.) by adding a constraint vector c (lower-case, distinct from upper case C list of clauses) where $c = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. In other words, we will add a term c_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) to each equation in 3SAT-ILP's linear system constraint. The linear system constraint will be comprised of k distinct equations (one for each $C_j \in C$), each of four terms: 3 representing each $x \in X$ invoked in C_j , and the fourth being our c_j constraint. This c_j is what we will seek to minimize in our objective function. Take for example a 3SAT instance where $n = 4$, $k = 3$, and

$$C_1 = (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3)$$

$$C_2 = (x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_4)$$

$$C_3 = (x_2 \vee x_3 \vee \bar{x}_4)$$

Given this instance, we will construct 3SAT-ILP's linear inequality constraint system as follows:

$$x_1 + x_2 + (1 - x_3) + c_1 \geq 1$$

$$x_1 + (1 - x_2) + x_4 + c_2 \geq 1$$

$$x_2 + x_3 + (1 - x_4) + c_3 \geq 1$$

<NAME>

```

1  input :
2  init :
3  begin
4
5  end

```
