Question 1 DFS

Here I implemented a straightforward Depth-First Search (DFS) algorithm, a technique I've applied repeatedly in my CPT and Algorithms courses. util.Stack() and the other provided data structures were self explanatory. The code provided below includes detailed comments to clearly illustrate the implementation and its structure.

Question 2 BFS

Here is the BFS implementation. It is almost identical. We just switch our data structure and go from there and the entire implementation changes from depth or one state path to breadth or all the adjacent state paths.

Again image provided and comments do most explaining:

```
def breadthFirstSearch(problem: SearchProblem) -> List[Directions]:
    """Search the shallowest nodes in the search tree first."""
    fringe = util.Queue()
   visited = set()
    fringe.push((problem.getStartState(), []))
   while not fringe.isEmpty():
        state, actions = fringe.pop()
        if state in visited:
            continue
        visited.add(state)
        if problem.isGoalState(state):
            return actions
        for successor, action, stepCost in problem.getSuccessors(state):
            if successor not in visited:
                fringe.push((successor, actions + [action]))
    return []
```

Question 3 Varying Cost Function

(this is just UCS)

This one is slightly different from the previous two. We do the same thing by swapping our data structure, but the priority queue is different because we have a dynamic least cost element we pop

```
def uniformCostSearch(problem: SearchProblem) -> List[Directions]:
    """Search the node of least total cost first.""
    # use priority queue just so we can get that least cost
    fringe = util.PriorityQueue()
    # same thing here just another set to prevent duplicate states
    visited = set()
    # make a simple base case with parameter: (state, actions, cost)
    fringe.push((problem.getStartState(), [], 0), 0)

while not fringe.isEmpty():
    state, actions, cost - fringe.pop()

    # again, skip if already in visited set
    if state in visited:
        continue

    # again add the state to visited set, self explanatory by now
    visited.add(state)

    # same
    if problem.isGoalState(state):
        return actions

    # This is really similar but it's our main difference between BFS/DFS implementations
    for successor, action, stepCost in problem.getSuccessors(state):
        if successor not in visited:
            new_cost = cost + stepGost
            # //\//\//\/ Above you can see for each unvisited successor we calculate the cumulative cost and add to FQ
            # NOW PQ ensures that the successor with the lowest total cost is expanded next
            fringe.push((successor, actions + [action], new_cost), new_cost)

return []
```

from the structure. We calculate our cumulative cost as shown in the code for this:

Question 4 A*

This assignment really helped me see how these search algorithms can be implemented so similarly. I was able to reuse a lot of code. Here for A* I just had to make some adjustments, the priority was the hardest part.

I QUERIED AN LLM HERE TO ASK ABOUT PRIORITY IN A*

```
def aStarSearch(problem; SearchProblem, heuristic=nullHeuristic) -> List(Directions):

""Search the node that has the lowest combined cost and heuristic first.""

* we have another R Dut we use a different function than CCS Which is : f - g + h
fringe = util.PriorityQueue()

start_state - problem.gestStartState()

* set our initial cost as the init state which is always 0, no cost to start!

best_cost = (start_state: 0)

* push the start state with priority = 0 because again no cost to start, but look we use heuristic here!

fringe.push((ctart_state, [], 0), heuristic(start_state, problem))

while not fringe.isEmpty():

* as now we look in our RP and take that top least cost based on our heuristic

* starting its just the base case, but as we iterate it becomes the least cost heuristic element

state, actions, cost = fringe.pop()

* if or current best_cost is better than the popped cost, we just continue, best_cost is locally optimized for this iteration

if state in best_cost and cost > best_cost[state]:

continue

* again just check for goal

if problem.isGoolState(state):

return actions

* same as UCS for this first part just remember popped element is based on heuristic not FIFO/LIFO

for successor, action, stepCost in problem.getSuccessors(state):

new_cost = cost + stepCost

* new_cost = cost + stepCost

* new_cost = cost + stepCost in problem.getSuccessors(state):

new_cost = cost + stepCost in problem.getSuccessor; update best_cost and push to fringe

if successor not in best_cost or new_cost < best_cost[successor]:

best_cost funccessor] = new_cost < see we update our best_cost and push to fringe

if successor not in best_cost or new_cost < best_cost.post_cost here, when optimized we continue through the loop until we meet goal

priority = new_cost = heuristic(successor, problem) f then the priority for that fring push becomes cost + heuristic

fringe.push((successor) = new_cost < best_cost.post_cost or new_cost + heuristic)

return []
```

Question 5 Finding all the Corners

Very easy first two code edits here:

```
def getStartState(self):
    """
    Returns the start state (in your state space, not the full Pacman state
    space)
    """
    # Just a frozenset for Pacman no positions or corners
    return (self.startingPosition, frozenset())

def isGoalState(self, state: Any):
    """
    Returns whether this search state is a goal state of the problem.
    """
    position, visitedCorners = state
    # goal achieved when the number of visited corners equals the total number of corners
    return len(visitedCorners) == len(self.corners)
```

The next part was again very straightforward. The code basically iterates over all four possible movement directions, checking if each move is legal, and updates the visited corners if a new corner is reached. Then it creates and returns a list of successor tuples containing the new state, action taken, and a step cost of 1. Most if it was already written so the comments here should explain the code implemented

```
def getSuccessors(self, state: Any):

"""

Returns successor states, the actions they require, and a cost of 1.

As noted in search.py:

For a given state, this should return a list of triples, (successor, action, stepCost), where 'successor' is a successor to the current state, 'action' is the action required to get there, and 'stepCost' is the incremental cost of expanding to that successor

"""

successors = []

# use the current state and retreive the current position and the set of visited corners position, visitedCorners = state

for action in [Directions.NORTH, Directions.SOUTH, Directions.EAST, Directions.WEST]:

x, y = position

dx, dy = Actions.directionToVector(action)

nextx, nexty = int(x + dx), int(y + dy)

if not self.walls[nextx][nexty]:

nextPosition = (nextx, nexty)

# copy the current visited corners and if nextPosition is an unvisited corner, update the set newVisitedCorners = visitedCorners

if nextPosition in self.corners and nextPosition not in visitedCorners:

newVisitedCorners = visitedCorners.union((nextPosition))

# finally append the successor state along with the action taken and a cost of 1 successors.append(((nextPosition, newVisitedCorners), action, 1))

self._expanded += 1 # DO NOT CHANGE

return successors

def getCostOfActions(self, actions):
```

Question 6 Corners Heuristic

The corners heuristic was simple using problem.corners to append visited and return 0 if we have no visited states. You can then see the heuristic was set to 0 initially past the default return case and from there we use a copy of our unvisited corners and build a heuristic and iterate over remaining to calculate the heuristic using manhattan distance from each corner. You can see the code with comments:

```
def cornersHeuristic(state: Any, problem: CornersProblem):

"""

A heuristic for the CornersProblem that you defined.

state: The current search state

(a data structure you chose in your search problem)

problem: The CornersProblem instance for this layout.

This function should always return a number that is a lower bound on the shortest path from the state to a goal of the problem; i.e. it should be admissible.

"""

position, visitedCorners = state

/ make our list of corners that have not been reached yet unvisited = {}

for corner in problem.corners:

if corner not in visitedCorners:

if corner not in visitedCorners:

if corner not in visitedCorners:

if not unvisited:

return 0 / Default trivial solution

heuristic = 0 / start at 0 and current position

currentDos = position

remaining = unvisited[:] / make a copy of unvisited corners

/ add the distance to the closest remaining powner

while remaining:

distances = {}

/ acalculate manhattan distance from currentPos to each corner in remaining

for corner in remaining: distances.

dist, closest = min(distances) / find the closest corner for corner[0]) + abs(currentPos[1] - corner[1]), corner))

dist, closest = min(distances) / find the closest corner form remaining.

return heuristic
```

Question 7 Eating All the Dots

This was by far the most complex code. It has complex logic using MST's and I used the mazeDistance for the heuristic. mazeDistance was very simple to work with, however it was difficult to make my MST structure work with key pairs for our nodes.

I QUERIED AN LLM HERE TO ASK ABOUT MEETING BONUS REQUIREMENTS -> The LLM said to look for a different heuristic and I found mazeDistance. I came up with the MST on my own from algo/CPT.

Here is the code with ample comments:

THIS SECTION IS JUST THE HEADER:

```
class AStarFoodSearchAgent(SearchAgent):
   "A SearchAgent for FoodSearchProblem using A* and your foodHeuristic"
   def init (self):
        self.searchFunction = lambda prob: search.aStarSearch(prob, foodHeuristic)
       self.searchType = FoodSearchProblem
def foodHeuristic(state: Tuple[Tuple, List[List]], problem: FoodSearchProblem):
   Your heuristic for the FoodSearchProblem goes here.
   If using A* ever finds a solution that is worse uniform cost search finds,
   your search may have a but our your heuristic is not admissible! On the
   other hand, inadmissible heuristics may find optimal solutions, so be careful.
   The state is a tuple (pacmanPosition, foodGrid) where foodGrid is a Grid
    (see game.py) of either True or False. You can call foodGrid.asList() to get
   a list of food coordinates instead.
   If you want access to info like walls, capsules, etc., you can query the
   problem. For example, problem.walls gives you a Grid of where the walls
   If you want to *store* information to be reused in other calls to the
   heuristic, there is a dictionary called problem.heuristicInfo that you can
   use. For example, if you only want to count the walls once and store that
   value, try: problem.heuristicInfo['wallCount'] = problem.walls.count()
   Subsequent calls to this heuristic can access
    problem.heuristicInfo['wallCount']
   foodList = foodGrid.asList()
   if not foodList:
```

CODE I EDITED:

```
if len(foodList) > 1:
        min edge = float('inf')
                 if key in problem.heuristicInfo:
                if d < min edge:</pre>
        mst cost += min edge
        mst set.add(best node)
        remaining.remove(best node)
return start to food + mst cost
```

Question 8 Suboptimal Search

Simple one liner method add:

Resources used:

https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/prims-minimum-spanning-tree-mst-greedy-algo-5/ https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/heuristic-function-in-ai/ https://stackoverflow.com/questions/18756669/how-to-determine-a-heuristic-for-an-algorithm-say-a-is-a-good-one