

Could these be posted in 10.1 format for a while? I know 10.5 came out last week, but haven't had a chance to upgrade yet.





You could open the YXMD file in word pad or another text editor and in the header change 10.5 to 10.1 it should open for you then.





Hi @dataMack - I've uploaded a 10.1 version. As @GeneR points out, you need only change the version in a text editor. In this case you need to specify 10.0 though.

Tara McCoy



This site uses different types of cookies, including analytics and functional cookies (its own and from other sites). To change your cookie settings or find out more, click here. If you continue browsing our website, you accept these cookies.

The speed in which you can prototype, test and modify your workflow to achieve your desired result is one of many reasons Alteryx is so darn cool and useful. I tackled this problem in 2 different manners. The fixed format solution assumes you will only have 5 columns of merchant data. The second solution will let you scale to allow for more columns of merchant data to be added without having to modify the workflow. To test my assumptions, I added a new column of data and it worked perfectly. As a way to use a tool I hadn't used before, I threw the results from the first example into the Contingency Table tool from the Data Investigation tool category to create a matrix style chart to view the data from a different analytical perspective. Fixed Format Spoiler Solution that scales for multiple scenarios Spoiler Share 1LIKE Reply TaraM Alteryx A solution has been uploaded, suitable for version 10.1 Spoiler Tara McCoy Share 2 LIKES Reply markp201 8 - Asteroid Spoiler Share 0 LIKES Reply MarqueeCrew 19 - Altair @JoeM. I was puzzled why all combinations of store visits were not used. Anyways, I solved for the answers provided Cheers, Mark Alteryx ACE & Top Community Contributor Chaos reigns within. Repent, reflect and restart. Order shall return. Please $\underline{\text{Subscribe}}$ to my youTube channel. Share 1LIKE Reply SeanAdams 17 - Castor slightly different solution to the others provided - trick used is... $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{$ Spoiler ⊘ challenge_25_seanSolution.yxmd
△ Share 6 LIKES Reply



My solution. Results are slightly different because it made more sense to me to have the single vendors show up as Merchant1 instead of Merchant2... but other than that, results match. This was a fun one! Slightly bizarre... and I can decide if I'm pleased by the solution or mildly confused by it. But at least I'm fairly certain my final solution should be adaptable to varying #'s of merchants.

