Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

	Notes
Skenes	Facing RHH, Skenes works with his 4–Seam, Sinker and Sweeper, note the Sweeper is only in the zone 40% of the time and Sinkers have a 51% chase rate Sinkers also have 30% whiff rate, over 50% on chased Sinkers. Does not give up many balls in play on the sinker and hard hits especially in zone are low. Rare to make solid contact on Sweepers outside of the zone. Best pitch in terms of contact is Sweeper (CH not used). Starts off with 4S/SI, then works in the breaking pitches. 2 Strike pitch pretty even with 4S/SI/Sweeper, Sweeper very rarely in zone on 2 strikes – dangerous chase pitch. Hitters have had more success putting the first pitches into play rather than going deeper into counts. Sinker – Sinker combo gets a whiff nearly half the time on the second Sinker, and a chase 60% of the time. On the second SI only in–zone less than half the time. Starts with Sweeper then comes back with 4S in–zone nearly 70% of the time. Could be a good pitch to hit following the Sweeper. 4S thrown ahead start off higher, when he is trying to get a strike it will come down lower in the zone. SI will consistently live down and in on RHH, while Sweepers move more towards the middle if he gets behind. 2K situations has the option to go high with the 4S or low and out with the SW. Overall would start off with Sweeper then move towards the fastballs.
Swanson	Facing RHP, Swanson tends to chase Sweepers and Sliders has a high whiff rates. A 31% chase rate on the Sweeper with a 41% chase whiff rate, would expect Skenes to throw a couple out of the zone Sweepers to try and elicit a chase. Whiff rate on in–zone fastballs go down. Puts SI into play and solid contact on SIs thrown in zone, less likely to get solid contact on chased SI. Sweeper does not have solid results in terms of contact. Gets ahold of fastballs better, puts 40% of chased SI in play and makes very solid contact on those pitches too. Does not swing at breaking pitches on the first pitch often, including pitches thrown in zone could be a good opportunity to steal a strike with the Sweeper here. Stays discipline early in the count, but with 2 strikes, zone increases. Has a lot of success early in the count would reccomend swinging at the pitch if it is in the zone, otherwise might not get another chance. When the pitcher is ahead, likes to swing at the high fastball, which is a majority of 4S whiffs come from. Handles the 4S over the middle of heart well. Edge Sweepers do not get hit hard low and out SW will result in a miss. Would try and jump early on Skenes if he starts off with with zone fastballs.

Paul Skenes vs RHH

Pitch	Usage	Zone %	Z–Swing %	Heart %	H–Swing %	O–Zone %	Chase %	Whiff %	Z–Whiff %	H–Whiff %	O–Whiff %
4–Seam	36%	59%	68%	29%	80%	41%	17%	22%	19%	17%	40%
Changeup	< 1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	–	0%	0%	0%	–
Curveball	7%	50%	30%	22%	42%	50%	26%	20%	0%	0%	43%
Sinker	33%	55%	72%	27%	74%	45%	51%	30%	17%	12%	52%
Slider	8%	41%	69%	25%	81%	59%	24%	22%	11%	15%	44%
Sweeper	17%	40%	68%	16%	62%	60%	31%	28%	22%	8%	36%
ALL	47%	52%	67%	25%	74%	48%	32%	26%	18%	13%	46%

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Dansby Swanson vs RHP

Pitch	Usage	Zone %	Z–Swing %	Heart %	H–Swing %	O–Zone %	Chase %	Whiff %	Z–Whiff %	H–Whiff %	O–Whiff %
4–Seam	27%	62%	78%	34%	86%	38%	20%	21%	19%	15%	33%
Changeup	5%	41%	82%	18%	93%	59%	38%	42%	30%	23%	61%
Curveball	5%	54%	56%	28%	61%	46%	8%	36%	28%	21%	100%
Sinker	17%	61%	65%	34%	74%	39%	21%	19%	18%	17%	22%
Slider	24%	43%	66%	21%	74%	57%	24%	35%	22%	16%	62%
Sweeper	9%	49%	71%	30%	88%	51%	31%	26%	20%	19%	41%
ALL	82%	53%	71%	28%	81%	47%	24%	28%	21%	17%	49%

Paul Skenes vs RHH

Pitch	Strike %	Ball %	O–Strike %	BIP %	Z–BIP %	O–BIP %	Hard–Hit %	Z–H–Hit %	O–H–Hit %	Heart–H–Hit %
4–Seam	68%	32%	68%	29%	30%	20%	38%	43%	0%	39%
Changeup	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	–	0%	0%	–	0%
Curveball	65%	35%	65%	47%	62%	29%	14%	20%	0%	33%
Sinker	78%	22%	78%	30%	39%	15%	38%	37%	44%	42%
Slider	53%	47%	53%	33%	33%	33%	33%	50%	0%	60%
Sweeper	58%	42%	58%	26%	19%	36%	25%	57%	0%	100%
ALL	68%	32%	68%	30%	34%	22%	34%	40%	15%	43%

Dansby Swanson RHP

Pitch	Strike %	Ball %	O–Strike %	BIP %	Z–BIP %	O–BIP %	Hard–Hit %	Z–H–Hit %	O–H–Hit %	Heart–H–Hit %
4–Seam	68%	32%	68%	31%	33%	21%	50%	54%	14%	58%
Changeup	61%	39%	61%	20%	33%	0%	44%	44%	–	50%
Curveball	58%	42%	58%	29%	32%	0%	75%	75%	–	80%
Sinker	69%	31%	69%	41%	41%	39%	46%	53%	11%	57%
Slider	57%	43%	57%	34%	41%	18%	27%	30%	10%	39%
Sweeper	63%	37%	63%	31%	36%	18%	36%	39%	25%	55%
ALL	64%	36%	64%	33%	37%	19%	40%	44%	17%	51%

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes vs RHH

Pitch	wOBA	xwOBA	xBA	BABIP	ISO	Z–wOBA	Z–xwOBA	Z–xBA	Z–BABIP	Z–ISO
4–Seam	.309	.237	.356	.224	.079	.307	.233	.365	.259	.086
Changeup	.000	.047	.037	.000	.000	.000	.047	.037	.000	.000
Curveball	.360	.291	.214	.100	.300	.414	.296	.274	.143	.429
Sinker	.207	.280	.316	.141	.070	.206	.296	.293	.146	.104
Slider	.527	.254	.203	.182	.545	.667	.406	.251	.000	1.000
Sweeper	.158	.153	.248	.094	.125	.217	.177	.226	.067	.267

Dansby Swanson vs RHP

Pitch	wOBA	xwOBA	xBA	BABIP	ISO	Z–wOBA	Z–xwOBA	Z–xBA	Z–BABIP	Z–ISO
4–Seam	.308	.356	.330	.152	.161	.255	.331	.320	.149	.195
Changeup	.195	.133	.329	.182	.091	.287	.196	.329	.267	.133
Curveball	.386	.287	.427	.214	.214	.427	.302	.427	.273	.273
Sinker	.358	.386	.388	.208	.143	.322	.348	.388	.217	.183
Slider	.238	.314	.328	.174	.070	.238	.347	.338	.200	.100
Sweeper	.179	.224	.274	.176	.000	.144	.250	.298	.160	.000

Paul Skenes vs RHH

Pitch	O–wOBA	O–xwOBA	O–xBA	O–BABIP	O–ISO	H–wOBA	H–xwOBA	H–xBA	H–BABIP	H–ISO
4–Seam	.314	.250	.271	.111	.056	.350	.256	.383	.294	.088
Changeup	–	–	–	–	–	.000	.047	.037	.000	.000
Curveball	.233	.278	.064	.000	.000	.667	.537	.299	.000	1.000
Sinker	.209	.246	.418	.130	.000	.212	.362	.297	.107	.143
Slider	.360	.071	.108	.400	.000	.800	.488	.301	.000	1.200
Sweeper	.106	.131	.265	.118	.000	.812	.483	.530	.250	1.000

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Dansby Swanson vs RHP									
Pitch	O–wOBA	O–xwOBA	O–xBA	O–BABIP	O–ISO	H–wOBA	H–xwOBA	H–xBA	H–BABIP	H–ISO
4–Seam	.494	.444	.425	.160	.040	.288	.396	.365	.135	.269
Changeup	.000	.000	–	.000	.000	.358	.217	.335	.333	.167
Curveball	.233	.230	–	.000	.000	.760	.399	.403	.400	.600
Sinker	.488	.519	.388	.176	.000	.306	.356	.359	.167	.222
Slider	.238	.240	.284	.115	.000	.282	.428	.392	.242	.182
Sweeper	.278	.152	.168	.222	.000	.159	.296	.381	.176	.000

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes Usage By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	34.7%	21.2%	38.8%	33.3%	26.0%	48.1%	25.0%	40.6%	48.0%	50.0%	25.0%	41.7%
SI	37.2%	33.7%	14.9%	40.9%	41.1%	13.5%	70.0%	37.5%	22.7%	50.0%	75.0%	41.7%
CB	14.6%	6.7%	6.0%	7.6%	5.5%	1.9%	0.0%	3.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	4.0%	12.5%	13.4%	4.5%	13.7%	7.7%	0.0%	9.4%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
SW	9.5%	26.0%	25.4%	13.6%	13.7%	28.8%	5.0%	9.4%	16.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Usage By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	28.4%	19.1%	32.8%	24.7%	19.2%	26.9%	36.2%	29.2%	20.5%	71.4%	39.5%	36.8%
SI	19.7%	18.2%	8.2%	20.7%	13.8%	9.6%	23.4%	22.5%	11.8%	21.4%	32.6%	19.5%
CB	7.7%	5.3%	2.5%	4.7%	4.8%	6.0%	0.0%	2.2%	3.9%	0.0%	2.3%	5.7%
SL	24.9%	29.7%	27.0%	21.3%	27.5%	22.2%	14.9%	19.1%	29.9%	0.0%	16.3%	17.2%
SW	6.7%	10.5%	11.5%	4.7%	12.6%	13.2%	0.0%	7.9%	15.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%
CH	1.5%	4.8%	9.8%	5.3%	7.2%	8.4%	2.1%	5.6%	7.1%	7.1%	2.3%	1.1%

Paul Skenes Zone % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	62.3%	68.2%	38.5%	45.5%	63.2%	62.0%	60.0%	61.5%	52.8%	100.0%	100.0%	65.0%
SI	58.1%	40.0%	50.0%	63.0%	43.3%	50.0%	78.6%	75.0%	35.3%	100.0%	77.8%	55.0%
CB	51.7%	42.9%	50.0%	60.0%	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	62.5%	30.8%	11.1%	0.0%	60.0%	25.0%	0.0%	66.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SW	42.1%	33.3%	29.4%	44.4%	60.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Zone % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	59.6%	70.0%	67.5%	75.7%	53.1%	37.8%	70.6%	57.7%	65.4%	90.0%	70.6%	59.4%
SI	63.3%	52.6%	90.0%	58.1%	52.2%	68.8%	81.8%	45.0%	66.7%	33.3%	57.1%	76.5%
CB	51.6%	54.5%	66.7%	42.9%	87.5%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	60.0%
SL	46.0%	45.2%	30.3%	46.9%	34.8%	32.4%	71.4%	52.9%	39.5%	0.0%	57.1%	60.0%
SW	74.1%	45.5%	28.6%	71.4%	42.9%	45.5%	0.0%	57.1%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
CH	66.7%	30.0%	41.7%	50.0%	41.7%	50.0%	0.0%	40.0%	22.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Paul Skenes O–Zone % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	37.7%	31.8%	61.5%	54.5%	36.8%	38.0%	40.0%	38.5%	47.2%	0.0%	0.0%	35.0%
SI	41.9%	60.0%	50.0%	37.0%	56.7%	50.0%	21.4%	25.0%	64.7%	0.0%	22.2%	45.0%
CB	48.3%	57.1%	50.0%	40.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	37.5%	69.2%	88.9%	100.0%	40.0%	75.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SW	57.9%	66.7%	70.6%	55.6%	40.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson O–Zone % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	40.4%	30.0%	32.5%	24.3%	46.9%	62.2%	29.4%	42.3%	34.6%	10.0%	29.4%	40.6%
SI	36.7%	47.4%	10.0%	41.9%	47.8%	31.2%	18.2%	55.0%	33.3%	66.7%	42.9%	23.5%
CB	48.4%	45.5%	33.3%	57.1%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%
SL	54.0%	54.8%	69.7%	53.1%	65.2%	67.6%	28.6%	47.1%	60.5%	0.0%	42.9%	40.0%
SW	25.9%	54.5%	71.4%	28.6%	57.1%	54.5%	0.0%	42.9%	63.2%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%
CH	33.3%	70.0%	58.3%	50.0%	58.3%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	77.8%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes Heart % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	30.4%	36.4%	15.4%	22.7%	10.5%	34.0%	40.0%	30.8%	33.3%	100.0%	33.3%	25.0%
SI	32.4%	20.0%	20.0%	40.7%	30.0%	7.1%	35.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	30.0%
CB	24.1%	14.3%	0.0%	40.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	50.0%	15.4%	11.1%	0.0%	40.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SW	15.8%	22.2%	5.9%	33.3%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%	33.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Heart % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	29.8%	50.0%	32.5%	45.9%	15.6%	20.0%	35.3%	42.3%	38.5%	50.0%	23.5%	43.8%
SI	34.2%	28.9%	50.0%	32.3%	26.1%	31.2%	54.5%	25.0%	26.7%	33.3%	50.0%	35.3%
CB	32.3%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	20.0%	0.0%	50.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
SL	18.0%	25.8%	9.1%	37.5%	8.7%	13.5%	42.9%	47.1%	23.7%	0.0%	14.3%	33.3%
SW	25.9%	36.4%	28.6%	57.1%	19.0%	36.4%	0.0%	28.6%	26.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CH	66.7%	10.0%	25.0%	0.0%	16.7%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Paul Skenes Swing % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	34.8%	54.5%	38.5%	36.4%	47.4%	64.0%	20.0%	53.8%	55.6%	0.0%	66.7%	50.0%
SI	45.9%	60.0%	90.0%	59.3%	66.7%	57.1%	78.6%	66.7%	76.5%	0.0%	88.9%	85.0%
CB	17.2%	28.6%	50.0%	60.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	37.5%	15.4%	22.2%	0.0%	70.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SW	26.3%	40.7%	64.7%	22.2%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Swing % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	50.9%	57.5%	65.0%	54.1%	50.0%	42.2%	52.9%	50.0%	84.6%	20.0%	76.5%	68.8%
SI	39.2%	47.4%	80.0%	32.3%	52.2%	68.8%	54.5%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	64.3%	82.4%
CB	16.1%	27.3%	66.7%	14.3%	62.5%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%
SL	24.0%	56.5%	36.4%	40.6%	37.0%	54.1%	28.6%	47.1%	57.9%	0.0%	42.9%	73.3%
SW	29.6%	45.5%	57.1%	57.1%	52.4%	59.1%	0.0%	57.1%	63.2%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%
CH	50.0%	30.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%	78.6%	100.0%	60.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Paul Skenes Z–Swing % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	51.2%	73.3%	80.0%	70.0%	58.3%	83.9%	33.3%	75.0%	89.5%	0.0%	66.7%	61.5%
SI	60.5%	71.4%	100.0%	52.9%	84.6%	85.7%	81.8%	77.8%	83.3%	0.0%	100.0%	81.8%
CB	26.7%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	40.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SW	25.0%	77.8%	100.0%	25.0%	66.7%	90.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Z–Swing % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	72.1%	75.0%	92.6%	67.9%	76.5%	82.4%	75.0%	80.0%	100.0%	22.2%	91.7%	94.7%
SI	56.0%	60.0%	77.8%	44.4%	91.7%	90.9%	66.7%	44.4%	60.0%	0.0%	75.0%	92.3%
CB	31.2%	33.3%	100.0%	33.3%	71.4%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
SL	37.0%	78.6%	80.0%	60.0%	68.8%	100.0%	40.0%	88.9%	86.7%	0.0%	75.0%	77.8%
SW	35.0%	90.0%	100.0%	80.0%	88.9%	80.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
CH	75.0%	66.7%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes Chase % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	7.7%	14.3%	12.5%	8.3%	28.6%	31.6%	0.0%	20.0%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%
SI	25.8%	52.4%	80.0%	70.0%	52.9%	28.6%	66.7%	33.3%	72.7%	0.0%	50.0%	88.9%
CB	7.1%	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	33.3%	11.1%	12.5%	0.0%	25.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SW	27.3%	22.2%	50.0%	20.0%	25.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Chase % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	19.6%	16.7%	7.7%	11.1%	20.0%	17.9%	0.0%	9.1%	55.6%	0.0%	40.0%	30.8%
SI	10.3%	33.3%	100.0%	15.4%	9.1%	20.0%	0.0%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
CB	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
SL	13.0%	38.2%	17.4%	23.5%	20.0%	32.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.1%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
SW	14.3%	8.3%	40.0%	0.0%	25.0%	41.7%	0.0%	66.7%	41.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
CH	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	57.1%	57.1%	100.0%	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Paul Skenes Whiff % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	20.8%	33.3%	30.0%	25.0%	44.4%	18.8%	0.0%	42.9%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SI	23.5%	23.8%	33.3%	43.8%	50.0%	25.0%	18.2%	12.5%	46.2%	0.0%	25.0%	23.5%
CB	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	28.6%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SW	0.0%	18.2%	45.5%	50.0%	0.0%	46.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson Whiff % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	17.2%	34.8%	11.5%	25.0%	37.5%	31.6%	22.2%	7.7%	18.2%	50.0%	23.1%	9.1%
SI	12.9%	27.8%	25.0%	10.0%	8.3%	0.0%	33.3%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	21.4%
CB	40.0%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
SL	54.2%	34.3%	25.0%	38.5%	52.9%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	31.8%	0.0%	33.3%	18.2%
SW	25.0%	10.0%	25.0%	0.0%	27.3%	30.8%	0.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
CH	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%	44.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Paul Skenes In Play % By Count RHH

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	41.7%	0.0%	10.0%	25.0%	11.1%	31.2%	100.0%	28.6%	30.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
SI	35.3%	42.9%	11.1%	43.8%	30.0%	25.0%	9.1%	50.0%	7.7%	0.0%	37.5%	23.5%
CB	60.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	66.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
SW	60.0%	27.3%	27.3%	50.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%
CH	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson In Play % By Count RHP

	0–0	0–1	0–2	1–0	1–1	1–2	2–0	2–1	2–2	3–0	3–1	3–2
4S	25.9%	21.7%	42.3%	15.0%	18.8%	26.3%	55.6%	53.8%	31.8%	50.0%	46.2%	36.4%
SI	41.9%	27.8%	37.5%	50.0%	33.3%	54.5%	16.7%	62.5%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%
CB	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
SL	16.7%	37.1%	41.7%	15.4%	29.4%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	54.5%
SW	37.5%	40.0%	12.5%	50.0%	18.2%	30.8%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
CH	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	25.0%	11.1%	9.1%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes xwOBA by Pitch vs RHH

Dansby Swanson xwOBA by Pitch vs RHP


Paul Skenes 1st–Pitch Usage vs RHH

Most Frequent	After Strikeout	After Walk	After Field Out	After Hit	Men in Scoring
Sinker (37.2%)	Sinker (44.4%)	Sinker (63.6%)	4–Seam (38.9%)	Sinker (39.4%)	Sinker (31.2%)

Dansby Swanson 1st–Pitch Swing % vs RHP

| 1st Time Seeing	2nd Time Seeing	3rd Time Seeing	After Strikeout	After Hit	Men in Scoring
39%	31%	41%	35%	31%	33%

Paul Skenes vs RHH

Pitch	Zone	Heart	Swing	BIP
4S	62%	30%	35%	42%
SI	58%	32%	46%	35%
CU	52%	24%	17%	60%
SW	42%	16%	26%	60%
SL	62%	50%	38%	67%
CH	–	–	–	–

Pitch (xwOBA)
- 4–Seam (.270)
- Curveball (.531)
- Sinker (.505)
- Slider (1.057)
- Sweeper (.270)

Dansby Swanson vs RHP

Pitch	Zone	Heart	Swing	BIP
4S	60%	30%	51%	26%
SL	46%	18%	24%	17%
SI	63%	34%	39%	42%
SW	74%	26%	30%	38%
CH	67%	67%	50%	0%
CU	52%	32%	16%	20%

Pitch (xwOBA)
- 4–Seam (.667)
- Changeup (–)
- Curveball (.541)
- Sinker (.336)
- Slider (.698)
- Sweeper (.111)

Dansby Swanson vs Paul Skenes – Scouting Report

Paul Skenes Two Pitch Sequences vs RHH

Sequence	Usage	Swing %	Whiff %	Chase %	Zone %
4S–SI	12.8%	60.4%	19.0%	43.6%	59.4%
4S–4S	10.8%	56.8%	19.6%	25.9%	66.7%
SI–SI	10.4%	65.4%	37.3%	58.5%	47.4%
SI–4S	9.7%	38.4%	14.3%	5.9%	53.4%
SW–4S	7.7%	60.3%	22.9%	31.6%	67.2%

Dansby Swanson Two Pitch Sequences vs RHP

Sequence	Usage	Swing %	Whiff %	Chase %	BIP %
FAST–FAST	27.5%	54.0%	23.6%	23.5%	35.7%
BRK–FAST	19.7%	58.1%	21.4%	21.3%	37.1%
FAST–BRK	18.6%	51.3%	33.3%	27.9%	37.6%
BRK–BRK	17.2%	49.5%	25.0%	23.3%	30.8%
FAST–OFF	4.9%	61.7%	37.8%	44.1%	13.5%

Paul Skenes Situational Results vs RHH

Pitch	Situation	Usage	Zone %	Heart %	Chase %	Whiff %	BIP %	wOBA
4–Seam	Ahead	36%	57%	30%	21%	24%	20%	.338
4–Seam	Even	36%	60%	28%	14%	23%	32%	.217
4–Seam	Behind	36%	60%	29%	15%	18%	39%	.398
Sinker	Ahead	21%	44%	17%	52%	26%	32%	.212
Sinker	Even	35%	51%	27%	42%	36%	28%	.173
Sinker	Behind	46%	68%	32%	70%	27%	32%	.236
Curveball	Ahead	5%	46%	15%	43%	0%	40%	.140
Curveball	Even	10%	51%	23%	12%	14%	57%	.725
Curveball	Behind	3%	50%	33%	67%	67%	33%	.000
Slider	Ahead	11%	23%	13%	17%	38%	25%	.000
Slider	Even	7%	58%	38%	45%	19%	38%	.967
Slider	Behind	4%	50%	25%	0%	0%	33%	.000
Sweeper	Ahead	27%	32%	14%	32%	38%	24%	.173
Sweeper	Even	12%	41%	15%	25%	0%	27%	.000
Sweeper	Behind	10%	63%	26%	43%	33%	33%	.250
Changeup	Ahead	0%	100%	100%	–	0%	100%	.000
Changeup	Even	0%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Changeup	Behind	0%	–	–	–	–	–	–
Total	Ahead	34%	44%	20%	32%	28%	26%	.225
Total	Even	43%	54%	27%	28%	25%	32%	.279
Total	Behind	23%	63%	30%	42%	25%	34%	.289

Dansby Swanson Situational Results vs RHP

| Pitch	Situation	Usage	Zone %	Heart %	Chase %	Whiff %	BIP %	wOBA
4–Seam	Ahead	25%	58%	34%	15%	25%	31%	.196
4–Seam	Even	25%	59%	28%	24%	21%	26%	.390
4–Seam	Behind	32%	68%	41%	18%	18%	38%	.339
Sinker	Ahead	13%	62%	33%	33%	19%	38%	.419
Sinker	Even	17%	62%	32%	9%	16%	39%	.193
Sinker	Behind	22%	60%	36%	29%	21%	45%	.464
Curveball	Ahead	5%	54%	29%	9%	40%	40%	.300
Curveball	Even	6%	55%	32%	5%	33%	25%	.725
Curveball	Behind	3%	53%	13%	14%	33%	17%	.175
Slider	Ahead	27%	38%	18%	30%	30%	34%	.233
Slider	Even	26%	42%	17%	21%	46%	32%	.203
Slider	Behind	18%	54%	37%	22%	27%	35%	.291
Sweeper	Ahead	12%	41%	34%	29%	23%	29%	.225
Sweeper	Even	10%	54%	24%	29%	26%	29%	.150
Sweeper	Behind	4%	59%	35%	43%	40%	40%	.117
Changeup	Ahead	7%	42%	19%	38%	45%	25%	.218
Changeup	Even	4%	41%	26%	38%	47%	7%	.000
Changeup	Behind	4%	41%	0%	40%	30%	30%	.312
Total	Ahead	31%	47%	26%	28%	28%	33%	.236
Total	Even	43%	53%	25%	21%	30%	29%	.268
Total	Behind	26%	60%	34%	26%	24%	38%	.361

Paul Skenes vs RHH Pitch Location

Dansby Swanson vs RHP Swing Location

Paul Skenes vs RHH Pitch Location

Dansby Swanson vs RHP Swing Location