

Program Verification

CMPSC 461

Programming Language Concepts

Penn State University

Fall 2016

Final

Cumulative, 35% of final grade

Dec. 14 (Wed.), 6:50-8:40PM, 119 Osmond Lab

Conflict exam:

Dec. 12 Mon. 6:50-8:40PM, 323 Boucke

(if you have officially registered for it via University)

This Week

HW6 is due this Friday at ***NOON***, no late submissions

Practice problems posted on Canvas

This Friday: review

Computing WP

$$\text{wp}(x := e, Q) = Q[x \leftarrow e]$$

$$\text{wp}(s_1 ; s_2, Q) = \text{wp}(s_1, \text{wp}(s_2, Q))$$

$$\text{wp}(\text{if}(E) s_1 \text{ else } s_2, Q) = \\ (E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s_1, Q) \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s_2, Q))$$

$$\text{wp}(\text{nop}, Q) = Q$$

Observation: program verification is systematic and automatic if there is no loop!

Example

```
{x=a}  
if (a<0) x := -a;  
{x=|a|}
```

Goal: show the Hoare triple is valid

1) Compute $\text{wp}(\text{prog}, \text{postcondition})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{wp}(\text{if } (a < 0) \text{ } x := -a, x = |a|) \\ &= (a < 0 \Rightarrow \text{wp}(x := -a, x = |a|)) \wedge \\ & \quad (a \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{wp}(\text{nop}, x = |a|)) \\ &= (a < 0 \Rightarrow -a = |a|) \wedge (a \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = |a|) \\ &= (a \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = |a|) \end{aligned}$$

2) Show the precondition implies wp

$$(x = a) \Rightarrow (a \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = |a|)$$

Loops $\{P\}\text{while } (E) s \{Q\}$

What is the WP?

Let $W = \text{while } (E) s$, then $\{P\}\text{while } (E) s \{Q\}$
is the same as $\{P\}\text{if } (E) s; W \text{ else nop } \{Q\}$

By if-rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{wp}(W, Q) &= (E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s; W, Q) \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q) \\ &= (E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s; \text{wp}(W, Q))) \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q\end{aligned}$$

Loop Invariant

Loop Invariant $\{P\}\text{while } (E) \text{ } s \{Q\}$

$$Inv = (E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s, Inv) \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q)$$

Hence, $Inv \wedge E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s, Inv)$ and $Inv \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q$

(Proof is beyond the scope of this lecture)

Loop invariant (Inv) is a proposition that is:

- 1) Initially true ($P \Rightarrow Inv$)
- 2) True after each iteration ($Inv \wedge E \Rightarrow \text{wp}(s, Inv)$)
- 3) Termination of loop implies the postcondition
($Inv \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q$)

Loop Invariant and Induction

Loop invariant (Inv) is a proposition that is:

- 1) Initially true ($P \Rightarrow Inv$)
- 2) True after each iteration ($Inv \wedge E \Rightarrow wp(s, Inv)$)
- 3) Termination of loop implies the postcondition
($Inv \wedge \neg E \Rightarrow Q$)

Intuitively, we are proving the correctness of an arbitrary number of loop iterations, by **induction!**

Example

```
{n ≥ 0}  
r:=0, i:=0;  
while (i<n) {  
    r := r+2;  
    i ++;  
}  
{r = 2×n}
```

Goal: show the Hoare triple is valid

1) Write down a tentative loop invariant (*Inv*)

$$r = 2 \times i \wedge i \leq n$$

2) Show *Inv* is a loop invariant

- $\{n \geq 0\} \text{ r:=0, i:=0; } \{Inv\}$ is valid
- $Inv \wedge i < n \Rightarrow \text{wp}(\text{r:=r+2; i++}, Inv)$
- $Inv \wedge i \geq n \Rightarrow r = 2 \times n$

Example

```
{n ≥ 0}
r:=1, i:=n;
while (i>0) {
    r := r*i;
    i --;
}
{r = n!}
```

Goal: show the Hoare triple is valid

1) Write down a tentative loop invariant (*Inv*)

$$r = \prod_{j=i+1}^n j \wedge i \geq 0 \wedge n \geq 0$$

2) Show *Inv* is a loop invariant

- $\{n \geq 0\} \text{ } r:=1, i:=n; \{Inv\}$ is valid
- $Inv \wedge i > 0 \Rightarrow \text{wp}(r:=r*i; i--; , Inv)$
- $Inv \wedge i \leq 0 \Rightarrow r = n!$

Verification in Practice

Goal: show the Hoare triple is valid

- 1) Write down a tentative loop invariant (*Inv*)
- 2) Show *Inv* is a loop invariant

Step 2) is automatic, but 1) is mostly manual ...

Significant artifacts (e.g., simple OS) have been verified, but with pains (e.g., 3 person-years)

Total vs. Partial Correctness

$\{P\}\text{while } (E) \text{ } s \{Q\}$

Partial correctness: if the loop terminates, Q must be true. However, the loop might not terminate

E.g., $\{P\}\text{while } (\text{true}) \text{ } s \{Q\}, \text{Inv} \wedge \neg \text{true} \Rightarrow Q$ is true

Total correctness: prove loop determinates
(undecidable in general)

Summary

Goal: prove a program s is correct

Step 1: formalize “correctness” by writing down the precondition P and postcondition Q

Step 2: show that the Hoare tripe $(\{P\}s\{Q\})$ is valid

- Mostly automatic, except for the loops

What is verified?

Given any state satisfying P , the final state after executing s must satisfy Q , if s terminates