

# Programming Language Concepts

Gang Tan  
Computer Science and Engineering  
Penn State University

## Lambda Calculus

2

## Readings

- ◆ Ch11.7 of the supplemental materials of the textbook
  - See the schedule page of the course website

3

## History

- ◆ History
  - Introduced by Alonzo Church, Stephen Kleene
  - Greek letter lambda, which is used to introduce functions
  - No significance to the letter lambda
  - Calculus means there is a way to
    - calculate the result of applying functions to arguments
- ◆ Most PLs are rooted in lambda calculus
  - It provides a basic mechanism for function abstraction and application
  - Functional PLs: Lisp, ML, Haskell, other languages
  - Java, C++, and C# all support lambda functions
- ◆ Important part of CS history and foundations
- ◆ Warning:
  - We'll study formalism

## Syntax

- ◆  $t ::= x \mid \lambda x. t \mid t_1 t_2$ 
  - where  $x$  may be any variable
  - Function abstraction (function definition):  $\lambda x. t$ 
    - Define a new function whose parameter is  $x$  and whose body is  $t$
    - Scheme:  $(\text{lambda } (x) t)$
  - Function application (function call):  $t_1 t_2$ 
    - $t_1$  should eval to a function;  $t_2$  is the argument to the function
    - Scheme:  $(t_1 t_2)$
    - Math:  $t_1(t_2)$

## Examples

- ◆ Function abstraction
  - $\lambda x. x$ 
    - there is no need to write explicit returns;  $x$  is the returning result
  - $\lambda x. (x+3)$ 
    - assume  $+$  is a built-in function
  - $\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$ 
    - multi-parameter function, in curried notation
- ◆ Function application
  - $(\lambda x. x) 3 \rightarrow 3$
  - $(\lambda x. (x+y)) 3 \rightarrow 3 + y$
  - $(\lambda x. \lambda y. (x+y)) 3 4 \rightarrow 3 + 4$
  - $(\lambda z. (x + 2*y + z)) 5 \rightarrow x + 2*y + 5$

## Parsing convention

### ◆ The lambda-calculus grammar is ambiguous

- E.g.,  $t_1 t_2 t_3$  can be parsed in different ways
- We'll use parentheses and associativity to disambiguate

### ◆ Convention

- function abstraction: the scope of functions extends as far to the right as possible (unless encountering parentheses)
  - $\lambda f. f x = \lambda f.(f x)$ , not  $(\lambda f. f) x$
- function application is left associative
  - $f 2 3 = ((f 2) 3)$ , not  $f (2 3)$ , suppose  $f = \lambda x. \lambda y. x + y$

## Reduction (Informally)

- $(\lambda x. x) 3 = 3$ 
  - using 3 to replace  $x$
- $(\lambda y. (y+1)) 3$
- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda y. y+1)$
- $= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) ((\lambda y. y+1) x)$
- $= \lambda x. (\lambda y. y+1) (x+1)$
- $= \lambda x. (x+1)+1$
- $(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda y. y*y)$
- $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. z)$
- $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x)$

## Review of Lam Calculus

### ◆ Theoretical foundation of FP: Lambda calculus

- $t ::= x \mid \lambda x. t_1 \mid t_1 t_2$ 
  - $\lambda x. t$  is for function abstraction;  $x$ 's scope is  $t$ 
    - Functions in lambda calculus takes one parameter at a time
    - $\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)$ ; in curried form
- $t_1 t_2$  for function application

### ◆ Parsing convention

- Function application left associative:
  - $t_1 t_2 t_3 = (t_1 t_2) t_3$
- Function's scope extends to the right as far as possible
  - $\lambda x. f 3 = \lambda x. (f 3)$

9

## Free and Bound Variables

- ◆ " $\lambda x. t$ " binds a new var  $x$  and its scope is  $t$ 
  - Occurrences of  $x$  in  $t$  are said to be bound
    - Variable  $x$  is bound in  $\lambda x. (x+y)$
  - Bound variable is a "placeholder" and can be renamed
    - Function  $\lambda x. (x+y)$  is the same function as  $\lambda z. (z+y)$
- ◆ Names of free (=unbound) variables matter
  - Variable  $y$  is free in  $\lambda x. (x+y)$
  - Function  $\lambda x. (x+y)$  is *not* the same as  $\lambda x. (x+z)$
- ◆ Example:  $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y$ 
  - $y$  in " $y+2$ " is bound, while the second occurrence of  $y$  is free

## Formal def. of free variables

Goal: define  $FV(t)$ , the set of free variables of  $t$

$$\begin{aligned} FV(x) &= \{x\} \\ FV(t_1 t_2) &= FV(t_1) \cup FV(t_2) \\ FV(\lambda x. t) &= FV(t) - \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

- ◆  $FV(\lambda x. x) = \{\}$
- ◆  $FV(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (g x)) = \{g\}$
- ◆ Exercise
  - $FV((\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x))$
  - $FV(\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y)$

## Alpha renaming (rename bound variables)

$$\lambda x. t = \lambda y. [y/x] t \quad (\alpha)$$

when  $y$  is not free in  $t$

- ◆  $\lambda x. x = \lambda y. y$
- ◆  $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y+2) x) + y$ , rename the first  $y$  to  $z$ 
  - Becomes  $\lambda x. ((\lambda z. z+2) x) + y$
- ◆  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x - y = \lambda y. \lambda x. y - x$ , rename  $x$  to  $y$  and  $y$  to  $x$

## Capture-Avoiding Substitution

Reduction (operational semantics):  
 $(\lambda x. t') t \rightarrow [t/x] t'$

$[t/x] x = t$   
 $[t/x] y = y$ , where  $y$  is a variable different from  $x$   
 $[t/x] (t_1 t_2) = ([t/x] t_1) ([t/x] t_2)$   
 $[t/x] (\lambda x. t_1) = \lambda x. t_1$   
 $[t/x] (\lambda y. t_1) = \lambda y. ([t/x] t_1)$ , where  $y$  is not free in  $t$

- ◆  $[3/y] (\lambda x. x + y) = \lambda x. x + 3$
- ◆  $[3/x] (\lambda x. x + y) = \lambda x. x + y$
- ◆  $[\lambda x. x / x] x = \lambda x. x$

## Rename Bound Variables

### ◆ Function application

$(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda y. y+x)$   
 apply twice      add x to argument

### ◆ Substitute "blindly" and wrong result

Wrong step

$[(\lambda y. y+x) / f] (\lambda x. f (f x))$   
 $= \lambda x. [(\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) x)] = \lambda x. x+x+x$

### ◆ Rename bound variables

$(\lambda f. \lambda z. f (f z)) (\lambda y. y+x)$   
 $= \lambda z. [(\lambda y. y+x) ((\lambda y. y+x) z))] = \lambda z. z+x+x$

Easy rule: always rename variables to be distinct

## Reduction (Formal Semantics)

### ◆ Basic computation rule is $\beta$ -reduction

$(\lambda x. t') t = [t/x] t'$

where substitution involves renaming as needed

### ◆ Reduction:

- Apply the  $\beta$ -reduction rule to any subexpression
- Repeat until no  $\beta$ -reduction is possible

### ◆ Normal form: a lambda-calculus term that cannot be further reduced

## Reduction Maybe Nondeterministic

### ◆ An example of two beta-reduction sequences

•  $(\lambda y. y+1) ((\lambda y. y+1) 2) = (\lambda y. y+1) (2+1)$   
 $= (\lambda y. y+1) 3 = 3+1 = 4$

•  $(\lambda y. y+1) ((\lambda y. y+1) 2) = ((\lambda y. y+1) 2) + 1$   
 $= (2+1) + 1 = 3+1 = 4$

### ◆ Confluence (Church-Rosser theorem):

- Final result (if there is one) is uniquely determined

## $\beta$ -Reduction Example

$\Omega$  Combinator:  $\lambda x. (x x)$

Evaluate:  $\Omega (\lambda v. v) = (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda v. v)$   
 $= (\lambda v. v) (\lambda v. v) = (\lambda v. v)$

Evaluate:  $\Omega \Omega = (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x))$   
 $= (\lambda x. (x x)) (\lambda x. (x x)) = \dots$

Infinite loop!

17

## Programming in Lambda Calculus

18

## Declarations as "Syntactic Sugar"

### ◆ Informal Examples

- let  $x = 3$  in  $x + 4$
- let  $x = 3$  let  $y = 4$  in  $x + y + y$
- let  $f = \lambda x. x + 1$  in  $f(3)$
- let  $g = \lambda f. \lambda x. f(f(x))$  in  
let  $h = \lambda x. x + 1$   
g h 2

### ◆ Encoding of let

- let  $x = N$  in  $M$  same as  $(\lambda x. M) N$

◆ Syntactic sugar: the let is sweeter to write, but we can think of it as a syntactic magic

## Declarations as "Syntactic Sugar"

```
function f(x)
  return x+2
end;
f(5);
```

- same as let  $f = \lambda x. x + 2$  in  $(f\ 5)$

$(\lambda f. f(5))\ (\lambda x. x + 2)$

block body      declared function

Extra reading: Tennent, *Language Design Methods Based on Semantics Principles*. Acta Informatica, 8:97-112, 197

## Encoding: Boolean

### Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$        $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding "if" so that

Spec: IF  $b\ t1\ t2 = \begin{cases} t1 & \text{when } b \text{ is TRUE} \\ t2 & \text{when } b \text{ is FALSE} \end{cases}$

Definition: IF  $\triangleq \lambda b. \lambda t1. \lambda t2. (b\ t1\ t2)$

Check IF TRUE  $t1\ t2 = t1$  and IF FALSE  $t1\ t2 = t2$

## Encoding: Boolean

### Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$        $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding of "and"

Spec: AND  $b_1\ b_2 = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE} & \text{when } b_1, b_2 \text{ are both TRUE} \\ \text{FALSE} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Definition: AND  $\triangleq \lambda b_1. \lambda b_2. (b_1\ (b_2\ \text{TRUE}\ \text{FALSE})\ \text{FALSE})$

Check AND TRUE TRUE = TRUE and  
AND FALSE TRUE = FALSE

## Encoding: Boolean

### Booleans

$\text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x$        $\text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y$

Encoding of "or"

Spec: OR  $b_1\ b_2 = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE} & \text{when either } b_1 \text{ or } b_2 \text{ is TRUE} \\ \text{FALSE} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Definition: OR  $\triangleq \lambda b_1. \lambda b_2. (b_1\ \text{True}\ (b_2\ \text{TRUE}\ \text{FALSE}))$

Check OR TRUE TRUE = TRUE and  
OR FALSE FALSE = FALSE

## Church Encoding of Numbers

### Natural numbers

Church numerals:  $n \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. \underbrace{f\ (f\ \dots\ (f\ z)\ \dots)}_{n\ \text{invocations of } f}$

$0 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. z$

$1 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. (f\ z)$

$2 \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda z. (f\ (f\ z))$

...

## Church Numerals

---

Encoding of "+1":

$SUCC \triangleq \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda z. (f (n f z))$

Check "SUCC 2" = 3

Encoding of PLUS

$PLUS \triangleq \lambda n_1. \lambda n_2. (n_1 SUCC n_2)$

Check "PLUS 1 2" = 3

Multiplication and exponentiation can also be encoded.

## Pure vs. Applied $\lambda$ -Calculus

---

◆ Pure  $\lambda$ -Calculus: the calculus discussed so far

◆ Applied  $\lambda$ -Calculus:

- Built-in values and data structures
  - (e.g., 1, 2, 3, true, false, (1 2 3))
- Built-in functions
  - (e.g., +, \*, /, and, or)
- Named functions
- Recursion