Response time = first run - arrival

Turnaround = completion - arrival

Question 1: Compute the response time and turnaround time when running three jobs of length 200 with the SJF and FIFO schedulers.

Answer:

	SJF		FIFO	
	Response Time	Turnaround	Response Time	Turnaround
Job 0	0	200	0	200
Job 1	200	400	200	400
Job 2	400	600	400	600

Question 2: Now do the same but with jobs of different lengths: 100, 200, and 300. Answer:

	SJF		FIFO	
	Response Time	Turnaround	Response Time	Turnaround
Job 0	0	100	0	100
Job 1	100	300	100	300
Job 2	300	600	300	600

Question 3: Now do the same, but also with the RR scheduler and a time-slice of 1. Answer:

1110 11 011				
	Response Time	Turnaround		
Job 0	0	198		
Job 1	1	299		
Job 2	2	300		

Question 4: For what types of workloads does SJF deliver the same turn-around times as FIFO? Answer: When the jobs arrive at the same time, and when jobs are longer compared to the previous job.

Question 5: For what types of workloads and quantum lengths does SJF deliver the same response times as RR?

Answer: When all jobs have the same length, including the quantum length.

Question 6: What happens to response time with SJF as job lengths increase? Can you use the simulator to demonstrate the trend?

Answer: As the job length increases, the response time increases as well.

Job Length	Avg. Response Time
100, 200	50
100, 200, 300	133.33
100, 200, 300, 400	250
100, 200, 300, 400, 500	400

Question 7: What happens to response time with RR as quantum lengths increase? Can you write an equation that gives the worst-case response time, given N jobs?

Answer: <u>As the quantum lengths increase</u>, response time increases as well, since the time slice a job gets to run goes up.

N = number of jobs $L_n = length of job n$

Worst-Case: $RT = \sum L_n / N$

= Sum of all the lengths, divided by the number of lengths