NDMA Overall Evaluation Sheet

**1. Platform Usability**

a) How would you rate the usability of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

There are clear graphics and understandable features. Selections static rather than swipeable.

c) Is there any way you can think of to improve the usability of NDMA?

Place scrollable/tappable options

**2. Provide Value Right Away**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide value right away from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

6

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The features seem to be limited to calorie count.

c) Dos NDMA provide value to you?

Yes.

d) Do you believe it is provided right away?

Yes. Quick to give feedback.

e) In what way does the application provide, or not provide, value to you?

Provision: It is a great calorie counter with good display (bar chart)

Non-Provision: Other nutritional information would be good.

f) Could you explain your reason for your answer to question 2?

It is nice to use, but limited in functionality – it looks like.

**3. Simple Navigation**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide a simple navigation from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Navigation looks easy and natural.

c) How simple was it to navigate to the different parts of the application?

Quite simple

d) How did you find the process of logging your diet?

I can see it would build up a good set of data.

e) How did you find the navigation process of the Advisor System?

Good

**4. Clear & Concise Content**

a) How would you rate how clear and concise of the content of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The data are well explained, but there could be more (calorie per food-type, comparable food types…)

c) Was the different contents and components of NDMA easily understood and concise in the information and layout?

Yes

c) Was there any part of the application that was clear and/or concise in the content?

Most of the displays, yes.

d) Was there any part of the application that wasn’t clear and/or concise in the content?

The food options look as if it is what you see is what you get. Are there scrollable options?

**5. Minimize the Number of Steps**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to minimise the number of steps for each functionality of the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The options are clearly described and the app goes straight to information.

c) Is there any way to minimise more steps within the application itself, such as providing more easier layout for the logging feature, access to the advisor system or improve the login aspect etc?

You could put more options on one screen (5 – 7) as Miller’s chunking suggests.

**6. Reduce Scrolling**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to reduce the scrolling inside the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

If scrolling is to be minimal, then this does minimise scrolling.

c) is there any part of the application where it could be improved to reduce the amount of scrolling inside the application?

Reduce graphics sizes (?)

**7. Consider Landscape Orientation**

a) How would you rate the layout of NDMA in Portrait form from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

9

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Portrait suggests the app can be one-handed.

c) How would you rate the layout of NDMA in Landscape form from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

6

d) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

This might provide more linear information on display.

e) What would you like to see in the future for the application regarding the landscape mode of the application

Two or three cpmparable graphs / graphics or graphic with text box, left – right.

**#1: Visibility of system status**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to ensure it made sure you knew what was going on with the application when navigating through it from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The names suggest navigation point, a nav guide might be useful, but only if there were more than 7 pages.

**#2: Match between system and the real world**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to match your expectations of the comprehensive language used by the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The language is clear and as expected.

**#3: User control and freedom**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide control and freedom to you as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

6

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

There seems to be limited user control – ie one direction to use.

**#4: Consistency and standards**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide control and freedom to you as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

Is this a repeat q?

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

**#5: Error prevention**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to prevent error to you as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

I feel that the usability leaves no room for big mistakes.

**#6: Recognition rather than recall**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to ensure you were able to recognise how to interact with the system rather then having to recall information for use of the application as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The interface is readily recognisable and little recall required.

**#7: Flexibility and efficiency of use**

a) How would you rate the flexibility and efficiency of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

5

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

I feel there is little flexibility in the app, but it does work efficiently.

**#8: Aesthetic and minimalist design**

a) How would you rate the design of NDMA in terms of being Aesthetic and minimalist, from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

8

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It does look good. Nice green.

**#9: Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It looks capable of offering exits.

**#10: Help and documentation**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide help and/or directions to documentation for use of the application, from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

7

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It gives enough instruction on-screen, though the options may be limited.