**Susan’s Manual Testing and Evaluation**

**of Nutrition and Dietary**

**Management System - NDMA**

# Register, Login and starter\_activity

All simple enough to understand

# Home

Page is okay

# Visiting the logging system

Checkbox is clear

List view could be improved

The layout was easily understood itself

It was easily understood where to navigate across the system itself

# Advisor System

Initial look, simple and easy to understand

Test food input layout – easy to understand

As white pudding was searched for, app crashed and return to previous page

Page takes a while to load some of the features

When checking out the ingredients list, it was instantly known the list view was scrollable to display more ingredients

Sometimes the page does not finish correctly when the log button is pressed

Graph chart and advice are pretty clear

It was easily understood where to navigate across the system itself

NDMA Overall Evaluation Sheet

**1. Platform Usability**

a) How would you rate the usability of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Easy accessible and understanding

c) Is there any way you can think of to improve the usability of NDMA?

Bigger screens with more information

**2. Provide Value Right Away**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide value right away from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Straight away I got information and advice

c) Does NDMA provide value to you?

y

d) Do you believe it is provided right away?

Y

e) In what way does the application provide, or not provide, value to you?

It provides value by being clear and to the point regarding logging and advisor system

**3. Simple Navigation**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide a simple navigation from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It sorta guides you what to do

c) How simple was it to navigate to the different parts of the application?

20 (really good/simple)

d) How did you find the process of logging your diet?

Easy

e) How did you find the navigation process of the Advisor System?

Easy – buttons tell you what to do

**4. Clear & Concise Content**

a) How would you rate how clear and concise of the content of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It is applicable for non-tech savvy people

c) Was the different contents and components of NDMA easily understood and concise in the information and layout?

Yes

c) Was there any part of the application that was clear and/or concise in the content?

NA

d) Was there any part of the application that wasn’t clear and/or concise in the content?

no

**5. Minimize the Number of Steps**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to minimise the number of steps for each functionality of the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It gets straight to the point straight away

c) Is there any way to minimise more steps within the application itself, such as providing more easier layout for the logging feature, access to the advisor system or improve the login aspect etc?

Bigger window

**6. Reduce Scrolling**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to reduce the scrolling inside the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It was quick

c) is there any part of the application where it could be improved to reduce the amount of scrolling inside the application?

no

**7. Consider Landscape Orientation**

a) How would you rate the layout of NDMA in Portrait form from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The information is displayed as desired

c) How would you rate the layout of NDMA in Landscape form from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

1

d) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Can see anything

e) What would you like to see in the future for the application regarding the landscape mode of the application

Fix it

**#1: Visibility of system status**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to ensure it made sure you knew what was going on with the application when navigating through it from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Iit was easy to use

**#2: Match between system and the real world**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to match your expectations of the comprehensive language used by the application from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The language was easy to understand

**#3: User control and freedom**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide control and freedom to you as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Can be used independatly and quickly

**#4: Consistency and standards**

a) How would you rate the Consistency and standards of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Both are equal across the board

**#5: Error prevention**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to prevent error to you as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

It is easy to fix

**#6: Recognition rather than recall**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to ensure you were able to recognise how to interact with the system rather than having to recall information for use of the application as a user from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

Have a bad memory but used it easily

**#7: Flexibility and efficiency of use**

a) How would you rate the flexibility and efficiency of NDMA from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

The functions were easy to use, decipher and optimise

**#8: Aesthetic and minimalist design**

a) How would you rate the design of NDMA in terms of being Aesthetic and minimalist, from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

There was no mixing colours to distract you

**#9: Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

10

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

There is plenty of guidance to know what to do

**#10: Help and documentation**

a) How would you rate the ability of NDMA to provide help and/or directions to documentation for use of the application, from 1 – 10, where 1 is terrible and 10 is brilliant?

4

b) Could you provide one reason why you rated the platform this way?

There was no direction to documentation, but it wasn’t needed