University of Copenhagen
Computer Science Department

Data-Parallel Compilation

Lexical analysis & Syntax Tree Construction

William Henrich Due (mcj284)
Submitted: 5th of April 2024

Abstract

Abstract.

1 Introduction

Introduction.

2 Theory

Hills paper "Parallel lexical analysis and parsing on the AMT distributed array processor" [1] describes a method to obtain the path in a deterministic finite automata given a input string. This section will describe the theory of this method and extend the it for tokenization.

2.1 Data-parallel Lexical Analysis

To explain the theory of parallel lexical analysis we first remind the reader of the definition of a deterministic finite automaton.

Definition 2.1 (DFA)**.** A deterministic finite automata [2] [3] is given by a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where.

1. Q is the set of states where $|Q| < \infty$.
2. Σ is the set of symbols where $|\Sigma| < \infty$.
3. $\delta : \Sigma \times Q \to Q$ is the transition function.
4. $q_0 \in Q$ is the initial state.
5. $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accepting states.

This definition is fine as is but we will need to reformualte it to develop data-parallel lexical analysis. We would want the definition to use a curried transition function. But for this to hold then the DFA would also have to be total.

Definition 2.2 (Total DFA)**.** A DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is said to be total if and only if

$$\delta(a, q) \in Q : \forall (a, q) \in \Sigma \times Q$$

If a DFA is total we may use a curried transition function $\delta : \Sigma \to Q \to Q$.

This is needed since else the the function would not be fully defined in the domains Σ and Q.

The reason for doing so is because if we have any two functions $g = \delta(a)$ and $f = \delta(a')$ then it follows from composition that.

$$g(f(q)) = (g \circ f)(q)$$

This allows for an alternative way of determining if a string can be produced by an DFA. Instead of first evaluating $f(q)$, then $g(f(q))$ and then checking if this state is a member of F. We could instead partially apply δ to the symbols and then compose them to a single function which could be used to determine if a string is valid. This sets the stage for data-parallel lexing, we want to find a way to make the problem into a `map-reduce`. We want to do this because it can be computed using a data-parallel implementation unlike the normal way of traversing a DFA.

For the ability to use a data-parallel `map-reduce` we must have a monoidal structure. Here Δ is the set of all the composed partially applied δ functions needs to be closed under function composition.

Proposition 2.1 (DFA Endofunction Closure)**.** Given a total DFA and an associative binary operation $\oplus : (Q \to Q) \times (Q \to Q) \to (Q \to Q)$. Then the set of endofunctions $\Delta : \{Q \to Q\}$ will be closed under $\oplus$. The set Δ is the set Δ_i in the recurrence relation with the smallest i such that $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$.

$$\Delta_1 = \{\delta(a) : a \in \Sigma\}$$
$$\Delta_{i+1} = \Delta_i \cup \{f \circ g : f, g \in \Delta_i\}$$

Proof. We will start by showing that a solution Δ exists. First note that the cardinality is monotonically increasing i.e. $\Delta_i \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$ since Δ_{i+1} is a union of Δ_i and another set. Secondly note that since $|Q| < \infty$ then a finite amount of functions of the form $Q \to Q$ can exists. Since the set is bounded and increasing then at some point $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$ and the smallest i where it holds is the solution Δ.

For Δ to be closed under $\oplus$, then for arbitray $f, g \in \Delta$ it must hold that $f \circ g \in \Delta$. Since Δ_1 is the set of endofunctions that contructs Δ and $\oplus$ is associative then all elements of Δ can be expressed of the form.

$$\delta(a_1) \circ \cdots \circ \delta(a_n) \in \Delta$$

If all permutations with replacement of Δ_1 of any sequence length are members of Δ then Δ would be closed under $\oplus$. Futhermore, it is known that Δ is finite so the sequences at some point $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$ would only add new sequences but no new endofunctions. Therefore it suffices to show that if all sequences of length k where $1 \leq k \leq i$ is a subset of Δ_i then Δ is closed under $\oplus$. This can be shown using a proof by induction.

Base: Δ_1 trivially holds since it only contains sequences of length one and they are the initial endofunctions.

Step: Given Δ_i contains every sequence of length i or less then we to show this implies that Δ_{i+1} will contain every sequence of length $i+1$ or less.

By the induction hypothesis Δ_{i+1} must contain every sequence of length i or less due to $\Delta_i \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$. It remains to show that every sequence of length $i+1$ is a member of Δ_{i+1}. It is known that a direct product of Δ_i is used in the definition of Δ_{i+1} so $\{f \circ g : f, g \in \Delta_i\} \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$. A direct product between sequences of length 1 and i will create every sequence of length $i+1$ and therefore every sequence of length $i+1$ is a member of Δ_{i+1}. Thereby Δ is closed under $\oplus$. □

Since Δ is closed under an arbitrary binary associative operations then it follows that Δ and function composition induces a monoidal structure.

Corollary 2.1 (DFA Composition Monoid)**.** DFA composition closure induces a semigroup which in turn induces the monoid $(\Delta \cup \{id\}, \circ)$ where $id : Q \to Q$ and $id(q) = q$.

Knowing this we can establish the following algorithm

Algorithm 2.1 (Data-parallel Lexical Analysis)**.** It can be determined in $O(n)$ work and $O(\log n)$ span if a string can be produced by a DFA. First construct the total DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ from the DFA.

1. Partially apply δ to every symbol in the input string such that it becomes a sequence of endofunctions.

2. Reduce the endofunction into a single endofunction $\delta' : Q \to Q$.

3. Evaluate $\delta'(q_0)$ and determine if $\delta'(q_0) \in F$.

2.2 Data-parallel Tokenization

For data-parallel tokenization we need to extent data-parallel algorithm 2.1 will be needed to be extended. The idea will be to use a data-parallel

`map-scan` instead since it will gives all the states. This is also the methods described in Hills [1] paper. The problem is we need to be able to recongnize the longest strech of symbols that results in a token. And we also need to restart the traversal of DFA if a final state is hit while no options to traverse further.

Definition 2.3 (Dead state DFA)**.**

Definition 2.4 (Safe Composition)**.**

3 Conclusion

Conclusion.

References

[1] Jonathan M.D Hill. "Parallel lexical analysis and parsing on the AMT distributed array processor". In: *Parallel Computing* 18.6 (1992), pp. 699–714. ISSN: 0167-8191. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8191(92)90008-U. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016781919290008U.

[2] John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani, and Jeffrey D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation (3rd Edition)*. USA: Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 2006. ISBN: 0321455363.

[3] Wikipedia contributors. *Deterministic finite automaton — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. [Online; accessed 4-February-2024]. 2023. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Deterministic_finite_automaton&oldid=1192025610.