# University of Copenhagen Computer Science Department

# Data-Parallel Compilation Lexical analysis & Syntax Tree Construction

William Henrich Due (mcj284) Submitted: 5th of April 2024

#### Abstract

Abstract.

# 1 Introduction

Introduction.

# 2 Theory

Hills paper "Parallel lexical analysis and parsing on the AMT distributed array processor" [1] describes a method to obtain the path in a deterministic finite automata given a input string. This section will describe the theory of this method and extend the it for tokenization.

# 2.1 Data-parallel Lexical Analysis

To explain the theory of parallel lexical analysis we first remind the reader of the definition of a deterministic finite automaton.

**Definition 2.1** (DFA). A deterministic finite automata [2] [3] is given by a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where.

- 1. Q is the set of states where  $|Q| < \infty$ .
- 2.  $\Sigma$  is the set of symbols where  $|\Sigma| < \infty$ .
- 3.  $\delta: \Sigma \times Q \to Q$  is the transition function.
- 4.  $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state.
- 5.  $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of accepting states.

This definition is fine as is but we will need to reformulate it to develop data-parallel lexical analysis. We would want the definition to use a curried transition function. But for this to hold then the DFA would also have to be total.

**Definition 2.2** (Total DFA). A DFA  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  is said to be total if and only if

$$\delta(a,q) \in Q : \forall (a,q) \in \Sigma \times Q$$

If a DFA is total we may use a curried transition function  $\delta: \Sigma \to Q \to Q$ .

This is needed since else the function would not be fully defined in the domains  $\Sigma$  and Q.

The reason for doing so is because if we have any two functions  $g = \delta(a)$  and  $f = \delta(a')$  then it follows from composition that.

$$q(f(q)) = (q \circ f)(q)$$

This allows for an alternative way of determining if a string can be produced by an DFA. Instead of first evaluating f(q), then g(f(q)) and then checking if this state is a member of F. We could instead partially apply  $\delta$  to the symbols and then compose them to a single function which could be used to determine if a string is valid. This sets the stage for data-parallel lexing, we want to find a way to make the problem into a map-reduce. We want to do this because it can be computed using a data-parallel implementation unlike the normal way of traversing a DFA.

For the ability to use a data-parallel map-reduce we must have a monoidal structure. Here  $\Delta$  is the set of all the composed partially applied  $\delta$  functions needs to be closed under function composition.

**Proposition 2.1** (DFA Composition Closure). Given a total DFA, the set of all partially applied function compositions (Endofunctions) that is closed under function composition  $\Delta : \{Q \to Q\}$ . Is the set  $\Delta = \Delta_i$  in the recurrence relation with the smallest i such that  $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$ .

$$\Delta_1 = \{\delta(a) : a \in \Sigma\}$$
  
$$\Delta_{i+1} = \Delta_i \cup \{f \circ g : f, g \in \Delta_i\}$$

*Proof.* We will start by showing that a solution  $\Delta$  exists. First note that the cardinality is monotonically increasing i.e.  $\Delta_i \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$  since  $\Delta_{i+1}$  is a union of  $\Delta_i$  and another set. Secondly note that since  $|Q| < \infty$  then a finite amount of functions of the form  $Q \to Q$  can be created. Since the set is bounded and increasing then at some point  $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$  and the smallest i where it holds is the solution  $\Delta$ .

For  $\Delta$  to be closed under function composition, then for arbitray  $f, g \in \Delta$  it must hold that  $f \circ g \in \Delta$ . Since  $\Delta_1$  is the set of endofunctions that contructs  $\Delta$  then all elements of  $\Delta$  can be expressed on the form.

$$\delta(a_1) \circ \cdots \circ \delta(a_n) \in \Delta$$

If all combinations of  $\Delta_1$  of any sequence length are members of  $\Delta$  then  $\Delta$  would be closed under function composition. Futhermore, it is known that  $\Delta$  is finite so combinations after some  $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i+1}$  would only add new sequences of compositions but no new endofunctions. Therefore it suffices to show that if all combinations of length k where  $1 \leq k \leq i$  is a subset of  $\Delta_i$  then  $\Delta$  is closed under function composition. This can be shown using a proof by induction.

Base:  $\Delta_1$  trivially holds since it only contains sequences of length one and they are the initial endofunctions.

Step: Given  $\Delta_i$  contains every combination of length i or less then we to show this implies that  $\Delta_{i+1}$  will contain every combination of length i+1 or less.

By definition  $\Delta_{i+1}$  must contain every combination of length i or less due to  $\Delta_i \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$ . It remains to show that every sequence of length i+1 is a member of  $\Delta_{i+1}$ . We know  $\Delta_i$  will contain every sequence of length 1 and i by monotonicity. It is also known that a direct product of  $\Delta_i$  is used in the definition of  $\Delta_{i+1}$  so  $\{f \circ g : f, g \in \Delta_i\} \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}$ . A direct product between sequences of length 1 and i will create every sequence of length i+1 and therefore every sequence of length i+1 is a member of  $\Delta_{i+1}$ . Thereby  $\Delta$  is closed under function composition.

Since  $\Delta$  is closed under function composition then it follows that  $\Delta$  can induce a monoidal structure.

**Corollary 2.1** (Total DFA monoid). DFA composition closure induces a semigroup which intern induces the monoid  $(\Delta \cup \{id\}, \circ)$  where  $id : Q \to Q$  and id(q) = q.

Knowing this we can establish the following algorithm

**Algorithm 2.1** (Data-parallel Lexical Analysis). It can be determined in O(n) work and  $O(\log n)$  span if a string can be produced by a DFA. First construct the total DFA  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  from the DFA.

- 1. Partially apply  $\delta$  to every symbol in the input string such that it becomes a sequence of endofunctions.
- 2. Reduce the endofunction in to a single endofunction  $\delta': Q \to Q$ .
- 3. Evaluate  $\delta'(q_0)$  and determine if  $\delta'(q_0) \in F$ .

### 2.2 Parallel Tokenization

# 3 Conclusion

Conclusion.

## References

- Jonathan M.D Hill. "Parallel lexical analysis and parsing on the AMT distributed array processor". In: Parallel Computing 18.6 (1992), pp. 699-714. ISSN: 0167-8191. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8191(92)90008-U. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016781919290008U.
- [2] John E. Hopcroft, Rajeev Motwani, and Jeffrey D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation (3rd Edition)*. USA: Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 2006. ISBN: 0321455363.
- [3] Wikipedia contributors. Deterministic finite automaton Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 4-February-2024]. 2023. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Deterministic\_finite\_automaton&oldid=1192025610.