University of Copenhagen Computer Science Department

Parallel Parsing using Futhark *Subtitle*

Author: William Henrich Due Advisor: Troels Henriksen Submitted: June 12, 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Theory	1
	2.1 $LL(k)$ Parser Generator	1
	2.2 $LLP(q,k)$ Parser Generator	
	2.2.1 The idea	
	2.2.2 Determing if a grammar is LLP	4
3	Implementation	5
4	Testing	5
5	Conclusion	5

1 Introduction

2 Theory

2.1 LL(k) Parser Generator

For the construction of a LLP(q, k) parser generator the construction of first and follow-set [3, p. 5] and a LL(k) parser generator is needed. A short explanation of the construction of a LL(k) parser generator will be given since in the research of this project k=1 was quite often explained but never k>1 in a manner the author found understable.

The first and follow-set algorithms described takes heavy inspiration from Mogensens book Introduction to Compiler Design [2, p. 55-65] and the parser notes [1, p. 10-15] by Sestoft and Larsen. The modifications are mainly using the LL(k) extension described in the Wikipedia article in the section "Constructing an LL(k) parsing table" [4].

¹At the time of writing the Wikipedia article does have a description of constructing first and follow-sets for k > 1. The problem is the algorithm described does not fullfill the definition of first and follow-sets that is being used in the LLP paper [3, p. 5].

Definition 2.1 (Truncated product). Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar, $A, B \in \mathbb{P}((N \cup T)^*)$ be sets of symbol strings and $\omega, \delta \in (T \cup N)^*$. The truncated product is defined in the following way.

$$A \odot_k B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \underset{\gamma \in \{\omega : \omega \delta = \alpha \beta, |\omega| \le k\}}{\operatorname{arg max}} |\gamma| : \alpha \in A, \beta \in B \right\}$$

Definition 2.2 (Nonempty substring pairs). Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar, $\omega \in (N \cup T)^*$ be a symbol string and $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup T)^+$ be nonempty symbol strings. The set of every nonempty way to split ω into two substrings is defined to be.

$$\varphi(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha\beta = \omega\}$$

Algorithm 2.1 (Solving $FIRST_k$ set). Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar, the first-sets can be solved as followed.

$$FIRST_{k}(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$$

$$FIRST_{k}(t) = \{t\}$$

$$FIRST_{k}(A) = \bigcup_{\delta: A \to \delta \in P} FIRST_{k}(\delta)$$

$$FIRST_{k}(\omega) = \bigcup_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \varphi(\omega)} FIRST_{k}(\alpha) \odot_{k} FIRST_{k}(\beta)$$

This may result in an infinite loop if implemented as is so fixed point iteration is used. Let $\mathcal{M}: N \to \mathbb{P}(T^*)$ be a surjective function which is used as a dictionary which maps nonterminals to sets of terminal strings. $FIRST'_k$ is then the following modified version of $FIRST_k$.

$$FIRST'_{k}(\epsilon, \mathcal{M}) = \{\epsilon\}$$

$$FIRST'_{k}(t, \mathcal{M}) = \{t\}$$

$$FIRST'_{k}(A, \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}(A)$$

$$FIRST'_{k}(\omega, \mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \varphi(\omega)} FIRST'_{k}(\alpha, \mathcal{M}) \odot_{k} FIRST'_{k}(\beta, \mathcal{M})$$

This function is then used to solve for a $FIRST_k$ function for a fixed k with fixed point iteration the following way.

- 1. Initialize a dictionary \mathcal{M}_0 such that $\mathcal{M}_0(A) = \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2. A new dictionary $\mathcal{M}_{i+1}: N \to \mathbb{P}(T^*)$ is constructed by $\mathcal{M}_{i+1}(A) = \bigcup_{\delta: A \to \delta \in P} FIRST'_k(\delta, \mathcal{M}_i)$ for all $A \in N$ where \mathcal{M}_i is the last dictionary that was constructed.

3. If $\mathcal{M}_{i+1} = \mathcal{M}_i$ then terminate the algorithm terminates else recompute step 2.

Let \mathcal{M}_f be the final dictionary after the algorithm terminates then it holds that $FIRST_k(\omega) = FIRST'_k(\omega, \mathcal{M}_f)$ if k stays fixed.

Algorithm 2.2 (Solving $FOLLOW_k$ set). Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar, the follow-sets can be solved as followed.

$$FOLLOW_k(A) = \bigcup_{B: B \to \alpha A \beta \in P} FIRST_k(\beta) \odot_k FOLLOW_k(B)$$

Once again this may not terminate so fixed point iteration can be used with following altered $FOLLOW_k$ and letting $\mathcal{M}: N \to \mathbb{P}(T^*)$ be a surjective function.

$$FOLLOW_k(A, \mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_{B: B \to \alpha A \beta \in P} FIRST_k(\beta) \odot_k \mathcal{M}(B)$$

This $FOLLOW_k$ function for a fixed k can then be computed using the following algorithm.

- 1. Extend the grammar G = (N, T, P, S) using $G' = (N', T', P', S') = (N \cup \{S'\}, T \cup \{\Box\}, P \cup \{P \to S\Box^k\}, S')$.
- 2. Initialize a dictionary \mathcal{M}_0 such that $\mathcal{M}_0(A) = \emptyset$ for all $A \in N \setminus \{S\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0(S) = \{\Box^k\}$.
- 3. A new dictionary $\mathcal{M}_{i+1}: N \to \mathbb{P}(T^*)$ is constructed by $\mathcal{M}_{i+1}(A) = \bigcup_{B: B \to \alpha A \beta \in P} FIRST_k(\beta) \odot_k \mathcal{M}_i(B)$ for all $A \in N$ where \mathcal{M}_i is the last dictionary that was constructed.
- 4. If $\mathcal{M}_{i+1} \neq \mathcal{M}_i$ then recompute step 3.
- 5. Let \mathcal{M}_f be the final dictionary after step 4. is completed. Let \mathcal{M}_u be another dictionary where $\mathcal{M}_u(A) = \{\alpha : \alpha \square^* \in \mathcal{M}_f(A)\}$ for all $A \in N \setminus \{S'\}$

It then holds that $FOLLOW_k(A) = \mathcal{M}_u(A)$ if k stays fixed for grammar G.

2.2 LLP(q,k) Parser Generator

2.2.1 The idea

The idea of the LLP(q, k) grammar class comes from wanting to create an LL(k) like grammar class which can be parsed in parallel. To describe how this is done a definition for a given state during LL(k) parsing is needed.

Definition 2.3 (LL parser configuration). Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar that is an LL(k) grammar for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let each production $p_i \in P$ be assigned a unique integer $i \in \{0, ..., |P| - 1\} = \mathcal{I}$. Then the set of every valid and invalid sequence of productions S^2 is given by $S = \{(a_k)_{k=0}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_k \in \mathcal{I}\}$. A given configuration [3, p. 5] of a LL(k) parser is then given by.

$$(w, \alpha, \pi) \in T^* \times (T \cup N)^* \times \mathcal{S}$$

For a LL(k) parser configuration (ω, α, π) would ω denote the input string, α denote the push down store and π denote the sequence of rules used to derive the consumed input string.

When using deterministic LL(k) parsing you want to create a parsing function $\phi: T^* \to \mathcal{S}$ for a grammar G = (N, T, P, S). This parser function is a function which is able to create the production sequence as defined by the relation $\vdash^* [3, p. 6]$.

$$\phi(\omega) = \pi$$
 where $(\omega, S, ()) \vdash^* (\epsilon, \epsilon, \pi)$

If the \vdash^* relation does not hold then ω can not be parsed.

The concept of deterministic LLP(q, k) parsing is if a string $\omega \in T^*$ is going to be parsed then construct every pair such that.

$$M = \{ ((x, y), i) : \omega = \delta x y_i \beta, |x| = q, |y_i| = k \}$$

$$\cup \{ ((x, y), i) : \omega = x y_i \beta, |x| \le q, |y| = k \}$$

$$\cup \{ ((x, y), i) : \omega = \delta x y_i, |x| = q, |y| \le k \}$$

Where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the index of where the start of the substring y_i such the ordering can be kept. Then we would want to create a parsing function $\Phi: T^* \times T^* \to T^* \times (T \cup N)^* \times \mathcal{S}$

2.2.2 Determing if a grammar is LLP

Let G = (N, T, P, S) be a context-free grammar from the LL(k) grammar class. Then for a derivation $S \Rightarrow_{lm}^* \omega xy\beta$ it implies there exists some valid parser configuration $(y\delta, \alpha, \pi)$

$$\{((x,y),(y\delta,\alpha,\pi)):S\Rightarrow_{lm}^*\omega xy\beta\}$$

²It is chosen to use a squence for the "prefix of a left parse" [3, p. 5] because it did not seem obvious to which set the element is a member of.

3 Implementation

4 Testing

5 Conclusion

References

- [1] Sestoft Peter and Larsen Ken Friis. Grammars and parsing with Haskell Using Parser Combinators. Version 3. At the time of writing these notes are used in the Advanced Programming course at the University of Copenhagen. Sept. 2015.
- [2] Mogensen Torben Ægidius. Introduction to Compiler Design. 2nd ed. London: Springer Cham. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66966-3.
- [3] Ladislav Vagner and Bořivoj Melichar. "Parallel LL parsing". In: *Acta Informatica* 44.1 (Apr. 2007), pp. 1–21. ISSN: 1432-0525. DOI: 10. 1007/s00236-006-0031-y. URL: https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00236-006-0031-y.
- [4] Wikipedia. LL parser Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=LL%20parser&oldid=1145098081. [Online; accessed 03-May-2023]. 2023.