University of Copenhagen

Union-Find

Author: William Henrich Due

1 Theory

1.1 Forests

Definition 1.1 (Reachability)**.** A node v is *reachable* from a node u in a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ if there exists a sequence of directed edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \in E$ where $m \geq 1$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $v_0 = u$ and $v_m = v$. We denote this by $u \rightsquigarrow v$.

Definition 1.2 (Cycle)**.** A cycle in a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ has a cycle if there exists $v \in V$ such that $v \rightsquigarrow v$.

Definition 1.3 (Forest)**.** A forest is a directed graph $F = (V, E)$ where V is a set of vertices and $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of directed edges such that:

1. There are no cycles $v \not\rightsquigarrow v$ for all $v \in V$, and
2. each node has at most one parent i.e. for all $(u, v_1), (u, v_2) \in E$ it holds that $v_1 = v_2$.

Definition 1.4 (Root)**.** A node $v \in V$ in a forest $F = (V, E)$ is a root if it has no parent. This is defined as the predicate:

$$\mathcal{R}_F(v) : v \not\rightsquigarrow u \text{ for all } u \in V$$

Definition 1.5 (Tree)**.** A tree is a forest $T = (V, E)$ where there exists a unique root $r \in V$ such that $v \rightsquigarrow r$ for all $v \in V \backslash \{r\}$.

Proposition 1.1 (Forest Root Count)**.** A forest $F = (V, E)$ where $|V| = n$ and $|E| = n - k$ has k roots.

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$ be a forest where $|V| = n$ and $|E| = n - k$. By the second property of a forest then $n - k$ vertices must have a parent. Since there are n vertices in total it follows that there are exactly k vertices $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k \in V$ that has no parent. Hence there are exactly k roots in F. □

Proposition 1.2 (Roots Path Exist)**.** In a forest $F = (V, E)$ for each element $v \in V$ there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and either $v \rightsquigarrow r$ or $v = r$.

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $v \in V$ be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.1 there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$. This can be shown by structural induction on a vertex $v \in V$ that any $(v, p) \in E$ then either $p = r$ or p has a path to some root $r \in V$.

- If $p = r$ then p is a root and v has a path to a root r by $(v, r) \in E$.
- By induction hypothesis p has a path to a root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$. Since $(v, p) \in E$ it follows that $v \rightsquigarrow p \rightsquigarrow r$ so .

□

Proposition 1.3 (Forest Edge Limit)**.** A forest $F = (V, E)$ where $|V| = n$ and $|E| > n - 1$ is not a forest.

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$ be a forest where $|V| = n$ and $|E| > n - 1$. By proposition 1.1 a forest with $n - 1$ has exactly one root, so it is a tree. By adding one more edge to the tree it must make one vertex have two parents. Or since every vertex $v \in V$ has a path to the root $r \in V$ it must create a cycle $v \rightsquigarrow v$ for some $v \in V$. In both cases it contradicts the properties of a forest. □

1.2 Union-Find Structure

Definition 1.6 (Union-Find Structure)**.** The union-find structure U is a forest $U = (V, E)$ where the vertices $V = S$ for some set of elements S. The edges $E \subseteq V \times V$ represent parent relations between elements in S such that $(u, v) \in E$ means that u has parent v.

Definition 1.7 (Representative)**.** The representative of an element $v \in V$ in a forest $F = (V, E)$ is the root $r \in V$ such that there is a path from v to r. This is defined as the function:

$$\rho_F(v) := r \text{ where } r \in V \text{ such that } \mathcal{R}_F(r) \wedge (v \rightsquigarrow r \vee v = r)$$

Proposition 1.4 (Unique Representative)**.** In a forest $F = (V, E)$ each element $v \in V$ has a unique representative $\rho_F(v)$.

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$ be a union-find structure and let $v \in V$ be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.2 there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and $v \rightsquigarrow r$. Now assume that there exists another root $r' \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r')$ and $v \rightsquigarrow r'$. Since F is a forest it follows by the second property of a forest that $r = r'$ hence the representative is unique. □

Definition 1.8 (Tree Set)**.** The set of vertices of the same tree $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ in a forest $F = (V, E)$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{E}_F(v) := \{u : u \in V \text{ where } \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v))\}$$

Definition 1.9 (Partition)**.** The set $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S)$ is a partition of a set S if:

1. $a \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in P$
2. $a \cap b = \emptyset$ for all $a, b \in P$ where $a \neq b$
3. $\bigcup_{a \in P} a = S$

Proposition 1.5 (Forest Partition)**.** A forest $F = (V, E)$ is a partition of V for the following set:

$$\{\mathcal{E}_F(v) : v \in V\}$$

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$ be a forest. We will show that the set in the proposition is a partition of V by showing that it satisfies the three properties in definition 1.9.

1. By definition of $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ it can not be empty since for $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ then $\rho_F(v) = \rho_F(v)$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_F(v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in V$.
2. Let a and b be two arbitrary elements in the set such that $a \neq b$. By definition of a and b there exists $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $a = \{u : u \in V \wedge \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v_1)\}$ and $b = \{u : u \in V \wedge \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v_2)\}$. Since $a \neq b$ it follows that $\rho_F(v_1) \neq \rho_F(v_2)$ since otherwise $a = b$, hence $a \cap b = \emptyset$.
3. Let v be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.2 there exists a root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and $v \rightsquigarrow r$ or $v = r$. By definition of the representative it follows that $\rho_F(v) = r$. Now let $a = \{u : u \in V \wedge \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v)\}$. By definition of a it follows that $v \in a$. Since v was arbitrary it follows that $\bigcup_{a \in P} a = V$.

□

Definition 1.10 (Same Tree Relation)**.** The relation $\sim_F$ on a forest F is defined as:

$$u \sim_F v : \iff u \in \mathcal{E}_F(v)$$

Corollary 1.1 (Same Tree Relation is an Equivalence Relation)**.** The relation $\sim_F$ on a forest F is an equivalence relation due to $\{\mathcal{E}_F(v) : v \in V\}$ being a partition of V. by proposition 1.5.

Definition 1.11 (Forests with Equivalent Tree Sets)**.** Two forests $F = (V, E)$ and $F' = (V', E')$ have equivalent tree sets $F \cong F'$ if:

- Vertices are the same $V = V'$.

- The tree sets are equivalent $\mathcal{E}_F(v) = \mathcal{E'}_F(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Definition 1.12 (Tree Union)**.** The tree union of two elements v and u for a forest $F = (V, E)$ is such that $v \sim_{F'} u$ in a new forest $F' = (V', E')$ and F' satify the following properties:

1. $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(v) = \mathcal{E}_{F'}(u) = \mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u)$ and
2. $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(w) = \mathcal{E}_F(w)$ for all $w \in V \backslash (\mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u))$.

Proposition 1.6 (Tree Union)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$, $p = \rho_F(u)$ be the representative of u and let $q = \rho_F(v)$ be the representative of v where $q \neq p$. Then defined F' as:

$$F' := (V, E \cup \{(q, p)\})$$

Then $u \sim_{F'} v$ in F' and F' will satisfy the properties of a tree union.

Proof. Let $F = (V, E)$, $p = \rho_F(u)$ be the representative of u and let $q = \rho_F(v)$ be the representative of v. By definition q will have parent p in F' and since q is a root it has no parent then F' is a forest. Now for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(q)$ it holds that $w \rightsquigarrow q$ or $q = w$ and since $q \rightsquigarrow p$ it follows that $w \rightsquigarrow p$. Hence $w \in \mathcal{E}_{F'}(p)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(q)$ and trivially $w \in \mathcal{E}_{F'}(p)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(p)$ so it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(v) = \mathcal{E}_{F'}(u) = \mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u)$. Now let $w \in V \backslash (\mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u))$ be an arbitrary element. Since $w \not\rightsquigarrow p$, $w \neq p$, $w \not\rightsquigarrow q$, and $w \neq q$ it follows that w has the same representative in F' as in F hence $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(w) = \mathcal{E}_F(w)$. □

1.3 Parallel Union-Find

Definition 1.13 (Conflict-free Set)**.** Let F be a forest, $X \subseteq \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in V \times V\}$ be a set of root pairs. Then X is a conflict-free set in F if (V, Y) is a forest.

Proposition 1.7 (Conflict-free Forest Union)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F where $|X| = n$. Then defining the following forests:

$$F_0 := F$$
$$F_i := (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(v_i, u_i)\}) \text{ for } (v_i, u_i) \in X \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Then F_n is a forest.

Proof. Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest, $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F. We will show that F_n is a forest by induction on i.

- Base case: If $i = 0$ then $F_i = F_0 = F$ which is a forest.
- Induction hypothesis: Assume that F_{i-1} is a forest for all $1 \leq i < n$. Let $(v_i, u_i) \in X$, we know that $v_i \neq v_j$ for all $(v_j, u_j) \in Y \backslash \{(v_i, u_i)\}$ since otherwise (V, X) would not be a forest and X would not be a conflict-free set in F. So v_i will only have one parent in F_i since it only appears once as a child in (V, X). By definition all of the edges in X consists of roots in F, and since (V, X) is a forest there are no cycles $y \not\rightsquigarrow y$ for all $y \in V$ in F_i. Hence F_i is a forest.

Thus by induction F_n is a forest. □

Proposition 1.8 (Conflict-free Set Equivalence)**.** Let forest F be a forest and let $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F where $|X| = n$. Then defining the following forests:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_0 &:= F \\
F_i &:= (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(v_i, u_i)\}) \text{ for } (v_i, u_i) \in X \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
G_0 &:= F \\
G_j &:= (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(\rho_{G_{j-1}}(v_j), \rho_{G_{j-1}}(u_j))\}) \text{ for } (v_j, u_j) \in X \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F_n \cong G_n$.

Proof. Let forest F be a forest, $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F. We will show that $F_n \cong G_n$. We know that for some $(v_i, u_i) \in X$ then $v_i \neq y$ for all $(y, w) \in X \backslash \{(v_i, u_i)\}$ since otherwise (V, X) would not be a forest and X would not be a conflict-free set in F. So all edge set unions will only give a root v_i a new parent u_i once. So $\rho_{F_n}(v_i) = \rho_{F_n}(u_i)$ and $\rho_{G_n}(u_i) = \rho_{G_n}(v_i)$ hence v_i remains in the same tree in both F_n and G_n. Since this holds for all $(v_i, u_i) \in X$ it follows that all elements in V remains in the same tree in both F_n and G_n. Hence $F_n \cong G_n$. □

Proposition 1.9 (Ordered Edges Implies Acyclicity)**.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph where for all $(v, u) \in E$ it holds that $v < u$ for some strict total order $(V, <)$. Then G has no cycles.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph where for all $(u, v) \in E$ it holds that $u < v$ for some total order $(V, <)$. Let edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \in E$ where $m \geq 1$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ be some path in G. Since the edges are ordered it follows that:

$$v_0 < v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_{m-1} < v_m$$

Hence by transitivity of the total order it follows that $v_0 < v_m$. So $v_0 \neq v_m$ hence there are no cycles in G. □

Proposition 1.10 (Inverted Acyclic Graph is Acyclic)**.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed acyclic graph. Then the inverted graph $G' = (V, E')$ where $E' = \{(u, v) : (v, u) \in E\}$ is also acyclic.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed acyclic graph and $G' = (V, E')$ where $E' = \{(u, v) : (v, u) \in E\}$ is the inverted graph. Let edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \in E'$ where $m \geq 1$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ be some path in G'. By definition of E' it follows that there exists edges $e'_1, e'_2, \ldots, e'_m \in E$ where $e'_i = (v_i, v_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. If there was a cycle in G' then it would hold that $v_0 = v_m$. But since G is acyclic it follows that $v_0 \neq v_m$. Hence there are no cycles in G'. □

Algorithm 1.1 (Left Maximal Union)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $Z \subseteq \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in V \times V\}$ be a set of root pairs F and (V, Z) is an acyclic directed graph. The left maximal conflict-free set algorithm is defined as:

LeftMaximalUnion(F, Z)

1. $(V, E) \leftarrow F$
2. Let $X \subseteq Z$ where $\{v : (v, u) \in X\} = \{v : (v, u) \in Z\}$ and $|X| = |\{v : (v, u) \in Z\}|$
3. $E \leftarrow E \cup X$
4. `return` $((V, E), Z \backslash X)$

Proposition 1.11 (Left Maximal Union Correctness)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $Z \subseteq \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in V \times V\}$ be a set of root pairs F and (V, Z) is an acyclic directed graph. Then the left maximal conflict-free set algorithm returns a forest $F' = (V, E')$ and a conflict-free set $X \subseteq Z$ in F.

Proof. Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $Z \subseteq \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in V \times V\}$ be a set of root pairs F and (V, Z) is an acyclic directed graph. Since X is defined such that $\{v : (v, u) \in X\} = \{v : (v, u) \in Z\}$ and $|X| = |\{v : (v, u) \in Z\}|$ it follows that X is a conflict-free set in F since no vertex v appears more than once as a child in X i.e. (V, X) is a forest. By adding the edges in X to E it follows by proposition 1.7 that $F' = (V, E')$ is a forest where $E' = E \cup X$. □

Proposition 1.12 (Left Maximal Union Time Complexity)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a forest and let $Z \subseteq \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in V \times V\}$ be a set of root pairs F and (V, Z) is an acyclic directed graph. Then the left maximal conflict-free set algorithm runs in $O(|Z|)$ work and $O(\log |Z|)$ depth.

Proof. For step 1. it takes $O(1)$ work and $O(1)$ if we assume that E is only used once in this function. Step 2. can be implemented by a parallel sort on the first element of each pair in Z followed by a parallel filter that selects the first occurrence of each unique first element. This takes $O(|Z|)$ work using radix sort with a fixed key length and $O(\log |Z|)$ depth. Step 3. takes $O(|X|)$ work and $O(1)$ depth to add the edges in X to E. Step 4. takes $O(|Z|)$ work and $O(\log |Z|)$ depth to compute the set difference $Z \backslash X$ by a filter. Hence the total work is $O(|Z|)$ and the total depth is $O(\log |Z|)$. Hence the left maximal conflict-free set algorithm runs in $O(|Z|)$ work and $O(\log |Z|)$ depth. □

Proposition 1.13 (Acyclic Directed Graph Presevation)**.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed acyclic graph and let $F = (V, X)$ be a forest where $X \subseteq E$. Then the directed graph $G' = (V, E')$ where $E' = \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in E \backslash X \wedge \rho_F(v) \neq \rho_F(u)\}$ is also acyclic.

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed acyclic graph and let $F = (V, X)$ be a forest where $X \subseteq E$. Let q be a path $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \in E$ where $m \geq 1$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ be some path in G.

- If all edges $e_i \notin X$ then the path q exists in G' and does not form a cycle since G is acyclic.
- If q has some subpath $e_j, e_{j+1}, \ldots, e_k \in X$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq m$ where $(v_{j-1}, v_j) \in X$ then $\rho_F(v_{j-1}) = \rho_F(v_j)$ so it will not be in $E \backslash X$. Let $(v, u) \in E$ where $u = v_{j-1}$ or $u = v_j$ then $\rho_F(u) = v_k$ so the edge in G' will be $(\rho_F(v), v_k)$.
  - If $v \in \{w : (w, u) \in X\}$ then $\rho_F(v) = v_k$ so the edge is (v_k, v_k) which is a trivial cycle and since $\rho_F(v) \neq \rho_F(u)$ it will not be in E'.
  - If $v \notin \{w : (w, u) \in X\}$ then $\rho_F(v) \neq v_k$ and $(\rho_F(v), v_k)$ will be an edge in G'. And since the path $v \rightsquigarrow v_k$ in G does not form a cycle it follows that single edge from $(\rho_F(v), v_k) \in E'$ does not form a cycle either.

□

Algorithm 1.2 (Parallel Tree Union)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a tree and let $A \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs of elements in V that will be unioned in

parallel. The parallel tree union algorithm is defined as:

ParallelTreeUnion(F, A)

1. $Z_p \leftarrow \{(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) : (v, u) \in A \wedge \rho_F(v) \neq \rho_F(u)\}$
2. $Z_o \leftarrow \{(\min\{v, u\}, \max\{v, u\}) : (v, u) \in Z_p\}$
3. $(F_1, Z_1) \leftarrow \mathit{LeftMaximalUnion}(F, Z_o)$
4. $Z_q \leftarrow \{(\rho_{F_1}(v), \rho_{F_1}(u)) : (v, u) \in Z_1 \wedge \rho_{F_1}(v) \neq \rho_{F_1}(u)\}$
5. $Z_s \leftarrow \{(u, v) : (v, u) \in Z_q\}$
6. $(F_2, Z_2) \leftarrow \mathit{LeftMaximalUnion}(F_1, Z_s)$
7. `return` F_2

Proposition 1.14 (Parallel Tree Union Correctness)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a tree and let $A \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs of elements in V that will be unioned in parallel. Then the parallel tree union algorithm returns a forest $F' = (V, E')$ where for all $(v, u) \in A$ it holds that $v \sim_{F'} u$.

Proof. Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a tree and let $A \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs. At step 1. by definition of Z_p it holds that for all $(v, u) \in A$ then $(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u)) \in Z_p$ where $\rho_F(v) \neq \rho_F(u)$. In step 2. by proposition 1.9 it follows that (V, Z_o) is an acyclic directed graph since for all $(v, u) \in Z_o$ it holds that $v < u$. So in step 3. left maximal union can be performed and by proposition 1.11 it follows that F_1 is a forest and Z_1 is the remaining elements in Z_o that was not added to F_1. In step 4. by proposition 1.13 it follows that (V, Z_q) is an acyclic directed graph. In step 5. by proposition 1.10 it follows that (V, Z_s) is also an acyclic directed graph. So in step 6. left maximal union can be performed again. Hence F_2 is a forest being returned in step 7. and it fulfills that it has unioned some of the pairs in A.

It now remains to show that for all $(v, u) \in A$ where $\rho_F(v) \neq \rho_F(u)$ that every pair is unioned in F_2.

- If $(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u))$ was added to F_1 in step 3. then by definition of left maximal union all $\rho_F(v) \in \{w : (w, y) \in A\}$ will be given some parent $w \in V$ and since F_2 is formed by adding more edges to F_1 it follows that v has the same parent in F_2 as in F_1.

- If $(\rho_F(v), \rho_F(u))$ was not added to F_1 in step 3. then it is in Z_1. In step 6. we have the edge has become $(\rho_{F_1}(\rho_F(u)), \rho_{F_1}(\rho_F(v))) \in Z_s$ where $\rho_{F_1}(\rho_F(u)) \neq \rho_{F_1}(\rho_F(v))$. If $u \notin \{w : (w, y) \in A\}$ then $\rho_{F_1}(\rho_F(u)) = \rho_F(u)$ since it has not been given a parent in step 3. So remaining $u \in \{y : (w, y) \in A\}$ will be given a parent in step 6.

Hence $\{w : (w, y) \in A\} \cup \{y : (w, y) \in A\}$ covers all elements to be unioned in A and since all these elements are given some parent in either step 3. or step 6. if they do not introduce cycles, it follows that for all $(v, u) \in A$ it holds that $v \sim_{F'} u$ in $F' = F_2$. □

Proposition 1.15 (Parallel Tree Union Time Complexity)**.** Let forest $F = (V, E)$ be a tree and let $A \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs of elements in V that will be unioned in parallel. Then the parallel tree union algorithm runs in $O(|A|^2)$ work and $O(|V|)$ span.

Proof. Step 2 and 4 are upperbouneded by $O(|A|)$ work and $O(\log |A|)$ depth by proposition 1.12. Futhermore, step 1 and 5 can be implemented in $O(|A|)$ work and $O(1)$ depth by a parallel map. The limiting factor is step 3 and 6 where if we imagine we have a forest (V, E) where $V = \{v_1, ...v_n, u\}$ and $E = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), ...(v_{n-1}, v_n)\}$ and $A = \{(u, v_1), (u, v_2), ...(u, v_n)\}$. Then the total find operation will be $2\sum_{k=1}^{|A|} k = O(|A|^2)$ work since the tree is a linear chain and there are n union operations to be performed. Hence the total work is $O(|A|^2)$ and the total depth is $O(|V|)$ since the longest find operation takes $O(|V|)$ depth in the worst case. □

1.4 Union by Size and Rank

1.5 Parallel Union-Find Imrovements

2 Implementation

2.1 Interface

2.2 Union-find

```
type unionfind [n] = {parents: [n]handle}
```

```
def create (n: i64) : (*unionfind [n], [n]handle) =
  let hs = iota n
  in ({parents = rep none}, hs)
```

```
def find_by_vector [n] [u] (parents: *[n]handle) (hs: [
    u]handle) : (*[n]handle, [u]handle) =
  let ps =
    map (\h ->
           loop h
           while parents[h] != none do
             parents[h])
```

```
        hs
  in (parents, ps)

def find [n] [u] ({parents}: *unionfind [n]) (hs: [u]
    handle) : (*unionfind [n], [u]handle) =
  let (new_parents, ps) = find_by_vector parents hs
  in ({parents = new_parents}, ps)
```

```
def normalize_step [m] [n] (is: [m]handle) (parents: *[
    n]handle) (ps: [m]handle) : (*[n]handle, [m]handle)
    =
  let f h =
    if parents[h] == none
    then h
    else if parents[parents[h]] == none
    then parents[h]
    else parents[parents[h]]
  let ps' = map f ps
  let new_parents = scatter parents is ps'
  in (new_parents, ps')

def normalize [m] [n] (parents: *[n]handle) (is: [m]
    handle) : *[n]handle =
  let ps = is
  let (parents, _) =
    loop (parents, ps)
    for _i < 64 - i64.clz m do
      normalize_step is parents ps
  in parents
```

```
def find_eqs_root [n] [u] (parents: *[n]handle) (eqs: [
    u](handle, handle)) : ( *[n]handle, [u](handle,
    handle)) =
  let eqs_elems = unzip eqs |> uncurry (++)
  let (new_parents, new_eqs_elems) = find_by_vector
      parents eqs_elems
  let eqs = split new_eqs_elems |> uncurry zip
  in (new_parents, eqs)
```

```
def left_maximal_union [n] [u] (parents: *[n]handle) (
    eqs: [u](handle, handle)) : ?[m].(*[n]handle, [m](
    handle, handle)) =
```

```
  let (l, r) = unzip eqs
  let parents = reduce_by_index parents i64.min none l
      r
  let (eqs, done) =
    copy (partition (\(i, p) -> parents[i] != p) eqs)
  let parents = normalize parents (map (.0) done)
  in (parents, eqs)
```

```
def union [n] [u] ({parents}: *unionfind [n]) (eqs: [u
    ](handle, handle)) : *unionfind [n] =
  let (parents, eqs) = find_eqs_root parents eqs
  let eqs = map (\(a, b) -> if a < b then (a, b) else (
      b, a)) eqs
  let eqs = filter (\(a, b) -> a != b) eqs
  let (parents, eqs) = left_maximal_union parents eqs
  let (parents, eqs) = find_eqs_root parents eqs
  let eqs = map swap eqs |> filter (\(a, b) -> a != b)
  let (parents, _) = left_maximal_union parents eqs
  in {parents}
```

2.3 Union by Rank

2.4 Union by Size