University of Copenhagen

Union-Find

Author: William Henrich Due

1 Theory

Definition 1.1 (Reachability). A node v is reachable from a node u in a directed graph G = (V, E) if there exists a sequence of directed edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m \in E$ where $m \ge 1$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$ for $1 \le i \le m$, such that $v_0 = u$ and $v_m = v$. We denote this by $u \leadsto v$.

Definition 1.2 (Cycle). A cycle in a directed graph G = (V, E) has a cycle if there exists $v \in V$ such that $v \leadsto v$.

Definition 1.3 (Forest). A forest is a directed graph F = (V, E) where V is a set of vertices and $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of directed edges such that:

- 1. There are no cycles $v \not\rightsquigarrow v$ for all $v \in V$, and
- 2. each node has at most one parent i.e. for all $(u, v_1), (u, v_2) \in E$ it holds that $v_1 = v_2$.

Definition 1.4 (Root). A node $v \in V$ in a forest F = (V, E) is a root if it has no parent. This is defined as the predicate:

$$\mathcal{R}_F(v): v \not\rightsquigarrow u \text{ for all } u \in V$$

Definition 1.5 (Tree). A tree is a forest T = (V, E) where there exists a unique root $r \in V$ such that $v \leadsto r$ for all $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$.

Proposition 1.1 (Forest Root Count). A forest F = (V, E) where |V| = n and |E| = n - k has k roots.

Proof. Let F = (V, E) be a forest where |V| = n and |E| = n - k. By the second property of a forest then n - k vertices must have a parent. Since there are n vertices in total it follows that there are exactly k vertices $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k \in V$ that has no parent. Hence there are exactly k roots in F

Proposition 1.2 (Roots Path Exist). In a forest F = (V, E) for each element $v \in V$ there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and either $v \leadsto r$ or v = r.

Proof. Let F = (V, E) be a forest and let $v \in V$ be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.1 there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$. This can be shown by structural induction on a vertex $v \in V$ that any $p \in V$ such that $v \leadsto p$ has a path $p \leadsto r$.

- If v is a root then we are done.
- By induction hypothesis v has a path to a root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$. Since v is not a root it must have a parent $p \in V$ such that $(v, p) \in E$. Since $v \leadsto r$, $v \leadsto p$ and v only has one parent it follows that $p \leadsto r$. Hence $p \leadsto r$ for some root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$.

Proposition 1.3 (Forest Edge Limit). A forest F = (V, E) where |V| = n and |E| > n - 1 is not a forest.

Proof. Let F = (V, E) be a forest where |V| = n and |E| > n - 1. By proposition 1.1 a forest with n - 1 has exactly one root, so it is a tree. By adding one more edge to the tree it must make one vertex have two parents. Or since every vertex $v \in V$ has a path to the root $r \in V$ it must create a cycle $v \leadsto v$ for some $v \in V$. In both cases it contradicts the properties of a forest.

Definition 1.6 (Representative). The representative of an element $v \in V$ in a forest F = (V, E) is the root $r \in V$ such that there is a path from v to r. This is defined as the function:

$$\rho_F(v) := r \text{ where } r \in V \land \mathcal{R}_F(r) \land (v \leadsto r \lor v = r)$$

Proposition 1.4 (Unique Representative). In a forest F = (V, E) each element $v \in V$ has a unique representative $\rho_F(v)$.

Proof. Let F = (V, E) be a union-find structure and let $v \in V$ be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.2 there exists at least one root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and $v \leadsto r$. Now assume that there exists another root $r' \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r')$ and $v \leadsto r'$. Since F is a forest it follows by the second property of a forest that r = r' hence the representative is unique.

Definition 1.7 (Tree Set). The set of vertices of the same tree $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ in a forest F = (V, E) is defined as:

$$\mathcal{E}_F(v) := \{ u : u \in V \land \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v) \}$$

Definition 1.8 (Partition). The set $P \subseteq \mathbb{P}(S)$ is a partition of a set S if:

- 1. $a \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in P$
- 2. $a \cap b = \emptyset$ for all $a, b \in P$ where $a \neq b$

3.
$$\bigcup_{a \in P} a = S$$

Proposition 1.5 (Forest Partition). A forest F = (V, E) is a partition of V for the following set:

$$\{\mathcal{E}_F(v):v\in V\}$$

Proof. Let F = (V, E) be a forest. We will show that the set in the proposition is a partition of V by showing that it satisfies the three properties in definition 1.8.

- 1. By definition of $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ it can not be empty since for $\mathcal{E}_F(v)$ then $\rho_F(v) = \rho_F(v)$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_F(v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in V$.
- 2. Let a and b be two arbitrary elements in the set such that $a \neq b$. By definition of a and b there exists $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $a = \{u : u \in V \land \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v_1)\}$ and $b = \{u : u \in V \land \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v_2)\}$. Since $a \neq b$ it follows that $\rho_F(v_1) \neq \rho_F(v_2)$ since otherwise a = b, hence $a \cap b = \emptyset$.
- 3. Let v be an arbitrary element in V. By proposition 1.2 there exists a root $r \in V$ such that $\mathcal{R}_F(r)$ and $v \leadsto r$ or v = r. By definition of the representative it follows that $\rho_F(v) = r$. Now let $a = \{u : u \in V \land \rho_F(u) = \rho_F(v)\}$. By definition of a it follows that $v \in a$. Since v was arbitrary it follows that $\bigcup_{a \in P} a = V$.

Definition 1.9 (Same Tree Relation). The relation \sim_F on a forest F is defined as:

$$u \sim_F v : \iff u \in \mathcal{E}_F(v)$$

Corollary 1.1 (Same Tree Relation is an Equivalence Relation). The relation \sim_F on a forest F is an equivalence relation due to $\{\mathcal{E}_F(v):v\in V\}$ being a partition of V. by proposition 1.5.

Definition 1.10 (Forests with Equivalent Tree Sets). Two forests F = (V, E) and F' = (V', E') have equivalent tree sets $F \cong F'$ if:

- Vertices are the same V = V'.
- The tree sets are equivalent $\mathcal{E}_F(v) = \mathcal{E}'_F(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Definition 1.11 (Tree Union). The tree union of two elements v and u for a forest F = (V, E) is such that $v \sim_{F'} u$ in a new forest F' = (V', E') and F' satisfy the following properties:

- 1. $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(v) = \mathcal{E}_{F'}(u) = \mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u)$ and
- 2. $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(w) = \mathcal{E}_F(w)$ for all $w \in V \setminus (\mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u))$.

Proposition 1.6 (Tree Union). Let forest F = (V, E), $p = \rho_F(u)$ be the representative of u and let $q = \rho_F(v)$ be the representative of v where $q \neq p$. Then defined F' as:

$$F':=(V,E\cup\{(q,p)\})$$

Then $u \sim_{F'} v$ in F' and F' will satisfy the properties of a tree union.

Proof. Let F = (V, E), $p = \rho_F(u)$ be the representative of u and let $q = \rho_F(v)$ be the representative of v. By definition q will have parent p in F' and since q is a root it has no parent then F' is a forest. Now for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(q)$ it holds that $w \leadsto q$ or q = w and since $q \leadsto p$ it follows that $w \leadsto p$. Hence $w \in \mathcal{E}_{F'}(p)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(q)$ and trivially $w \in \mathcal{E}_{F'}(p)$ for all $w \in \mathcal{E}_F(p)$ so it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(v) = \mathcal{E}_{F'}(u) = \mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u)$. Now let $w \in V \setminus (\mathcal{E}_F(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_F(u))$ be an arbitrary element. Since $w \not\leadsto p$, $w \not\leadsto p$, $w \not\leadsto q$, and $w \not\leadsto q$ it follows that w has the same representative in F' as in F hence $\mathcal{E}_{F'}(w) = \mathcal{E}_F(w)$. \square

Definition 1.12 (Conflict-free Set). Let forest F be a forest, $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs of elements in V and $Y = \{(\rho_F(u), \rho_F(v)) : (u, v) \in X\}$. Then X is a conflict-free set in F if (V, Y) is a forest.

Proposition 1.7. Let forest F = (V, E) be a forest and let $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F where |X| = n. Then defining the following forests:

$$F_0 := F$$

$$F_i := (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(\rho_F(u_i), \rho_F(v_i))\}) \text{ for } (u_i, v_i) \in X \text{ and } 1 \le i \le n$$

Then F_n is a forest.

Proof. Let forest F = (V, E) be a forest, $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F and $Y = \{(\rho_F(u), \rho_F(v)) : (u, v) \in X\}$. We will show that F_n is a forest by induction on i.

• Base case: If i = 0 then $F_i = F_0 = F$ which is a forest.

• Induction hypothesis: Assume that F_{i-1} is a forest for all $1 \leq i < n$. Let $(y, w) \in Y$ be the pair corresponding to $(u_i, v_i) \in X$ such that $(\rho_F(u_i), \rho_F(v_i)) = (y, w) \in Y$. We know that $y \neq y'$ for all $(y', w') \in Y \setminus \{(y, w)\}$ since otherwise (V, Y) would not be a forest and X would not be a conflict-free set in F. So y will only have one parent in F_i since it only appears once as a child in Y. By definition all of the edges in Y consists of roots in F, and since (V, Y) is a forest there are no cycles $y \not\rightsquigarrow y$ for all $y \in V$ in F_i . Hence F_i is a forest.

Thus by induction F_n is a forest.

Proposition 1.8. Let forest F be a forest and let $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F where |X| = n. Then defining the following forests:

$$F_{0} := F$$

$$F_{i} := (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(\rho_{F}(u_{i}), \rho_{F}(v_{i}))\}) \text{ for } (u_{i}, v_{i}) \in X \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$G_{0} := F$$

$$G_{j} := (V, E_{i-1} \cup \{(\rho_{G_{j-1}}(u_{j}), \rho_{G_{j-1}}(v_{j}))\}) \text{ for } (u_{j}, v_{j}) \in X \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n$$
Then $F_{n} \cong G_{n}$.

Proof. Let forest F be a forest, $X \subseteq V \times V$ be a conflict-free set in F and $Y = \{(\rho_F(u), \rho_F(v)) : (u, v) \in X\}$. We will show that $F_n \cong G_n$. We know that for some $(y, w) \in Y$ then $y \neq y'$ for all $(y', w') \in Y \setminus \{(y, w)\}$ since otherwise (V, Y) would not be a forest and X would not be a conflict-free set in F. So all substitutions S will only give a root y a new parent w once. So $\rho_{F_n}(y) = \rho_{F_n}(w)$ and $\rho_{G_n}(y) = \rho_{G_n}(w)$ hence y remains in the same tree in both F_n and G_n . Since this holds for all $(y, w) \in Y$ it follows that all elements in V remains in the same tree in both F_n and G_n . Hence $F_n \cong G_n$. \square

Algorithm 1.1 (Conflict-free Tree Union). Let forest F be a tree and let $Z \subseteq V \times V$ be a set of pairs of elements in V that will be unioned in parallel. The conflict-free tree union algorithm is defined as:

while
$$Z \neq \emptyset$$
 do $E := \pi_2(F)$ pick conflict-free $X \subseteq Z$ parfor $(u,v) \in X$ do $E := E \cup \{(\rho_F(u),\rho_F(v))\}$ $F := (V,E)$ $Z := Z \backslash X$

Definition 1.13 (Union-Find Structure). The union-find structure U is a forest U=(V,E) where the vertices V=S for some set of elements S. The edges $E\subseteq V\times V$ represent parent relations between elements in S such that $(u,v)\in E$ means that u has parent v.