HOME > RL > PPO >



View code on Github

Proximal Policy Optimization - PPO

This is a <u>PyTorch</u> implementation of <u>Proximal Policy Optimization - PPO</u>.

PPO is a policy gradient method for reinforcement learning. Simple policy gradient methods do a single gradient update per sample (or a set of samples). Doing multiple gradient steps for a single sample causes problems because the policy deviates too much, producing a bad policy. PPO lets us do multiple gradient updates per sample by trying to keep the policy close to the policy that was used to sample data. It does so by clipping gradient flow if the updated policy is not close to the policy used to sample the data.

You can find an experiment that uses it here. The experiment uses Generalized Advantage Estimation.

```
Open in Colab
```

```
import torch
from labml_helpers.module import Module
from labml_nn.rl.ppo.gae import GAE
```

PPO Loss

Here's how the PPO update rule is derived.

We want to maximize policy reward

$$\max_{ heta} J(\pi_{ heta}) = \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi_{ heta}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t
ight]$$

where r is the reward, π is the policy, τ is a trajectory sampled from policy, and γ is the discount factor between [0,1].

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi heta} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t, a_t)
ight] = \ \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi heta} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Big(Q^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t, a_t) - V^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t) \Big)
ight] = \ \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi heta} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Big(r_t + V^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t) \Big)
ight] = \ \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi heta} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \Big(r_t \Big)
ight] - \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi heta} \left[V^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_0)
ight] = J(\pi_{ heta}) - J(\pi_{ heta_{OLD}}) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\max_{ heta} J(\pi_{ heta}) = \max_{ heta} \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi_{ heta}} \Bigg[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t, a_t) igg]$$

Define discounted-future state distribution,

$$d^\pi(s) = (1-\gamma)\sum_{t=0}^\infty \gamma^t P(s_t=s|\pi)$$

Then,

$$egin{aligned} J(\pi_{ heta}) - J(\pi_{ heta OLD}) &= \mathbb{E}_{ au \sim \pi_{ heta}} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s_t, a_t)
ight] \ &= rac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{ heta}}, a \sim \pi_{ heta}} \Big[A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s, a) \Big] \end{aligned}$$

Importance sampling a from $\pi_{\theta OLD}$,

$$egin{aligned} J(\pi_{ heta}) - J(\pi_{ heta OLD}) &= rac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi} heta\,, a \sim \pi_{ heta}} \Big[A^{\pi OLD}(s,a) \Big] \ &= rac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi} heta\,, a \sim \pi_{ heta OLD}} \left[rac{\pi_{ heta}(a|s)}{\pi_{ heta OLD}(a|s)} A^{\pi OLD}(s,a)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Then we assume $d^{\pi_{\theta}}(s)$ and $d^{\pi_{\theta OLD}}(s)$ are similar. The error we introduce to $J(\pi_{\theta}) - J(\pi_{\theta OLD})$ by this assumption is bound by the KL divergence between π_{θ} and $\pi_{\theta OLD}$. Constrained Policy Optimization shows the proof of this. I haven't read it.

$$egin{aligned} J(\pi_{ heta}) - J(\pi_{ heta OLD}) &= rac{1}{1-\gamma} \, \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\pi_{ heta}} \ a \sim \pi_{ heta OLD}}} \left[rac{\pi_{ heta}(a|s)}{\pi_{ heta OLD}(a|s)} A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s,a)
ight] \ &pprox rac{1}{1-\gamma} \, \mathbb{E}_{\substack{s \sim d^{\pi_{ heta} OLD} \ a \sim \pi_{ heta OLD}}} \left[rac{\pi_{ heta}(a|s)}{\pi_{ heta OLD}(a|s)} A^{\pi_{OLD}}(s,a)
ight] \ &= rac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathcal{L}^{CPI} \end{aligned}$$

34 class ClippedPPOLoss(Module):

Cliping the policy ratio

$$\mathcal{L}^{CLIP}(heta) = \mathbb{E}_{a_t, s_t \sim \pi_{ heta OLD}}igg[min \Big(r_t(heta)ar{A}_t, clip ig(r_t(heta), 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilonig)ar{A}_t\Big)igg]$$

The ratio is clipped to be close to 1. We take the minimum so that the gradient will only pull π_{θ} towards $\pi_{\theta OLD}$ if the ratio is not between $1-\epsilon$ and $1+\epsilon$. This keeps the KL divergence between π_{θ} and $\pi_{\theta OLD}$ constrained. Large deviation can cause performance collapse; where the policy performance drops and doesn't recover because we are sampling from a bad policy.

Using the normalized advantage $\bar{A}_t = \frac{\hat{A}_t - \mu(\hat{A}_t)}{\sigma(\hat{A}_t)}$ introduces a bias to the policy gradient estimator, but it reduces variance a lot.

Clipped Value Function Loss

Similarly we clip the value function update also.

$$egin{aligned} V_{CLIP}^{\pi heta}(s_t) &= clip \Big(V^{\pi heta}(s_t) - \hat{V}_t, -\epsilon, +\epsilon \Big) \ \mathcal{L}^{VF}(heta) &= rac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} igg[max \Big(ig(V^{\pi heta}(s_t) - R_t ig)^2, ig(V_{CLIP}^{\pi heta}(s_t) - R_t ig)^2 \Big) igg] \end{aligned}$$

Clipping makes sure the value function $V_{ heta}$ doesn't deviate significantly from $V_{ heta OLD}$.

182 class ClippedValueFunctionLoss(Module):

```
def forward(self, value: torch.Tensor, sampled_value: torch.Tensor, sampled_return: torch.Tenso
r, clip: float):
clipped_value = sampled_value + (value - sampled_value).clamp(min=-clip, max=clip)
vf_loss = torch.max((value - sampled_return) ** 2, (clipped_value - sampled_return) ** 2)
return 0.5 * vf_loss.mean()
```

labml.ai