

# On $SI_2$ -continuous Spaces

Shuzhen Luo<sup>1,2</sup>

*Faculty of Science  
Jiangxi University of Science and Technology  
Ganzhou, China*

*Department of Mathematics  
Sichuan University  
Chengdu, China*

Xiaoqu Xu<sup>3</sup>

*School of Mathematics and statistics  
Minnan Normal University  
Zhangzhou, China*

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we construct a new way below relation from any given  $T_0$  space by making use of the cut operator, and introduce the concepts of  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces and  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous spaces. The main results are: (1) a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous iff the set  $X$  equipped with the  $SI_2$ -topology  $\tau_{SI_2}$  is a C-space; (2) a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous iff  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a locally hypercompact space; (3) a space is  $SI_2$ -continuous iff it is a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous and  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

**Keywords:**  $SI_2$ -continuous space,  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space, meet  $SI_2$ -continuous space, irreducible set

---

## 1 Introduction

The theory of continuous domains, due to its strong background in computer science, general topology and logic, has been extensively studied by people from various areas (see [1,7,8]). An important direction in the study of continuous domains is to extend the theory of continuous domains to that of posets as much as possible, and a lot of work has been done in this area (see [10,11,12,13,14,16]), but it is still rather restrictive, taking into consideration only the case of existing a join. In

---

<sup>1</sup> Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11661057, 11661040), the Ganpo 555 project for leading talents of Jiangxi Province, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province (No. 20161BAB2061004) and the Foundation of Education Department of Jiangxi Province (No. GJJ160660)

<sup>2</sup> Email: [shuzhenluo@163.com](mailto:shuzhenluo@163.com)

<sup>3</sup> Email: [xixqu2002@163.com](mailto:xixqu2002@163.com)

[4,6], Ern  introduced  $s_2$ -continuous posets and precontinuous posets respectively by making use of the cut operator instead of joins. The notions of  $s_2$ -continuity and precontinuity generalize the important characterizations of continuity from dcpos to arbitrary posets and have the advantage that not even the existence of directed joins is required. Recently, based on Ern 's work, many interesting continuity of posets were investigated (see [17,21,22,23,24,25]).

As we all known, the non-empty irreducible subsets of a poset with respect to the Alexandroff topology are exactly the directed sets, and the Scott topology  $\sigma(P)$  on a poset  $P$  is defined by directed suprema, i.e.,  $U \in \sigma(P)$  if and only if  $U = \uparrow U$  and for any directed set  $D$ ,  $\bigvee D \in U$  implies  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $\bigvee D$  exists. In [20], Zhao and Ho gave a new method of deriving a new topology  $\tau_{SI}$  out of a given  $T_0$  space which makes use of the replacement of directed sets with irreducible sets, and proved that  $(X, \tau)$  is SI-continuous if and only if  $X$  equipped with irreducible-derived topology  $\tau_{SI}$  is a C-space. Furthermore, a deep study concerning the replacement of directed sets with irreducible sets can be found in [2,3].

Motivated by the works of Zhao and Ho, we continue to develop domain theory in  $T_0$  spaces. In this paper, we define a new way below relation in  $T_0$  spaces by making use of the cut operator and introduce the concept of  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces. It is proved that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous if and only if the set  $X$  equipped with the  $SI_2$ -topology  $\tau_{SI_2}$  is a C-space. As a common generalization of both  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces and quasicontinuous domains, we introduce the concept of  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous spaces and prove that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous if and only if  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a locally hypercompact space. Finally, we introduce the concept of meet  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces and prove that a space is  $SI_2$ -continuous if and only if it is a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous and  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

We would like to thank the referee for informing us that some properties of  $SI_2$ -topology are also presented in [18]. It is also proved that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous if and only if  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a C-space independently in [18].

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and notations needed in this paper; more detail can be found in [8,20]. For a poset  $P$ ,  $x \in P$  and  $A \subseteq P$ , let  $\downarrow x = \{y \in P : y \leq x\}$ ,  $\downarrow A = \bigcup \{\downarrow x : x \in A\}$ ;  $\uparrow x$  and  $\uparrow A$  are defined dually. A subset  $A$  is called an *upper set* if  $A = \uparrow A$  and a *lower set* is defined dually.  $A^\uparrow$  and  $A^\downarrow$  denote the sets of all upper and lower bounds of  $A$ , respectively. Let  $A^\delta = (A^\uparrow)^\downarrow$ . We put  $P^{(<\omega)} = \{F \subseteq P : F \text{ is finite}\}$  and  $\text{Fin}P = \{\uparrow F : F \in P^{(<\omega)}\}$ .

For a poset  $P$ , the topology generated by the collection of sets  $P \setminus \downarrow x$  (as subbasic open subsets) is called the *upper topology* and denoted by  $v(P)$ ; the *lower topology*  $\omega(P)$  on  $P$  is defined dually. The *Alexandroff topology*  $A(P)$  on a poset  $P$  is the topology consisting of all its upper subsets. A subset  $U$  of a poset  $P$  is called *Scott open* if  $U = \uparrow U$  and  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all directed sets  $D \subseteq P$  with  $\bigvee D \in U$  whenever  $\bigvee D$  exists. The topology formed by all the Scott open sets of  $P$  is called the *Scott topology*, written as  $\sigma(P)$ . For a poset  $P$  and  $x, y \in P$ , we say that  $x$  is way-below

$y$  and write  $x \ll y$  if for every directed set  $D \subseteq P$  with  $\vee D$  exists,  $x \leq \vee D$  implies  $x \leq d$  for some  $d \in D$ . A poset  $P$  is called *continuous* if  $\{y \in P : x \ll y\}$  is directed and  $x = \vee \{y \in P : x \ll y\}$  for each  $x \in P$ . We order the collection of nonempty subset of a poset  $P$  by  $G \leq H$  if  $H \subseteq \uparrow G$ . We say that a family of sets is directed if given  $F_1, F_2$  in the family, there exists  $F$  in the family such that  $F_1, F_2 \leq F$ , i.e.,  $F \subseteq \uparrow F_1 \cap \uparrow F_2$ .

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A non-empty subset  $F$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is *irreducible* if whenever  $F \subseteq A \cup B$  for closed sets  $A$  and  $B$ , then  $F \subseteq A$  or  $F \subseteq B$ . The set of all irreducible subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *C-space* if for any  $U \in \tau$ ,  $x \in U$ , there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_\tau \uparrow u$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *locally hypercompact* if for any  $x$  and any open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , there exists a finite set  $E$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_\tau \uparrow E \subseteq \uparrow E \subseteq U$ . Obviously, a C-space is locally hypercompact.

Give a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , denote the interior of a subset  $A \subseteq X$  by  $\text{int}_\tau A$  and the closure of  $A$  by  $\text{cl}_\tau A$ . For a  $T_0$  topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the *specialization order*  $\leq_\tau$  on  $(X, \tau)$  is defined by  $x \leq_\tau y$  if and only if  $x \in \text{cl}_\tau(y)$ . Unless otherwise stated, throughout the paper, whenever an order-theoretic concepts is mentioned, it is to be interpreted with respect to the specialization order on  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([7,15]) Let  $P$  be a poset and  $x, y \in P$ .

- (1) Define a relation  $\prec$  on  $P$  by  $x \prec y$  iff  $y \in \text{int}_{v(P)} \uparrow x$ .
- (2)  $P$  is called *hypercontinuous* if for all  $x \in P$ ,  $x = \bigvee \{u \in P : u \prec x\}$  and  $\{u \in P : u \prec x\}$  is directed.
- (3)  $P$  is called *quasi-hypercontinuous* if for all  $x \in P$  and  $U \in v(P)$  with  $x \in U$ , there exists  $H \in P^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{v(P)} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow H \subseteq U$ .

it is proven in [5,15] that a poset  $P$  is hypercontinuous if and only if for all  $x \in P$  and  $U \in v(P)$  with  $x \in U$ , there exists  $y \in P$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{v(P)} \uparrow y \subseteq \uparrow y \subseteq U$ , that is, a poset  $P$  is hypercontinuous iff  $P$  equipped with the upper topology  $v(P)$  is a C-space.

**Definition 2.2** ([4]) Let  $P$  be a poset.

- (1) For any  $x, y \in P$ , define  $\ll_2$  on  $P$  by  $x \ll_2 y$  if for all directed sets  $D \subseteq P$  with  $y \in D^\delta$ , there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $x \leq d$ . The set  $\{y \in P : y \ll_2 x\}$  will be denoted by  $\Downarrow x$  and  $\{y \in P : x \ll_2 y\}$  denoted by  $\Uparrow x$ .
- (2)  $P$  is called *s<sub>2</sub>-continuous* if for all  $x \in P$ ,  $x \in (\Downarrow x)^\delta$  and  $\Downarrow x$  is directed.

**Definition 2.3** ([4,5]) Let  $P$  be a poset. A subset  $U \subseteq P$  is called weak Scott open if it satisfies

- (1)  $U = \uparrow U$ ;
- (2) for all directed sets  $D \subseteq P$ ,  $D^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$  implies  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

The collection of all weak Scott open subsets of  $P$  forms a topology. This topology will be called the weak Scott topology of  $P$  and will be denoted by  $\sigma_2(P)$ . Obviously,  $\sigma_2(P) \subseteq \sigma(P)$  and  $\sigma_2(P) = \sigma(P)$  if  $P$  is a dcpo.

**Theorem 2.4** ([4]) *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is  $s_2$ -continuous;
- (2)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is a  $C$ -space.

**Definition 2.5** ([21]) *Let  $P$  be a poset and  $G, H \subseteq P$ .*

- (1) Define  $G \ll_2 H$  if for all directed sets  $D \subseteq P$ ,  $\uparrow H \cap D^\delta \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\uparrow G \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . We write  $G \ll_2 x$  for  $G \ll_2 \{x\}$  and  $y \ll_2 H$  for  $\{y\} \ll_2 H$ .
- (2)  $P$  is called  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous if for each  $x \in P$ ,  $w(x) = \{F \subseteq P : F \in P^{(<\omega)} \text{ and } F \ll_2 x\}$  is directed and  $\uparrow x = \bigcap \{\uparrow F : F \in w(x)\}$ .

**Theorem 2.6** ([21]) *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous;
- (2)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is locally hypercompact.

**Definition 2.7** ([20]) *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called  $SI$ -open if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $U \in \tau$ ;
- (2) For any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$ ,  $\vee F \in U$  implies  $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $\vee F$  exists.

The collection of all  $SI$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\tau_{SI}$ . Obviously,  $\tau_{SI} \subseteq \tau$ .

**Definition 2.8** ([20]) *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space.*

- (1) Define  $x \ll_{SI} y$  if for any irreducible set,  $y \leq \vee F$  implies  $x \in \downarrow F$  whenever  $\vee F$  exists. Denote the set  $\{x \in X : x \ll_{SI} a\}$  by  $\downarrow_{SI} a$  and the set  $\{x \in X : a \ll_{SI} x\}$  by  $\uparrow_{SI} a$ .
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $SI$ -continuous if for any  $x \in X$ , the following conditions hold:
  - (i)  $\uparrow_{SI} x$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
  - (ii)  $\downarrow_{SI} x$  is directed and  $x = \vee \downarrow_{SI} x$ .

It is proven in [2] that the condition "directed" in Definition 2.8(2) can be replaced by "irreducible" condition and one still has an equivalent continuous.

**Theorem 2.9** ([20]) *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau_{SI})$  is a  $C$ -space;
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI$ -continuous.

**Lemma 2.10** ([9]) (*Order Rudin Lemma*) *Let  $P$  be a preorder and  $\mathcal{F}$  a directed family of finitary upper sets of  $P$ . Any lower set  $L$  that meets all members of  $\mathcal{F}$  has a directed lower subset  $D$  that still meets all members of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

### 3 $SI_2$ -continuous spaces

In this section, we introduce a new concept of  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces and prove that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous if and only if the set  $X$  equipped with the  $SI_2$ -topology  $\tau_{SI_2}$  is a C-space.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space and  $x, y \in X$ .

- (1) Define  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$  if for any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$ ,  $y \in F^\delta$  implies  $x \in \downarrow F$ . Denote the set  $\{x \in X : x \ll_{SI_2} a\}$  by  $\downarrow_{SI_2} a$ , and the set  $\{x \in X : a \ll_{SI_2} x\}$  by  $\uparrow_{SI_2} a$ .
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $SI_2$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$ , the following conditions hold:
  - (i)  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
  - (ii)  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed and  $x = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ .

In fact, we have  $x = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} x$  iff  $x \in (\downarrow_{SI_2} x)^\delta$  since  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x \subseteq \downarrow x$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space and  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Then

- (1) If  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ , then  $x \leq y$ .
- (2) If  $u \leq x \ll_{SI_2} y \leq v$ , then  $u \ll_{SI_2} v$ .
- (3) If a smallest element  $\perp$  exists, then  $\perp \ll_{SI_2} x$ .
- (4)  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$  implies  $x \ll_{SI} y$ .
- (5) If  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -continuous space, then  $x \ll_{SI} y \Leftrightarrow x \ll_{SI_2} y$ .
- (6) If  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -continuous space, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI$ -continuous.

**Proof.** The conditions (1)-(4) are straightforward.

(5) Suppose  $x \ll_{SI} y$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $y = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} y$  and  $\downarrow_{SI_2} y$  is directed. Since every directed set is irreducible, by the definition of  $\ll_{SI}$ , we have that  $x \in \downarrow (\downarrow_{SI_2} y) = \downarrow_{SI_2} y$ . Thus  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ .

(6) It is straightforward from (5) □

**Definition 3.3** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is called  $SI_2$ -open if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $U \in \tau$ ;
- (2) For any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$ ,  $F^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$  implies  $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

The collection of all  $SI_2$ -open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  forms a topology. This topology will be called  $SI_2$ -topology and denoted by  $\tau_{SI_2}$ . The complement of an  $SI_2$ -open set is called  $SI_2$ -closed. Recall that an upper set  $F$  in a poset  $P$  is a filter if every finite subset of  $F$  has a lower bounded in  $F$ . Let  $SOFilt_\tau(X)$  denote the collection of all  $SI_2$ -open filters in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 3.4** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Then the following conditions hold.

- (1) For any  $x \in X$ ,  $cl_\tau\{x\} = cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}\{x\}$ .
- (2) The specialization orders of spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  coincide.

- (3) A closed set  $C$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -closed if and only if for any  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ ,  $F \subseteq C$  implies  $F^\delta \subseteq C$ .
- (4) An open set  $U$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -open if and only if for any  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ ,  $F^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$  implies  $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .
- (5)  $\tau_{SI_2} \subseteq \tau_{SI} \subseteq \tau$ .
- (6) A set  $U$  is clopen in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if it is clopen in  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$ .
- (7)  $U$  is co-prime in  $\tau_{SI_2}$  if and only if  $U \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$ .
- (8) If  $y \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x$ , then  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ .

**Proof.** The conditions (1)-(5) are easy to obtained.

(6) Obviously, if  $U$  is clopen in  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$ , then  $U$  is clopen in  $(X, \tau)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $U$  is a non-trivial clopen set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$  with  $U \cap F^\delta \neq \emptyset$ . If  $U \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $F \subseteq X \setminus U$ . Since  $X \setminus U$  is clopen,  $F^\delta \subseteq X \setminus U$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . So  $U$  is  $SI_2$ -open. Similarly, we can deduce that  $X \setminus U$  is  $SI_2$ -open.

(7) Let  $U$  is co-prime in  $\tau_{SI_2}$ . It suffices to show that  $U$  is a filter. Suppose  $x, y \in U$ . Then  $X \setminus \downarrow x$  and  $X \setminus \downarrow y$  are  $SI_2$ -open and  $U \not\subseteq (X \setminus \downarrow x) \cup (X \setminus \downarrow y) = X \setminus (\downarrow x \cap \downarrow y)$  since  $U$  is co-prime in  $\tau_{SI_2}$ . So there exists  $z \in U$  such that  $z \notin X \setminus (\downarrow x \cap \downarrow y)$ , that is,  $z \leq x, y$ . Thus  $U$  is a filter. Conversely, suppose that  $U$  is not a co-prime in  $\tau_{SI_2}$ , then there exist  $V, W \in \tau_{SI_2}$  such that  $U \subseteq V \cup W$  with  $U \not\subseteq V$  and  $U \not\subseteq W$ . Choose  $x \in U \setminus V$  and  $y \in U \setminus W$ . Since  $U$  is a filter, there is a  $z \in U$  such that  $z \leq x$  and  $z \leq y$ . Then we have  $z \notin V \cup W$ , a contradiction. Hence, (7) holds.

(8) Let  $y \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x$  and  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ . If  $y \in F^\delta$ , then  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x \cap F^\delta \neq \emptyset$ . By Definition 3.3,  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \in \downarrow F$  and  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a locally hypercompact space. If  $A$  is an irreducible set in  $(X, \tau)$ , then there exists a directed subset  $D \subseteq \downarrow A$  such that  $D^\uparrow = A^\uparrow$ . Furthermore, we have  $D^\delta = A^\delta$ ,  $\text{cl}_\tau D = \text{cl}_\tau A$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ . Consider the collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{\uparrow F \in \text{Fin}P : A \cap \text{int}_\tau \uparrow F \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let  $H, G \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\text{int}_\tau(\uparrow H) \cap \text{int}_\tau(\uparrow G) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  since  $A$  is irreducible. Pick  $x$  in this intersection. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is locally hypercompact, there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_\tau(\uparrow E) \subseteq \uparrow E \subseteq \text{int}_\tau(\uparrow H) \cap \text{int}_\tau(\uparrow G)$ . Then  $\uparrow E \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $E \subseteq \uparrow H \cap \uparrow G$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is directed and  $\uparrow F \cap \downarrow A \neq \emptyset$  for any  $\uparrow F \in \mathcal{F}$ . By Lemma 2.10, there is a directed set  $D \subseteq \downarrow A$  such that  $D \cap \uparrow F \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\uparrow F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Obviously,  $A^\uparrow \subseteq D^\uparrow$  since  $D \subseteq \downarrow A$ . Let  $y$  be an upper bound of  $D$ . Assume that  $y \notin A^\uparrow$ , then there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $x \not\leq y$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a locally hypercompact space and  $x \in X \setminus \downarrow y \in \tau$ , there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_\tau \uparrow F \subseteq \uparrow F \subseteq X \setminus \downarrow y$ . Thus  $\uparrow F \in \mathcal{F}$ . So  $D \cap \uparrow F \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $D \cap (X \setminus \downarrow y) \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there is a  $d \in D$  such that  $d \not\leq y$ , a contradiction. Hence  $D^\uparrow \subseteq A^\uparrow$ . Therefore,  $D^\uparrow = A^\uparrow$ , then we have  $D^\delta = A^\delta$ .

Now we show that  $\text{cl}_\tau A = \text{cl}_\tau D$ . Obviously,  $\text{cl}_\tau D \subseteq \text{cl}_\tau A$ . Let  $x \in \text{cl}_\tau A$ ,  $U \in \tau$  and  $x \in U$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is locally hypercompact, there exists  $G \in P^{(<\omega)}$  such that

$x \in \text{int}_\tau \uparrow G \subseteq \uparrow G \subseteq U$ . Note that  $x \in \text{cl}_\tau A$ , thus  $A \cap \text{int}_\tau \uparrow G \neq \emptyset$ . So  $\uparrow G \in \mathcal{F}$ , which implies  $D \cap \uparrow G \neq \emptyset$  and  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \in \text{cl}_\tau D$ . Therefore  $\text{cl}_\tau D = \text{cl}_\tau A$ .  $\square$

Since a C-space is locally hypercompact, by Lemma 3.5, we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.6** ([20]) *If  $F$  is an irreducible subset of a C-space  $(X, \tau)$ , then there is a directed subset  $D \subseteq \downarrow F$  such that  $D^\uparrow = F^\uparrow$ . In particular,  $\vee D = \vee F$ , if either exists.*

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions hold.*

- (1)  $v(P)_{SI_2} = v(P)_{SI} = v(P)$ .
- (2)  $A(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ .
- (3) *If  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -continuous poset, then  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)_{SI} = \sigma_2(P)$ .*

**Proof.** (1) By Proposition 3.4(5),  $v(P)_{SI_2} \subseteq v(P)_{SI} \subseteq v(P)$ . For any  $x \in P$ , let  $F$  be an irreducible set with  $F^\delta \cap (P \setminus \downarrow x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $z \in F^\delta$  such that  $z \not\leq x$ . Suppose  $F \cap (P \setminus \downarrow x) = \emptyset$ . Then  $x \in F^\uparrow$ , so  $z \leq x$ , a contradiction. Hence (1) holds as desired.

(2) Because a non-empty subset  $F \subseteq P$  is irreducible with respect to the Alexandoff topology  $A(P)$  iff it is a directed set.

(3) Obviously,  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} \subseteq \sigma_2(P)_{SI} \subseteq \sigma_2(P)$ . Let  $P$  be an  $s_2$ -continuous poset. By Theorem 2.4,  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is a C-space. Let  $U \in \sigma_2(P)$  and  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$ . If  $F^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , by Lemma 3.6, there is a directed set  $D \subseteq \downarrow F$  such that  $D^\delta = F^\delta$ . Thus we have that  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $U \in \sigma_2(P)_{SI_2}$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)_{SI} = \sigma_2(P)$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that an SI-open set need not be  $SI_2$ -open.

**Example 3.8** ([4]) Consider three disjoint countable sets  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}_0\}$ ,  $B = \{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}_0\}$ ,  $C = \{c_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , and the order  $\leq$  on  $P = A \cup B \cup C$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \downarrow a_0 &= \{a_0\} \cup B, \\ \downarrow a_n &= \{b_m : m < n\} (n \in \mathbf{N}, n \neq 1, 2), \\ \downarrow a_1 &= \{b_0\} \cup C, \\ \downarrow a_2 &= \{b_0, b_1\} \cup C, \\ \downarrow b_n &= \{b_n\} (n \in \mathbf{N}_0), \\ \downarrow c_n &= \{c_m : m \leq n\} (n \in \mathbf{N}), \\ x \leq y &\Leftrightarrow x \in \downarrow y. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\uparrow b_0$  is open in  $\sigma(P)$  but not in  $\sigma_2(P)$  since  $C = \{c_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a directed lower set with  $b_0 \in C^\delta \cap \uparrow b_0 \neq \emptyset$  while  $C \cap \uparrow b_0 = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\uparrow b_0 \in A(P)_{SI} = \sigma(P)$ , but  $\uparrow b_0 \notin A(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ . Therefore,  $\uparrow b_0$  is an SI-open set but not  $SI_2$ -open in  $(P, A(P))$ .

The following theorem exhibits an important property of relation  $\ll_{SI_2}$  on  $SI_2$ -continuous spaces, i.e., the interpolation property.

**Theorem 3.9** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $SI_2$ -continuous. Then the following conditions hold.

- (1) The relation  $\ll_{SI_2}$  satisfies interpolation property, i.e.,  $x \ll_{SI_2} z$  implies  $x \ll_{SI_2} y \ll_{SI_2} z$  for some  $y \in X$ .
- (2) If  $x \ll_{SI_2} z$  and  $z \in F^\delta$  for an irreducible set  $F$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$  for some element  $y \in F$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $x \ll_{SI_2} z$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $z \in (\downarrow_{SI_2} z)^\delta \subseteq (\bigcup\{\downarrow_{SI_2} y : y \in \downarrow_{SI_2} z\})^\delta = (\bigcup\{\downarrow_{SI_2} y : y \in \downarrow_{SI_2} z\})^\delta$ . As the union of a directed family of directed sets is directed,  $\bigcup\{\downarrow_{SI_2} y : y \in \downarrow_{SI_2} z\}$  is directed. By the definition of  $\ll_{SI_2}$ , there exist  $y \in \downarrow_{SI_2} z$  and  $w \in \downarrow_{SI_2} y$  such that  $x \leq w$ . Thus  $x \ll_{SI_2} y \ll_{SI_2} z$ .

(2) It is straightforward from (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10** If a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous, then all sets  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  for  $x \in X$  are  $SI_2$ -open.

**Proof.** Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \in \tau$ . Let  $F$  be an irreducible subset with  $F^\delta \cap \uparrow_{SI_2} x \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $z \in F^\delta$  such that  $x \ll_{SI_2} z$ . By Theorem 3.9(2), there is a  $y \in F$  such that  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ . Thus  $F \cap \uparrow_{SI_2} x \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  is  $SI_2$ -open.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $SI_2$ -continuous space and  $x \in X$ . Then

- (1) An upper set  $U$  is  $SI_2$ -open iff for every  $x \in U$ , there is a  $u \in U$  such that  $u \ll_{SI_2} x$ .
- (2) The sets of the form  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x, x \in X$  form a basis for the  $SI_2$ -topology.
- (3)  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x = \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ .
- (4) For any subset  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} A = \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} u : \uparrow_{SI_2} u \subseteq A\}$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $U$  be an  $SI_2$ -open and  $x \in U$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $x \in (\downarrow_{SI_2} x)^\delta$  and  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed. Thus  $U \cap (\downarrow_{SI_2} x)^\delta \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $u \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Conversely, if for any  $x \in U$ , there is a  $u \in U$  such that  $u \ll_{SI_2} x$ , then  $U = \{\uparrow_{SI_2} u : u \in U\}$ , which is  $SI_2$ -open by Lemma 3.10. Thus  $U$  is  $SI_2$ -open.

(2) It is immediate consequence of (1).

(3) By Proposition 3.4(8),  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ . By Lemma 3.10,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  is  $SI_2$ -open and  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \subseteq \uparrow x$ . Thus  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow x = \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ .

(4) This follows directly from (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12** In an  $SI_2$ -continuous space  $(X, \tau)$  the following hold.

- (1) If  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ , then there is an  $SI_2$ -open filter  $U$  with  $y \in U \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ .
- (2) If  $y \not\leq z$ , then there is an  $SI_2$ -open filter  $U$  containing  $y$  but not  $z$ .

**Proof.** (1) By the interpolation property, we construct inductively a decreasing sequence of elements  $y_n$  with  $x \ll_{SI_2} \dots \ll_{SI_2} y_n \ll_{SI_2} y_{n-1} \ll_{SI_2} \dots \ll_{SI_2} y_1 = y$ . Set  $U = \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} y_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Clearly,  $y \in U$  and  $U \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ . Now we show that  $U$  is an  $SI_2$ -open filter. Clearly,  $U$  is an upper set. If  $x_1, x_2 \in U$ , then there



are  $y_{n_1}, y_{n_2}$  such that  $x_1 \in \uparrow_{SI_2} y_{n_1}, x_2 \in \uparrow_{SI_2} y_{n_2}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $y_{n_2} \ll_{SI_2} y_{n_1} \ll_{SI_2} x_1$ . Thus  $y_{n_2} \leq x_1, x_2$ . Note that  $y_{n_2} \in U$ . Thus  $U$  is a filter. It is from Proposition 3.11 that  $U$  is  $SI_2$ -open.

(2) Suppose that  $y \not\leq z$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $y = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} y$ . It follows that  $z \notin (\downarrow_{SI_2} y)^\uparrow$ . Thus there exists  $x \in \downarrow_{SI_2} y$  such that  $x \not\leq z$ . By the condition (1), there is an  $SI_2$ -open filter  $U$  such that  $y \in U \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ , but  $z \notin U$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous;
- (2) each  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  is  $SI_2$ -open, and if  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ , then  $U = \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} x : x \in U\}$ ;
- (3)  $\text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  is a basis of  $\tau_{SI_2}$  and  $(\tau_{SI_2}, \subseteq)$  is a continuous lattice;
- (4)  $\tau_{SI_2}$  has enough co-primes and  $(\tau_{SI_2}, \subseteq)$  is a continuous lattices;
- (5)  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a C-space.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By Lemma 3.10 and Proposition 3.11.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Obviously,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \in \tau$  is open. By Proposition 3.11 and Lemma 3.12,  $\text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  is a basis of  $\tau_{SI_2}$ . In order to prove the continuity of  $\tau_{SI_2}$ , let  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . For any  $x \in U$ , there is a  $y \in U$  such that  $y \ll_{SI_2} x$  by (2). Then  $x \in \uparrow_{SI_2} y \in \tau_{SI_2}$ , and we claim that  $\uparrow_{SI_2} y \ll U$ . Indeed, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a directed family of  $SI_2$ -open sets with  $U \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{D}$ , then there exists  $W \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $y \in W$ . Thus  $\uparrow_{SI_2} y \subseteq \uparrow y \subseteq W$ . Thus  $U = \bigcup \{V : V \ll U\}$ .

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) Consequence of Proposition 3.4(7).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (5) Let  $x \in U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . Since  $(\tau_{SI_2}, \subseteq)$  is continuous, there exists  $V \in \tau_{SI_2}$  such that  $x \in V \ll U$ . Since  $\text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  is a basis of  $\tau_{SI_2}$ , there exists  $F \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq V$ . Now we show that there exists  $y \in U$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq \uparrow y$ . If not, then for any  $y \in U$ ,  $F \not\subseteq \uparrow y$ . Thus  $y \in X \setminus \downarrow z_y$  for some  $z_y \in F$ , and thus there exists  $F_y \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  such that  $y \in F_y \subseteq X \setminus \downarrow z_y$ . Hence  $U \subseteq \bigcup \{F_y : y \in U\}$ . Since  $V \ll U$ , there exists a finite set  $\{y_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $V \subseteq \bigcup \{F_{y_i} \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $z_{y_i} = z_i$ . Then  $z_i \in F$ . Since  $F$  is a filter, there exists  $z \in F$  such that  $z \leq z_i$  for all  $i$ . Notice that  $z \in F \subseteq V \subseteq \bigcup \{F_{y_i} \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then there is a  $F_{y_k} \in \text{SOFilt}_\tau(X)$  such that  $z \in F_k \subseteq X \setminus \downarrow z_k$ , which contradicts  $z \leq z_i$ . Thus there exists  $y \in U$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq \uparrow y$ . Since  $F$  is  $SI_2$ -open,  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow y \subseteq \uparrow y \subseteq U$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a C-space.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  be a C-space. For any  $x \in X$ , let  $D_x = \{y \in X : x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow y\}$ . By Proposition 3.4(8),  $D_x \subseteq \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ . First we show that  $D_x$  is directed and  $x = \vee D_x$ . For any  $d_1, d_2 \in D_x$ ,  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_1 \cap \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_2 \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a C-space, there is a  $d \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_1 \cap \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_2$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d \subseteq \uparrow d \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_1 \cap \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow d_2 \subseteq \uparrow d_1 \cap \uparrow d_2$ . It follows that  $d \in D_x$  and  $d_1, d_2 \leq d$ . Thus  $D_x$  is directed. Obviously,  $x$  is an upper bound of  $D_x$ . Let  $y$  be any upper bound of  $D_x$  and  $P = (X, \leq_\tau)$ . If  $x \not\leq y$ , then  $x \in P \setminus \downarrow y \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a C-space, there is a  $w \in P$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow w \subseteq \uparrow w \subseteq P \setminus \downarrow y$ . Thus  $w \in D_x$  and  $w \not\leq y$ , contradicting to the assumption that  $y$  is an upper bound

of  $D_x$ . Thus  $x = \vee D_x$ .

Since  $D_x \subseteq \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ , we have  $x = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ . Now we show that  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed. For any  $y_1, y_2 \in \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ ,  $y_1 \ll_{SI_2} x, y_2 \ll_{SI_2} x$ . By the definition of  $\ll_{SI_2}$  and  $x \in D_x^\delta$ , there are  $d_1, d_2 \in D_x$  such that  $y_1 \leq d_1, y_2 \leq d_2$ . Since  $D_x$  is directed, there exists  $d \in D_x$  such that  $d_1, d_2 \leq d$ . Thus  $y_1, y_2 \leq d$ . Note that  $D_x \subseteq \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ , so  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed.

Finally, we can directly check that  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x = \bigcup_{z \in \uparrow x} \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ . In fact, for any  $y \in \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ ,  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ . From the above argument we can see that  $y \in D_y^\delta$  and  $D_y$  is directed, so there is a  $z \in D_y$  such that  $x \leq z$ . Follows from the definition of  $D_y$ , we have  $y \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow z$  and  $z \in \uparrow x$ . Thus  $y \in \bigcup_{z \in \uparrow x} \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ , i.e.,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in \uparrow x} \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ . To prove the inverse inclusion, let  $y \in \bigcup_{z \in \uparrow x} \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ . Then there is a  $z \in \uparrow x$  such that  $y \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ , so  $y \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow x)$ . By Proposition 3.4(8),  $x \ll_{SI_2} y$ , i.e.,  $y \in \uparrow_{SI_2} x$ . Thus  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x = \bigcup_{z \in \uparrow x} \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\uparrow z)$ . Therefore,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . All there show that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous.  $\square$

By Theorem 2.9, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.13, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.14** *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is hypercontinuous;
- (2)  $(P, v(P))$  is  $SI$ -continuous;
- (3)  $(P, v(P))$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous.

**Corollary 3.15** *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -continuous poset;
- (2)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is a C-space;
- (3)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous ;
- (4)  $(P, A(P))$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) By Theorem 2.4.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  be a C-space. By Lemma 3.7,  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ . Thus we have that  $(P, \sigma_2(P)_{SI_2})$  is a C-space. From Theorem 3.13, it follows that  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous, then for any  $x \in P$ ,  $x \in (\downarrow_{SI_2} x)^\delta$  and  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed. Note that  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x \subseteq \{y \in P : y \ll_2 x\}$ . Thus  $P$  is  $s_2$ -continuous.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) From Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.13, it follows that the condition (3) and (4) are equivalent.  $\square$

The following example shows that an  $SI$ -continuous space need not be  $SI_2$ -continuous.

**Example 3.16** ([4]) Consider the Euclidean plane  $P = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  under the usual order, then  $P$  is a continuous poset, so  $(P, \sigma(P))$  is a C-space, which implies that  $(P, A(P))$  is an  $SI$ -continuous space (By Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 6.4 in [20]). Because every lower half-plane

$$E_a = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} : y \leq a\}$$

is a directed lower set with  $E_a^\delta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , while  $\bigcap \{E_a : a \in \mathbb{R}\} = \emptyset$ , thus  $\ll$  is empty. Hence  $P$  is not  $s_2$ -continuous. By Corollary 3.15,  $(P, A(P))$  is not an  $SI_2$ -continuous space.

## 4 $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous spaces

In this section, we introduce the concept of  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous spaces and prove that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous if and only if  $(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a locally hypercompact space.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space and  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$ .  $G, H \subseteq X$ . Define  $G \ll_{SI_2} H$  if  $\uparrow H \cap F^\delta \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\uparrow G \cap F \neq \emptyset$ .

Write  $G \ll_{SI_2} x$  for  $G \ll_{SI_2} \{x\}$  and  $y \ll_{SI_2} H$  for  $\{y\} \ll_{SI_2} H$ . The set  $\{x \in X : G \ll_{SI_2} x\}$  will be denoted by  $\uparrow_{SI_2} G$  and  $\{x \in X : x \ll_{SI_2} H\}$  denoted by  $\downarrow_{SI_2} H$ . Let  $\text{fin}(x) = \{E \in X^{(<\omega)} : E \ll_{SI_2} x\}$ .

**Definition 4.2** A  $T_0$  space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous if for any  $x \in X$ , the following conditions hold:

- (1) for any  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$ ,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ ;
- (2)  $\text{fin}(x)$  is directed;
- (3)  $\uparrow x = \bigcap \{\uparrow E : E \in \text{fin}(x)\}$ .

**Remark 4.3** It is verify that the condition (3) in above definition is equivalent to (3') for any  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \not\leq y$ , then there exists  $E \in \text{fin}(x)$  such that  $y \not\leq \uparrow E$ .

It is easy to get the following proposition and we omit the proof.

**Proposition 4.4** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space and  $G, H \subseteq X$ . Then

- (1)  $G \ll_{SI_2} H$  iff  $G \ll_{SI_2} x$  for all  $x \in H$ .
- (2)  $G \ll_{SI_2} H \Rightarrow G \leq H$ .
- (3)  $A \leq G \ll_{SI_2} H \leq B \Rightarrow A \ll_{SI_2} B$ .
- (4) If  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H$ , then  $H \ll_{SI_2} x$ .

**Lemma 4.5** ([8]) (Rudin's Lemma) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a directed family of nonempty finite subsets of a poset  $P$ . Then there exists a directed set  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  such that  $D \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 4.6** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a directed family of nonempty finite sets in a  $T_0$  space. If  $G \ll_{SI_2} x$  and  $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow x$ , then  $H \subseteq \uparrow G$  for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then the collection  $\{H \setminus \uparrow G : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a directed family of nonempty finite sets. By Lemma 4.5, there exists a directed set  $D \subseteq \bigcup \{H \setminus \uparrow G : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  such that  $D \cap (H \setminus \uparrow G) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $D^\uparrow \subseteq \bigcap_{d \in D} \uparrow d \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow (H \setminus \uparrow G) \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow x$ . Thus  $x \in D^\delta$ . Since every directed

set is irreducible and  $G \ll_{SI_2} x$ , there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $d \in \uparrow G$ . But this contradicts  $d \in H \setminus \uparrow G$  for some  $H$ .  $\square$

We now derive the interpolation property for  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous spaces.

**Theorem 4.7** *Let  $X$  be an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space. If  $H \ll_{SI_2} x$ , then there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $H \ll_{SI_2} E \ll_{SI_2} x$ .*

**Proof.** The statements has been proved for quasicontinuous domains in [8], and the similar proof carries over to this setting.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space. Then*

- (1) *For any nonempty set  $H \subseteq X$ ,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H = \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H$ .*
- (2) *A subset  $U$  of  $X$  is  $SI_2$ -open iff  $U = \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)} \text{ and } \uparrow E \subseteq U\}$ . The set  $\{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)}\}$  form a basis of  $\tau_{SI_2}$ .*

**Proof.** (1) From Proposition 4.4(4), we have  $\text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} H$ . Obviously,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H \subseteq \uparrow H$ . Now we only need to show that  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H$  is  $SI_2$ -open. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H \in \tau$ . Let  $F \in \text{Irr}_\tau(X)$  and  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H \cap F^\delta \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x \in \uparrow_{SI_2} H \cap F^\delta$ , i.e.,  $H \ll_{SI_2} x$  and  $x \in F^\delta$ . By Theorem 4.7, there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $H \ll_{SI_2} E \ll_{SI_2} x$ , which implies  $\uparrow E \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . Notice that  $E \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} H$ , so  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H$  is  $SI_2$ -open. Therefore  $\uparrow_{SI_2} H = \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H$ .

(2) The sufficiency follows from the condition (1). To prove the necessity, let  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  and  $x \in U$ . From the definition of  $SI_2$ -topology, we have  $U \ll_{SI_2} x$ . By Theorem 4.7, there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $U \ll_{SI_2} E \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)} \text{ and } \uparrow E \subseteq U\}$ . Obviously, the converse inclusion is always true. Thus  $U = \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)} \text{ and } \uparrow E \subseteq U\}$ , and thus the set  $\{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)}\}$  form a basis of  $\tau_{SI_2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space;*
- (2)  *$(X, \tau_{SI_2})$  is a locally hypercompact space.*

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) For any  $x$  and any  $SI_2$ -open  $U$  containing  $x$ , by Proposition 4.8, there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow E = \uparrow_{SI_2} E \subseteq \uparrow E \subseteq U$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For any  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{H} = \{H \in X^{(<\omega)} : x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H\}$ . First, we show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is nonempty and  $\uparrow x = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H$ . Since  $X$  is  $SI_2$ -open, it follows from (2) that there exists  $H \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow H \subseteq U$ . Then  $H \in \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $\uparrow x \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H$ . If  $x \not\leq y$ , then  $x \in X \setminus \downarrow y \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . By (2), there exists  $H \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow H \subseteq X \setminus \downarrow y$ . Thus  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $y \notin \uparrow H$ . Thus  $\uparrow x = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H$ .

Now we show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is directed. Let  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H_1 \cap \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H_2$ . It follows from (2) that there exists  $H \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \uparrow H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H_1 \cap \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H_2 \subseteq \uparrow H_1 \cap \uparrow H_2$ , so  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $H_1, H_2 \leq H$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{H}$  is directed. Obviously,  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{fin}(x)$ . Then by Lemma 4.6, it is easy to show that  $\text{fin}(x)$  is directed, and  $\uparrow x \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \text{fin}(x)} \uparrow H \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H = \uparrow x$ .

Finally, we show that  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$  for any  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$ . For any  $x \in \uparrow_{SI_2} E$ ,  $E \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Notice that  $\uparrow x = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \uparrow H$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is directed, by Lemma 4.6, there exists  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \subseteq \uparrow E$ . Thus  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow E \subseteq \uparrow_{SI_2} E$ , which implies  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous.  $\square$

By Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 4.9, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.10** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous, then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous.*

**Corollary 4.11** *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is a quasi-hypercontinuous poset;
- (2)  $(P, \nu(P))$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 4.9.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12** *Let  $P$  be a poset. If  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is a locally hypercompact space, then  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ .*

**Proof.** Obviously,  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} \subseteq \sigma_2(P)$ . Let  $U \in \sigma_2(P)$  and  $F \in \text{Irr}_{\sigma_2(P)}(P)$ , if  $F^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.5, there exists directed subset  $D \subseteq \downarrow F$  such that  $D^\delta = F^\delta$ . Thus  $D^\delta \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U \in \sigma_2(P)$ ,  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $F \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $U \in \sigma_2(P)_{SI_2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.13** *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous poset;
- (2)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is a locally hypercompact space;
- (3)  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space;
- (4)  $(P, A(P))$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

**Proof.** (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) By Theorem 2.6.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  be a locally hypercompact space. By Lemma 4.12, we have  $\sigma_2(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ . Thus  $(P, \sigma_2(P)_{SI_2})$  is a locally hypercompact space. By Theorem 4.9,  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $(P, \sigma_2(P))$  be an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space. It is easy to see that  $\text{fin}(x) \subseteq \{E \in P^{(<\omega)} : E \ll_2 x\}$ . Thus  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous poset.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) By Lemma 3.7(2), we have  $A(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ . From Theorem 4.9, it follows that (2) and (4) are equivalent.  $\square$

**Example 4.14** ([4]) Let  $P = \{a\} \cup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The partial order on  $P$  is defined by setting  $a_n < a_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $a_1 < a$ . Then  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous poset which is not  $s_2$ -continuous. By Theorem 3.13 and Corollary 4.13, This poset  $P$  equipped with the Alexandroff topology  $A(P)$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space, but it is not  $SI_2$ -continuous.

## 5 Meet $SI_2$ -continuous spaces

In this section, we define a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous space and prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -continuous if and only if it is a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous and  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous space.

**Definition 5.1** A  $T_0$  space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *meet  $SI_2$ -continuous* if for any  $x \in X$  and any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$  with  $x \in F^\delta$ , then  $x \in cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow x \cap \downarrow F)$ .

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Considering the following statements.

- (1) For any  $x \in X$  and  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ ,  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \tau_{SI_2}$ .
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is meet  $SI_2$ -continuous.

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . If  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \tau$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ , then the two conditions are equivalent.

**Proof.**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  Let  $F$  be an irreducible set in  $(X, \tau)$  with  $x \in F^\delta$ . Suppose  $x \notin cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow x \cap \downarrow F)$ . Then there exists  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  containing  $x$  such that  $U \cap (\downarrow x \cap \downarrow F) = \emptyset$ , thus  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \cap F = \emptyset$ . By hypothesis  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \tau_{SI_2}$ , we have  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \cap F^\delta = \emptyset$ . But  $x \in \uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \cap F^\delta$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(X, \tau)$  is meet  $SI_2$ -continuous.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  For any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$ , if  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \cap F^\delta \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $y \in F^\delta$  and  $u \in U \cap \downarrow x$  such that  $u \leq y$ , which implies  $u \in F^\delta$ . By (2),  $u \in cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow u \cap \downarrow F)$ . Note that  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  and  $u \in U$ , thus  $U \cap (\downarrow u \cap \downarrow F) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $U \cap (\downarrow x \cap \downarrow F) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \tau$ , we have  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \tau_{SI_2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3** Let  $P$  be a poset. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) For any  $x \in P$  and any  $U \in \sigma_2(P)$ ,  $\uparrow(U \cap \downarrow x) \in \sigma_2(P)$ ;
- (2)  $P$  is meet  $s_2$ -continuous;
- (3)  $(P, A(P))$  is meet  $SI_2$ -continuous.

**Proof.**  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$  See [5,21].

$(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  Since any upper set is open in  $A(P)$  and  $A(P)_{SI_2} = \sigma_2(P)$ , by Proposition 5.2, the condition (1) and (3) are equivalent.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4** If  $H$  is a finite set in a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous space  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} x : x \in H\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $y \in U := int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H$  but  $y \notin \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} x : x \in H\}$ . Let  $H = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . For each  $i$  there exists  $F_i \in Irr_\tau(X)$  such that  $y \in F_i^\delta$  with  $x_i \not\leq F_i$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is meet  $SI_2$ -continuous,  $y \in cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow y \cap \downarrow F_i)$ . Choose  $z_1 \in U \cap \downarrow y \cap \downarrow F_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $y \in F_2^\delta$  and  $z_1 \leq y$ , we have  $z_1 \in F_2^\delta$ , which implies that  $z_1 \in cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow z_1 \cap \downarrow F_2)$ . Choose  $z_2 \in U \cap \downarrow z_1 \cap \downarrow F_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus we can get  $z_{i+1} \in U \cap \downarrow z_i \cap \downarrow F_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , and  $z_n \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \downarrow F_i$ . Note that  $z_n \in U \subseteq \uparrow H$ , so there exists  $x_j \in H$  such that  $x_j \leq z_n$ . Thus  $x_j \in \downarrow F_j$ , a contradiction to  $x_i \not\leq F_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Hence  $int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \bigcup \{\uparrow_{SI_2} x : x \in H\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $T_0$  space. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -continuous space;
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous and meet  $SI_2$ -continuous space;
- (3)  $(X, \tau)$  is a meet  $SI_2$ -continuous space, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed with  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \in \tau$  and whenever  $x \not\leq y$  in  $X$ , then there are  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  and  $V \in \omega(P)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , where  $P = (X, \leq_\tau)$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) By Corollary 4.10,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous. Now we prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is meet  $SI_2$ -continuous. Consider any  $x \in X$  and any  $F \in Irr_\tau(X)$  with  $x \in F^\delta$ . For any  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  with  $x \in U$ , by  $SI_2$ -continuity of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $x \in U \cap (\downarrow_{SI_2} x)^\delta \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $U \cap \downarrow_{SI_2} x \neq \emptyset$ , that is, there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $u \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Thus there exists  $e \in F$  such that  $u \leq e$ , so  $u \in U \cap \downarrow x \cap \downarrow F$ . Hence  $x \in cl_{\tau_{SI_2}}(\downarrow x \cap \downarrow F)$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) First, we show that  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is nonempty for any  $x \in X$ . If not, then  $x \notin \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} y : y \in X\} \subseteq \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)}\}$ . By Proposition 4.8(1) and Lemma 5.4,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E = int_{SI_2} \uparrow E \subseteq \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} y : y \in E\}$ . From Proposition 4.8(2), it follows that  $X = \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} E : E \in X^{(<\omega)}\} \subseteq \bigcup\{\bigcup \uparrow_{SI_2} y : E \in X^{(<\omega)} \text{ and } y \in E\} = \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} y : y \in X\}$ , which implies  $x \notin X$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is nonempty.

Now we show that  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed and  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \in \tau$ . For any  $x \in X$ , let  $u, v \in \downarrow_{SI_2} x$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous, by Theorem 4.7, there are  $E_1, E_2 \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $u \ll_{SI_2} E_1 \ll_{SI_2} x, v \ll_{SI_2} E_2 \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Thus  $E_1, E_2 \in \text{fin}(x)$  and  $E_1 \subseteq \uparrow u, E_2 \subseteq \uparrow v$ . Since  $\text{fin}(x)$  is directed, there exists  $E \in \text{fin}(x)$  such that  $E_1, E_2 \leq E$ , i.e.,  $E \subseteq \uparrow E_1 \cap \uparrow E_2$ . Thus  $x \in \uparrow_{SI_2} E \subseteq \uparrow E \subseteq \uparrow E_1 \cap \uparrow E_2$ . By Proposition 4.8(1) and Lemma 5.4, we have  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E = int_{SI_2} \uparrow E \subseteq \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} y : y \in E\}$ . So there is a  $y \in E$  such that  $x \in \uparrow_{SI_2} y$ , i.e.,  $y \in \downarrow_{SI_2} x$  and  $u, v \leq y$ . Thus  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$  is directed. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $SI_2$ -quasicontinuous,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} E \in \tau$  for any finite  $E$ . Therefore,  $\uparrow_{SI_2} x \in \tau$ .

Suppose that  $x \not\leq y$  in  $X$ . Let  $P = (X, \leq_\tau)$ . Then  $x \in P \setminus \downarrow y \in \tau_{SI_2}$ . By Theorem 4.9, there exists  $E \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $x \in int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow E \subseteq \uparrow E \subseteq P \setminus \downarrow y$ . Let  $U = int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow E$  and  $V = P \setminus \uparrow E$ . Then  $x \in U \in \tau_{SI_2}$ ,  $y \in V \in \omega(P)$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) We only have to check that  $x = \vee \downarrow_{SI_2} x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $y$  be any upper bound of  $\downarrow_{SI_2} x$ . Assume  $x \not\leq y$ . By the condition (3), there are  $U \in \tau_{SI_2}$  and  $V \in \omega(P)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $V$  is a basic  $\omega$ -open set, i.e., there exists  $H \in X^{(<\omega)}$  such that  $V = P \setminus \uparrow H$ . Thus  $U \subseteq \uparrow H$ . By Lemma 5.4,  $x \in U \subseteq int_{\tau_{SI_2}} \uparrow H \subseteq \bigcup\{\uparrow_{SI_2} z : z \in H\}$ . Hence, there is a  $z \in H$  such that  $z \ll_{SI_2} x$ . Thus  $z \in \downarrow_{SI_2} x \subseteq \downarrow y$ , which implies  $y \in \uparrow H$ , a contradiction. Thus (1) holds.  $\square$

Let  $P$  be a poset. By Corollary 3.15, Corollary 4.13 and Theorem 5.5, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.6** ([21]) *Let  $P$  be a poset. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*



lent.

- (1)  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -continuous poset;
- (2)  $P$  is an  $s_2$ -quasicontinuous and meet  $s_2$ -continuous poset;
- (3)  $P$  is a meet  $s_2$ -continuous space,  $\Downarrow x$  is directed for any  $x \in P$ , and whenever  $x \not\leq y$  in  $X$ , then there are  $U \in \sigma_2(P)$  and  $V \in \omega(P)$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$ , and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for their numerous and very helpful suggestions that have improved this paper substantially. The authors also thank Chen Chong for providing the manuscript of [18].

## References

- [1] Abramsky, S., and A. Jung, "Domain theory", in: S. Abramsky, D.M. Gabbay, T.S.E. Maibaum (Eds.), Handbook of Logic in Computer Science 3. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [2] Andradi, H., C. Shen, W. K. Ho, and D. S. Zhao, *A New Convergence Inducing the SI-Topology*, Filomat, **32** (2018), 6017C6029.
- [3] Andradi, H., and W. K. Ho, *A Topological Scott Convergence Theorem*, Logical Methods in Computer Science, **15** (2019), 29:1–29:14.
- [4] Ern , M., *Scott convergence and Scott topology on partially ordered sets II*, In: B. Banaschewski and R.-E. Hoffman, eds., Continuous Lattices, Bremen 1979, Lecture Notes in Math. vol.871, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1981,61–96.
- [5] Ern , M., *Infinite distributive laws versus local connectedness and compactness properties*, Topology and its Applications, **156** (2009), 2054–2069.
- [6] Ern , M., *A completion-invariant extension of the concept of continuous lattices*, In: Banaschewski, B. Hoffmann, R.-E. (eds.) Continuous Lattices, Proceedings, Bremen 1979. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.871, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 43–60.
- [7] Gierz, G., and J. D. Lawon, *Generalized continuous and hypercontinuous lattices*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **11** (1981), 217–296.
- [8] Gierz, G., Hofmann, K., Keimel, K., Lawson, J. D., Mislove, M., Scott, D., "Continuous Lattices and Domains", Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **93**, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [9] Heckmann, R., and K. Keimel, *Quasicontinuous Domains and the Smyth Powerdomain*, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, **298** (2013), 215–232.
- [10] Huang, M. Q., Q. G. Li, and J. B. Li, *Generalized Continuous Posets and a New Cartesian Closed Category*, Applied Categorical Structures, **17** (2009), 29–42.
- [11] Lawson, J. D., and L. S. Xu, *Posets having continuous intervals*, Theoretical Computer Science, **316** (2004), 89–103.
- [12] Mislove, M., *Local DCPOs, local CPOs and local completions*, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, **20** (1999), 1–14.
- [13] Mao, X. X., and L. S. Xu, *Quasicontinuity of Posets via Scott Topology and Sobrification*, Order, **23** (2006), 359–369.
- [14] Xu, X. Q., and M. K. Luo, *Quasi Z-continuous domains and Z-meet continuous domains*, Acta Mathematica Sinica. Chinese Series, **48** (2005), 221–234.
- [15] Xu, X. Q., "Relational representations of complete lattices and their applications", Ph.D. thesis, Sichuan University, China, 2004.



- [16] Xu, L. S., *Continuity of posets via Scott topology and sobrification*, *Topology and its Applications*, **153** (2006), 1886–1894.
- [17] Ruan, X. J., and X. Q. Xu, *A Completion-Invariant Extension of the Concept of Quasi C-continuous Lattices*, *Filomat*, **31** (2017), 2345–2353.
- [18] Shen, C., H. Andradi, D. Zhao, and F. Shi,  *$SI_2$ -topology on  $T_0$  spaces*, *Houston Journal of Mathematics* (To appear).
- [19] Zhao, D. S., and T. Fan, *Dcpo-completion of posets*, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **411** (2010), 2167–2173.
- [20] Zhao, D. S., and W. K. Ho, *On topologies defined by irreducible sets*, *Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming*, **84** (2015), 185–195.
- [21] Zhang, W. F., and X. Q. Xu,  *$s_2$ -quasicontinuous posets*, *Theoretical Computer Science*, **574** (2015), 78–85.
- [22] Zhang, W. F., and X. Q. Xu, *Frink quasicontinuous posets*, *Semigroup Forum, Springer US*, **94** (2015), 6–16.
- [23] Zhang, W. F., and X. Q. Xu, *Completely precontinuous posets*, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, **301** (2014), 169–178.
- [24] Zhang, W. F., and X. Q. Xu, *Meet precontinuous posets*, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, **301**(2014), 179–188.
- [25] Zhang, W. F., and X. Q. Xu, *Hypercontinuous Posets*, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*, **36B** (2015), 195–200.