

Computable Riesz Representation for Locally Compact Hausdorff Spaces

Hong Lu^{1,2}

*Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, P.R. China*

Klaus Weihrauch^{1,3}

*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Hagen
58084 Hagen, Germany*

Abstract

By the Riesz Representation Theorem for locally compact Hausdorff spaces, for every positive linear functional I on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ there is a measure μ such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the set of continuous real functions with compact support on the locally compact Hausdorff space X . In this article we prove a uniformly computable version of this theorem for computably locally compact computable Hausdorff spaces X . We introduce a representation of the positive linear functionals I on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and a representation of the Borel measures on X and prove that for every such functional I a measure μ can be computed and vice versa such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$.

Keywords: computable analysis, computable topology, Hausdorff spaces, Riesz representation theorem.

1 Introduction

Measure and integration can be introduced in two ways: by starting either from a measure and introducing integration as a derived concept or from a “continuous” linear real valued operator, an abstract integral, on a space of functions and considering measure as a derived concept [3,14]. Fundamental theorems relating these two approaches are, for example, the Daniell-Stone theorem [1] or various versions

¹ The author has been partially supported by NSFC (National Natural Science Foundation of China) and DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)

² Email: luhong@nju.edu.cn

³ Email: klaus.weihrauch@fernuni-hagen.de

of the Riesz representation theorem [6,4,3,15]. In this article we study the computational content of one of these theorems, the Riesz representation theorem for locally compact Hausdorff spaces [3]. For this purpose we use the representation approach (TTE), which has turned out to be particularly natural and flexible among the various models for studying computability in Analysis and related fields [21].

There are only few publications on computable measure theory in the framework of TTE [20,13,23,19,24,19,25,26,12,18]. In the following four cases the “dual” space is studied:

- (i) A computable version of the Daniell-Stone theorem, which characterizes a computable abstract integral on Stone vector lattices of functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by a computable measure space, has been proved in [26].
- (ii) A computable version of the Riesz representation theorem that characterizes the continuous functionals on $C[0;1]$ by functions of bounded variation has been proved in [12].
- (iii) A computable version of the Riesz representation theorem for computable Hilbert spaces that characterizes the dual space of l_2 by itself has been proved in [2].
- (iv) In this article we prove a computable correspondence between the positive functionals on the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of the continuous functions with compact support on a computable Hausdorff space X and the Borel measures on X .

These four theorems differ by the structure considered on the basic set X : (i) a set X without structure, (ii) the real interval $[0;1]$, (iii) the natural numbers, (iv) a Hausdorff space. In all the cases the operators on the space of functions are in some sense continuous. Finally, the characterization is by means of (i) “computable measure spaces”, (ii) functions of bounded variation, (iii) the function space itself, (iv) “computable Borel measures”. In (ii) instead of measures functions of bounded variation are considered for (Riemann-Stieltjes) integration. Functions of bounded variation correspond to real-valued measures ($\mu(I)$ can be negative). But neither such measures nor their relation to the functions of bounded variation have been studied in computable analysis. Computable Borel measures have been studied in [20,13,19,18]. But their relation to the computable measure spaces considered in [23,24,25,26] is not yet known.

In this article we prove a computable version of the following theorem [3,15].

Theorem 1.1 (Riesz representation) *Let X be a locally compact σ -compact Hausdorff space. Then for every positive linear functional $I : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the space of the continuous real functions with compact support there is a (unique) regular Borel measure μ on X such that*

$$(1) \quad I(f) = \int f \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{K}(X).$$

We introduce “effective” locally compact Hausdorff spaces and prove that there are computable operators mapping I to μ and vice versa such that (1) holds true. In Section 2 we summarize concepts from Computable Analysis, which we will use in

this article. Computability on locally compact Hausdorff spaces has been introduced in [9]. The definitions and some results are put together in Section 3. In TTE, computability is defined relative to given representations. In Section 4 we introduce natural representations of the space of positive linear operators $I : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and of the regular Borel measures on the given topological space X . Finally in Section 5 we prove that with respect to these representations the functions $I \mapsto \mu$ and $\mu \mapsto I$ such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$ are computable.

2 Computable Analysis

In this article we use the framework of TTE (Type-2 theory of effectivity), see [21] for more details. A partial function from X to Y is denoted by $f : \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$. We assume that Σ is a fixed finite alphabet containing the symbols 0 and 1 and consider computable functions on finite and infinite sequences of symbols Σ^* and Σ^ω , respectively, which can be defined, for example, by Type-2 machines, i.e., Turing machines reading from and writing on finite or infinite tapes. We use the “wrapping function” $\iota : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, $\iota(a_1a_2 \dots a_k) := 110a_10a_20 \dots a_k011$ for coding words such that $\iota(u)$ and $\iota(v)$ cannot overlap properly unless $u = v$. We consider standard functions for finite or countable tupling on Σ^* and Σ^ω denoted by $\langle \cdot \rangle$. By “ \triangleleft ” we denote the subword (infix) relation.

We use the concept of multi-functions. A *multi-valued partial function*, or *multi-function* for short, from A to B is a triple $f = (A, B, R_f)$ such that $R_f \subseteq A \times B$ (the *graph* of f). Usually we will denote a multi-function f from A to B by $f : \subseteq A \rightrightarrows B$. For $X \subseteq A$ let $f[X] := \{b \in B \mid (\exists a \in X)(a, b) \in R_f\}$ and for $a \in A$ define $f(a) := f[\{a\}]$. Notice that f is well-defined by the values $f(a) \subseteq B$ for all $a \in A$. We define $\text{dom}(f) := \{a \in A \mid f(a) \neq \emptyset\}$. In the applications we have in mind, for a multi-function $f : \subseteq A \rightrightarrows B$, $f(a)$ is interpreted as the set of all results which are “acceptable” on input $a \in A$. Any concrete computation will produce on input $a \in \text{dom}(f)$ some element $b \in f(a)$, but usually there is no method to select a specific one. In accordance with this interpretation the “functional” composition $g \circ f : \subseteq A \rightrightarrows D$ of $f : \subseteq A \rightrightarrows B$ and $g : \subseteq C \rightrightarrows D$ is defined by $\text{dom}(g \circ f) := \{a \in A \mid a \in \text{dom}(f) \text{ and } f(a) \subseteq \text{dom}(g)\}$ and $g \circ f(a) := g[f(a)]$ (in contrast to “non-deterministic” or “relational” composition gf defined by $gf(a) := g[f(a)]$ for all $a \in A$).

Notations $\nu : \subseteq \Sigma^* \rightarrow M$ and representations $\delta : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow M$ are used for introducing relative continuity and computability on “abstract” sets M . For a representation $\delta : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow M$, if $\delta(p) = x$ then the point $x \in M$ can be identified by the “name” $p \in \Sigma^\omega$.

For naming systems $\gamma_i : \subseteq Y_i \rightarrow M_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, k$), a function $h : \subseteq Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_k \rightarrow Y_0$ is a $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_0)$ -realization of $f : \subseteq M_1 \times \dots \times M_k \rightrightarrows M_0$, if $\gamma_0 \circ h(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in f(\gamma_1(p_1), \dots, \gamma_k(p_k))$ whenever $f(\gamma_1(p_1), \dots, \gamma_k(p_k))$ exists. The multi-function f is $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_0)$ -continuous (–computable), if it has a continuous (computable) $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \gamma_0)$ -realization.

For naming systems $\gamma : \subseteq Y \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma' : \subseteq Y' \rightarrow M'$ ($Y, Y' \in \{\Sigma^*, \Sigma^\omega\}$), let

$\gamma \leq_t \gamma'$ (t -reducible) and $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ (reducible) iff the identity $\text{id} : a \mapsto a$ ($a \in M$) is (γ, γ') -continuous and (γ, γ') -computable, respectively. Define t -equivalence and equivalence as follows: $\gamma \equiv_t \gamma' \iff (\gamma \leq_t \gamma' \text{ and } \gamma' \leq_t \gamma)$ and $\gamma \equiv \gamma' \iff (\gamma \leq \gamma' \text{ and } \gamma' \leq \gamma)$, respectively. A set $X \subseteq M$ is γ -r.e. iff there is a Type-2 machine such that for all $p \in \text{dom}(\gamma)$: the machine halts on input p iff $\gamma(p) \in X$.

If the representations of the sets under consideration are fixed, we will say simply “computable” instead of “ (γ, δ) -computable” etc. Two representations induce the same continuity or computability iff they are t -equivalent or equivalent, respectively. If multi-functions on represented sets have realizations, then their composition is realized by the composition of the realizations. In particular, the computable multi-functions on represented sets are closed under composition. Much more generally, the computable multi-functions on represented sets are closed under flowchart programming with indirect addressing [22]. This result allows convenient informal construction of new computable functions on multi-represented sets from given ones.

Let $\nu_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\nu_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be standard notations of the natural numbers and the rational numbers, respectively. let ρ be the Cauchy representation of the real numbers.

For any two representations $\gamma : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow M$ and $\delta : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow N$ there is a canonical representation $[\gamma \rightarrow \delta]$ of the set of (γ, δ) -continuous (total) functions $f : M \rightarrow N$ [21, Definition 3.3.13] which can be characterized up to equivalence as follows [21, Theorem 3.3.14]: For every representation $\tilde{\delta}$ of the of (γ, δ) -continuous (total) functions $f : M \rightarrow N$, the function

$$(2) \quad \text{eval} : (F, x) \mapsto F(x) \text{ is } (\tilde{\delta}, \gamma, \delta)\text{-computable} \iff \tilde{\delta} \leq [\gamma \rightarrow \delta].$$

3 Computable Topology

For the basic concepts of topology the reader is referred, for example, to [5] or the corresponding sections in [3] and [15]. The definitions and results on computability in this section are from [9,7].

On a *second countable* T_0 -space, that is, a topological space with countable base such that every point $x \in X$ can be identified by its (open) neighbourhoods, we introduce computability by means of a notation of a base.

Definition 3.1 [computable T_0 -space [7]] A computable T_0 -space is a tuple $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau, \beta, \nu)$ such that (X, τ) is a topological T_0 -space, β is a base of τ ($U \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \beta$) and $\nu : \subseteq \Sigma^* \rightarrow \beta$ is a notation of the base with recursive domain and computable intersection, i.e., there is an r.e. set $B \subseteq (\text{dom}(\nu))^3$ with

$$\nu(u) \cap \nu(v) = \bigcup_{(u,v,w) \in B} \nu(w).$$

In [8] computable T_0 -spaces are called “computable T_0 -spaces with computable intersection” and the relation to the similar “computable topological spaces” from [21] is discussed.

In the following we assume that (X, τ) is a Hausdorff space (that is, for any $x \neq y$ there are disjoint open sets $U, V \in \tau$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$) and that

the topology is locally compact (that is, for every point x there is some $U \in \tau$ such that $x \in U$ and the closure \overline{U} of U is compact). On the set X , the set β^f of the finite unions of base elements, the topology τ , the set τ^c of the closed subsets of X and the set $\text{Cp}(X)$ of compact subsets of X we introduce computability via the following naming systems.

Definition 3.2 [some standard representations [7,9]] Define

- (i) the representation $\delta : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow X$ by

$$\delta(p) = x, \text{ iff } \{u \mid x \in \nu(u)\} = \{u \mid \iota(u) \triangleleft p\}.$$

- (ii) the notation $\nu^f : \subseteq \Sigma^* \rightarrow \beta^f$ by $\nu^f(w) := \bigcup \{\nu(u) \mid \iota(u) \triangleleft w\}$,

- (iii) the representation $\theta : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow \tau$ by $\theta(p) := \bigcup \{\nu(u) \mid \iota(u) \triangleleft p\}$,

- (iv) the representation $\psi : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow \tau^c$ by $\psi(p) := X \setminus \theta(p)$,

- (v) the representation $\kappa : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \rightarrow \text{Cp}(X)$ by

$$\kappa(p) = K, \text{ iff } \{u \mid K \subseteq \nu^f(u)\} = \{u \mid \iota(u) \triangleleft p\}.$$

Notice that in **i.** and **v.**, a name $p \in \Sigma^\omega$ is a list of *all* u such that $x \in \nu(u)$ and $K \subseteq \nu^f(u)$, respectively, while in **iii.** and **iv.** a name p must list only sufficiently many base elements. The representations δ and κ are topologically *admissible* [21], θ and ψ are admissible representations of natural limit spaces [16,17].

In the following let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau, \beta, \nu)$ be a computable Hausdorff computably locally compact computable T_0 -space defined as follows.

Definition 3.3 [[9]] A computable T_0 -space $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau, \beta, \nu)$ is called

- (i) computable Hausdorff, iff there is an r.e. set $H \subseteq \text{dom}(\nu) \times \text{dom}(\nu)$ such that $\nu(u) \cap \nu(v) = \emptyset$ for all $(u, v) \in H$, and for all $x \neq y$ there is some $(u, v) \in H$ such that $x \in \nu(u)$ and $y \in \nu(v)$,
- (ii) computably locally compact, iff \overline{U} is compact for all $U \in \beta$ and the function $U \mapsto \overline{U}$ is (ν, κ) -computable.

Notice that \overline{V} is compact for all $V \in \beta^f$. The following results are from [9]:

- (3) – intersection is (θ, θ, θ) -computable on τ ,
- (4) – $\nu^f \leq \theta$,
- (5) – $V \mapsto \overline{V}$ for $V \in \beta^f$ is (ν^f, κ) -computable,
- (6) – $\kappa \leq \psi$,
- (7) – $x \in U$ is (δ, θ) -r.e.,
- (8) – $K \subseteq U$ is (κ, θ) -r.e..

For $A \subseteq X$ let $\chi_A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the characteristic function of A . For $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let $\text{supp}(f) := \overline{\{x \mid f(x) \neq 0\}}$ be the support of f . Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be the set of all continuous functions with compact support. For compact K , open U and $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that $\text{range}(f) \subseteq [0, 1]$ we define

- (9) $K \prec f : \iff \chi_K \leq f$, and $f \prec U : \iff \text{supp}(f) \subseteq U$.

Obviously, $f \leq \chi_U$ if $f \prec U$.

For a locally compact Hausdorff space with countable base, for every compact set K and every open set U such that $K \subseteq U$ there are some $V \in \beta^f$ and continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ and $K \prec f \prec U$ (Urysohn theorem) [3,5]. We need a computable version.

- Lemma 3.4** (i) *The multifunction $(K, U) \mapsto V$ mapping each compact K and each open U such that $K \subseteq U$ to some $V \in \beta^f$ such that $K \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$ is (κ, θ, ν^f) -computable.*
- (ii) *(computable Urysohn) The multifunction $(K, U) \mapsto f$ mapping each compact K and each open U such that $K \subseteq U$ to some $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $K \prec f \prec U$ is $(\kappa, \theta, [\delta \rightarrow \rho])$ -computable.*

Proof: i. This has been proved in [9].

ii. In [9] it is also shown that every computably locally compact computably Hausdorff computable T_0 -space is computably T_3 . The computable Urysohn theorem for such spaces has been proved in [7]. \square

4 The Representations of Functions, Functionals and Measures.

Computable Analysis studies, which functions are computable with respect to given representations. Since almost all representations of a set are completely useless the investigations are concentrated on “effective” representations, that is, representations which are related to some given algebraic or topological structure on the set. In many cases TTE can explain why some representations are useful or “natural” (admissible representations [11,10,21,16]).

In our situation we have a bijection $I \leftrightarrow \mu$ and try to find reasonable or “natural” representations such that the function and its inverse become computable. Such a problem may have many solutions. For example, the real function $x \mapsto 3x$ and its inverse are (ρ, ρ) -computable as well as $(\rho_<, \rho_<)$ -computable.

We still assume that $\mathbf{X} = (X, \tau, \beta, \nu)$ is a computable Hausdorff computably locally compact computable T_0 -space. For a computable version of the Riesz representation theorem we need representations of the set $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support, of the set LP of linear positive functionals on $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and of the set RBM of regular Borel measures.

We consider the representations from Definition 3.2. Since δ and ρ are admissible representations for the topologies τ and $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ (the standard topology on the real numbers), respectively, a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, iff it is (δ, ρ) -continuous by Theorem 3.2.11 in [21]. For the (δ, ρ) -continuous functions we have the canonical representation $[\delta \rightarrow \rho]$ which is tailor-made for computing the evaluation $(f, x) \rightarrow f(x)$ [21, Lemma 3.3.14].

Let $\hat{\delta}$ be the restriction of $[\delta \rightarrow \rho]$ to $\mathcal{K}(X)$, the continuous functions with compact support. The representation $[\hat{\delta} \rightarrow \rho]$ of the set of $(\hat{\delta}, \rho)$ -continuous operators is tailor-made for evaluation $(I, h) \mapsto I(h)$. But in general $\text{range}([\hat{\delta} \rightarrow \rho])$ does not

contain all positive linear functionals $I : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Example 4.1 Consider the space $\mathbf{X} := (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{J}, \nu_J)$ where ν_J is a canonical notation of the set \tilde{J} of open intervals with rational end-points, which is a computably locally compact and computably Hausdorff computable T_0 -space. Let $I(h) := \int h d\lambda$ (λ the Lebesgue measure) be the usual Riemann integral. Then I is positive and linear on $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$.

Suppose that Riemann integration I is $(\hat{\delta}, \rho)$ -continuous, hence $([\delta \rightarrow \rho], \rho)$ -continuous on the set $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\delta \equiv \rho$ (δ from Definition 3.2), $[\delta \rightarrow \rho] \equiv [\rho \rightarrow \rho]$. By [21, Lemma 6.1.7], $[\rho \rightarrow \rho] \equiv \delta_{co}$ where $\delta_{co}(p) = f$ iff p is a list of all pairs $(u, v) \in \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ such that $f[\nu_J(u)] \subseteq \nu_J(v)$ (compact-open representation). Therefore, Riemann integration is (δ_{co}, ρ) -continuous on $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$. Since the representation δ_{co} is admissible with respect to the compact-open topology on $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ [21], integration must be continuous on the subset $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, in particular in the “point” f , $f(x) = 0$ for all x . Since $I(f) = 0$, f must have an open neighborhood U in the compact-open topology such that $I[U] \subseteq (0; 1)$. Since the finite intersections of subbase elements $\{f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \mid f[\nu_J(u)] \subseteq \nu_J(v)\}$ form a basis, there are open rational intervals $I_1, J_1, \dots, I_k, J_k$, such that $0 \in J_1 \cap \dots \cap J_k$ and $I(g) \in (0; 1)$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g[\bar{I}_m] \subseteq J_m$ for $1 \leq m \leq k$. But there is some $g \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g[\bar{I}_m] \subseteq J_m$ for $1 \leq m \leq k$ and $I(g) = \int g d\lambda > 1$.

Therefore, Riemann integration I is a linear positive operator on $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ which is not $(\hat{\delta}, \rho)$ -continuous, hence not in $\text{range}([\hat{\delta} \rightarrow \rho])$. \square

We solve the problem by adding to each $[\delta \rightarrow \rho]$ -name of $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ information about its support.

Definition 4.2 Define the representation δ_K of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ by

$$(10) \delta_K(p) = f \iff (\exists w, q) (p = \langle w, q \rangle, \text{supp}(f) \subseteq \nu^f(w) \text{ and } [\delta \rightarrow \rho](q) = f).$$

Lemma 4.3 Every positive linear operator $I : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in the range of $[\delta_K \rightarrow \rho]$.

Proof: We will show this in the proof of Theorem 5.1 below. \square

In Theorem 1.1 the space must be σ -compact, that is, a countable union of compact sets. In our case X is σ -compact since $X = \bigcup \{\bar{U} \mid U \in \beta\}$. The set of Borel sets $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the smallest σ -algebra containing the set τ of open sets. A measure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is called a Borel measure.

Definition 4.4 [regular Borel measure [3]] A Borel measure $\mu : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a Hausdorff space is *regular*, iff

- (i) $\mu(K) < \infty$ for all compact K ,
- (ii) $\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(K) \mid K \text{ compact, } K \subseteq U\}$ for all open $U \in \tau$,
- (iii) $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) \mid A \subseteq U, U \text{ open}\}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all regular Borel measures on \mathbf{X} .

We need an appropriate representation of \mathcal{M} such that a name p of a measure μ supplies sufficient information for computing the positive linear operator $f \mapsto \int f d\mu$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$ represented by δ_K . By Definition 4.4.iii a regular Borel measure is uniquely defined by its values $\mu(U)$ for open sets U .

Since $\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(V) \mid V \in \beta^f, V \subseteq U\}$, the measure is defined already by its values on the countable set β^f . In [20] a representation of the probability measures on the unit interval is defined by names, which for every rational open interval approximate its measure from below. This information and the fact that the probability measure of the whole space, the compact unit interval, is 1 allows to show that $(\mu, f) \mapsto \int f d\mu$ for continuous f becomes computable. Since our space is only locally compact we need a list of arbitrarily big open sets with *known* measure.

Definition 4.5 [representation of measures] Define a representation $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ of the regular Borel measures on \mathbf{X} as follows: $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}(p) = \mu$, iff there are $q \in \Sigma^\omega$ and $r_i, s_i \in \Sigma^\omega$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $p = \langle q, r_0, s_0, r_1, s_1, \dots \rangle$,
- (ii) q is a list of all $\langle u, v \rangle$ such that $\nu_{\mathbb{Q}}(u) < \mu(\nu^f(v))$,
- (iii) $(\forall w)(\exists i) \overline{\nu^f(w)} \subseteq \theta(r_i)$ and
- (iv) $\mu \circ \theta(r_i) = \rho(s_i)$.

For every compact set K there is some w such that $K \subseteq \nu^f(w)$. Therefore, if $p \in \text{dom}(\delta_{\mathcal{M}})$, then for every compact K there is some i such that $K \subseteq \theta(r_i)$. In general the sets $\nu^f(w)$ as well as their closures $\overline{\nu^f(w)}$ have non-computable measures even if μ corresponds to a computable operator I (Theorem 1.1).

Example 4.6 Consider the space $\mathbf{X} := (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\mathbb{R}}, \tilde{J}, \nu_J)$ from Example 4.1. We define a measure μ on the Borel subsets. Let a_1, a_2, \dots be an computable one-one enumeration of an r.e. set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, which is not recursive. Then the real number $x_A = \sum_{i \geq 1} 2^{-a_i}$ is not computable [21]. Let $Y := \{0, 1\} \cup \{2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, \dots\} \cup \{1 + 2^{-1}, 1 + 2^{-2}, \dots\}$. Define $\mu(\{y\})$ for $y \in Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{0\}) &:= \mu(\{1\}) := 1 - x_A, \\ \mu(\{2^{-i}\}) &:= \mu(\{1 + 2^{-i}\}) := 2^{-a_i} \quad (i \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

and let $\mu(B) = \sum\{\mu(\{y\}) \mid y \in B \cap Y\}$ for every Borel subset B of \mathbb{R} . Then $\mu((0; 1)) = x_A$ and $\mu([0; 1]) = 2 - x_A$, which are non-computable real numbers. We observe that for rational numbers $a < b$, $\mu((a; b))$ is $\rho_{<}$ -computable ($\rho_{<}(p) = x$ iff p is a list of all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a < x$ [21]) but computable if and only if $a \notin \{0, 1\}$. It remains to show that integration $I : f \mapsto \int f d\mu$ is (δ_K, ρ) -computable. By Theorem 5.1 below it suffices to show that μ is $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ -computable. By the above observation, a computable $\delta_{\mathcal{M}}$ -name of μ can be constructed straightforwardly. \square

5 The Main Theorem

We can now formulate our main theorem by which a measure μ can be computed from I and vice versa such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Since our space X is σ -compact, by Theorem 1.1, the classical Riesz representation theorem, the operators S and T in the following theorem are well-defined.

Theorem 5.1 (computable Riesz representation) (i) *The operator $S : I \mapsto \mu$ for positive linear I such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$ is $([\delta_K \rightarrow \rho], \delta_{\mathcal{M}})$ -computable.*
(ii) *The Operator $T : \mu \mapsto I$ such that $I(f) = \int f d\mu$ for $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ is $(\delta_{\mathcal{M}}, [\delta_K \rightarrow \rho])$ -computable.*

Proof: Omitted □

References

- [1] Bauer, H., “Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Grundzüge der Maßtheorie,” Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1974.
- [2] Brattka, V. and A. Yoshikawa, *Towards computability of elliptic boundary value problems in variational formulation*, Journal of Complexity **22** (2006), pp. 858–880.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2006.04.007>
- [3] Cohn, D. L., “Measure Theory,” Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.
- [4] Conway, J. B., “A course in functional analysis,” Graduate Texts in Mathematics **96**, Springer, New York, 1990, 2nd edition.
- [5] Engelking, R., “General Topology,” Sigma series in pure mathematics **6**, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] Goffman, C. and G. Pedrick, “First Course in Functional Analysis,” Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1965.
- [7] Grubba, T., M. Schröder and K. Weihrauch, *Computable metrization*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **53** (2007), pp. 381–395.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/malq.200710009>
- [8] Grubba, T. and K. Weihrauch, *A computable version of Dini’s theorem for topological spaces*, in: P. Yolum, T. Güngör, F. Gürçen and C. Özturan, editors, *Computer and Information Sciences - ISCIS 2005*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **3733** (2005), pp. 927–936, 20th International Symposium, ISCIS, Istanbul, Turkey, October 2005.
- [9] Grubba, T. and Yatao Xu, *Computability on subsets of locally compact spaces*, in: Jin-Yi Cai, S. Barry Cooper and Hong Zhu, editors, *Theory and Applications of Models of Computation 2007*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science **4484** (2007), pp. 100–114, 4th International Conference, TAMC 2007, Shanghai, China, May 2007.
- [10] Hertling, P., *A real number structure that is effectively categorical*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **45** (1999), pp. 147–182.
- [11] Kreitz, C. and K. Weihrauch, *Theory of representations*, Theoretical Computer Science **38** (1985), pp. 35–53.
- [12] Lu, H. and K. Weihrauch, *Computable Riesz representation for the dual of $C[0, 1]$* , Mathematical Logic Quarterly **53** (2007), pp. 415–430.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/malq.200710008>
- [13] Müller, N. T., *Computability on random variables*, Theoretical Computer Science **219** (1999), pp. 287–299.
- [14] Pedersen, G. K., “Analysis Now,” Graduate Texts in Mathematics **118**, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [15] Rudin, W., “Real and Complex Analysis,” McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974, 2nd edition.

- [16] Schröder, M., *Extended admissibility*, Theoretical Computer Science **284** (2002), pp. 519–538.
- [17] Schröder, M., *Admissible representations for continuous computations*, Informatik Berichte 299, FernUniversität Hagen, Hagen (2003), dissertation.
- [18] Schröder, M., *Admissible representations for probability measures*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **53** (2007), pp. 431–445.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/malq.200710010>
- [19] Schröder, M. and A. Simpson, *Representing probability measures using probabilistic processes*, Journal of Complexity **22** (2006), pp. 768–782.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2006.05.003>
- [20] Weihrauch, K., *Computability on the probability measures on the Borel sets of the unit interval*, Theoretical Computer Science **219** (1999), pp. 421–437.
- [21] Weihrauch, K., “Computable Analysis,” Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [22] Weihrauch, K., *Multi-functions on multi-represented sets are closed under flowchart programming*, in: T. Grubba, P. Hertling, H. Tsuiki and K. Weihrauch, editors, *Computability and Complexity in Analysis*, Informatik Berichte **326** (2005), pp. 267–300, proceedings, Second International Conference, CCA 2005, Kyoto, Japan, August 25–29, 2005.
- [23] Wu, Y., *Computable measure theory* (2005), PhD dissertation, in preparation.
- [24] Wu, Y. and D. Ding, *Computability of measurable sets via effective metrics*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly **51** (2005), pp. 543–559.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/malq.200510008>
- [25] Wu, Y. and D. Ding, *Computability of measurable sets via effective topologies*, Archive for Mathematical Logic **45** (2006), pp. 365–379.
URL <http://doi:10.1007/s00153-005-0315-x>
- [26] Wu, Y. and K. Weihrauch, *A computable version of the Daniell-Stone theorem on integration and linear functionals*, Theoretical Computer Science **359** (2006), pp. 28–42.
URL <http://doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2006.01.050>