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Abstract

In the literature, several examples of the eﬃciency of cell-like P systems regarding the solution of NP- complete problems in polynomial time can be found (obviously, trading space for time). Recently, different new models of tissue-like P systems have received important attention from the scientific community. In this paper we present a linear-time solution to an NP-complete problem from graph theory, the 3–coloring problem, and we discuss the suitability of tissue-like P systems as a framework to address the eﬃcient solution to intractable problems.
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# Introduction

Membranes are involved in many reactions taking place inside various compartments of a cell, and they act as selective channels of communication between different compartments as well as between the cell and its environment [[1](#_bookmark6)].

This paper is enclosed in the Natural Computing framework. More precisely, in the study of the structure and functioning of cells as living organisms able to process and generate information. Assuming this starting point, two different disciplines within Natural Computing can be found in the literature: *Membrane Computing* and *Brane Calculi*.
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Brane Calculi were recently introduced in [[6](#_bookmark11)], under the assumption that in living cells membranes are not merely containers, but they are actually highly dynamic and participate actively in the cell life. In this way, “computation” happens on the membranes, not inside them.

On the other hand, Membrane Computing starts from the assumption that the processes taking place within the compartmental structure of a living cell can be interpreted as computations [[19](#_bookmark20)].

This emergent cross-disciplinary branch of Natural Computing was introduced by Gh. Păun in [[18](#_bookmark21)]. It has received important attention from the scientific community since then, with contributions by computer scientists, biologists, formal linguists and complexity theoreticians, enriching each others with results, open problems and promising new research lines. In fact, Membrane Computing has been selected by the Institute for Scientific Information, USA, as a fast *Emerging Research Front* in Computer Science, and [[20](#_bookmark22)] was mentioned in [[30](#_bookmark32)] as a highly cited paper in October 2003.

The computational devices in Membrane Computing are called *P systems*. Roughly speaking, a P system consists of a membrane structure, in the compart- ments of which one places multisets of objects which evolve according to given rules in a synchronous non-deterministic maximally parallel manner [5](#_bookmark4) .

In the last years, many different models of P systems have been proposed. The most studied variants are characterized by a *cell-like* membrane structure, where the communication happens between a membrane and the surrounding one. In this model we have a set of nested membranes, in such a way that the graph of neighborhood relation is a tree.

One of the topics in the field is the study of the computational power and ef- ficiency of P systems. In particular, different models of these cell-like P systems have been successfully used in order to design solutions to NP-complete problems in polynomial time (see [[10](#_bookmark14)] and the references therein). These solutions are ob- tained by generating an exponential amount of workspace in polynomial time and using parallelism to check simultaneously all the candidate solutions. Inspired in liv- ing cells, cell-like P systems abstract the way of obtaining new membranes, mainly from two biological processes: *mitosis* (membrane division) and *autopoiesis*, see [[14](#_bookmark18)] (membrane creation). Both ways of generating new membranes have given rise to the corresponding P systems model: *P systems with active membranes*, where the new workspace is generated by membrane division and *P systems with membrane creation*, where the new membranes are created from objects.

Both models are universal from a computational point of view, but technically, they are pretty different. In fact, nowadays there does not exist any theoretical result which proves that these models can simulate each other in polynomial time. Under the hypothesis P/N=P, Zandron et al. [[29](#_bookmark33)] established the limitations of

P systems that do not use membrane division concerning the efficient solution of

NP-complete problems. This result was generalized by Pérez Jiménez et al. [[25](#_bookmark28)]

5 A layman-oriented introduction can be found in [[21](#_bookmark23)] and further bibliography at [[31](#_bookmark34)].

obtaining a characterization of the P/N=P conjecture by the polynomial time un- solvability of an NP-complete problem by language accepting P systems (without using rules that allow to construct an exponential number of membranes in polyno- mial time).

We shall focus here on another type of P systems, the so-called (because of their membrane structure) *Tissue P Systems*. Instead of considering that membranes are hierarchically arranged, the membranes are placed in the nodes of a graph. This variant has two biological inspirations (see [[17](#_bookmark24)]): intercellular communication and cooperation between neurons. The common mathematical model of these two mechanisms is a net of processors dealing with symbols and communicating these symbols along channels specified in advance. The communication among cells is based on symport/antiport rules [6](#_bookmark5) . Symport rules move objects across a membrane together in one direction, whereas antiport rules move objects across a membrane in opposite directions.

>From the seminal definition of Tissue P systems [[16](#_bookmark25),[17](#_bookmark24)], several research lines have been developed and other variants have arisen (see, for example, [[2](#_bookmark7),[5](#_bookmark10),[7](#_bookmark12),[12](#_bookmark16),[13](#_bookmark17),[27](#_bookmark30)]). One of the most interesting variants of Tissue P systems was presented in [[22](#_bookmark26)]. In that paper, the definition of Tissue P systems is combined with the one of P systems with active membranes, yielding *Tissue P systems with cell division*.

One of the main features of such Tissue P systems with cell division is related to their computational efficiency. In [[22](#_bookmark26)], a polynomial-time solution to the NP- complete problem SAT is shown. In this paper we go on with the research in this variant and present a linear-time solution to another well-known NP-complete problem: the 3–coloring problem.

The paper is organized as follows: first we recall some preliminaries and the definition of Tissue P systems with cell division. Next, recognizer Tissue P systems are briefly described. A linear–time solution to the 3–coloring problem is presented in the following section, with a short overview of the computation and the necessary resources. Finally, the main results, some conclusions and new open research lines are presented.

# Preliminares

In this section we briefly recall some of the concepts used later on in the paper.

An *alphabet*, Σ, is a non empty set, whose elements are called *symbols*. An ordered sequence of symbols is a *string*. The number of symbols in a string *u* is the *length* of the string, and it is denoted by |*u*|. As usual, the empty string (with length 0) will be denoted by *λ*. The set of strings of length *n* built with symbols

from the alphabet Σ is denoted by Σ*n* and Σ∗ = ∪*n*≥0Σ*n*. A *language* over Σ is a subset from Σ∗.

A *multiset m* over a set *A* is a pair (*A, f* ) where *f* : *A* → IN is a mapping. If

6 This way of communication for P systems was introduced in [[20](#_bookmark22)].

*m* = (*A, f* ) is a multiset then its *support*, *supp*(*m*), is defined as *supp*(*m*) = {*x* ∈

*A* | *f* (*x*) *>* 0} and its *size* is defined as Σ *f* (*x*). A multiset is empty (resp. finite) if its support is the empty set (resp. finite).

*x*∈*A*

If *m* = (*A, f* ) is a finite multiset over *A*, then it will be denoted as

*m* = {{*a*1*,... , ak*}}, where each element *ai* occurs *f* (*ai*) times.

A *graph G* is a pair *G* = (*V, E*) where *V* is the set of vertices and *E* is the set of edges, each one of which is a (unordered) pair of (different) vertices. If {*u, v*} ∈ *E*, we say that *u* is *adjacent* to *v* (and also *v* is *adjacent* to *u*). The *degree* of *v* ∈ *V* is the number of adjacent vertices to *v*.

A *path* of length *n* ≥ 1 from a vertex *x* to a vertex *y* in a graph *G* = (*V, E*) is a sequence {*v*0*, v*1*,... , vn*} of vertices such that *v*0 = *x*, *vn* = *y* and {{*vi, vi*+1}| *i* = 0*,... ,n* − 1} ⊆ *E*. If there is a path from *u* to *v* in *G*, we will say that *v* is *reachable* from *u* in *G* and it will be denoted by *u* ~*G v*. Two vertices *u* and *v* are *connected* in *G* if *u* ~*G v*. A graph is *connected* if for every pair of different vertices from *V* , one of them is reachable from the other one.

In what follows we assume the reader is already familiar with the basic notions and the terminology underlying P systems. For details, see [[19](#_bookmark20)].

# Tissue P Systems with Cell Division

In the first definition of the model of tissue P systems [[16](#_bookmark25),[17](#_bookmark24)] the membrane structure did not change along the computation. Based on the cell-like model of P systems with active membranes, Gh. Păun et al. presented in [[22](#_bookmark26)] a new model of tissue P systems *with cell division*. The biological inspiration is clear: alive tissues are not *static* network of cells, since cells are duplicated via mitosis in a natural way.

The main features of this model, from the computational point of view, are that cells are not polarized (the contrary holds in the cell-like model of P Systems with active membranes, see [[19](#_bookmark20)]); the cells obtained by division have the same labels as the original cell and if a cell is divided, its interaction with other cells or with the environment is blocked during the mitosis process. In some sense, this means that while a cell is dividing it closes the communication channels with other cells and with the environment.

Formally, a *tissue P system with cell division* of degree *q* ≥ 1 is a tuple of the form

Π= (Γ*, w*1*,... , wq,* E *,* R*, i*0)*,*

where:

1. Γ is a finite *alphabet*, whose symbols will be called *objects*.
2. *w*1*,... , wq* are strings over Γ.
3. E ⊆ Γ.
4. R is a finite set of rules of the following form:
   1. *Communication rules* : (*i, u/v, j*), for *i, j* ∈ {0*,* 1*,* 2*,... , q*}*,i* /=*j*, *u, v* ∈ Γ∗.
   2. *Division rules*: [*a*]*i* → [*b*]*i*[*c*]*i*, where *i* ∈ {1*,* 2*,... , q*} and *a, b, c* ∈ Γ.
5. *i*0 ∈ {0*,* 1*,* 2*,... , q*}.

A tissue P system with cell division of degree *q* ≥ 1 can be seen as a set of *q* cells (each one consisting of an elementary membrane) labelled by 1*,* 2*,... , q*. We will use 0 to refer to the label of the environment, and *i*0 denotes the output region (which can be the region inside a membrane or the environment).

The strings *w*1*,... , wq* describe the multisets of objects placed in the *q* cells of the system. We interpret that E ⊆ Γ is the set of objects placed in the environment, each one of them in an arbitrary large amount of copies.

The communication rule (*i, u/v, j*) can be applied over two cells *i* and *j* such that *u* is contained in cell *i* and *v* is contained in cell *j*. The application of this rule means that the objects of the multisets represented by *u* and *v* are interchanged between the two cells.

The division rule [*a*]*i* → [*b*]*i*[*c*]*i* can be applied over a cell *i* containing object *a*. The application of this rule divides this cell into other two cells with the same label. All the objects in the original cell are replicated and copied in each of the new cells, with the exception of the object *a*, which is replaced by the object *b* in the first new

cell and by *c* in the second one.

Rules are used as usual in the framework of membrane computing, that is, in a maximally parallel way. In one step, each object in a membrane can only be used for one rule (non-deterministically chosen when there are several possibilities), but any object which can participate in a rule of any form must do it, i.e, in each step we apply a maximal set of rules. This way of applying rules has only one restriction when a cell is divided, the division rule is the only one which is applied for that cell in that step; the objects inside that cell do not evolve in that step.

# Recognizer Tissue P Systems with Cell Division

NP-completeness has been usually studied in the framework of *decision problems*. Let us recall that a decision problem is a pair (*IX , θX*) where *IX* is a language over a finite alphabet (whose elements are called *instances*) and *θX* is a total boolean function over *IX*.

In order to study the computing efficiency for solving NP-complete decision problems, a variant of tissue P systems with cell division is introduced in [[22](#_bookmark26)]: *recognizer tissue P systems*. The key idea of such recognizer system is the same one as from recognizer P systems with cell-like structure.

Recognizer cell-like P systems were introduced in [[26](#_bookmark29)] and they are the natural framework to study and solve decision problems within Membrane Computing, since deciding whether an instance has an affirmative or negative answer is equivalent to deciding if a string belongs or not to the language associated with the problem.

In the literature, recognizer cell-like P systems are associated in a natural way with P systems with *input*. The data related to an instance of the decision problem has to be provided to the P system in order to compute the appropriate answer. This is done by codifying each instance as a multiset placed in an *input membrane*. The output of the computation (yes or no) is sent to the environment. In this way, cell-like P systems with input and external output are devices which can be seen

as black boxes, in the sense that the user provides the data before the computation starts, and then waits *outside* the P system until it sends to the environment the output in the last step of the computation.

A recognizer tissue P system with cell division of degree *q* ≥ 1 is a tuple

Π= (Γ*,* Σ*, w*1*,... , wq,* E *,* R*, iin, io*)

where

* (Γ*, w*1*,... , wq,* E *,* R*, io*) is a tissue P system with cell division of degree *q* ≥ 1 (as defined in the previous section).
* The working alphabet Γ has two distinguished objects yes and no, present in at least one copy in *w*1, *w*2, ..., *wq* but not present in E.
* Σ is an (input) alphabet strictly contained in Γ.
* *iin* ∈ {1*,... , q*} is the input cell.
* The output region *io* is the environment.
* All computations halt.
* If C is a computation of Π, then either the object yes or the object no (but not both) must have been released into the environment, and only in the last step of the computation.

The computations of the system Π with input *w* ∈ Γ∗ start from a configura- tion of the form (*w*1*, w*2*,... , wi*in *w,... , wq*; E), that is, after adding the multiset *w* to the contents of the input cell *iin*. We say that the multiset *w* is *recognized* by Π if and only if the object yes is sent to the environment, in the last step of the corresponding computation. We say that C is an accepting computation (respec- tively, rejecting computation) if the object yes (respectively, no) appears in the environment associated to the corresponding halting configuration of C.

Definition 4.1 We say that a decision problem *X* = (*IX, θX* ) is solvable in poly- nomial time by a family Π= {Π(*n*): *n* ∈ IN} of recognizer tissue P systems with cell division if the following holds:

* The family Π is *polynomially uniform* by Turing machines, that is, there exists a deterministic Turing machine working in polynomial time which constructs the system Π(*n*) from *n* ∈ IN.
* There exists a pair (*cod, s*) of polynomial-time computable functions over *IX* such that:
  + for each instance *u* ∈ *IX*, *s*(*u*) is a natural number and *cod*(*u*) is an input multiset of the system Π(*s*(*u*));
  + the family Π is *polynomially bounded* with regard to (*X, cod, s*), that is, there exists a polynomial function *p*, such that for each *u* ∈ *IX* every computation of Π(*s*(*u*)) with input *cod*(*u*) is halting and, moreover, it performs at most *p*(|*u*|) steps;
  + the family Π is *sound* with regard to (*X, cod, s*), that is, for each *u* ∈ *IX*, if there exists an accepting computation of Π(*s*(*u*)) with input *cod*(*u*), then *θX*(*u*)= 1;
  + the family Π is *complete* with regard to (*X, cod, s*), that is, for each *u* ∈ *IX*, if *θX*(*u*)= 1, then every computation of Π(*s*(*u*)) with input *cod*(*u*) is an accepting one.

In the above definition we have imposed to every P system Π(*n*) to be *confluent*, in the following sense: every computation of a system with the *same* input multiset must always give the *same* answer.

We denote by PMC*TD* the set of all decision problems which can be solved by means of recognizer tissue P systems with cell division in polynomial time.

# A Solution for the 3–coloring problem

A *k*–coloring (*k* ≥ 1) of an undirected graph *G* = (*V, E*) is a function *f* : *V* →

{1*,... , k*}. We say that *G* is *k*–colorable if there exists a *k*–coloring, *f* , such that *f* (*u*) /=*f* (*v*) for every edge {*u, v*} ∈ *E* (the *k*–coloring *f* is *valid*). If we represent the numbers 1*,* 2*,... ,n* as colors, then adjacent vertices must have different colors by a valid *k*–coloring..

The *k*–coloring problem is the following: *given an undirected graph G, decide whether or not G is k-colorable*; that is, if there exists a valid 3–coloring of *G*.

This problem is related to the famous Four Color Conjecture (proved by Appel and Haken [[3](#_bookmark8),[4](#_bookmark9)]). It is a special case of the problem of *k*-colorability of a graph, in which the range of *C* is {1*,... , k*} with *k* being specified as part of the instance. The NP-completeness of the 3–coloring problem was proved by Stockmeyer [[28](#_bookmark31)] (see

[[9](#_bookmark13)]).

Next, we will see that the 3–coloring problem can be solved in linear time by a family of recognizer tissue P systems with cell division.

Let us consider a graph *G* = (*V, E*), where *V* = {*Ai* : 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*} is the set of vertices and *E* ⊆ {{*Ai, Aj*} : 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n*} is the set of edges. If {*Ai, Aj*} ∈ *E* then we denote *Aij* = {*Ai, Aj*}.

We will address the resolution via a brute force algorithm, in the framework of

recognizer tissue P systems with cell division, which consists in the following phases:

* *Generation Stage*: The initial cell, labelled by 2, is divided into two new cells; and the division are iterated until we have all possible candidate solutions to the problem (one solution for each membrane). Simultaneously, in the membrane labelled by 1 a counter evolves, and it will determine the moment in which the checking stage starts.
* *Pre–checking Stage*: After obtaining all possible 3–colorings encoded in cells la- belled by 2, this stage provides objects *Rij, Gij, Bij* in such cells, for every edge *Aij* .
* *Checking Stage*: Once we obtain some objects *Rij, Gij, Bij* in cells labelled by 2, we check if there exists a pair of adjacent vertices with the same color in the corresponding candidate solution.
* *Output Stage*: The system sends to the environment the right answer according

to the results of the previous stage.

Next, we provide a linear-time solution for the 3–coloring problem by a family of recognizer tissue P systems with cell division.

Let us recall that the function ⟨*n, m*⟩ = ((*n* + *m*)(*n* + *m* + 1)*/*2) + *n* is primitive recursive and bijective from IN2 onto IN. Also, the inverse function of *h* is polynomial.

For each *n, m* ∈ IN, we will consider the system

Π(⟨*n, m*⟩)= (Γ(⟨*n, m*⟩)*,* Σ(*n*)*, w*1*, w*2(*n*)*,* R(⟨*n, m*⟩)*,* E(⟨*n, m*⟩)*, iin, i*0)

where:

* Γ(⟨*n, m*⟩) is the set

{*Ai, Ri, Ti, Bi, Gi, Ri, Bi, Gi* : 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*} ∪

{*ai* : 1 ≤ *i* ≤ 3*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 11} ∪ {*ci* : 1 ≤ *i* ≤ 2*n* + 1} ∪

{*di* : 1 ≤ *i* ≤ [*log*2 *m*| + 1} ∪ {*fi* : 2 ≤ *i* ≤ *n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 6} ∪

{*Aij, Pij, P ij, Rij, Bij, Gij* : 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n*}∪ {*b, D, E, e, T, S, N, b,* yes*,* no}

* Σ(*n*)= {*Aij* : 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n*}
* *w*1 = {{*a*1*, b, c*1*,* yes*,* no}}
* *w*2(*n*)= {{*D, A*1*,... , An*}}
* R(⟨*n, m*⟩) is the set of rules:

1. Division rules:

*r*1*,i* ≡ [*Ai*]2 → [*Ri*]2[*Ti*]2 for *i* = 1*,... ,n*

*r*2*,i* ≡ [*Ti*]2 → [*Bi*]2[*Gi*]2 for *i* = 1*,... ,n*

1. Communication rules:

*r*3*,i* ≡ (1*, ai/ai*+1*,* 0) for *i* = 1*,... ,* 2*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 10

*r*4*,i* ≡ (1*, ci/c*2

*i*+1

*,* 0) for *i* = 1*,... ,* 2*n*

*r*5 ≡ (1*, c*2*n*+1*/D,* 2)

*r*6 ≡ (2*, c*2*n*+1*/d*1*E,* 0)

*r*7*,i* ≡ (2*, di/d*2

*i*+1

*,* 0) for *i* = 1*,... ,* [*log*2 *m*|

*r*8 ≡ (2*, E/e f*2*,* 0)

*r*9*,i* ≡ (2*, fi/fi*+1*,* 0) for *i* = 2*,... ,n* + [*log*2 *m*| +5 *r*10*,ij* ≡ (2*, d*[*log*2 *m*|+1*Aij/Pij,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n r*11*,ij* ≡ (2*, Pij/RijP ij,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n*

*r*12*,ij* ≡ (2*, P ij/BijGij,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n r*13*,ij* ≡ (2*, RiRij/RiRj,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n r*14*,ij* ≡ (2*, BiBij/BiBj,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n r*15*,ij* ≡ (2*, GiGij/GiGj,* 0) for 1 ≤ *i < j* ≤ *n r*16*,j* ≡ (2*, RjRj/b,* 0) for 1 ≤ *j* ≤ *n*

*r*17*,j* ≡ (2*, BjBj/b,* 0) for 1 ≤ *j* ≤ *n r*18*,j* ≡ (2*, GjGj/b,* 0) for 1 ≤ *j* ≤ *n r*19 ≡ (2*,e b/λ,* 0)

*r*20 ≡ (2*,e fn*+[*log*2 *m*|+6*/T,* 0)

*r*21 ≡ (2*,T /λ,* 1)

*r*22 ≡ (1*,bT /S,* 0)

*r*23 ≡ (1*,S* yes*/λ,* 0)

*r*24 ≡ (1*,b a*3*n*+[*log*2 *m*|+11*/N,* 0)

*r*25 ≡ (1*,N* no*/λ,* 0)

* E(⟨*n, m*⟩)= Γ(⟨*n, m*⟩) − {yes*,* no}
* *iin* =2 is the *input cell*.
* *i*0 =0 is the *output region*.
  1. *An Overview of the Computation*

First of all we define a polynomial encoding of the 3–coloring problem in the family Π constructed in the previous section. Let *u* = (*V, E*) be an instance of the problem, with *n* vertices and *m* edges. Then we consider a *size* mapping on the set of instances defined as *s*(*u*) = ⟨*n, m*⟩. The codification of the instance will be the multiset

*cod*(*u*)= *E*.

Next we describe informally how the recognizer tissue P system with cell division

Π(*s*(*u*)) with input *cod*(*u*) works.

Let us start with the *generation stage*. In this stage we have two parallel pro- cesses.

* + - On the one hand, in the cell labelled by 1 we have two counters: *ai*, which will be used in the answer stage and *ci*, which will be multiplied until step 2*n*, where 4*n* copies of *c*2*n*+1 are obtained.
    - On the other hand, in the cell labelled by 2, the division rules are applied. For each object *Ai* (which codifies a vertex of the graph) we get (in two steps) three cells labelled by 2, each of them encoding one of the three colors by means of the objects *Ri, Gi, Bi*.

After the appropriate divisions, in the step 2*n* we get exactly 3*n* cells encoding all the possible 3–colorings of the graph.

In this way, after the 2*n*–th step the generation stage is finished and the *check- ing stage* starts. In this moment, the content of the cell labelled by 1 is

*, c*

{{*a*2*n*+1

4n 2*n*+1

*, b,* yes*,* no}}, and there are 3*n* cells each of them containing the object

*D*, the objects *Aij*, and a function from *V* to {1*,* 2*,* 3} (we will identify the colors by

*red*, *R*, *green*, *G*, and *blue*, *B*).

In the step 2*n* + 1, 3*n* copies of *c*2*n*+1 in the cell 1 is traded by 3*n* objects *D* that appears one in each cell labelled by 2. Notice that 4*n* − 3*n* spare copies of the counter *c* will remain in cell 1. When the object *c*2*n*+1 arrives to the cell labelled by 2, the communication starts.

At the beginning of the process, we pay attention to the counters *d* and *f* . The first one will be multiplied until at least *m* copies are obtained, so that they can cooperate with the *m* input symbols *Aij* that represent the edges. This is achieved in the 2*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 2–th step (for the sake of simplicity, we will denote

*γ* = 2*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 2). The object *f* will be useful in order to send an object *T* to

the cell 1 at the end of this stage.

When the *m* copies of the object *d* are obtained, each of them is sent together with an object *Aij* to the environment, and the corresponding objects *Pij* are brought in. Then they are interchanged by *Rij, Gij, Bij* from the environment (this is done in two steps by apllying rules *r*11*,ij* and *r*12*,ij*).

In order to know if a 3–coloring is valid we must check for each cell labelled by 2 (encoding a 3–coloring of the graph) if there exist two adjacent vertices with the same color. Let us reason with a color, say *red* (for *green* and *blue*, the process is the same):

* If node *i* has red color by a 3–coloring encoded in a cell labelled by 2, then the object *Ri* is present in that cell. Then in the step *γ* +3 the objects *Ri* and *Rij* produce *RiRj* (the object *Rj* is brought from the environment). Simultaneously, in that step the objects *P ij* produce objects *Bij* and *Gij* applying the rules *r*12*,ij*.
* If the vertex *j* is also of color *red*, then the objects *RjRj* are traded against an object *b* from the environment.

Notice that in the generation stage, the processes are carried out in parallel, but in the checking stage, the red color is checked one step before the other two colors.

Taking into account that in the worst case each node needs to check max{*degree*(*u*) : *u* ∈ *V* } ≤ *n* adjacent nodes, then after *γ* + *n* + 3 steps no more rules of the types *r*13*,ij*, *r*14*,ij*, and *r*15*,ij* can be applied. The checking stage will finish at the step *γ* + *n* + 5, because no more rules of the types *r*16*,j*, *r*17*,j*, and

*r*18*,j* can be applied.

The output stage starts in the step *γ* + *n* + 6.

* *Affirmative answer*: If there exists a valid 3–coloring of the graph, then in some cell labelled by 2 from the configuration *Cγ*+*n*+5 we have the object *e* and the object *f*[*log*2 *m*|+*n*+6. By applying the rule *r*20 we produce an object *T* in that

cell. In the next step, an object *T* arrives to the cell 1 by the application of the

rule *r*21. Then, the objects *b* and *T* in cell 1 permit that an object *S* arrives to cell 1 from the environment (by applying the rule *r*22). Finally, an object yes is sent out to the environment by the application of the rule *r*23 in the step *γ* + *n* +9 = 3*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 11. The obtained configuration is a halting one.

* *Negative answer*: If there is no valid 3–coloring of the graph, then the object *e* does not appear in any cell labelled by 2 from the configuration *Cγ*+*n*+5. So, in these cells no rules can be applied anymore. In the next three steps, only the counter *a* in cell 1 evolves. Hence, in this cell from the configuration *Cγ*+*n*+8 we have the objects *b* and *a*3*n*+[*log*2 *m*|+11. Next, by applying the rule *r*24 we get from

the environment an object *N* , and in the following step an object no is sent out to

the environment (because we also have an object no in cell 1). The computation finishes in the step *γ* + *n* + 10 = 3*n* + [*log*2 *m*| + 12.

* 1. *Necessary Resources*

The presented family of tissue P systems that solves the 3–coloring problem is poly- nomially uniform by Turing Machines. It can be observed that the definition of the family is done in a recursive manner from a given instance, in particular from the constants *n* and *m*. Furthermore the necessary resources to build the tissue P system Π(⟨*n, m*⟩) are:

* + - Size of the alphabet: 3*n*2 + 11*n* + 3[*log*2 *m*| + 28 ∈ *θ*(*n*2 + [*log*2 *m*|).
    - Initial number of cells: 2 ∈ *θ*(1).
    - Initial number of objects: *n* + *m* +6 ∈ *theta*(*n* + *m*).
    - Number of rules: 3*n*2 + 6*n* + 3[*log*2 *m*| + 24 ∈ *θ*(*n*2 + [*log*2 *m*|).
    - Maximal length of a rule: 4.

Hence, there exists a deterministic Turing machine working in linear time that con- structs the tissue P system Π(⟨*n, m*⟩) from ⟨*n, m*⟩ ∈ IN.

* 1. *Main Results*

>From the discussion in the previous sections and according to the definition of solvability given in Section 4, we deduce the following result:

Theorem 5.1 *3–coloring* ∈ PMC*TD.*

As a consequence of this result we have:

Theorem 5.2 NP ∪ co − NP ⊆ PMC*TD.*

Proof It suffices to make the following observations: the 3–coloring problem is NP-complete, 3–coloring ∈ PMC*TD* and the class PMC*TD* is stable under polynomial-time reduction, and also closed under complement.

# Conclusions and Future Work

The power and efficiency of cell-like P systems for solving NP-complete problems have been widely studied (in the framework of cell division and membrane creation). Nevertheless, there are very few works studying the case of tissue-like P systems.

In this paper we propose a new solution to an NP-complete problem, the 3– coloring problem, which can be used as a scheme for designing solutions to other NP–complete problems from graph theory such as the *vertex–cover* problem, the *clique* problem, the *hamiltoniam path* problem, etc. Moreover, the structure of the solution described can be also adapted for solving computationally hard numerical problems.

Recently, a new kind P system model (called spiking neural P systems) based on the idea of spiking neurons has been presented (see, for example, [[11](#_bookmark15)]). The motivation of this model coming from two directions: the attempt of membrane computing to pass from cell-like architectures to tissue-like or neural-like architec- tures (see [[23](#_bookmark27)], [[19](#_bookmark20)]), and the intriguing possibility of encoding information in the

duration of events, or in the interval of time elapsed between events, as in recent research in neural computing (of *third generation*) [[15](#_bookmark19)].

Until now, spiking neural P systems have been basically used in the generative mode and the investigations have been addressed to study the computational com- pleteness of these models. It remains as further work to bridge tissue P systems and this new model in order to be able to solve NP–complete problems through spiking neural P systems.
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