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Active learning has been widely used in drug discovery and design in recent years. In this viewpoint, we will briefly summarize applications of AL for drug discovery and propose two potential limitations of research in this field.

# Main text

In most drug discovery projects, the scarcity of data sometimes hin- ders the application of deep learning models, which rely heavily on the quantity and quality of training data [[1]](#_bookmark3). Moreover, generating training data by human selection requires a lot of resources and even leads to waste. For example, the newly “labeled” (i.e., designed and synthesized) compounds may not provide novel insights into the structure–activity relationship compared to the original training data. Therefore, a ratio- nal and objective sample selection method for deciding which samples should be labeled is essential. Active learning [[2](#_bookmark5),[3](#_bookmark6)] (AL) has been pro- posed to play such a role.

AL is a subdiscipline in machine learning (ML) where the algorithm iteratively selects the most useful samples from the unlabeled dataset and queries the expert (or some other information source) to label them, so as to reduce the cost of labeling while improving model performance. To our knowledge, the application of AL algorithm to drug discovery starts with the pioneering work of Warmuth et al [[4]](#_bookmark7). Their work high- lighted the fitness of AL to process labeling tasks in pharmaceutical research and development. Recently, the topic has gained momentum, driven by the improved accuracy of ML prediction models [[5]](#_bookmark8). Several promising studies have been reported for different drug development projects in the last two decades such as focused library design [[6]](#_bookmark9), ra- tional *de novo* design [[7]](#_bookmark10), and drug combination [[8]](#_bookmark11). Given the long- standing practical verification of its effectiveness, AL has shown poten- tial to be an easily deployable technology to assist researchers in their molecular reasoning and experimental design.

In this viewpoint we summarize the promising applications of AL and review briefly some of the limitations to be addressed in the future.

A majority of the previously published studies focused on the ability of AL algorithm to identify desirable samples and enhance ML models through additional training data [[9–11]](#_bookmark12). This ability makes it a well- suited choice for guiding labeling tasks. According to the needs of dif- ferent applications, the preference of AL can be adjusted flexibly by defining different query strategies (selection functions). For example, some exploration-oriented query strategies quantify the uncertainty of models’ predictions, and tend to select samples with novel structures to enlarge the applicability domain of models [[10]](#_bookmark13). On the other hand, exploitation-oriented query strategies aim to select the samples with the highest property, such as compound binding aﬃnities against a certain target, and the models based on such a query strategy often show en- hanced hit rates [[12]](#_bookmark14).

In addition to the application of prospectively guiding labeling tasks, retrospective applications of AL on data sets with known labels have also been explored by researchers. First, deploying AL on labeled data sets can rapidly compare different model architectures and query strate- gies to obtain the best AL workflows before applying them in costly prospective studies [[10](#_bookmark13),[13](#_bookmark15)]. Secondly, AL can serve as a data filtering tool [[10]](#_bookmark13) to remove redundant data that have already been understood by the ML model based on previous training data and thereby cannot offer any further knowledge. Finally, some studies reported that the sub- sample of training data chosen by AL are always balanced, even when the original dataset is highly imbalanced. It suggests that AL algorithm may also contribute as a data balancing technique [[14]](#_bookmark16).

The AL concept has been successfully applied to drug discovery for its promising applications mentioned above. However, some limitations are still present in AL algorithm. Some of the studies have reported that the improved hit rate triggered by the deploying of AL is closely linked
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to the performance of the ML model [[5]](#_bookmark8). Moreover, the ability of mod- els to pick balanced training data is tightly-bound to the complexity of the employed model architecture and its predictive capability [[14]](#_bookmark16). Thus, the power of AL is heavily dependent on the model architecture. Recently, Transformer model and its derivatives have led to promis- ing performance for property prediction and molecular representation [[15]](#_bookmark17). However, they require a large number of parameters and expensive training process, which makes them unsuitable for AL that needs con- stant iteration. As a result, how to combine AL with powerful models like Transformer in a more economical way is a problem to be resolved in the future.

Uncertainty sampling is the most commonly implemented and best understood AL query strategy [[5](#_bookmark8),[10](#_bookmark13)], and entropy sampling is one of the most widely used uncertainty-based query strategies due to its model- agnostic nature and ease of implementation. However, entropy sampling only captures the aleatoric uncertainty [[16]](#_bookmark18), and neglects the epistemic uncertainty [[16]](#_bookmark18). It means that the entropy sampling, as an uncertainty quantification method, may be over-optimistic in some scenarios [[17]](#_bookmark19). To address this problem, the epistemic uncertainty must be taken into consideration in the process of uncertainty quantification. Currently ensemble-based approaches [[18]](#_bookmark20) are still accepted as state of the art for epistemic uncertainty quantification [[19]](#_bookmark21). But they usually require extensive computational costs and runtimes, which poses a major chal- lenge to deploying them in iterative AL procedures. Therefore, to quan- tify the epistemic uncertainty in a fast, calibrated, and scalable way is also an urgent need. Although a few methods have been proposed to solve this problem, their effectiveness needs to be verified in the future [[17]](#_bookmark19).

# Conclusion

In this viewpoint, we first introduce the promising and widely used applications of AL in drug design, including guiding experimental design and helping to remove of redundant information. It should be noted that currently there are multiple software applications, such as *Active Learn-*

*ing Glide* and *Active Learning FEP*+ available in the Schrödinger Suite,

which utilize AL to accelerate the drug discovery process. As claimed, an

AL-based protocol successfully recovered more than 80% of the experi- mentally confirmed hits with a 14-fold reduction in compute cost [[20]](#_bookmark22). It shows the high status of AL in alleviating the intractable computa- tional cost of virtual screening of today’s ultra-large chemical libraries. This viewpoint is also devoted to pointing out main limitations of AL al- gorithm. Because of its iterative nature, AL algorithm is not suitable for complex model architectures and ensemble-based epistemic uncertainty quantification methods, which may limit the applications of AL. Solving these problems is important for the development of AL and needs the joint participation and efforts of researchers of different fields.
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